

Solutions to Ireland, Rosen “A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory”

Richard Ganaye

October 18, 2019

Chapter 7

Ex. 7.1 Use the method of Theorem 1 to show that a finite subgroup of the multiplicative group of a field is cyclic.

A solution is already given in Ex. 4.15

Ex. 7.2 Find the finite subgroups of \mathbb{R}^* and \mathbb{C}^* and show directly that they are cyclic.

Proof. If G is a finite subgroup of \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , and $n = |G|$, then from Lagrange’s Theorem, $x^n = 1$ for all $x \in G$.

- If G is a finite subgroup of \mathbb{R}^* , then the solutions of $x^n = 1$ are in $\{-1, 1\}$, so $\{1\} \subset G \subset \{-1, 1\}$: $G = \{1\}$ or $G = \{-1, 1\}$, both cyclic.

- If G is a finite subgroup of \mathbb{C}^* , then $G \subset \mathbb{U}_n = \{e^{2ik\pi/n} \mid 0 \leq k \leq n-1\}$. As $|G| = |\mathbb{U}_n| = n$, then $G = \mathbb{U}_n \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is cyclic. \square

Ex. 7.3 Let F a field with q elements and suppose that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. Show that for $\alpha \in F^*$, the equation $x^n = \alpha$ has either no solutions or n solutions.

Proof. This is a particular case of Prop. 7.1.2., where $d = n \wedge (q-1) = n$: the equation $x^n = \alpha$ has solutions iff $\alpha^{(q-1)/n} = 1$. In this case, there are exactly $d = n$ solutions.

We give here a direct proof.

Let g a generator of F^* . Write $x = g^y, \alpha = g^a$. Then

$$x^n = \alpha \iff g^{ny} = g^a \iff q-1 \mid ny - a.$$

Suppose that there exists $x \in F$ such that $x^n = \alpha$. Then there exists $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $q-1 \mid ny - a$. Since $n \mid q-1$, then $n \mid a$.

$$q-1 \mid ny - a \iff \frac{q-1}{n} \mid y - \frac{a}{n} \iff y = \frac{a}{n} + k \frac{q-1}{n}, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

As $\frac{a}{n} + (k+n) \frac{q-1}{n} = \frac{a}{n} + k \frac{q-1}{n}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the values $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ are sufficient :

$$x^n = \alpha \iff y = \frac{a}{n} + k \frac{q-1}{n}, k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Moreover, these solutions are all distinct : if $k, l \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} g^{\frac{a}{n} + k \frac{q-1}{n}} &= g^{\frac{a}{n} + l \frac{q-1}{n}} \Rightarrow g^{(k-l) \frac{q-1}{n}} = 1 \\ &\Rightarrow q-1 \mid (k-l) \frac{q-1}{n} \\ &\Rightarrow n \mid k-l \\ &\Rightarrow k \equiv l \pmod{n} \Rightarrow k = l. \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion : if F is a field with q elements and $n \mid q-1$, the equation $x^n = \alpha$ has either no solutions or n solutions in F .

Remark :

$$\exists x \in F^*, x^n = \alpha \iff n \mid a \iff \alpha^{(q-1)/n} = 1.$$

Indeed, if $x^n = \alpha$ has a solution, we have proved that $n \mid a$, thus $\alpha^{(q-1)/n} = (g^{a/n})^{q-1} = 1$.

Reciprocally, if $\alpha^{(q-1)/n} = 1$, $g^{a \cdot (q-1)/n} = 1$, thus $q-1 \mid a(q-1)/n$, so $n \mid a : \alpha = x^n$, with $x = g^{n/a}$. \square

Ex. 7.4 (continuation) Show that the set of $\alpha \in F^*$ such that $x^n = \alpha$ is solvable is a subgroup with $(q-1)/n$ elements.

Proof. Here $n \mid q-1$.

Let $\varphi = F^* \rightarrow F^*$ the application defined by $\varphi(x) = x^n$. φ is a morphism of groups, and $\ker \varphi$ is the set of solutions of $x^n = 1$. As $n \mid q-1$, $x^n = 1$ has exactly n solutions (Prop 7.1.1, Corollary 2, or Ex 7.3 with $\alpha = 1$). So $|\ker \varphi| = n$.

Thus $\text{Im} \varphi \simeq F^* / \ker \varphi$ is a subgroup with cardinality $|F^*| / |\ker \varphi| = (q-1)/n$, and $\text{Im} \varphi$ is the set of α such that $x^n = \alpha$ is solvable.

Conclusion : the set of $\alpha \in F^*$ such that $x^n = \alpha$ is solvable is a subgroup with $(q-1)/n$ elements. \square

Ex. 7.5 (continuation) Let K be a field containing F such that $[K : F] = n$. For all $\alpha \in F^*$, show that the equation $x^n = \alpha$ has n solutions in K . [Hint: Show that $q^n - 1$ is divisible by $n(q-1)$ and use the fact that $\alpha^{q-1} = 1$.]

Proof. As $q \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, $\frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} = 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, then $n \mid \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$:

$$q^n - 1 = kn(q-1), k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $\alpha \in F^*$, $\alpha^{q-1} = 1$, so

$$\alpha^{(q^n - 1)/n} = (\alpha^{q-1})^k = 1.$$

As $|K| = q^n$, Prop. 7.1.2 (or the final remark in Ex. 7.3) show that there exists $x \in K^*$ such that $x^n = \alpha$. Then, from Ex. 7.3, we know that there exist n solutions in K .

Conclusion : if $[K : F] = n$, the equation $x^n = \alpha$ has n solutions in K . \square

Ex. 7.6 Let $K \supset F$ be finite fields with $[K : F] = 3$. Show that if $\alpha \in F$ is not a square in F , it is not a square in K .

Proof. Let $q = |F|$. Then $|K| = q^3$.

If the characteristic of F is 2, $q = 2^k$, and for all $x \in F$, $x = x^q = (x^{2^{k-1}})^2$. So all elements in F or K are squares. We can now suppose that the characteristic of F is not 2, and consequently $1 \neq -1$ in F .

As α is not a square in F , $\alpha^{(q-1)/2} \neq 1$ (Prop. 7.1.2). From $0 = \alpha^{q-1} - 1 = (\alpha^{(q-1)/2} - 1)(\alpha^{(q-1)/2} + 1)$, we deduce $\alpha^{(q-1)/2} = -1$. Then

$$\alpha^{(q^3-1)/2} = (\alpha^{(q-1)/2})^{q^2+q+1} = (-1)^{q^2+q+1} = -1,$$

since $q^2 + q + 1$ is always odd.

$\alpha^{(q^3-1)/2} \neq 1$: this implies (Prop. 7.1.2) that α is not a square in K . \square

Ex. 7.7 Generalize Exercise 6 by showing that if α is not a square in F , it is not a square in any extension of odd degree and is a square in every extension of even degree.

Proof. Write $q = [K : F]$, and $q = \text{Card } F$.

As α is not a square in F , the characteristic of F is not 2 (see Ex.7.6), and $\alpha^{(q-1)/2} \neq 1$. Since $\alpha^{q-1} = 1$, $\alpha^{(q-1)/2} = -1$.

$$\alpha^{(q^n-1)/2} = (\alpha^{(q-1)/2})^{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}} = (-1)^{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}}.$$

• If n is odd, $1+q+\dots+q^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, thus $\alpha^{(q^n-1)/2} = -1 \neq 1$, and consequently α is not a square in K .

• If n is even, as q is odd ($\text{char}(F) \neq 2$), $1+q+\dots+q^{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, thus $\alpha^{(q^n-1)/2} = 1$, so α is a square in K . \square

Ex. 7.8 In a field with 2^n elements, what is the subgroup of squares.

Let F a field with $q = 2^n$ elements.

Proof 1

Proof. $d = (q-1) \wedge 2 = (2^n-1) \wedge 2 = 1$, thus each $\alpha \in F^*$ verifies $\alpha^{(q-1)/d} = \alpha^{q-1} = 1$. Theorem 7.1.2 show that α is a square in F , of exactly one root. \square

Proof 2

Proof. For all $x \in F$, $x = x^q = (x^{2^{n-1}})^2$. So all elements in F or K are squares. \square

Ex. 7.9 If $K \supset F$ are finite fields, $|F| = q$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, and $x^n = \alpha$ is not solvable in F , show that $x^n = \alpha$ is not solvable in K if $(n, [K : F]) = 1$.

Proof. Let $k = [K : F]$. From hypothesis, $k \wedge n = 1$, so there exist integers u, v such that $uk + vn = 1$.

As $n \mid q-1$, $n \wedge (q-1) = n$, so the hypothesis " $x^n = \alpha$ is not solvable in F " implies that $\alpha^{(q-1)/n} \neq 1$ (Prop. 7.1.2).

Write $\omega = \alpha^{(q-1)/n}$, so $\omega \neq 1$ and $\omega^n = 1$.

As $n \mid q-1$, $n \mid q^k-1$ and

$$\alpha^{(q^k-1)/n} = (\alpha^{(q-1)/n})^{1+q+q^2+\dots+q^{k-1}} = \omega^{1+q+q^2+\dots+q^{k-1}}.$$

Moreover $1+q+\dots+q^{k-1} \equiv k \pmod{n}$, and $\omega^n = 1$, so $\alpha^{(q^k-1)/n} = \omega^k$.

If $\omega^k = 1$, then $\omega = \omega^{uk+vn} = (\omega^k)^u (\omega^n)^v = 1$, which is in contradiction with $\omega = \alpha^{(q-1)/n} \neq 1$.

So $\alpha^{(q^k-1)/n} = \omega^k \neq 1$, and consequently the equation $x^n = \alpha$ has no solution in K . \square

Ex. 7.10 If $K \supset F$ be finite fields and $[K : F] = 2$. For $\beta \in K$, show that $\beta^{1+q} \in F$ and moreover that every element in F is of the form β^{1+q} for some $\beta \in K$.

Proof. If $\beta = 0$, $\beta^{1+q} = 0 \in F$, and if $\beta \in K^*$, $\beta^{q^2-1} = 1$, so $(\beta^{1+q})^{q-1} = 1$, thus $\beta^{1+q} \in F$ (Prop. 7.1.1, Corollary 1).

Let g a generator of $K^* : K^* = \{1, g, g^2, \dots, g^{q^2-2}\}$.

For every integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$g^k \in F^* \iff (g^k)^{q-1} = 1 \iff g^{k(q-1)} = 1 \iff q^2 - 1 \mid k(q-1) \iff q+1 \mid k.$$

Thus $F^* = \{1, g^{q+1}, g^{2(q+1)}, \dots, g^{(q-2)(q+1)}\}$. If $\alpha \in F^*$, there exists $i, 0 \leq i \leq q-1$ such that $\alpha = g^{i(q+1)}$. If we write $\beta = g^i$, then $\alpha = \beta^{1+q}$ (and for $\alpha = 0$, we take $\beta = 0$).

Conclusion : if K is a quadratic extension of F (F, K finite fields), every element in F is of the form β^{1+q} for some $\beta \in K$. \square

Ex. 7.11 With the situation being that of Exercise 10 suppose that $\alpha \in F$ has order $q-1$. Show that there is a $\beta \in K$ with order q^2-1 such that $\beta^{1+q} = \alpha$.

Write $|a|$ the order of an element a in a group G . We recall the following lemma :

Lemma If $|a| = d$, then for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|a^i| = \frac{d}{d \wedge i}$.

Proof. Indeed, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(a^i)^k = e \iff a^{ik} = e \iff d \mid ik \iff \frac{d}{d \wedge i} \mid \frac{i}{d \wedge i} k \iff \frac{d}{d \wedge i} \mid k.$$

\square

Proof. (Ex. 7.11)

Let $\alpha \in F^*$ with $|\alpha| = q-1$, and g a generator of K^* , so $|g| = q^2-1$. We know from exercise 7.10 that there exists an integer i such that $\alpha = g^{i(q+1)}$.

Let $h = g^{q+1}$. As $h^{q-1} = 1$, then $h \in F^*$, and since $|g| = q^2-1$, $|h| = q-1$, so h is a generator of F^* .

Note that for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha = g^{(i+s(q-1))(q+1)}$, since $g^{q^2-1} = 1$.

We will show that we can choose s such that $j = i + s(q-1)$ is relatively prime with $q+1$. Then j is such that $\alpha = g^{j(q+1)} = h^j$.

i is odd : if not α is an element of the subgroup of squares in F^* , so its order divides $(q-1)/2$, in contradiction with $|\alpha| = q-1$.

$(q-1) \wedge (q+1) \mid 2$. Since $i-1$ is even, there exist integers s, t verifying the Bézout's equation

$$i-1 = t(q+1) - s(q-1).$$

Then $j = i + s(q - 1) = 1 + t(q + 1)$ is relatively prime with $q + 1 : j \wedge (q + 1) = 1$.

Moreover, as $\alpha = h^j$, with $|\alpha| = |h| = q - 1$, the lemme implies that

$$q - 1 = |\alpha| = \frac{q - 1}{(q - 1) \wedge j},$$

so $(q - 1) \wedge j = 1$. As $(q + 1) \wedge j = 1$ and $(q - 1) \wedge j = 1$, then $(q^2 - 1) \wedge j = 1$.

Let $\beta = g^j$: then $\alpha = \beta^{1+q}$, and using the lemma :

$$|\beta| = |g^j| = \frac{q^2 - 1}{(q^2 - 1) \wedge j} = q^2 - 1.$$

Conclusion : there exists a $\beta \in K^*$ with order $q^2 - 1$ such that $\beta^{1+q} = \alpha$. \square

Ex. 7.12 Use Proposition 7.2.1 to show that given a field k and a polynomial $f(x) \in k[x]$ there is a field $K \supset k$ such that $[K : k]$ is finite and $f(x) = a(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \cdots (x - \alpha_n)$ in $K[x]$.

Proof. We show by induction on the degree n of f that for all polynomials $f \in k[x]$ with $\deg(f) = n \geq 1$, there exists a field extension K such that $[K : k]$ is finite, and $f(x)$ splits in linear factors on K .

If $n = 1$, $f(x) = ax + b = a(x - \alpha_0)$, where $\alpha_0 = -b/a$: $K = k$ is suitable.

Suppose that the property is true for all polynomials of degree less than n on an arbitrary field k .

Let $f(x) \in k[x]$, $\deg(f) = n$. From proposition 7.2.1. applied to an irreducible factor of f , there exists a field L , $[L : k] < \infty$ and $\alpha \in L$ such that $f(\alpha_1) = 0$. Then $f(x) = (x - \alpha_1)g(x)$, $g(x) \in L[x]$.

Applying the induction hypothesis in the field L on the polynomial $g \in L[x]$ with $\deg(g) = n - 1$, we obtain a field K , $[K : L] < \infty$ such that $g(x) = a(x - \alpha_2) \cdots (x - \alpha_n)$ with $\alpha_i \in K$. So $f(x) = a(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \cdots (x - \alpha_n)$ splits in linear factors in K . The induction is achieved. \square

Ex. 7.13 Apply Exercise 7.12 to $k = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and $f(x) = x^{p^n} - x$ to obtain another proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. Let $f(x) = x^{p^n} - x$. We know from Ex. 7.12 that there exists a finite extension K of \mathbb{F}_p such that f splits in linear factors on K :

$$f(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{p^n} (x - \alpha_k), \quad \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p^n} \in K.$$

The set $k = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p^n}\} \subset K$ of the roots of $x^{p^n} - x$ is a subfield of K : indeed, if $\alpha, \beta \in k$,

- (a) $f(1) = 0$, so $1 \in k$
- (b) $(\alpha - \beta)^{p^n} = \alpha^{p^n} - \beta^{p^n} = \alpha - \beta$, so $\alpha - \beta \in k$.
- (c) $(\alpha\beta)^{p^n} = \alpha^{p^n} \beta^{p^n} = \alpha\beta$, so $\alpha\beta \in k$.
- (d) $(\alpha^{-1})^{p^n} = (\alpha^{p^n})^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}$, so $\alpha^{-1} \in k$ if $\alpha \neq 0$.

As $f'(x) = -1$, $f(x) \wedge f'(x) = 1$, so f has no multiple root, so the cardinality of k is p^n .

Let $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ a factor of $f(x)$, irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$, with $d = \deg(g)$. As $g \mid f$, g splits in linear factors in $k[x]$. Let α a root of $g(x)$ in k . As g is irreducible on \mathbb{F}_p , $d = \deg(g) = [\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha] : \mathbb{F}_p]$. Moreover $n = [k : \mathbb{F}_p] = [k : \mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]] [\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha] : \mathbb{F}_p]$, so $d \mid n$.

Reciprocally, suppose that g is any irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$, with $d = \deg(g) \mid n$. Then $K_0 = \mathbb{F}_p[x]/\langle g \rangle$ contains a root α of g , and $[K_0 : \mathbb{F}_p] = \deg(g) = d$, so $\alpha^{p^d} = \alpha$.

As $d \mid n$, then $p^d - 1 \mid p^n - 1$ and $x^{p^d} - 1 \mid x^{p^n} - 1$ (Lemma 2,3 in section 1), so

$$x^{p^d} - x \mid x^{p^n} - x.$$

$f(\alpha) = \alpha^{p^n} - \alpha = 0$ and g is the minimal polynomial of α , so $g \mid f$.

Conclusion :

$$x^{p^n} - x = \prod_{d \mid n} F_d(x),$$

where $F_d(x)$ is the product of the monic irreducible polynomial of degree d . □

Ex. 7.14 Let F be a field with q elements and n a positive integer. Show that there exist irreducible polynomials in $F[x]$ of degree n .

Proof. Let $F = \mathbb{F}_q$ a field with $q = p^m$ elements, and n a positive integer.

From Theorem 2 Corollary 3, there exists an irreducible polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ of degree nm . Let g an irreducible factor of f in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$, and α a root of g in an extension of \mathbb{F}_q .

We show that $\mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]$.

\mathbb{F}_q and $\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]$ are two subfield of the same finite field $\mathbb{F}_q[\alpha]$. Moreover, $|\mathbb{F}_q| = p^m$, and $|\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]| = p^{nm}$. As $m \mid n$, $\mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]$.

Indeed, for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q[\alpha]$,

$$\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q \Rightarrow \gamma^{p^m} = \gamma \Rightarrow \gamma^{p^{mn}} = \gamma \Rightarrow \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p[\alpha].$$

So $\mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]$.

We show that $\mathbb{F}_q[\alpha] = \mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]$.

As $\mathbb{F}_p \subset \mathbb{F}_q$, $\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha] \subset \mathbb{F}_q[\alpha]$.

Let $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q[\alpha] : \beta = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \alpha^i$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]$, so $a_i = p_i(\alpha), p_i \in \mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]$.

Consequently

$$\beta = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i(\alpha) \alpha^i \in \mathbb{F}_p[\alpha],$$

so $\mathbb{F}_q[\alpha] = \mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]$.

$$nm = [\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha] : \mathbb{F}_p] = [\mathbb{F}_q[\alpha] : \mathbb{F}_p] = [\mathbb{F}_q[\alpha] : \mathbb{F}_q] \times [\mathbb{F}_q : \mathbb{F}_p] = [\mathbb{F}_q[\alpha] : \mathbb{F}_q] \times m.$$

Thus $[\mathbb{F}_q[\alpha] : \mathbb{F}_q] = n$, and g is the minimal polynomial of α on \mathbb{F}_q , so $\deg(g) = n$.

Conclusion : if F is a field with $q = p^m$ elements, there exist irreducible polynomials in $F[x]$ of degree n for all positive integers n . □

Ex. 7.15 Let $x^n - 1 \in F[x]$, where F is a finite field with q elements. Suppose that $(q, n) = 1$. Show that $x^n - 1$ splits into linear factors in some extension field and that the least degree of such a field is the smallest integer f such that $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

Proof. From exercise 7.12, we know that $x^n - 1$ splits into linear factors in some extension field K , with $[K : F] < \infty$:

$$u(x) = x^n - 1 = (x - \zeta_0)(x - \zeta_1) \cdots (x - \zeta_{n-1}), \quad \zeta_i \in K.$$

$u'(x) \wedge u(x) = nx^{n-1} \wedge (x^n - 1) = 1$, since $x(nx^{n-1}) - n(x^n - 1) = n$, and $n \neq 0$ in the field F , since we know from the hypothesis $q \wedge n = 1$ that the characteristic p doesn't divide n . So the n roots of $x^n - 1$ are distinct.

The set $G = \{x \in K \mid x^n = 1\}$ is a subgroup of K^* , thus G is cyclic of order n . Let ζ a generator of G . Then

$$x^n - 1 = (x - 1)(x - \zeta)(x - \zeta^2) \cdots (x - \zeta^{n-1}).$$

Let $p(x)$ the minimal polynomial of ζ on F , and f the degree of p :

$$f = \deg(p) = [F[\zeta] : F].$$

So $\text{Card } F[\zeta] = q^f$, and since $\zeta \in F[\zeta]^*$, $\zeta^{q^f-1} - 1 = 0$. As the order of ζ in the group G is n , $n \mid q^f - 1$, namely $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

Let k any positive integer such that $q^k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

Then $n \mid q^k - 1$, so $\zeta^{q^k-1} - 1 = 0$, $\zeta^{q^k} - \zeta = 0$. Let L an extension of K such that $x^{q^k} - x$ splits in linear factors in L . As $\zeta^{q^k} - \zeta = 0$, ζ belongs to the subfield M of L with cardinality q^k , such that $[M : F] = k$. Thus $F[\zeta] \subset M$, so $f = [F[\zeta] : F] \leq k = [M : F]$.

$f = [F[\zeta] : F]$ is the smallest $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $q^k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

If K is any extension of F containing the roots of $x^n - 1$, then $K \supset F[\zeta]$, where ζ is a primitive root of unity, so $[K : F] \geq [F[\zeta] : F] = f$.

Conclusion : the minimal degree of a extension $K \supset F$ containing the roots of $x^n - 1$, with $n \wedge q = 1$, is the smallest positive integer f such that $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, the order of q modulo n . \square

Ex. 7.16 Calculate the monic irreducible polynomials of degree 4 in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Proof. Write F_d the product of irreducible monic polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$.

Theorem 2 gives

$$x^{16} - x = x^{2^4} - x = \prod_{d \mid 4} F_d(x) = F_1(x)F_2(x)F_4(x)$$

and

$$x^4 - x = x^{2^2} - x = \prod_{d \mid 2} F_d(x) = F_1(x)F_2(x)$$

$$\text{so } F_4(x) = \frac{x^{16}-x}{x^4-x} = \frac{x^{15}-1}{x^3-1} = x^{12} + x^9 + x^6 + x^3 + 1$$

$$F_4(x) = (x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1)(x^4 + x + 1)(x^4 + x^3 + 1)$$

Among the 16 monic polynomials of degree 4 in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$, 3 are irreducible :

$$P_1(x) = x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1,$$

$$P_2(x) = x^4 + x + 1$$

$$P_3(x) = x^4 + x^3 + 1$$

With sage :

```
sage: A = PolynomialRing(GF(2), 'x')
sage: x = A.gen()
sage: f = (x^16-x)/(x^4-x)
sage: factor(f)
(x^4 + x + 1) * (x^4 + x^3 + 1) * (x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1)
```

□

Ex. 7.17 Let q and p be distinct odd primes. Show that the number of monic irreducibles of degree q in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is $q^{-1}(p^q - p)$.

Proof. From Theorem 2 Corollary 2, we know that the number of irreducible polynomials on \mathbb{F}_p of degree q is given by

$$N_q = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{d|q} \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) p^d.$$

As q is prime, d takes the values 1, q , with $\mu(1) = 1, \mu(q) = -1$, so

$$N_q = \frac{p^q - p}{q}.$$

□

Ex. 7.18 Let p be a prime with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Show that the residue classes modulo p in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ form a field with p^2 elements.

Proof. If p is a prime rational integer, with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then p is a prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Indeed, p is irreducible : if $p = uv$, $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, where $u = c + di, v$ are not units, then $p^2 = N(u)N(v)$, $N(u) > 1, N(v) > 1$, so $p = N(u) = u\bar{u} = c^2 + d^2$.

As $c^2 \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}, d^2 \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$, so $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, which is in contradiction with the hypothesis.

So p is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, and since $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a principal ideal domain, p is prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, thus $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(p)$ is a field.

Let $z = a + bi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. The Euclidean division of a, b by p gives

$$a = qp + r, \quad 0 \leq r < p, \quad b = q'p + s, \quad 0 \leq s < p,$$

so

$$z \equiv r + is \pmod{p}, \quad 0 \leq r < p, \quad 0 \leq s < p.$$

Let's verify that these p^2 elements are in different classes of congruences modulo p .

If $r + is \equiv r' + is' \pmod{p}$, then $(r - r')/p + i(s - s')/p \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, so $r \equiv r', s \equiv s' \pmod{p}$.

As r, r', s, s' are between 0 and $p - 1$, $r = r', s = s'$.

So the cardinality of the field $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(p)$ is p^2 .

□

Ex. 7.19 Let F be a finite field with q elements. If $f(x) \in F[x]$ has degree t , put $|f| = q^t$. Verify the formal identity $\sum_f |f|^{-s} = (1 - q^{1-s})^{-1}$. The sum is over all monic polynomials.

Proof. Let U the set of monic polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$, and U_t the set of monic polynomials of degree t , and $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $U = \coprod_{t \in \mathbb{N}} U_t$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{f \in U} |f|^{-s} &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{f \in U_t} |f|^{-s} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{ts}} \sum_{f \in U_t} 1 \end{aligned}$$

As $\sum_{f \in U_t} 1 = \text{Card}(U_t) = q^t$, then, for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{f \in U} |f|^{-s} &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{t(s-1)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q^{s-1}}} \\ &= (1 - q^{1-s})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

As $\left| \frac{1}{q^{t(s-1)}} \right| = \frac{1}{q^{t(\text{Re}(s)-1)}}$, the serie is absolutely convergent for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$. This justifies the grouping of terms in this sum.

Conclusion : if $\text{Re}(s) > 1$,

$$\sum_{f \in U} |f|^{-s} = (1 - q^{1-s})^{-1},$$

where U is the set of monic polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. □

Ex. 7.20 With the notation of Exercise 19 let $d(f)$ be the number of monic divisors of f and $\sigma(f) = \sum_{g|f} |g|$, where the sum is over the monic divisors of f . Verify the following identities :

$$(a) \sum_f d(f) |f|^{-s} = (1 - q^{1-s})^{-2}$$

$$(b) \sum \sigma(f) |f|^{-s} = (1 - q^{1-s})^{-1} (1 - q^{2-s})^{-1}$$

Proof. (a) With the notation of 7.19, for $s \in \mathbb{C}, \text{Re}(s) > 1$, $\sum_{f \in U} |f|^{-s}$ is absolutely convergent and

$$(1 - q^{1-s})^{-1} = \sum_{f \in U} |f|^{-s}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - q^{1-s})^{-2} &= \sum_{f \in U} |f|^{-s} \sum_{g \in U} |g|^{-s} \\ &= \sum_{(f,g) \in U^2} |fg|^{-s} \\ &= \sum_{h \in U} \sum_{g \in U, g|h} |h|^{-s}, \end{aligned}$$

indeed, the application

$$\varphi : \begin{cases} U \times U & \rightarrow \{ (h, g) \in U \times U, g \mid h \} \\ (f, g) & \mapsto (fg, g) \end{cases}$$

is a bijection.

So

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - q^{1-s})^{-2} &= \sum_{h \in U} |h|^{-s} \text{Card}\{g \in U, g \mid h\} \\ &= \sum_{h \in U} |h|^{-s} d(h) \\ &= \sum_{f \in U} d(f) |f|^{-s} \end{aligned}$$

(b) Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - q^{1-s})^{-1} (1 - q^{2-s})^{-1} &= \sum_{f \in U} |f|^{-s} \sum_{g \in U} |g|^{-s+1} \\ &= \sum_{(f, g) \in U^2} |g| |fg|^{-s} \\ &= \sum_{h \in U} \sum_{g \in U, g \mid h} |g| |h|^{-s} \\ &= \sum_{h \in U} |h|^{-s} \sum_{g \in U, g \mid h} |g| \\ &= \sum_{h \in U} \sigma(h) |h|^{-s} \\ &= \sum_{f \in U} \sigma(f) |f|^{-s} \end{aligned}$$

□

Ex. 7.21 Let F be a field with $q = p^n$ elements. For $\alpha \in F$ set $f(x) = (x - \alpha)(x - \alpha^p)(x - \alpha^{p^2}) \cdots (x - \alpha^{p^{n-1}})$. Show that $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]$. In particular, $\alpha + \alpha^p + \cdots + \alpha^{p^{n-1}}$ and $\alpha\alpha^p\alpha^{p^2} \cdots \alpha^{p^{n-1}}$ are in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let $F : \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_q & \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q \\ x & \mapsto x^p \end{cases}$.

As the characteristic of \mathbb{F}_q is p , $(x + y)^p = x^p + y^p$ et $(xy)^p = x^p y^p$, and each homomorphism of field is injective, F is a field automorphism (Frobenius automorphism).

For every automorphism H in \mathbb{F}_q , and every polynomial $p(x) = \sum a_i x^i \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, write $(H.p)(x) = \sum_i H(a_i) x^i$. Then for all $(p, q) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]^2$, $H.(pq) = (H.p)(H.q)$.

With this notation,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= (x - \alpha)(x - F\alpha)(x - F^2\alpha) \cdots (x - F^{n-1}\alpha), \\ (H.f)(x) &= (x - F\alpha)(x - F^2\alpha)(x - F^3\alpha) \cdots (x - F^n\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, $F^n \alpha = \alpha^{p^n} = \alpha$, thus

$$H.f = f.$$

In other words, if $f(x) = \sum_i a_i x^i$, then for all i , $H(a_i) = a_i$, so $a_i^p = a_i$, thus $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$, and $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. In particular, the coefficients $a_{n-1} = \alpha + \alpha^p + \cdots + \alpha^{p^{n-1}}$, $a_0 = \alpha \alpha^p \alpha^{p^2} \cdots \alpha^{p^{n-1}}$ are in \mathbb{F}_p . \square

Ex. 7.22 (continuation) Set $\text{tr}(\alpha) = \alpha + \alpha^p + \cdots + \alpha^{p^{n-1}}$. Prove that

$$(a) \text{tr}(\alpha) + \text{tr}(\beta) = \text{tr}(\alpha + \beta).$$

$$(b) \text{tr}(a\alpha) = a \text{tr}(\alpha) \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}.$$

$$(c) \text{ There is an } \alpha \in F \text{ such that } \text{tr}(\alpha) \neq 0.$$

Proof. Let F the Frobenius automorphism of \mathbb{F}_q introduced in Ex.7.21.

(a),(b) : If $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$, then $a^p = a$, so $F(x + y) = (x + y)^p = x^p + y^p = F(x) + F(y)$, and $F(ax) = a^p x^p = ax^p = aF(x)$, so F is \mathbb{F}_p -linear, and also $\text{tr} = I + F + F^2 + \cdots + F^{n-1}$.

(c) The polynomial $p(x) = x + x^p + x^{p^2} + \cdots + x^{p^{n-1}}$ has degree p^{n-1} , so $p(x)$ has at most p^{n-1} roots in \mathbb{F}_q , and $|\mathbb{F}_q| = p^n > \deg(p) = p^{n-1}$. Therefore there exist in \mathbb{F}_q some element α which is not a root of $p(x)$, and so $\text{tr}(\alpha) = p(\alpha) \neq 0$. \square

Ex. 7.23 (continuation) For $\alpha \in F$ consider the polynomial $x^p - x - \alpha \in F[x]$. Show that this polynomial is either irreducible or the product of linear factors. Prove that the latter alternative holds iff $\text{tr}(\alpha) = 0$.

Proof. Let $f(x) = x^p - x - \alpha \in F[x]$. There exists an extension $K \supset F$ with finite degree on F which contains a root γ of f .

As $\gamma^p - \gamma - \alpha = 0$, then for all $i \in \mathbb{F}_p$,

$$(\gamma + i)^p - (\gamma + i) - \alpha = (\gamma^p - \gamma - \alpha) + i^p - i = 0.$$

So f has n distinct roots in K : $\gamma, \gamma + 1, \dots, \gamma + p - 1$, and so

$$f(x) = (x - \gamma)(x - \gamma - 1) \cdots (x - \gamma - (p - 1)).$$

$F[\gamma]$ contains all roots of f .

- If $\gamma \in F$, $f(x)$ splits in linear factors in F . $f(x)$ is not irreducible, since $\deg(f) = p > 1$.

- If $\gamma \notin F$, we will show that f is irreducible in $F[x]$.

If not, then $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ is the product of two polynomials $g, h \in F[x]$ such that $1 \leq \deg(g) \leq p - 1$.

The unicity of the decomposition in irreducible factors in $F[\gamma][x]$ shows that

$$g(x) = \prod_{i \in A} (x - \gamma - i),$$

where A is a subset of \mathbb{F}_p , with $A \neq \emptyset, A \neq \mathbb{F}_p$. As $g(x) \in F[x]$, $\sum_{i \in A} (\gamma + i) = k\gamma + l \in \mathbb{F}_p$,

where $1 \leq k = |A| \leq p - 1$ and $l = \sum_{i \in A} i \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

So $k\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Since $\gamma \notin \mathbb{F}_p$, k is not invertible in \mathbb{F}_p , in contradiction with $1 \leq k \leq p-1$. Consequently, $f(x)$ is irreducible.

We conclude that $x^p - x - \alpha \in F[x]$ is irreducible iff $\gamma \notin F$.

Let F the Frobenius automorphism of K (cf. Ex. 7.21).

$$\alpha = F(\gamma) - \gamma, F(\alpha) = F^2(\gamma) - F(\gamma), \dots, F^{n-1}(\alpha) = F^n(\gamma) - F^{n-1}(\gamma).$$

The sum of these equalities gives

$$\text{tr}(\alpha) = \alpha + F(\alpha) + \dots + F^{n-1}(\alpha) = F^n(\gamma) - \gamma = \gamma^{p^n} - \gamma.$$

As the cardinality of F is $q = p^n$,

$$\gamma \in F \iff \gamma^{p^n} - \gamma = 0 \iff \text{tr}(\alpha) = 0.$$

Conclusion : $x^p - x - \alpha$ is irreducible iff $\text{tr}(\alpha) \neq 0$. If $\text{tr}(\alpha) = 0$, $x^p - x - \alpha$ splits in linear factors in $F[x]$. \square

Ex. 7.24 Suppose that $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]$ has the property that $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$. Show that $f(x)$ must be of the form $a_0x + a_1x^p + a_2x^{p^2} + \dots + a_mx^{p^m}$.

Lemma If the prime number p divides all binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{1}, \binom{n}{2}, \dots, \binom{n}{n-1}$, then n is a power of p .

Proof. Let $u(x) = (x+1)^n - x^n - 1 \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. Then $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} x^k = 0$.

Write $n = p^a q$, with $p \nmid q$. With a reductio ad absurdum, suppose that $q > 1$. Then

$$f(x) = 0 = (x+1)^{p^a q} - x^{p^a q} - 1 = (x^{p^a} + 1)^q - x^{p^a q} - 1 = \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \binom{q}{k} x^{kp^a}.$$

Consequently, the coefficient of x^{p^a} is null, so $p \mid q$: this is absurd. Therefore $q = 1$ and $n = p^a$. \square

Proof. (Ex. 7.24)

Suppose that $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ verify in $\mathbb{F}_p[x, y]$ the equality $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$.

Write $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^d c_k x^k$.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y) &= \sum_{n=0}^d c_n [(x+y)^n - x^n - y^n] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^d \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_n \binom{n}{k} x^k y^{n-k} \end{aligned}$$

So for all n , for all k , $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, $c_n \binom{n}{k} = 0$ in \mathbb{F}_p .

From the lemma, if n is not a power of p , there exists a k , $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ such that $\binom{n}{k} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, so $c_n = 0$. If we write $a_k = c_{p^k}$, then $f(x)$ is of the form

$$f(x) = a_0x + a_1x^p + a_2x^{p^2} + \dots + a_mx^{p^m}.$$

\square

Chapter 8

Ex. 8.1 Let p be a prime and $d = (m, p-1)$. Prove that $N(x^m = a) = \sum \chi(a)$, the sum being over all χ such that $\chi^d = \varepsilon$.

Proof. Let $d = m \wedge (p-1)$. we prove that $N(x^m = a) = N(x^d = a)$ for all $d \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

- If $a = 0$, 0 is the only root of $x^m - a$ or $x^d - a$, so $N(x^m = a) = N(x^d = a) = 1$.
- If $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ and $x^n = a$ has a solution, then we know from the demonstration of Proposition 4.2.1 that $N(x^n - a) = d = N(x^d - a)$.
- If $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ and $x^n = a$ has no solution, then (Prop. 4.2.1) $a^{(p-1)/d} \neq 1$, so $x^d = a$ has no solution : $N(x^n - a) = 0 = N(x^d - a)$.

Using Prop. 8.1.5, as $d \mid n$, we obtain

$$N(x^n = a) = N(x^d = a) = \sum_{\chi^d = \varepsilon} \chi(a).$$

□

Ex. 8.2, false sentence. With the notation of Exercise 1 show that $N(x^m = a) = N(x^d = a)$ and conclude that if $d_i = (m_i, p-1)$, then $\sum_i a_i x_i^{m_i} = b$ and $\sum_i a_i x_i^{d_i} = b$ have the same number of solutions.

This result is false. I give a counterexample with $p = 5$: $x + x^3 = 0 \in \mathbb{F}_5[x]$ has 3 solutions 0, 2, -2. As $3 \wedge (p-1) = 3 \wedge 4 = 1$, the reduced equation is $x + x = 0$, which has an unique solution 0. The true sentence is :

Ex. 8.2 With the notation of Exercise 1 show that $N(x^m = a) = N(x^d = a)$ and conclude that if $d_i = (m_i, p-1)$, then $\sum_i a_i x_i^{m_i} = b$ and $\sum_i a_i x_i^{d_i} = b$ have the same number of solutions.

Proof. From Ex. 8.1, we know that

$$N(x^m = a) = \sum_{\chi^d = \varepsilon} \chi(a) = N(x^d = a).$$

Using this result, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(\sum_{i=1}^l a_i x_i^{m_i} = b\right) &= \sum_{a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_l u_l = b} \prod_{i=1}^l N(x^{m_i} = u_i) \\ &= \sum_{a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_l u_l = b} \prod_{i=1}^l N(x^{d_i} = u_i) \\ &= N\left(\sum_{i=1}^l a_i x_i^{d_i} = b\right) \end{aligned}$$

□

Ex. 8.3 Let χ be a non trivial multiplicative character of \mathbb{F}_p and ρ be the character of order 2. Show that $\sum_t \chi(1 - t^2) = J(\chi, \rho)$. [Hint: Evaluate $J(\chi, \rho)$ using the relation $N(x^2 = a) = 1 + \rho(a)$.]

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} J(\chi, \rho) &= \sum_{a+b=1} \chi(a)\rho(b) \\ &= \sum_{a+b=1} \chi(a)(N(x^2 = b) - 1) \\ &= \sum_{a+b=1} \chi(a)N(x^2 = b) - \sum_{a+b=1} \chi(a) \end{aligned}$$

As $\chi \neq \varepsilon$,

$$\sum_{a+b=1} \chi(a) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(a) = 0.$$

Let $C = \{x^2 \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_p^*\}$ the set of squares in \mathbb{F}_p^* , \overline{C} its complementary in \mathbb{F}_p^* :

$$\mathbb{F}_p = \{0\} \cup C \cup \overline{C}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} J(\chi, \rho) &= \sum_{a+b=1} \chi(a)N(x^2 = b) \\ &= \sum_{a+b=1, b=0} \chi(a)N(x^2 = b) + \sum_{a+b=1, b \in C} \chi(a)N(x^2 = b) + \sum_{a+b=1, b \in \overline{C}} \chi(a)N(x^2 = b) \\ &= \chi(1) + 2 \sum_{b \in C} \chi(1 - b) \end{aligned}$$

(because $N(x^2 = b) = 0$ if $x \in \overline{C}$, and $N(x^2 = b) = 2$ if $x \in C$). As each $b \in C$ has two roots, and as the set of roots of two distinct b are disjointed,

$$J(\chi, \rho) = \chi(1) + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \chi(1 - t^2) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(1 - t^2).$$

Conclusion : if χ is a non trivial multiplicative character of \mathbb{F}_p and ρ the character of order 2,

$$J(\chi, \rho) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(1 - t^2).$$

□

Ex. 8.4 Show, if $k \in \mathbb{F}_p, k \neq 0$, that $\sum_t \chi(t(k - t)) = \chi(k^2/2^2)J(\chi, \rho)$.

Proof. We know from Ex. 8.3 that $J(\chi, \rho) = \sum_t \chi(1 - t^2)$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq J(\chi, \rho) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(1-t)\chi(1+t) \\
&= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(u)\chi(2-u) \quad (u = 1-t) \\
&= \chi(2^2) \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi\left(\frac{u}{2}\right) \chi\left(1 - \frac{u}{2}\right) \\
&= \chi(2^2) \sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(v)\chi(1-v) \quad (u = 2v) \\
&= \chi(2^2)\chi(k^{-2}) \sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(kw)\chi(k-kw) \\
&= \chi(2^2/k^2) \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(t)\chi(k-t) \quad (t = kw).
\end{aligned}$$

Conclusion : if $k \in \mathbb{F}^*$, and χ is a non trivial character, ρ the character of order 2,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(t(k-t)) = \chi(k^2/2^2)J(\chi, \rho).$$

□

Ex. 8.5 If $\chi^2 \neq \varepsilon$, show that $g(\chi)^2 = \chi(2)^{-2}J(\chi, \rho)g(\chi^2)$. [Hint: Write out $g(\chi)^2$ explicitly and use Exercise 4.]

Proof. Let $\zeta = e^{2i\pi/p}$. Using the result of Ex. 8.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\chi)^2 &= \left(\sum_t \chi(t)\zeta^t \right) \left(\sum_s \chi(s)\zeta^s \right) \\
&= \sum_{s,t} \chi(t)\chi(s)\zeta^{t+s} \\
&= \sum_k \left(\sum_{s+t=k} \chi(t)\chi(s) \right) \zeta^k \\
&= \sum_k \left(\sum_t \chi(t(k-t)) \right) \zeta^k \\
&= \chi(-1) \sum_t \chi(t^2) + \sum_{k \neq 0} \chi(k^2/2^2)J(\chi, \rho)\zeta^k \\
&= \chi(-1) \sum_t \chi^2(t) + \chi(2)^{-2}J(\chi, \rho) \sum_{k \neq 0} \chi^2(k)\zeta^k
\end{aligned}$$

If $\chi^2 \neq \varepsilon$, $\sum_t \chi^2(t) = 0$, so

$$g(\chi)^2 = \chi(2)^{-2}J(\chi, \rho)g(\chi^2).$$

□

Ex. 8.6 (continuation) Show that $J(\chi, \chi) = \chi(2)^{-2}J(\chi, \rho)$.

Proof. As $\chi^2 \neq \rho$, Theorem 1 Chapter 8 gives $J(\chi, \chi) = g(\chi)^2/g(\chi^2)$, and Exercise 8.5 gives $g(\chi)^2/g(\chi^2) = \chi(2)^{-2}J(\chi, \rho)$, so

$$J(\chi, \chi) = \chi(2)^{-2}J(\chi, \rho).$$

□

Ex. 8.7 Suppose that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and that χ is a character of order 4. Then $\chi^2 = \rho$ and $J(\chi, \chi) = \chi(-1)J(\chi, \rho)$. [Hint: Evaluate $g(\chi)^4$ in two ways.]

Proof. As χ is a character of order 2, χ^2 is a character of order 2, and ρ (Legendre's character) is the unique character of order 2, so $\chi^4 = \rho$.

From Prop. 8.3.3 we have

$$g(\chi)^4 = \chi(-1)pJ(\chi, \chi)J(\chi, \chi^2) = \chi(-1)pJ(\chi, \chi)J(\chi, \rho).$$

Squaring the result of Ex. 8.5, we obtain

$$g(\chi)^4 = \chi(2)^{-4}J(\chi, \rho)^2 [g(\chi^2)]^2.$$

Moreover $\chi(2^4) = \chi^4(2) = \varepsilon(2) = 1$, and $g(\chi^2) = g(\rho) = g$, so $[g(\chi^2)]^2 = g^2 = (-1)^{(p-1)/2}p = p$ (From Prop. 6.3.2 and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$).

Equating these two results, we obtain

$$\chi(-1)pJ(\chi, \chi)J(\chi, \rho) = J(\chi, \rho)^2p.$$

As $g(\chi)^4 \neq 0$ since $|g(\chi)|^2 = p$, we have $J(\chi, \rho) \neq 0$, so

$$\chi(-1)J(\chi, \chi) = J(\chi, \rho).$$

$[\chi(-1)]^2 = \chi((-1)^2) = \chi(1) = 1$, so $\chi(-1) = \pm 1$, and $\chi(-1)^{-1} = \chi(-1)$, thus

$$J(\chi, \chi) = \chi(-1)J(\chi, \rho).$$

□

Ex. 8.8 Generalize Exercise 3 in the following way. Suppose that p is a prime, $\sum_t \chi(1 - t^m) = \sum_\lambda J(\chi, \lambda)$, where λ varies over all characters such that $\lambda^m = \varepsilon$. Conclude that $|\sum_t \chi(1 - t^m)| \leq (m-1)p^{1/2}$.

Proof. For all $y \in \mathbb{F}_p$, write $A_y = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p \mid x^m = y\}$. Then $|A_y| = N(x^m = y)$.

$\mathbb{F}_p = \coprod_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p} A_y$ is the disjoint union of the A_y , so

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(1 - t^m) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p} \sum_{t \in A_y} \chi(1 - t^m) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p} |A_y| \chi(1 - y) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p} N(x^m = y) \chi(1 - y).$$

Moreover, $N(x^m = y) = \sum_{\lambda^m = \varepsilon} \lambda(y)$ (Prop. 8.1.5), so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(1 - t^m) &= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p} \sum_{\lambda^m = \varepsilon} \lambda(y) \chi(1 - y) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda^m = \varepsilon} \sum_{x+y=1} \chi(x) \lambda(y) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda^m = \varepsilon} J(\chi, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion :

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(1 - t^m) = \sum_{\lambda^m = \varepsilon} J(\chi, \lambda).$$

We know that there exist m character whose order divides m . As $\chi \neq \varepsilon$, $J(\chi, \varepsilon) = 0$, and $|J(\chi, \lambda)| = \sqrt{p}$ for every $\lambda \neq \varepsilon$,

$$\left| \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(1 - t^m) \right| \leq \sum_{\lambda^m = \varepsilon, \lambda \neq \varepsilon} |J(\chi, \lambda)| = (m-1)\sqrt{p}.$$

□