Richard Gresham CPSC 375-01 October 8, 2022

Homework #5

Prepare your answers as a single PDF file.

Group work: You may work in groups of 1-3. Include all group member names in the PDF file. Only one person in the group should submit to Canvas.

Due: check on Canvas.

1. Consider the two tables shown below called **population** and **countyseats**.

population:

| state | county | year | population |
|--------------|-------------|------|------------|
| 1 California | Orange | 2000 | 2846289 |
| 2 California | Orange | 2010 | 3010232 |
| 3 California | Los Angeles | 2000 | 3694820 |
| 4 California | Los Angeles | 2010 | 3792621 |

countyseats:

| statename | countyname | countyseat |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 California | Orange | Santa Ana |
| 2 California | Los Angeles | Los Angeles |
| 3 California | San Diego | San Diego |
| 4 Oregon | Wasco | The Dalles |

You should be able to calculate the output by hand though you may use R to check your answer.

Draw the output table from the following operations (you should be able to calculate the output by hand though you may use R to check your answers).

- a) population %>% inner_join(countyseats)
 nothing would occur as there is no matching columns based off of the
 named columns above. This is because inner_join() retains only
 observations where there is a match in both datasets and in the above
 example while certain column values maintain similarities, since they
 are named differently their will be an error such as is observed in
 Rstudtio. We can create make two different column names in datasets be
 compared together using the by command as seen in b).
- b) population %>% inner_join(countyseats, by=c(state="statename"))

| st | ate | county year | population | countyname | e countyseat |
|----|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| 1 | California | Orange 2000 | 2846289 | Orange | Santa Ana |
| 2 | California | Orange 2000 | 2846289 Lo | s Angeles L | os Angeles |
| 3 | California | Orange 2000 | 2846289 | San Diego | San Diego |
| 4 | California | Orange 2010 | 3010232 | Orange | Santa Ana |

```
5 California
                  Orange 2010
                                 3010232 Los Angeles Los Angeles
6 California
                  Orange 2010
                                 3010232
                                           San Diego
                                                       San Diego
7 California Los Angeles 2000
                                 3694820
                                              Orange
                                                       Santa Ana
8 California Los Angeles 2000
                                 3694820 Los Angeles Los Angeles
9 California Los Angeles 2000
                                 3694820
                                           San Diego
                                                       San Diego
10 California Los Angeles 2010
                                 3792621
                                              Orange
                                                       Santa Ana
11 California Los Angeles 2010
                                 3792621 Los Angeles Los Angeles
12 California Los Angeles 2010
                                           San Diego
                                                       San Diego
                                 3792621
```

```
state county year population countyseat

1 California Orange 2000 2846289 Santa Ana

2 California Orange 2010 3010232 Santa Ana

3 California Los Angeles 2000 3694820 Los Angeles

4 California Los Angeles 2010 3792621 Los Angeles
```

- d) population %>% inner_join(countyseats, by=c(state="statename", county="countyname", year="countyseat")) in this example there is no data set that would match this. This is because there are no matchings of year and countyseat and thus nothing to write.
- **2.** Consider the billboard dataset that is supplied with the tidyverse which shows the Billboard top 100 song rankings in the year 2000. Apply the tidyverse's data wrangling verbs to answer these questions. For each question, **give only the code**.

Table becoming tidy via code below:

```
billboard2 <- billboard %>% pivot_longer(cols = starts_with("wk"), names_to = "week",names_prefix = "wk",names_transform = list(week = as.integer), values_to = "rank", values_drop_na = TRUE)
```

a) Show for each track, how many weeks it spent on the chart

```
billboard2 %>% group_by(track) %>% summarize(maxweek = max(week))
```

b) List tracks in decreasing order of number of weeks spent on the chart

```
billboard2 %>% group_by(track) %>% summarize(maxweek = max(week)) %>% arrange(desc(maxweek))
```

c) Show for each track, its top rank

```
billboard2 %>% group_by(track) %>% summarize('TopRank' = min(rank))
```

d) List tracks in increasing order of its top rank

billboard2 %>% group_by(track) %>% summarise('TopRank' = min(rank)) %>% arrange(TopRank)

e) Show for each artist, their top rank

billboard2 %>% group_by(artist) %>% summarise('TopRank' = min(rank))

f) List artists in increasing order of their top rank

billboard2 %>% group_by(artist) %>% summarise('TopRank' = min(rank)) %>% arrange(TopRank)

g) List tracks that spent more than 35 weeks in the charts.

billboard2 %>% group_by(track) %>% summarise(Morethan35 = max(week)) %>% filter(Morethan35 > 35) %>% select(track, Morethan35)

h) List tracks that spent more than 35 weeks in the charts along with their artists #same as above but I'm also printing out the artist of the songs as well.

billboard2 %>% group_by(track, artist) %>% summarise(Morethan35 = max(week)) %>% filter(Morethan35 > 35) %>% select(artist,track, Morethan35)

Hint: *First*, **convert to a tidy table**. Show code first for this step. All the above questions can then be answered with a single data pipeline.

- **3.** The demographics.csv¹ file (available in the Datasets module on Canvas) gives the proportion of a country's population in different age groups and some other demographic data such as mortality rates and expected lifetime. You can read a CSV file into a tibble using tidyverse's read csv(), like so: demo <- read csv("demographics.csv")
 - (a) The data is not "tidy". In 2-3 sentences, explain why. This data is not because the Series Code and the YR2015 are really messy to read. The variable name Series name is also not too helpful since its already on the Series code anyways. The table can also be grouped by country names and have multiple series code columns to solve this issue.
 - (b) Transform the table to tidy data with one country per row. [Give code] demo1 <- demo %>% select(-'Series Name') %>% pivot_wider(names_from = 'Series Code', values_from = YR2015)

¹ Original dataset: https://databank.worldbank.org/source/population-estimates-and-projections/Type/TABLE/preview/on#

(c) Add the male/female population numbers together (i.e., ignore sex-related differences). [Hint: You will have to mutate for every pair of columns, e.g., mutate(SP.POP.0014.IN=SP.POP.0014.MA.IN+SP.POP.0014.FE.IN] [Give code]

Indiate(3F.FOF.0014.IN=3F.FOF.0014.INA.IN+3F.FOF.0014.I L.IN] [Give (

combo_demo <- demo1 %>% mutate(SP.POP.80UP = SP.POP.80UP.FE +

SP.POP.80UP.MA) %>% mutate(SP.POP.1564 = SP.POP.1564.FE.IN +

SP.POP.1564.MA.IN) %>% mutate(SP.POP.0014.IN = SP.POP.0014.FE.IN +

SP.POP.0014.MA.IN) %>% mutate(SP.DYN.AMRT = SP.DYN.AMRT.FE +

SP.DYN.AMRT.MA) %>% mutate(SP.POP.TOTL.IN = SP.POP.TOTL.FE.IN +

SP.POP.TOTL.MA.IN) %>% mutate(SP.POP.65UP.IN = SP.POP.65UP.FE.IN +

SP.POP.65UP.MA.IN) %>% select(c(`Country Name`, `Country Code`, SP.DYN.LE00.IN,

SP.URB.TOTL, SP.POP.80UP, SP.POP.1564, SP.POP.0014.IN, SP.DYN.AMRT,

SP.POP.TOTL.IN, SP.POP.65UP.IN))

Note: the Series Name column is included only to show the meaning of the Series Code values. This column can be dropped. At the end, the data should look as below.

| Country Name | Country Code | SP.DYN.LE00.IN | SP.URB.TOTL * | SPPORTOTL | SPPOR80UP | SPPOP1564.IN | SPPOR0014.IN | SP.DYN.AMRT | SRPORTOTL.IN * | SRPOR65URIN |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| Afghanistan | AFG | 63.37700 | 8535606 | 34413603 | 85552 | 18116800 | 15443807 | 455.4700 | 34413603 | 852996 |
| Albania | ALB | 78.02500 | 1654503 | 2880703 | 66965 | 1979175 | 537788 | 150.4100 | 2880703 | 363740 |
| Algeria | DZA | 76.09000 | 28146511 | 39728025 | 453741 | 25993589 | 11404930 | 191.6310 | 39728025 | 2329506 |
| American Samoa | ASM | NA | 48689 | 55812 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Andorra | AND | NA | 68919 | 78011 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Angola | AGO | 59.39800 | 17691524 | 27884381 | 69363 | 14113726 | 13136043 | 485.9310 | 27884381 | 634612 |

(d) Write code to show the top 5 countries with the lowest proportion of the population below 14 years old (i.e., SP.POP.0014.IN/SP.POP.TOTL) [Code, and list of 5 countries]

combo_demo %>% mutate(`fourteen and under` = SP.POP.0014.IN/SP.POP.TOTL.IN) %>% select(`Country Name`, `fourteen and under`) %>% arrange(`fourteen and under`) %>% slice(1:5)

| `Country Name` | `fourteen and under` |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| <chr></chr> | <dbl></dbl> |
| 1 Hong Kong SAR, | China 0.112 |
| 2 Macao SAR, Chin | a 0.126 |
| 3 Singapore | 0.126 |
| 4 Japan | 0.130 |
| 5 Germany | 0.132 |