#### Individual Peer Review Template

(Submit to coursys after iteration 3)

Please note that this will not be a numerical basis for marking. It is just a way for me to identify extreme cases and adjust group marks accordingly. Please refrain from hash language in the evaluation ©

Group Number: 19 BGC Inventory Store

Name: Junchen Li (301385486)

#### Private Feedback on Group Members

For each of the following, please evaluate on the following scale:

5 – very good, 4 – good, 3 – average, 2- poor, 1 – very poor.

**Note**: You may give a 6 in the cases where a particular teammate went above and beyond for the group. You may give at most one (zero or one) 6 rating per group.

### <Tommy>: 6

Tommy is a very respectable teammate and I would like to rate him as a 6 if I may. Tommy helped the group develop the ability to log in using personal or work email when we were initially confused. He had more professional knowledge (in framework and programming language) than us, so I would ask him any questions, and he would help me very patiently. Tommy never misses a meeting and is always active in all aspects. I don't think Tommy is like a leader (enforced team meetings, deadlines etc.) He's more like an upperclassman, helping us to improve together.

### <Abiel>: 5

Abiel is also a trusted teammate who knows the back-end very well (databases, SQL statements). He always takes an active part in group tasks and always completes them almost perfectly. He built a complete database schema (though many changes followed). He was involved in a lot of the testing as well. He is a lively person in the team, making the whole group sometimes full of laughter. He showed me that group work can be less rigid. He often tries to help the team get closer to the required version by asking a variety of valuable questions during meetings with the staff.

#### <Taz>: 3

Taz is not a stable team member. At the very beginning, he was very active and always presided over the meeting as a host. Then, he always talked when meeting with employees. But for the next few meetings, he didn't show up on time. I can't say how much Taz did here, because I really don't know anything. It is possible that he had done pair programming with Tommy and Abiel several times before and at the end he was involved in the testing part with Abiel. I didn't see him push a lot of stuff on Github (probably because it didn't work of the computer device). There's a lot of uncertainty on the subject, so I can't say for sure. He can always sort out the things that need to be done in the meeting and bring them to the group

# <Wenqing Liu>: 5

Wenqing, as the only girl in the group, seldom spoke at the beginning (maybe because she was shy), but she was able to speak more in the later meetings. Wenqing and I were responsible for the main UI page design at the very beginning, and then she in charge for the product detail page design and the design of all the products displayed. She is an active participant in various activities and is good at finding and solving problems. She and I often do pair programming together, so I know her very well. She is also a trustworthy teammate and a very warm-hearted person. To be honest, she was unfamiliar with everything before taking this course, but she could quickly keep up with the speed of the group and finish her work seriously.

## Private Feedback on Individual

Self Evaluation: (Required)

The following is the real me. For my knowledge reserve, I have been exposed to Java, so I can still apply JS. I am learning the course of database CMPT354 in this semester, so I have been exposed to it, but I cannot skillfully use it. For the framework(express)used in this project and some nodeJS language knowledge, I need to re-learn them. At the beginning, Wenging and I were responsible for the front-end, using the online tool to design the initial UI sketch. Later I designed the layout of almost every page. I like to think about how the overall project can be designed to make the user experience better. (For example, clicking the "Quick Look" allows users to browse the product information more quickly without opening the detailed page of the product.) After Iteration 1, I used the SQL Query statements that I learned at that time to cover some things on the back-end, and better integrated with the front end of the design. (By the way, my computer couldn't upload to GitHub at the time of submitting Iteration1, so I sent the file to Tommy and asked him to help me upload the parts I wrote). After that, I participated in the design of setting part (Admin Center). As for my own improvement, I think I was too unsure of myself (for my ability to express myself and lack of professional knowledge) to speak my mind at the beginning. But after a week I got familiar with the process and it was much better. Of course, the affirmation and recognition of group partners also played a key role in improving my confidence. I think what I need to improve more is that I should really deep understand some parts of the framework more instead of just imitating some existing code. This is the first time for me to do a large group work. It is a bad thing that I cannot realize who I really am or know my true level, but what I need to improve more is to become more confidently.

Finally, I want to say that this semester is very busy for every team member. Everyone has a lot of exams to review and a lot of homework to complete. But the fact that people spend so much time in meetings and writing code is something that I think everyone should be proud of. We can't say we did it perfectly, but at least we did our best. Thanks to Eldon and Simon, the BGC staff who have been very helpful to us and who have been meeting with us for one hour every week. I would also like to thank Bobby for giving us such a valuable opportunity to do such a funny project.