

REFERENCES

- [1] WSSSPE1 attendees. WSSSPE1 Collaborative Notes; Accessed: 2014-02-03. Available from: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1eVfioGNliHXG_1Y8BgdCI6tXZKrybZgz5XuQHjT1oKU/.
- [2] National Science Foundation. A Vision and Strategy for Software for Science, Engineering, and Education: Cyberinfrastructure Framework for the 21st Century; 2012. Accessed: 2014-04-03. Available from: http://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=nsf12113.
- [3] arXiv.org e-Print archive [page on the Internet]; [cited 2014-02-03]. Available from: <http://arxiv.org>.
- [4] figshare [page on the Internet]; [cited 2014-02-03]. Available from: <http://figshare.com>.
- [5] Katz DS, Allen G, Hong NC, Parashar M, Proctor D. First Workshop on Sustainable Software for Science: Practice and Experiences (WSSSPE): Submission and Peer-Review Process, and Results. arXiv; 2013. 1311.3523. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.3523>.
- [6] Bourne PE. A Recipe for Sustainable Software; 2013. A keynote presentation given at the First Workshop on Sustainable Software for Science: Practice and Experiences (WSSSPE1), November 17, Denver, Colorado, USA. Abstract available online: <http://wssspe.researchcomputing.org.uk/wssspe1/keynotes/#bourne>. Slides available online: www.slideshare.net/pebourne/a-recipe-for-sustainable-software.
- [7] Public Library of Science [page on the Internet]; [cited 2014-02-11]. Available from: <http://www.plos.org/>.
- [8] Protein Data Bank [page on the Internet]; [cited 2014-02-05]. Available from: <http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/>.
- [9] GitHub [page on the Internet]; [cited 2014-02-11]. Available from: <https://github.com/>.
- [10] The BioJava Project [page on the Internet]; [cited 2014-02-07]. Available from: <http://biojava.org>.
- [11] Open Science Data Cloud [page on the Internet]; [cited 2014-02-07]. Available from: <https://www.opensciencedatacloud.org/>.
- [12] Veretnik S, Fink JL, Bourne PE. Computational Biology Resources Lack Persistence and Usability. PLoS Comput Biol. 2008 07;4(7):e1000136. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000136>.
- [13] National Science Foundation. Small Business Innovation Research [page on the Internet]; [cited 2014-02-07]. Available from: <http://www.nsf.gov/eng/iip/sbir/>.
- [14] National Science Foundation. Facilitation Awards for Scientists and Engineers with Disabilities [page on the Internet]; [cited 2014-02-07]. Available from: http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/policydocs/pappguide/nsf09_1/gpg_2.jsp#IID2.
- [15] Dickin R. What does peer review mean when applied to computer code? [blog]; [cited 2014-02-07]. Available from: <http://blogs.plos.org/biologue/2013/08/08/what-does-peer-review-mean-when-applied-to-computer-code/>.
- [16] Bourne PE. Ten Simple Rules for Getting Ahead as a Computational Biologist in Academia. PLoS Comput Biol. 2011 01;7(1):e1002001. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002001>.
- [17] Smith A. Scientific Software and the Open Collaborative Web; 2013. A keynote presentation given at the First Workshop on Sustainable Software for Science: Practice and Experiences (WSSSPE1), November 17, Denver, Colorado, USA. Abstract available online: <http://wssspe.researchcomputing.org.uk/wssspe1/keynotes/#smith>. Slides available online: <http://is.gd/wssspe>.
- [18] Stodden V. Why Science is an Open Endeavor; 2013. A talk given at the Open Knowledge Conference, September 16–18, Geneva, Switzerland. Slides available online: <http://www.stanford.edu/~vcs/talks/OKcon2013-Sept172013-STODDEN.pdf>.
- [19] Brown CT. Laboratory of Genomics, Evolution and Development [page on the Internet]; [cited 2014-02-07]. Available from: <http://ged.msu.edu>.
- [20] RubyGems.org [page on the Internet]; [cited 2014-02-07]. Available from: <http://rubygems.org/>.
- [21] PyPI – The Python Package Index [page on the Internet]; [cited 2014-02-07]. Available from: <https://pypi.python.org/pypi>.
- [22] The Comprehensive Perl Archive Network (CPAN) [page on the Internet]; [cited 2014-02-07]. Available from: <http://www.cpan.org/>.
- [23] Foreman-Mackey D, contributors. The Python ensemble sampling toolkit for affine-invariant MCMC [page on the Internet]; [cited 2014-02-07]. Available from: <https://github.com/dfm/emcee>.
- [24] Perez F. An ambitious experiment in Data Science takes off: a biased, Open Source view from Berkeley [blog]; 2013 [cited 2014-02-07]. Available from: <http://blog.fperez.org/2013/11/an-ambitious-experiment-in-data-science.html>.
- [25] Hanwell M, Perera A, Turner W, O’Leary P, Osterdahl K, Hoffman B, et al. Sustainable Software Ecosystems for Open Science. figshare; 2013. 790756. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.790756>.
- [26] Ahern S, Brugger E, Whitlock B, Meredith JS, Biagas K, Miller MC, et al. VisIt: Experiences with Sustainable Software. arXiv; 2013. 1309.1796. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1796>.
- [27] Koop D, Freire J, Silva CT. Enabling Reproducible Science with VisTrails. arXiv; 2013. 1309.1784. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1784>.
- [28] Panda DK, Tomko K, Schulz K, Majumdar A. The MVAPICH Project: Evolution and Sustainability of an Open Source Production Quality MPI Library for HPC. figshare; 2013. 791563. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.791563>.

- [29] Broman KW. Thirteen years of R/qlt: Just barely sustainable. arXiv; 2013. 1309.1192. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1192>.
- [30] Blatt M. DUNE as an Example of Sustainable Open Source Scientific Software Development. arXiv; 2013. 1309.1783. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1783>.
- [31] Crusoe M, Brown CT. Walking the talk: adopting and adapting sustainable scientific software development processes in a small biology lab. figshare; 2013. 791567. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.791567>.
- [32] Christopherson L, Idaszak R, Ahalt S. Developing Scientific Software through the Open Community Engagement Process. figshare; 2013. 790723. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.790723>.
- [33] Cranston K, Vision T, O'Meara B, Lapp H. A grassroots approach to software sustainability. figshare; 2013. 790739. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.790739>.
- [34] Terrel A. Sustaining the Python Scientific Software Community. figshare; 2013. 791565. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.791565>.
- [35] Fitzpatrick BW, Collins-Sussman B. Team geek : a software developer's guide to working well with others. Sebastopol. CA: O'Reilly; 2012. Available from: <http://opac.inria.fr/record=b1134063>.
- [36] Turk MJ. Scaling a Code in the Human Dimension. In: Proceedings of the Conference on Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment: Gateway to Discovery. XSEDE '13. New York, NY, USA: ACM; 2013. p. 69:1–69:7. Available from: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2484762.2484782>.
- [37] Crawford TD. On the Development of Sustainable Software for Computational Chemistry. figshare; 2013. 790757. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.790757>.
- [38] Trainer E, Chaihirunkarn C, Herbsleb J. The Big Effects of Short-term Efforts: A Catalyst for Community Engagement in Scientific Software. figshare; 2013. 790754. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.790754>.
- [39] Dubey A, Straalen BV. Experiences from Software Engineering of Large Scale AMR Multiphysics Code Frameworks. arXiv; 2013. 1309.1781. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1781>.
- [40] Giroto I, Kohlmeyer A, Grellscheid D, Brown ST. Advanced Techniques for Scientific Programming and Collaborative Development of Open Source Software Packages at the ICTP. figshare; 2013. 796439. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.796439>.
- [41] Prlić A, Procter JB. Ten Simple Rules for the Open Development of Scientific Software. PLOS Computational Biology. 2012;8(12). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002802>.
- [42] Lenhardt C. Summary of Papers on Science Software Sustainability Models for WSSSPE Panel II. figshare; 2013. 853817. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.853817>.
- [43] Calero C, Moraga MA, Bertoa MF. Towards a Software Product Sustainability Model. arXiv; 2013. 1309.1640. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1640>.
- [44] Venters C, Lau L, Griffiths MK, Holmes V, Ward RR, Xu J. The Blind Men and the Elephant: Towards a Software Sustainability Architectural Evaluation Framework. figshare; 2013. 790758. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.790758>.
- [45] Pierce M, Marru S, Mattmann C. Sustainable Cyberinfrastructure Software Through Open Governance. figshare; 2013. 790761. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.790761>.
- [46] Katz DS, Proctor D. A Framework for Discussing e-Research Infrastructure Sustainability. figshare; 2013. 790767. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.790767>.
- [47] Lenhardt C, Ahalt S, Blanton B, Christopherson L, Idaszak R. Data Management Lifecycle and Software Lifecycle Management in the Context of Conducting Science. figshare; 2013. 791561. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.791561>.
- [48] Weber N, Thomer A, Twidale M. Niche Modeling: Ecological Metaphors for Sustainable Software in Science. figshare; 2013. 791564. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.791564>.
- [49] Katz DS. Citation and Attribution of Digital Products: Social and Technological Concerns. figshare; 2013. 791606. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.791606>.
- [50] Priem J, Piwowar H. Toward a comprehensive impact report for every software project. figshare; 2013. 790651. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.790651>.
- [51] Knepley MG, Brown J, McInnes LC, Smith B. Accurately Citing Software and Algorithms Used in Publications. figshare; 2013. 785731. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.785731>.
- [52] Howison J, Herbsleb JD. Incentives and integration in scientific software production. In: Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work. San Antonio, TX; 2013. p. 459–470. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2441776.2441828>.
- [53] Howison J, Herbsleb JD. Scientific software production: incentives and collaboration. In: Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work. CSCW '11. Hangzhou, China: ACM; 2011. p. 513–522. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1958824.1958904>.
- [54] Bietz MJ, Baumer EP, Lee CP. Synergizing in Cyberinfrastructure Development. Comput Supported Coop Work. 2010 Aug;19(3-4):245–281. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10606-010-9114-y>.

- [55] Chue Hong N, Hole B, Moore S. Software Papers: improving the reusability and sustainability of scientific software. figshare; 2013. 795303. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.795303>.
- [56] Krintz C, Jayathilaka H, Dimopoulos S, Pucher A, Wolski R. Developing Systems for API Governance. figshare; 2013. 790746. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.790746>.
- [57] Heiland R, Thomas B, Welch V, Jackson C. Toward a Research Software Security Maturity Model. arXiv; 2013. 1309.1677. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1677>.
- [58] Blanton B, Lenhardt C. A User Perspective on Sustainable Scientific Software. figshare; 2013. 789028. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.789028>.
- [59] Huang D, Lapp H. Software Engineering as Instrumentation for the Long Tail of Scientific Software. figshare; 2013. 791560. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.791560>.
- [60] Goff SA, Vaughn M, McKay S, Lyons E, Stapleton AE, Gessler D, et al. The iPlant Collaborative: Cyberinfrastructure for Plant Biology. *Front Plant Sci.* 2011;2(July):1–16. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2011.00034>.
- [61] Goecks J, Nekrutenko A, Taylor J, Galaxy Team. Galaxy: a comprehensive approach for supporting accessible, reproducible, and transparent computational research in the life sciences. *Genome Biol.* 2010;11(8):R86. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-8-r86>.
- [62] Gregory Caporaso J, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, et al. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat Methods.* 2010;7(5):335–336. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.f.303>.
- [63] Boettiger C, Ram K, Chamberlain S, Hart E. rOpenSci - open source tools for open science [Page on internet]; [cited 2014-04-06]. Available from: <http://ropensci.org/>.
- [64] Wilson G. Software Carpentry: Getting Scientists to Write Better Code by Making Them More Productive. *Comput Sci Eng.* 2006;8(6):66. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/mcse.2006.122>.
- [65] Vay JL, Geddes CGR, Koniges A, Friedman A, Grote DP, Bruhwiler D. White Paper on DOE-HEP Accelerator Modeling Science Activities. figshare; 2013. 793816. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.793816>.
- [66] Maheshwari K, Kelly D, Krieder SJ, Wozniak JM, Katz DS, Zhi-Gang M, et al. Reusability in Science: From Initial User Engagement to Dissemination of Results. arXiv; 2013. 1309.1813. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1813>.
- [67] Hart E, Boettiger C, Ram K, Chamberlain S. rOpenSci - a collaborative effort to develop R-based tools for facilitating Open Science. figshare; 2013. 791569. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.791569>.
- [68] Pierce M, Marru S, Demeler B, Majumdar A, Miller M. Science Gateway Operational Sustainability: Adopting a Platform-as-a-Service Approach. figshare; 2013. 790760. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.790760>.
- [69] Zentner L, Zentner M, Farnsworth V, McLennan M, Madhavan K, Klimeck G. nanoHUB.org: Experiences and Challenges in Software Sustainability for a Large Scientific Community. arXiv; 2013. 1309.1805. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1805>.
- [70] Löffler F, Brandt SR, Allen G, Schnetter E. Cactus: Issues for Sustainable Simulation Software. arXiv; 2013. 1309.1812. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1812>.
- [71] Wilkins-Diehr N, Lawrence K, Hayden L, Pierce M, Marru S, McLennan M, et al. Science Gateways and the Importance of Sustainability. figshare; 2013. 790764. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.790764>.
- [72] Millington R. Buzzing Communities: How to Build Bigger, Better, and More Active Online Communities. FeverBee; 2012.
- [73] Deelman E, Livny M, Howison J. Examining the Scientific Software Ecosystem [page on the Internet]; [cited 2014-04-06]. Available from: <https://sites.google.com/site/scientificsoftwaremetrics/>.
- [74] Prlić A, Procter JB. Ten simple rules for the open development of scientific software. *PLoS Computational Biology.* 2012 Dec;8(12):e1002802+. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002802>.
- [75] Bangerth W, Heister T. What makes computational open source software libraries successful? *Computational Science & Discovery.* 2013;6(1):015010. Available from: <http://stacks.iop.org/1749-4699/6/i=1/a=015010>.
- [76] Bacon J. The Art of Community. Building the New Age of Participation.; 2009.
- [77] Trapani G. Your Community is Your Best Feature; 2011. Available from: <http://smarterware.org/7819/my-codeconf-talk-your-community-is-your-best-feature>.
- [78] Fogel K. Producing Open Source Software: How to Run a Successful Free Software Project. O'Reilly Media, Inc.; 2005. Available from: <http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/redirect?tag=citeulike07-20&path=ASIN/0596007590>.
- [79] Allsopp J. The Proof of the Pudding [blog]; 2012. Available from: <http://www.webdirections.org/blog/the-proof-of-the-pudding/>.
- [80] Foster I, Vasiliadis V, Tuecke S. Software as a Service as a path to software sustainability. figshare; 2013. 791604. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.791604>.

- [81] Elster AC. Software for Science: Some Personal Reflections. arXiv; 2013. 1309.2357. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.2357>.
- [82] Gardler R. Software Sustainability Maturity Model [page on the Internet]; [cited 2014-02-06]. Available from: <http://oss-watch.ac.uk/resources/ssmm>.
- [83] Ferenbaugh CR. Experiments in Sustainable Software Practices for Future Architectures. arXiv; 2013. 1309.1428. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1428>.
- [84] Carver JC, Thiruvathukal GK. Software Engineering Need not be Difficult. figshare; 2013. 830442. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.830442>.
- [85] Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation. Data Science Environments [page on the Internet]; [cited 2014-04-21]. Available from: <http://www.moore.org/programs/science/data-driven-discovery/data-science-environments>.
- [86] Thaney K. Code as a research object: a new project [blog]; [cited 2014-04-21]. Available from: <http://mozillascience.org/code-as-a-research-object-a-new-project/>.
- [87] Miller MC, Diachin L, Balay S, McInnes LC, Smith B. Package Management Practices Essential for Interoperability: Lessons Learned and Strategies Developed for FASTMath. figshare; 2013. 789055. Accessed: 2014-04-03. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.789055>.
- [88] Stephan EG, Elsethagen TO, Kleese van Dam K, Riihimaki L. What Comes First, the OWL or the Bean? figshare; 2013. 790738. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.790738>.
- [89] Gaston DR, Peterson J, Permann CJ, Andrs D, Slaughter AE, Miller JM. Continuous Integration for Concurrent Computational Framework and Application Development. figshare; 2013. 790755. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.790755>.
- [90] Choi SCT. MINRES-QLP Pack and Reliable Reproducible Research via Staunch Scientific Software. figshare; 2013. 791562. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.791562>.
- [91] Heien EM, Miller TL, Gietzel B, Kellogg LH. Experiences with Automated Build and Test for Geodynamics Simulation Codes. arXiv; 2013. 1309.1199. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1199>.
- [92] Casanova H, Giersch A, Legrand A, Quinson M, Suter F. SimGrid: a Sustained Effort for the Versatile Simulation of Large Scale Distributed Systems. arXiv; 2013. 1309.1630. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1630>.
- [93] Cohen J, Cantwell C, Chue Hong N, Moxey D, Illingworth M, Turner A, et al. Simplifying the Development, Use and Sustainability of HPC Software. arXiv; 2013. 1309.1101. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1101>.
- [94] Slawinski J, Sunderam V. Towards Semi-Automatic Deployment of Scientific and Engineering Applications. figshare; 2013. 791570. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.791570>.
- [95] Dubey A, Brandt S, Brower R, Giles M, Hovland P, Lamb DQ, et al. Software Abstractions and Methodologies for HPC Simulation Codes on Future Architectures. arXiv; 2013. 1309.1780. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1780>.
- [96] Stewart CA, Wernert J, Wernert EA, Barnett WK, Welch V. Initial Findings from a Study of Best Practices and Models for Cyberinfrastructure Software Sustainability. arXiv; 2013. 1309.1817. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1817>.
- [97] Brown J, Knepley M, Smith B. Run-time extensibility: anything less is unsustainable. figshare; 2013. 791571. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.791571>.
- [98] Swenson S, Simmhan Y, Prasanna V, Parashar M, Riedy J, Bader D, et al. Sustainable Software Development for Next-Gen Sequencing (NGS) Bioinformatics on Emerging Platforms. arXiv; 2013. 1309.1828. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1828>.
- [99] Stodden V, Miguez S. Best Practices for Computational Science: Software Infrastructure and Environments for Reproducible and Extensible Research. Social Science Research Network; 2013. 2322276. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2322276>.
- [100] Moore RW. Extensible Generic Data Management Software. arXiv; 2013. 1309.5372. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.5372>.