

# ECSABR – A Simple but Comprehensive Framework for Managing Emergencies and Incidents

*Captain Richard Molloy, SFI/SFE/TCE (UK CAA/EASA/FAA)  
19 June 2025*

## Introduction

Emergencies and unexpected incidents can trigger intense stress and confusion, even for highly trained professionals. In aviation and other high-stakes domains, **ECSABR** – which stands for **Event, Control, Stabilise, Analyse, Brief, Review** – is proposed as a structured mnemonic framework to guide responders through the chaos. Originally developed for airline crews facing in-flight emergencies, ECSABR provides a step-by-step cognitive anchor from the moment an event erupts to the post-incident debrief. This white paper introduces the ECSABR framework and illustrates how it can be applied not only in aviation but also in fields like medicine, military, and maritime operations where swift, composed action is critical. The approach integrates well-established safety principles (such as the classic “aviate, navigate, communicate” rule in aviation) with additional steps to ensure thorough analysis, team coordination, and learning <sup>1</sup>. By combining immediate action with structured planning and communication, ECSABR aims to bridge the gap between **startle** and **solution**, helping teams manage crises methodically even under extreme pressure.

Crucially, the ECSABR framework aligns with guidance from aviation safety regulators. The UK Civil Aviation Authority’s human factors handbook (CAP 737) and regulatory bodies like EASA and FAA emphasize the importance of **prepared, rule-based responses** to sudden events <sup>1</sup>. ECSABR encapsulates these best practices into an easy-to-remember sequence. In the sections that follow, we will explore the psychology of surprise and stress in emergencies, examine each component of ECSABR with practical examples, and discuss how this framework enhances Crew Resource Management (CRM), Line-Oriented Flight Training (LOFT), and even non-aviation emergency management. The paper concludes with recommendations for training adoption and further development of the ECSABR methodology.

## The Startle Effect and Human Response to Sudden Crises

When confronted with a **startle event** – a sudden, intense occurrence that violates expectations – the human body and mind undergo immediate changes. The FAA defines *startle* as “an uncontrollable, automatic muscle reflex” accompanied by spikes in heart rate and blood pressure <sup>2</sup>. In essence, a loud bang, a sudden loss of engine power, or any shocking stimulus can provoke a reflexive jolt and a surge of adrenaline. This is often paired with **surprise**, the cognitive realization that something unexpected is happening, which can momentarily disrupt decision-making <sup>2</sup>. Together, **startle** and **surprise** can degrade a person’s performance: attention narrows, reactions may become hasty or inappropriate, and it may take precious seconds to mentally “reset” and grasp the situation <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup>.

Physiologically, the startle reflex is part of our evolutionary “fight or flight” response. A sudden threat triggers the amygdala in the brain almost instantly (the “quick and dirty” neural pathway), preparing the body for action before the conscious mind fully comprehends the event <sup>5</sup> <sup>6</sup>. Adrenaline floods the system, **heart rate and breathing escalate**, and muscles tense for rapid response <sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup>. In aviation incidents, however, this *automatic* response can be a double-edged sword. While a burst of adrenaline can heighten alertness, it often **outpaces rational thought**. Pilots have reported moments of *cognitive freeze* or tunnel vision in acute emergencies – a few seconds where they couldn’t process information or consider alternatives due to shock. In extreme cases, individuals may even **freeze up or dissociate** (essentially “shutting down” or mentally disconnecting) when stress exceeds their coping threshold <sup>9</sup>. CAP 737 notes that *the ultimate response to extreme stress is to give up or freeze*, illustrating how severe startle can lead to a temporary inability to act <sup>9</sup>. These reactions are human, but they are also manageable with the right training and strategies.

Modern training guidance from regulators explicitly addresses these human factors. The European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) now requires that crew training cover “unexpected, unusual and stressful situations,” including **surprise and startle effects** <sup>10</sup>. This includes building **resilience** – the mental fortitude and strategies to cope with acute stress. The UK CAA’s CAP 737 handbook similarly devotes an entire chapter to *Surprise and Startle*, emphasizing that while the startle reflex itself is short-lived (usually subsiding within seconds), the real challenge is the potential follow-on *fight or flight* reaction and cognitive impairment <sup>11</sup> <sup>12</sup>. In other words, the jolt of startle can interrupt our train of thought, and if fear kicks in, it can trigger a full stress response that impairs complex reasoning.

**Mental reset strategies** are therefore critical in the first moments of an emergency. Experts advise that simply *taking a brief pause* – even just a single breath – can prevent an instinctive, and possibly incorrect, action in the heat of the moment <sup>13</sup>. Both the FAA and CAA advocate **scenario-based training** that includes a degree of surprise, so that pilots practice regaining composure after the unexpected <sup>14</sup> <sup>15</sup>. One technique recommended in CAP 737 is to have a “**prepared, simple mental script**” for such events <sup>16</sup> <sup>1</sup>. For example, the age-old aviation mantra “**aviate, navigate, communicate**” is one such script – it reminds pilots to fly the aircraft first, then manage navigation, and lastly communicate the situation. However, CAP 737 points out that in extreme cases, even this broad rule may not be specific enough to break a pilot out of a panicked loop <sup>17</sup> <sup>18</sup>. Instead, *more concrete action steps* – like immediately checking airspeed or leveling the wings – might be needed to snap someone out of a startled “lockup” <sup>19</sup> <sup>20</sup>. This underlines the value of memory items and brief, well-rehearsed actions in the face of startle.

Psychologically, another powerful tool is **verbalization**. Simply *stating out loud* what the problem is or what action you’re taking can help organize thoughts and enlist your team’s attention. CAP 737 notes that if a pilot feels overwhelmed, “vocalising the fact can help alert the other pilot so that they can help” <sup>21</sup>. This is a form of crew self-help – by communicating (“Engine failure – I’m maintaining control and identifying the issue!”), the pilot flying not only guides their own focus but also triggers the support of the pilot monitoring. Good Crew Resource Management dictates that **crew coordination** and communication are vital under stress: two brains are better than one, especially if one is momentarily startled. Official guidance from the FAA and EASA echoes this, stressing effective communication and mutual monitoring in surprise scenarios <sup>22</sup>. If one pilot is stuck in a “cognitive freeze” or fixated on a single task, the other is expected to notice and take over or assist – a principle sometimes called the two-challenge rule or simply good airmanship. The ECSABR framework builds on all these human factors insights, providing a structured approach that inherently prompts taking that breath, doing the vital memory actions, and engaging the crew in a coordinated response.

Before breaking down ECSABR’s components, it’s worth summarizing the **key coping principles** gleaned from research and guidance for the startle effect: (a) Don’t rush – pause unless immediate

action is obviously required <sup>23</sup> ; (b) Rely on well-practiced immediate actions (memory items) to safely stabilize the situation <sup>1</sup> ; (c) If stuck or fixated, consciously shift focus or hand off tasks <sup>24</sup> ; and (d) Communicate – both to coordinate with others and to reboot your own thinking <sup>25</sup> . With these in mind, we turn to the ECSABR framework, which encapsulates and operationalizes such advice into a stepwise action plan.

## Overview of the ECSABR Framework

**ECSABR** is designed as a logical sequence of steps that responders can follow during an emergency or incident. Each letter corresponds to a phase of managing the situation:

- **E – Event:** Recognize and acknowledge the event (what is happening?).
- **C – Control:** Take control of the situation and mitigate immediate dangers (stabilize the “platform,” e.g. fly the aircraft, stop the vehicle, etc.).
- **S – Stabilise:** Further stabilize and contain the situation, ensuring it doesn’t worsen (establish a safe state, buy time for planning).
- **A – Analyse:** Analyze the problem in depth once immediate stability is achieved (identify causes, options, and priorities for resolution).
- **B – Brief:** Brief relevant team members and stakeholders on the situation and the intended plan (ensure everyone knows their role and the game plan).
- **R – Review:** Continuously and post-incident, review the actions taken and the evolving situation (adapt if needed during, and learn lessons after).

This framework extends the initial *aviate/navigate* imperative into a complete incident management cycle. It begins with immediate reaction and carries through to teamwork and after-action review. In the high-pressure context of a cockpit emergency, for instance, ECSABR would remind a crew to first fly the aircraft and contain the problem, then diagnose it properly, communicate their plan, and later debrief on what happened. In other domains, the same logic applies: handle the urgent crisis first, then systematically work through understanding and communicating, and finally capture the lessons learned.

In the following sections, we break down each component of ECSABR in detail. We provide examples from aviation and analogies from other fields to illustrate how each step can be executed. By dissecting ECSABR into its parts, we highlight why each element is essential and how it integrates with official best practices (including memory item usage, CRM techniques, and regulatory guidance from UK CAA, EASA, and FAA).

## Event – Recognising the Problem

Every emergency response starts with awareness of the **event**. The “E” in ECSABR stands for *Event*, meaning the moment something abnormal or hazardous occurs and is recognized. In practice, this step is about **situational awareness** and problem recognition. In aviation, an event could be a master caution alarm sounding at 30,000 feet, an engine failure on takeoff, or an unexpected loss of altitude. Outside aviation, it might be a patient’s cardiac monitor flat-lining in a hospital, or a ship’s hull breach alarm at sea. The key action here is to **notice and acknowledge**: identify what the event is (“We have an engine fire on the left engine,” or “The patient is in cardiac arrest”) and if possible, announce it clearly to your team. This immediate recognition often comes with a spike of adrenaline – the startle we discussed. A crucial part of the *Event* phase is mental: forcing oneself to confront the reality of the situation (“This is happening”) rather than denying or delaying acknowledgment.

Official guidance stresses early recognition as a cornerstone of effective response. For example, FAA training materials on upset recovery note that untrained pilots often experience surprise or delay in recognizing an unexpected bank or stall, which can worsen outcomes <sup>14</sup>. Simply being trained to say out loud, “**Event:** [Describe the problem]” can serve as a cognitive cue that shifts the brain from shock into action mode. In CRM terms, this is akin to **calling out** the problem – for instance, a pilot might shout “Engine Flameout, number 2!” upon seeing the engine instruments decay, or a surgeon might say “We have a ventricular fibrillation, starting CPR!” for a patient. This call-out not only crystallizes the situation in the speaker’s mind but also alerts all team members, triggering the beginning of coordinated action.

One psychological hurdle at the Event stage can be **cognitive disbelief or dissociation** – the “this can’t be happening” reaction that sometimes delays recognition. Training can mitigate this: scenario drills that expose crews to critical failures help normalize the idea that rare events *do* occur, so that when one strikes for real, the crew is more likely to accept it and respond immediately. As CAP 737 notes, *surprise* itself is a mind-set that needs to be trained; pilots should be mentally primed that “anomalies will happen eventually” so they are less shocked when they do <sup>15</sup>. In summary, the *Event* step is about **seeing and saying** – see the critical issue and say it (to oneself and others). This seemingly simple act is the trigger that sets the rest of the ECSABR process in motion, helping to override the freeze response by initiating purposeful thought and communication.

**Example (Aviation):** During climb-out, a commercial jet’s autopilot suddenly disconnects and the aircraft pitches unusually. The pilot flying immediately says, “**Event:** Autopilot disconnect – unexpected pitch!” This verbal acknowledgement helps both pilots rapidly align on the problem at hand, rather than losing precious seconds wondering what’s going on. It also helps overcome the startle: by naming the event, the crew begins to assert cognitive control over it.

**Example (Medical):** In an ICU, a patient’s blood pressure alarm is blaring and the patient is unresponsive. A senior nurse calls out, “Patient code blue, possible cardiac arrest!” This declaration is the *Event* recognition; instantly the code team in the hospital knows an emergency is in progress and initiates their protocol.

## Control – Taking Immediate Control of the Situation

“C” in ECSABR stands for **Control** – specifically, taking control of the aircraft, vehicle, or scene to prevent the situation from deteriorating further. In aviation emergencies, this aligns with the paramount rule to *maintain aircraft control* above all else <sup>26</sup>. No matter what alarm is ringing or which system has failed, the pilot’s first duty is to **fly the airplane**: keep it safely under control by attitude and power, so that an emergency doesn’t lead to a loss of control accident. Similarly, in other domains, this step means doing whatever is needed to **assert stability over the environment**. A ship’s captain will focus on steering and keeping the vessel afloat before worrying about where to dock. A doctor in an emergency room will ensure a patient’s airway is open and blood is circulating before diagnosing the cause of collapse.

This step often encompasses the **memory items** or immediate actions prescribed for critical failures. Memory items are the urgent steps that must be executed from recall, without waiting to read a checklist – for instance, in an engine fire, pulling the throttle to idle and cutting off fuel is a memory action in most aircraft. These actions are drilled precisely so that in a crisis, the crew can perform them quickly even under stress <sup>13</sup>. Performing memory items serves two purposes: it directly mitigates the most immediate threats (for example, halting a fire or regaining control of flight attitude) and it gives the crew a **familiar script** to follow in the storm of adrenaline. As CAP 737 highlights, a “linear, simple, rule-based response” is the best solution in the first seconds of a startle event <sup>1</sup>. That might be as

simple as “push, power, rudder” (in an aerodynamic stall, push the nose down, add power, correct yaw) or “disconnect, wings level, climb” (in an automation upset, disengage autopilot, level the wings, initiate climb). Such ingrained responses counteract the paralysis of shock by channeling the pilot’s reflexes into known safe actions.

In regulatory guidance, we see strong reinforcement of this concept. FAA Advisory Circulars on Upset Prevention and Recovery Training (e.g. AC 120-111) repeatedly emphasize maintaining control and *avoiding distractions* during the initial recovery <sup>27</sup> <sup>28</sup> . EASA too, in its crew training syllabus, includes practicing automation surprise scenarios to train pilots to swiftly take manual control when needed <sup>29</sup> <sup>10</sup> . The “Control” phase of ECSABR echoes the aviator’s creed: *first, fly the aircraft*. Notably, this extends to controlling *oneself* as well – part of taking control is also getting a grip on one’s own physiology. Techniques like taking a deep breath or consciously relaxing muscle tension (as advised in startle management research) help a pilot regain fine motor control and cognitive clarity <sup>30</sup> <sup>31</sup> . In essence, **Control** is about **buying time and safety**. By stabilizing the immediate situation, you create the breathing room needed for the next steps.

**Example (Aviation):** An engine failure occurs at low altitude after takeoff – a critical phase. The pilot flying immediately *takes control* by firmly holding the control yoke to keep the wings level and pitching for a safe climb attitude. Almost by instinct, they perform the engine failure memory items: verify the failed engine, retard the throttle, adjust rudder to counter yaw. The priority is keeping the aircraft flying and clear of the ground. Only once the aircraft’s flight path is secure (no longer losing altitude, under stable control) does the crew move on to further diagnosis. By seizing control instantly, they prevent a bad situation from becoming a catastrophic one.

**Example (Maritime):** A ship’s engine room reports a major fire. The captain’s immediate *Control* actions are to slow or stop the ship (to avoid fanning the flames or endangering other vessels), activate fire suppression systems, and ensure the crew on deck is accounted for and safe from smoke. These steps contain the danger and stabilize the “platform” (the ship) – analogous to an aviator stabilizing the aircraft – so that the fire can be fought without the ship running aground or the fire spreading unchecked.

## Stabilise – Containing and Stabilising the Situation

After asserting initial control, the next step “S” is to **Stabilise** the situation. This involves taking additional measures to ensure the emergency is contained and does not escalate, thereby creating a *stable condition* in which further analysis and decision-making can occur. In practical terms, **stabilising** means completing any additional immediate safeguards and then **pausing** to confirm that the situation is no longer rapidly deteriorating.

In an airplane scenario, once the crew has controlled basic flight parameters (altitude, attitude, speed), stabilising might include things like: leveling off at a safe altitude, trimming the aircraft, and perhaps engaging the remaining autopilot or other assistive systems if they are reliable. It could also mean completing the **remaining checklist items** for the emergency after memory items are done – for example, after shutting down a failed engine, the crew might *stabilise* by feathering the propeller (in a propeller aircraft) or isolating affected systems to prevent further damage. The U.S. Air Force’s emergency procedure guidance often lists: 1) Maintain aircraft control, 2) Analyze the situation and take proper action, 3) Land as soon as conditions permit <sup>32</sup> . The “analyze and take proper action” part is essentially about stabilizing and handling the emergency so the aircraft can be safely recovered or landed. From a CRM perspective, stabilising also means **ensuring everyone in the crew knows the**

**immediate status** – e.g. confirming “the fire is out” or “we’ve stopped the descent and we’re in stable flight at 5000 feet.”

From a human factors view, the Stabilise phase is where **the initial rush of adrenaline is wearing off** and cognitive function is largely returning. As CAP 737 notes, the startle reflex effects typically subside within several seconds <sup>33</sup> <sup>11</sup> . By the time you have executed the Control actions and are stabilising the scenario, you are hopefully past the peak of the “fight or flight” spike and can start to think more clearly. It’s important during Stabilise to consciously ensure that the crew (or individual, if single pilot or solo responder) does not **rush onward without a stable footing**. Many accidents have shown the danger of proceeding into complex troubleshooting before the aircraft or situation is truly stabilized. For instance, if an airliner experiences an unexpected airspeed indication failure, rushing into analyzing the instruments without first leveling off and flying on basic pitch and power can lead to loss of control. Thus, stabilise might mean: fly straight and level on a safe heading, engage altitude hold, and only then start digging into the problem.

Regulatory guidance implicitly supports this philosophy. FAA training emphasizes not letting an upset or failure cascade into a worse state; pilots are taught to “stop the turn or descent *before* figuring out why it happened” as part of upset recovery. EASA’s crew training materials on surprise stress the importance of regaining *situational awareness* as soon as possible <sup>34</sup> <sup>35</sup> – effectively, that means stabilizing the situation so you can fully understand it. In line with ECSABR, once immediate threats are quelled and the situation is steady, the crew should take a brief **mental breather**. This might even include explicitly saying, “**Stabilised.**” In some airline procedures, one pilot might call “stabilized, airplane under control” once they have stopped any unusual motions or halted any ongoing emergency (for example, confirming an engine fire is out after discharging the fire bottle). This verbal confirmation again aids shared situational awareness.

**Example (Aviation):** A commercial jet at night suffers an electrical failure, causing partial instrument loss. After taking control and flying the aircraft manually, the crew’s *Stabilise* actions include activating the backup power system, leveling off rather than continuing to climb, and verifying they have control on standby instruments. They communicate briefly: “Aircraft is stable on standby attitude indicator, holding 10,000 feet.” At this point, the airplane is safely maintained in a predictable state – altitude and heading constant, no immediate conflict – which gives them a stable platform to do troubleshooting.

**Example (Military):** An armored vehicle convoy is hit by an improvised explosive device. The initial control is to halt the convoy and secure the area. To *Stabilise*, the unit establishes a defensive perimeter and checks for secondary threats. They account for personnel and treat any immediate injuries (stopping bleeding, etc.). Only once the scene is stable – no ongoing attack, casualties under first aid, vehicles not at risk of fire – will the commander move on to analyzing what to do next (e.g. route change, calling for support). Stabilising here prevents chaos from compounding: it turns a crisis site into a more controlled environment.

## Analyse – Diagnosing the Problem and Evaluating Options

Once the situation is under control and stable, the focus shifts to “A” – **Analyse**. In this phase, the goal is to **diagnose the problem, understand its implications, and decide on a course of action**. This is where the deeper thinking comes in. For pilots, this often means running through checklists (or “ECAM”/“EICAS” messages on modern aircraft) to identify what exactly failed or what system is affected, and considering factors like fuel, weather, terrain, and so forth to plan the remainder of the flight. In other domains, analysis might involve identifying the cause of a machinery failure, figuring out a medical diagnosis, or understanding the enemy’s position and intent in a military incident.

The Analyse phase should be approached methodically. Many airlines and militaries teach structured decision-making models. In aviation, a known example is the **DODAR** model (Diagnose, Options, Decide, Assign, Review) or **FORDEC** (Facts, Options, Risks, Decide, Execute, Check). These models align closely with what ECSABR encapsulates. In fact, ECSABR's *Analyse* step corresponds to the "Diagnose and consider Options" part of those models – it's about gathering information and assessing choices. Official guidance such as the UK CAA's CRM training materials encourage pilots to use all available resources during analysis: both human (ask your co-pilot, consult air traffic control or company ops if time permits) and technical (checklists, handbooks, system synoptics) <sup>36</sup> <sup>37</sup> . The crew should also be mindful of **time** – some emergencies allow extensive analysis (e.g. a cruise engine failure with hours to run checklists and plan diversion), while others demand a compressed decision process (e.g. smoke in the cabin may allow only minutes). One of the competencies emphasized by EASA's Evidence-Based Training is **problem solving and decision-making under stress**, which includes managing the startle effect and time pressure effectively <sup>38</sup> <sup>39</sup> .

Cognitively, by the time we reach Analyse, the initial adrenaline shock should have abated, and deliberate reasoning reasserts itself. Crew members are expected to be communicating and cross-checking each other's ideas here – effective **crew coordination** really pays off in the analysis stage. This is where the monitoring pilot might catch something the flying pilot missed, or vice versa. A classic trap in analysis is **fixation** – focusing too tightly on one faulty gauge or one hypothesis. The CRM principle "avoid fixation" is directly relevant: if one crew member becomes fixated, the other needs to bring attention to bigger picture (this harkens back to the earlier discussion: one of CAP 737's tips was to *acknowledge if you're stuck on one thing and switch focus* <sup>13</sup> ). So during analysis, crews should consciously verify they're considering the whole situation (flight parameters, engine status, navigation, etc., not just the one faulty reading).

Regulatory documents often illustrate analysis with scenario examples. The FAA's training guidance on engine failures, for instance, will have pilots identify *which* engine failed using multiple cues – power gauges, yaw, warnings – rather than assuming, because mis-identification can be disastrous (shutting down the wrong engine). Hence, analysis is also about **verification**: confirming the nature of the emergency. The framework ECSABR prompts that after immediate control and stability are achieved, you *must* take the time to fully understand the problem before leaping into a solution or communicating a plan.

**Example (Aviation):** A cargo plane en route discovers it has a hydraulic leak affecting its control surfaces. After taking initial measures (control and stabilise), the crew enters *Analyse*: they consult the Quick Reference Handbook, which lists all systems lost with that hydraulic circuit. They determine they've lost half their flight controls and will have reduced flap capability. They evaluate options: continuing to destination (seems risky due to mountains en route and weather), turning back, or diverting to a nearer airport with a long runway. They consider weight (fuel dump may be needed) and check weather at alternates. This analytical process, referencing manuals and discussing, leads them toward a decision to divert to Airport B where weather is good and runways are long. This thorough analysis ensures the eventual decision is sound and not just a gut reaction.

**Example (Medicine):** In an emergency room, a patient comes in with respiratory failure (Event recognized, Control achieved by intubation and ventilation, patient Stabilised on a ventilator). Now the team *Analyses*: they run tests (blood gases, imaging) and consider possible causes (infection, pulmonary embolism, drug overdose, etc.). They consult the patient's history and discuss among specialists. This analysis phase might take minutes or longer, but it's critical to treat the underlying issue. Rushing to a treatment without analysis (for instance, assuming it's an asthma attack when actually it's heart failure) could be fatal. Thus, the team carefully diagnoses before deciding the course – exactly the discipline ECSABR encourages.

## Brief – Communicating and Coordinating the Plan

The “B” in ECSABR stands for **Brief**, which entails communicating the situation and the plan of action to all relevant parties. Emergencies are rarely handled alone; even a single pilot aircraft has external stakeholders (air traffic control, perhaps company dispatch or emergency services after landing) that need to be kept in the loop. In a crewed environment, *briefing* is fundamentally about **crew resource management** – ensuring that each crew member (or team member) understands the game plan, their role in it, and any key information they need to know. A clear, concise brief serves to synchronize everyone’s mental model of the problem and solution.

In aviation, an example of a crew brief at this stage might be the captain saying: “**Brief:** We’ve lost hydraulic system A. Plan is to divert to XYZ airport. I will fly, you run the abnormal checklist and prepare for landing with flaps at 20. Let’s notify ATC and the cabin crew.” This kind of briefing solidifies the plan that emerged from the analysis step. It answers: *What* is the problem, *what* are we going to do about it, *how* we’ll do it, and *who* will do each task. It’s effectively a mini **Mission plan briefing** in the midst of the incident. The UK CAA’s CRM guidance highlights that effective crews verbalize and agree on decisions – this avoids confusion or working at cross-purposes <sup>25</sup> <sup>40</sup>. The FAA’s crew training Advisory Circulars also emphasize distribution of tasks (for example, one pilot flies while the other talks on the radio and runs checklist) <sup>41</sup>. A good brief ensures that such task-sharing is clear.

Briefing also extends to communicating with **external entities**. For a pilot, this means informing Air Traffic Control of any emergency declaration, your intentions, and any assistance needed (like fire services on standby). It might also mean a quick briefing to the flight attendants and passengers if time permits (e.g. preparing the cabin for an emergency landing). In the medical scenario, briefing could mean the lead doctor informing the team “We suspect a pulmonary embolism, we’re initiating thrombolysis, prepare for possible cardiac arrest during the procedure,” so everyone knows what to expect. In military or maritime incidents, briefing might involve radioing higher command or other units about the situation and the intended plan, which both helps coordinate support and fulfills any reporting protocols.

From a regulatory standpoint, this stage corresponds to CRM principles of **Communication, Cooperation, and Leadership**. EASA’s CRM training syllabus explicitly includes “effective communication and coordination with other operational personnel” as a required element, even under surprise and startle conditions <sup>22</sup>. The reason is clear: no matter how brilliant an analysis or plan is in one person’s head, if it’s not conveyed to others, the outcome can be jeopardized. There are many cases in aviation where one pilot assumed the other knew what he was doing, while the other pilot was in the dark – often with tragic results. A classic example: if a captain plans an emergency descent but doesn’t brief it, the first officer might mis-handle pressurization or radios, etc. Therefore, **Brief** ensures transparency and teamwork.

It’s important that a brief during an incident is *brief* indeed – it should be concise and relevant, given time pressure. The use of standard terminology and call-outs is encouraged. For instance, saying “PAN PAN” or “MAYDAY” to ATC at the start of a transmission immediately signals the severity. Internally, using terms like “engine secured” or “decompression – emergency descent” quickly conveys specifics. This is not the time for long-winded explanations. Training often includes practicing these emergency briefings so that in real situations, crews communicate **assertively and succinctly**.

**Example (Aviation):** Continuing the earlier scenario of the hydraulic failure: after deciding to divert, the captain briefs ATC: “Mayday, Flight 123, hydraulic failure, diverting to XYZ, now descending to 5,000 feet, expecting runway 27. We have control issues, will need a longer final.” This tells air traffic exactly what



they need to know to provide assistance (clearing other traffic, preparing emergency services). Internally, the captain briefs the first officer: “You focus on the abnormal checklist, I’ll fly and configure for a flaps 20 landing. Let’s declare an emergency and inform the cabin.” The first officer reads back and proceeds to execute those tasks. The flight attendants are then briefed to prepare the cabin for an emergency landing. All parties now have a shared plan and are coordinated.

**Example (Maritime):** On a naval vessel that suffered an onboard explosion, once the damage is assessed (analysis) the command might *Brief* the crew: “Fire in engine room is under control. We have flooding in compartment 2. We are stopping the ship and will await tug assistance. Damage control teams, continue cooling bulkheads. All other personnel, stand down to emergency stations and await further orders.” This announcement ensures everyone on the ship knows the current status and next steps. Simultaneously, the captain would radio a distress or report to nearby vessels and headquarters with the same essential information and needs.

## Review – Reviewing Actions and Outcomes (During and After)

The final component, “R” for **Review**, has a dual role. First, *during* an evolving emergency, **Review** means continually re-assessing the situation to catch any changes or missed information – essentially an ongoing loop of “are our actions working, and is anything new happening that we must address?” Second, *after* the incident is resolved, **Review** refers to the post-incident debrief or analysis to glean lessons learned and improve future response. Both aspects are vital to robust emergency management.

During an active situation, once a plan is being executed (after the Brief stage), crews should maintain a **continuous monitoring** mindset. Aviation accidents have shown that an emergency can breed further complications; for example, dealing with one malfunction can cause distraction from another developing issue (like focusing on an engine problem and not noticing the aircraft is slowly descending). Thus, crews are trained to periodically scan and announce critical parameters (altitude, speed, etc.) and *review* if the plan needs adjustment. This ties into the concept of **situational awareness (SA)** – never assume the scenario is static. The UK CAA’s CRM guidance and the FAA’s crew training materials both underline maintaining SA as situations evolve <sup>42</sup> <sup>43</sup>. A mid-crisis review might sound like, “Okay, we’ve shut down the engine and are diverting – let’s **review**: Is the aircraft pressurizing normally? How’s our fuel? Any other systems affected? Are we missing anything?” This kind of check ensures no secondary problem is creeping up or that the team hasn’t forgotten an important item in the heat of the moment.

Once the emergency or incident has been resolved and everyone is safe, a **post-incident review** (debrief) is where deeper learning happens. For pilots, this might be done after landing, once on the ground safely. It involves discussing: What went wrong? What went right in our handling? Were procedures followed? Did we encounter anything unexpected or did any improvisation occur? How can we do better or what lessons should be shared? Regulators strongly encourage such debriefs. In fact, in training environments like LOFT (Line-Oriented Flight Training) sessions, the debrief is one of the most critical parts, allowing crews to reflect on their performance in a non-jeopardy setting. In real operations, while a crew might be shaken or tired after an emergency, even a brief discussion or personal reflection is valuable. Moreover, formal reports may need to be filed (an Air Safety Report, for instance), which is part of organizational learning and feeds back into safety databases.

The *Review* stage in ECSABR also nicely closes the loop with resilience development. EASA’s emphasis on surprise and startle training includes building the habit of **debriefing scenarios** to reinforce effective techniques and correct any mistakes <sup>44</sup> <sup>45</sup>. This step ensures that an emergency is not just survived, but also **learned from** – both for the individuals involved and ideally for the wider community if findings are shared. For example, the incident of Qantas QF32 (an Airbus A380 engine explosion in

2010) became a rich case study in how a crew handled a cascading emergency; the crew's thorough post-incident review and report provided valuable insights globally <sup>46</sup> <sup>47</sup>. In a similar spirit, any domain – whether it's a surgical team reviewing a complicated operation or firefighters reviewing a fire response – benefits from analyzing performance. It cements good practices and identifies improvements.

**Example (Aviation):** After safely landing from the hydraulic failure scenario, the pilots take some time to *Review* on the ground. They discuss: "How was our use of ECSABR? Did we effectively stabilize before diving into the problem? Was our communication clear? We forgot to dump fuel initially – how can we catch that earlier next time? Did anything in our training not cover this scenario fully?" They might also speak with the cabin crew about how the passenger briefing went, and with ground engineers about what actually failed. They then file an incident report. This review not only offloads any emotional stress through conversation but also yields lessons that can be passed to their peers and training department.

**Example (Medical):** Following the code blue event where a patient was resuscitated, the medical team holds a short debrief (sometimes called a "code debrief"). In this *Review*, they quickly go over timeline and actions: "CPR started within one minute, defibrillated twice, intubation was delayed due to a difficult airway – how can we improve that? Medications given, patient revived after 8 minutes." They note what was done well (fast CPR initiation) and what could be improved (having a difficult-airway kit ready). This information is recorded and maybe discussed in a later meeting, contributing to better protocols or training for future emergencies.

## Applications of ECSABR in CRM, LOFT, and High-Stakes Domains

The ECSABR framework, while born from aviation experience, has broad applicability. In aviation, it dovetails neatly with Crew Resource Management principles and serves as a scaffold in training scenarios like LOFT. But its intuitive, generic structure – Event, Control, Stabilise, Analyse, Brief, Review – makes it useful for **any high-stakes team operations** where quick thinking and coordination are needed under pressure.

In **Crew Resource Management (CRM)** training, ECSABR can be taught as a memory aid for handling the unexpected. It reinforces CRM core skills at each step: *Event* (situational awareness and communication of problems), *Control/Stabilise* (teamwork in executing memory items, leadership in maintaining control), *Analyse* (decision-making and workload management, using all resources to solve the problem), *Brief* (communication, teamwork, and assertiveness), and *Review* (mission analysis and debrief, which ties into continuous improvement and psychological safety in discussing errors). In essence, ECSABR operationalizes CRM under stress. It can be especially helpful for less experienced pilots as a mental roadmap – it's easier to remember a word like "ECSABR" in a crisis than to recall a dozen separate CRM concepts. By embedding the CRM actions into the ECSABR steps, crews have a clear, shared game plan when calamity strikes.

During **Line-Oriented Flight Training (LOFT)** or simulator drills, instructors can use ECSABR both in briefing the scenario and in debriefing it. For example, an instructor might introduce a surprise failure in a simulator, then observe how the crew handles it relative to ECSABR: Did they identify the Event promptly? Did they Maintain Control or did someone get fixated? How well did they Analyse and Brief each other? This provides a structured way to evaluate performance beyond just "they landed safely" – one can critique *how* they moved through each phase. The debrief could even be organized along ECSABR lines, which resonates with trainees because it's chronological and logical. Over time, practicing with ECSABR in simulators can ingrain it so that in real flights, if an engine flameout or pressurization

loss happens, the crew instinctively thinks “Event recognized, Control (fly the plane), Stabilise (no rush, get level), Analyse (what happened?), Brief (tell ATC and others), Review (monitor and later debrief).”

The value of ECSABR is not confined to cockpits. **Medicine** is one field where such frameworks already exist (e.g. trauma protocols like ABCDE – Airway, Breathing, Circulation, etc.), but ECSABR could complement those by adding the later stages (Analyse/Brief/Review). A surgical team facing an operating complication could benefit from explicitly stabilizing the patient, then analysing and briefing – steps sometimes skipped when things get hectic. **Military** and **maritime** operations also have crisis management procedures (like the Navy’s general quarters drills, or the Army’s immediate action drills), and ECSABR’s structure aligns well with them: first deal with contact (Event), return fire and take cover (Control), consolidate position (Stabilise), then plan and communicate counteraction (Analyse & Brief), and debrief after the engagement (Review). In these domains, people might use different acronyms, but the underlying logic is universal; introducing ECSABR could unify understanding across disciplines. A unique benefit of a common framework is that cross-disciplinary teams (say, civil emergency responders involving pilots, medics, firefighters together in disaster response) can have a shared mental model for incident management, reducing confusion when working jointly.

Furthermore, adopting ECSABR in training can improve **mental resilience**. Knowing that there’s a plan for one’s mind to follow in an emergency reduces panic. This relates to what psychologists call **cognitive offloading** – having a checklist or mnemonic to guide you when you’re in shock. Research into startle effect management suggests that having a “simple rule-based response” ready can significantly help crews cope <sup>1</sup>. ECSABR provides exactly that kind of rule-based outline. It is simple enough to recall under duress, yet comprehensive enough to cover the life-cycle of an incident.

Of course, any tool should be tested and validated. Part of further development (discussed in the next section) would be to incorporate ECSABR into scenario training across different industries and gather feedback. Early indications from aviation use (anecdotally by individual instructors and examiners) show it to be a promising **instructional tool**. The structure ensures that even if a step is momentarily missed (say a pilot jumps from Control to Analyse without stabilising), the framework makes that omission more apparent in hindsight or to an observing instructor, who can then correct it.

## Recommendations for Training Adoption and Further Development

To capitalize on the benefits of ECSABR, it is recommended that training organizations and safety regulators consider integrating this framework into their programs. Here are key recommendations and thoughts on further development:

- **Incorporate ECSABR into SOPs and Training Manuals:** Airlines and other high-risk industries should consider adding a description of ECSABR in their standard operating procedures or training handbooks as a recommended guideline for handling non-normal events. Regulators like the CAA, EASA, and FAA could reference such frameworks in guidance material. For instance, the UK CAA’s CAP 737 (CRM Training) could list ECSABR alongside other decision models (like DODAR) as an aid for surprise management. Having official recognition would lend credibility and encourage adoption.
- **Simulation Drills Focused on ECSABR:** Design simulator scenarios (or tabletop exercises, for non-aviation fields) that specifically test the sequence of actions. For example, a simulator session might throw multiple failures at a crew and evaluate them on whether they followed the ECSABR order (Did they maintain control before troubleshooting? Did they brief ATC and cabin

crew appropriately?). In debriefings, instructors should explicitly walk through each ECSABR step, which reinforces learning and highlights the importance of steps like Stabilise that might otherwise be glossed over by adrenaline-charged crews.

- **Mental Conditioning and Startle Training:** As part of startle effect mitigation training (mandated by EASA and encouraged by FAA <sup>10</sup> <sup>48</sup> ), include practice of a “mental reset” using ECSABR. Trainees can be taught that when something shocking happens, the mnemonic “ECSABR” itself is a trigger to take a deep breath and then start with “Event...Control... etc.” This way, the mnemonic also serves as a calming ritual. It’s similar to how some aviators are trained to say aloud, “Stop, think, slow down” during an emergency to prevent impulsive reactions – ECSABR provides a constructive thing to *think about* in those crucial seconds.
- **Cross-Domain Workshops:** Conduct workshops or joint training sessions with professionals from aviation, medicine, military, etc., to exchange ideas on emergency management frameworks. Use ECSABR as a common language to discuss case studies from each field. For example, examine a surgical crisis through ECSABR and compare to an in-flight engine failure case. This cross-pollination can help refine the framework’s wording or emphasis to be maximally general yet effective. It might reveal, say, that medical teams find “Event” and “Control” happen almost simultaneously in their context, so training should clarify that sometimes steps overlap but should still all be considered.
- **Feedback and Continuous Improvement:** Encourage those who use ECSABR in real incidents or exercises to provide feedback. Perhaps an international safety forum or a human factors conference could be a venue to gather data on its effectiveness. Over time, this could lead to formal studies: e.g. comparing outcomes or decision-making quality of teams trained with ECSABR versus those without. Metrics could include reaction time to stabilize an emergency, frequency of omission of critical actions, or subjective workload. If positive, the evidence could justify including ECSABR (or frameworks like it) in licensing training requirements.
- **Tool Support:** For future development, consider simple tools or job aids that reinforce ECSABR. This could be as basic as a kneeboard card for pilots with the letters E C S A B R and a few keywords as a memory jogger. Or in an electronic flight bag (tablet), a quick-reference page that pops up when an emergency is declared, reminding the crew of the sequence. For medical teams, a poster in emergency rooms with ECSABR steps for crisis team leaders might be useful. These prompts ensure that under stress, the framework is literally in front of people.
- **Emphasize Post-Incident Review Culture:** Particularly for the “Review” step, organizations should foster a no-blame culture where debriefing incidents is standard and encouraged. Including the expectation of a review in the framework itself (making it the last letter) reminds everyone that the job isn’t done until we’ve learned from it. Regulators could make debriefs a required element of any training scenario involving surprise (some airlines already do this; expanding it universally would be beneficial).

In implementing ECSABR, it’s important to clarify that it is *not* a replacement for any existing checklist or emergency procedure – rather, it is a **framework that wraps around procedures** to ensure good decision-making and teamwork. Pilots must still know their memory items and checklists; doctors must still follow clinical protocols. ECSABR simply provides the mental architecture to apply those tools most effectively when seconds count.

By following these recommendations, the aviation sector and other industries can evaluate and hopefully improve emergency outcomes through a simple, comprehensive framework. The goal is a future where even in the most startling of crises, responders have a trusted mental guide to navigate from chaos to resolution safely.

## Conclusion

In the crucible of an unexpected emergency – whether in the cockpit of a jetliner, on the deck of a ship, or in a hospital ER – the difference between a disorganized reaction and an effective response can save lives. **ECSABR (Event, Control, Stabilise, Analyse, Brief, Review)** distills the wisdom of aviation safety and human factors into a practical checklist for the mind. It starts by compelling us to acknowledge the problem and take immediate control, echoing the age-old advice to “fly the airplane first” <sup>17</sup>. It then guides us through stabilizing the scenario and carefully analyzing it, ensuring that we resist the twin dangers of panic and tunnel vision. By incorporating a deliberate briefing stage, ECSABR enshrines communication and teamwork at the heart of crisis management – a point underscored by many accident investigations that found poor crew coordination was a culprit. Finally, ECSABR reminds us that every incident is a learning opportunity through its Review step, closing the loop in the spirit of continuous improvement.

What sets ECSABR apart is its comprehensive scope: it is as concerned with the *human* elements (startle effect, cognitive freeze, communication) as it is with the *technical* problem at hand. This makes it a versatile framework adaptable to any high-pressure field. It reinforces many principles found in UK CAA, EASA, and FAA guidance – from the importance of memory items and briefings <sup>23</sup> <sup>40</sup> to handling startle and stress <sup>2</sup> <sup>9</sup> – yet it packages them in a way that is easy to remember when your heart is pounding and alarms are blaring. By training teams in ECSABR, we effectively vaccinate them against the paralysis of surprise; we give them a map to follow when lost in the fog of an emergency.

As we move forward, embracing ECSABR in training and operations could enhance safety margins not only in aviation but across many domains. Its simplicity is its strength – anyone can grasp the six words and their intent. And as this paper has shown, those six words encapsulate a wealth of good practices and lessons learned from past incidents. The framework’s applicability to CRM and LOFT means it can be readily integrated into existing training paradigms. Beyond aviation, other industries can tailor the concept (perhaps adjusting terminology but keeping the essence) to bolster their emergency response protocols.

In conclusion, ECSABR offers a robust yet flexible template for managing emergencies and incidents. It prompts us to be **calm, methodical, and communicative when it matters most**. By adopting such frameworks, we honor the many professionals and researchers – from test pilots to human factors psychologists – who have worked to understand how humans cope with crisis and how we can do better. Ultimately, the consistent use of a framework like ECSABR can improve outcomes when fate throws a curveball, turning potentially catastrophic situations into well-handled events with successful resolutions. In the high-stakes world of modern aviation and beyond, that is a goal worth striving for.

© 2025 Captain Richard Molloy. All rights reserved.

**References (selected):** CAP 737 (UK CAA Flight Crew Training Manual), FAA AC 120-111 (Upset Prevention and Recovery Training), EASA AMC/GM for Part-ORO (CRM Training, incl. startle effect), and related human factors literature on startle & surprise <sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>13</sup> <sup>10</sup>. These sources provide the foundational guidance and research that underpin the ECSABR framework and its emphasis on structured, crew-coordinated responses to unexpected events.

---

1 7 8 9 11 12 13 16 17 18 19 20 21 23 24 25 33 40 skybrary.aero

<https://skybrary.aero/sites/default/files/bookshelf/3199.pdf>

2 27 28 42 43 48 AC 120-111

[https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory\\_Circular/AC\\_120-111.pdf](https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory_Circular/AC_120-111.pdf)

3 4 34 35 'Quick and dirty' pathway | Download Scientific Diagram

[https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Quick-and-dirty-pathway\\_fig1\\_340933241](https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Quick-and-dirty-pathway_fig1_340933241)

5 6 30 31 38 39 44 45 46 47 R:\SM1\1.1 SAR\1.1.2 RCO\6\_PROJ\_EASA\Research\2015\2015.C22

Startle Effect Management STEM\3-Deliverables\Final Report\research-project-cover-page

[https://www.easa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/dfu/EASA\\_Research\\_Startle\\_Effect\\_Managements\\_Final\\_Report.pdf](https://www.easa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/dfu/EASA_Research_Startle_Effect_Managements_Final_Report.pdf)

10 22 36 37 TE - EASA recommended master template

<https://sassofia.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/EBT-Checklist-3.4-date-31.01.2022-Published.pdf>

14 15 Airplane Flying Handbook (3C) Chapter 5

[https://www.faa.gov/sites/faa.gov/files/regulations\\_policies/handbooks\\_manuals/aviation/airplane\\_handbook/06\\_afh\\_ch5.pdf](https://www.faa.gov/sites/faa.gov/files/regulations_policies/handbooks_manuals/aviation/airplane_handbook/06_afh_ch5.pdf)

26 Maintaining aircraft control - Shaw Air Force Base

<https://www.shaw.af.mil/News/Commentaries/Display/Article/1427914/maintaining-aircraft-control/>

29 AMC1.ORO.FC.115 - E-System

[https://www.aerocom.aero/e-system/amcgmText/list.html?show\\_code=ORO.FC.220&part\\_code=ORO&limit\\_date=2017/03/06&amcgm\\_id=2970&part\\_amnd\\_id=](https://www.aerocom.aero/e-system/amcgmText/list.html?show_code=ORO.FC.220&part_code=ORO&limit_date=2017/03/06&amcgm_id=2970&part_amnd_id=)

32 [PDF] EMERGENCY PROCEDURES - USAFA 10 FSS

<https://usafasupport.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/ac-emergency-procedures-c182.pdf>

41 AC 120-111.pdf - U.S. Department of Transportation Federal ...

<https://www.coursehero.com/file/222892991/AC-120-111pdf/>