

# STAT 153 & 248 - Time Series

## Homework Three

Spring 2025, UC Berkeley

Due by 11:59 pm on 10 March 2025

Total Points = 87 (STAT 153) and 105 (STAT 248)

1. Download the Google Trends Data (for the United States) for the query *mask*. This should be a monthly time series dataset that indicates the search popularity of this query from January 2004 to February 2025. The goal of this problem is to fit a smooth trend function  $\{\mu_t\}$  to the data using the model:

$$y_t = \mu_t + \epsilon_t \quad \text{where } \epsilon_t \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

and

$$\mu_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1(t-1) + \beta_2(t-2)_+ + \beta_3(t-3)_+ + \cdots + \beta_{n-1}(t-(n-1))_+.$$

- a) Fit the trend function  $\mu_t$  to the data using ridge regularization with tuning parameter  $\lambda = 1, 10, 100$ , and 1000. Compare and interpret the differences among these four trend estimates. Which of these estimates provides the best summary for the data? **(4 points)**
  - b) Fit the trend function  $\mu_t$  to the data using LASSO regularization with tuning parameter  $\lambda = 1, 10, 100$ , and 1000. Compare and interpret the differences among these four trend estimates. Which of these estimates provides the best summary for the data? **(4 points)**
  - c) Among the eight estimated trend functions (four from ridge and four from LASSO), which one is the most suitable for this dataset? Explain your reasoning. **(2 points)**
2. Download the FRED dataset on the federal minimum wage hourly wage for non-farm workers for the United States from <https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/FEDMINNFRWG>. This is a monthly dataset (from Oct 1938 to Jan 2025). The goal of this problem is to fit a trend function  $\{\mu_t\}$  to the data using the model:

$$y_t = \mu_t + \epsilon_t \quad \text{where } \epsilon_t \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

and

$$\mu_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 I\{t > 1\} + \beta_2 I\{t > 2\} + \beta_3 I\{t > 3\} + \cdots + \beta_{n-1} I\{t > n-1\}.$$

Here  $I\{t > j\}$  equals 1 if  $t > j$  and 0 otherwise. Ridge regularization here would use the penalty  $\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \beta_j^2$  and LASSO regularization would use the penalty  $\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |\beta_j|$ .

- a) Fit the trend function  $\mu_t$  to the data using ridge regularization with tuning parameter  $\lambda = 1, 10, 100$ , and  $1000$ . Compare and interpret the differences among these four trend estimates. Which of these estimates provides the best summary for the data? **(4 points)**
- b) Fit the trend function  $\mu_t$  to the data using LASSO regularization with tuning parameter  $\lambda = 1, 10, 100$ , and  $1000$ . Compare and interpret the differences among these four trend estimates. Which of these estimates provides the best summary for the data? **(4 points)**
- c) Among the eight estimated trend functions (four from ridge and four from LASSO), which one is the most suitable for this dataset? Explain your reasoning. **(2 points)**
3. Consider a univariate regression dataset  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$  where each  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  are real-valued. Fix  $\lambda > 0$ .

- a) Suppose  $(\hat{\beta}_0^{\text{ridge}}(\lambda), \hat{\beta}_1^{\text{ridge}}(\lambda))$  minimize

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2 + \lambda \beta_1^2$$

over all  $\beta_0, \beta_1$ . Show that **(3 points)**

$$\hat{\beta}_1^{\text{ridge}}(\lambda) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\beta}_0^{\text{ridge}}(\lambda) = \bar{y} - \bar{x} \hat{\beta}_1^{\text{ridge}}(\lambda).$$

- b) Suppose  $(\hat{\beta}_0^{\text{lasso}}(\lambda), \hat{\beta}_1^{\text{lasso}}(\lambda))$  minimize

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2 + \lambda |\beta_1|$$

over all  $\beta_0, \beta_1$ . Show that  $\hat{\beta}_0^{\text{lasso}}(\lambda) = \bar{y} - \bar{x} \hat{\beta}_1^{\text{lasso}}(\lambda)$  and **(5 Points)**

$$\hat{\beta}_1^{\text{lasso}}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})(x_i - \bar{x}) - \lambda/2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})(x_i - \bar{x}) > \lambda/2 \\ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})(x_i - \bar{x}) + \lambda/2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})(x_i - \bar{x}) < -\lambda/2 \\ 0 & \text{if } -\lambda/2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})(x_i - \bar{x}) \leq \lambda/2. \end{cases}$$

4. Download the Google Trends Data (for the United States) for the query *yahoo*. This should be a monthly time series dataset that indicates the search popularity of this query from January 2004 to February 2025. The goal of this problem is to fit a smooth trend function  $\{\mu_t\}$  to the data using the model:

$$y_t = \mu_t + \epsilon_t \quad \text{where } \epsilon_t \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

and

$$\mu_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1(t-1) + \beta_2(t-2)_+ + \beta_3(t-3)_+ + \dots + \beta_{n-1}(t-(n-1))_+.$$

- a) Implement ridge regression (with a cross validation strategy for determining the regularization parameter) to estimate  $\mu_t$ . Report your value of  $\lambda$  and plot the estimated trend function  $\hat{\mu}_t$  on a scatter plot of the data. Comment on whether the fitted trend function is capturing well the patterns present in the data without fully interpolating the data. **(6 points)**

b) Consider Bayesian inference with the prior:

$$\beta_0, \beta_1 \stackrel{\text{i.i.d}}{\sim} N(0, C), \quad \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n-1} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d}}{\sim} N(0, \tau^2)$$

along with  $\text{unif}(-C, C)$  priors for  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  ( $C$  is a large number).

- i. Calculate point estimates  $\hat{\tau}$  of  $\tau$  and  $\hat{\sigma}$  of  $\sigma$ . How does your choice of the tuning parameter  $\lambda$  in part (a) compare to  $\hat{\sigma}^2/\hat{\tau}^2$ ? (**4 points**).
  - ii. Draw  $N = 1000$  posterior samples from  $\{\mu_t\}$  and plot these sampled trend functions on a scatter plot of the data. Comment on whether the uncertainty revealed in this plot makes sense. (**4 points**)
  - iii. Average over the  $N$  posterior samples to obtain the Bayesian point estimate of  $\hat{\mu}_t$ . Compare this with the ridge regression estimate from part (a). Which trend estimate is smoother? Which trend estimate would you prefer? (**4 points**).
5. Download the Google Trends Data (for the United States) for the query *golf*. This should be a monthly time series dataset that indicates the search popularity of this query from January 2004 to February 2025. The goal of this problem is to fit a smooth trend function  $\{\mu_t\}$  to the data using the model:

$$y_t = \mu_t + \epsilon_t \quad \text{where } \epsilon_t \stackrel{\text{i.i.d}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

and

$$\mu_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1(t-1) + \beta_2(t-2)_+ + \beta_3(t-3)_+ + \dots + \beta_{n-1}(t-(n-1))_+.$$

- a) Implement ridge regression (with a cross validation strategy for determining the regularization parameter) to estimate  $\mu_t$ . Report your value of  $\lambda$  and plot the estimated trend function  $\hat{\mu}_t$  on a scatter plot of the data. Comment on whether the fitted trend function is capturing well the patterns present in the data without fully interpolating the data. (**6 points**)
- b) Consider Bayesian inference with the prior:

$$\beta_0, \beta_1 \stackrel{\text{i.i.d}}{\sim} N(0, C), \quad \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n-1} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d}}{\sim} N(0, \tau^2)$$

along with  $\text{unif}(-C, C)$  priors for  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  ( $C$  is a large number).

- i. Calculate point estimates  $\hat{\tau}$  of  $\tau$  and  $\hat{\sigma}$  of  $\sigma$ . How does your choice of the tuning parameter  $\lambda$  in part (a) compare to  $\hat{\sigma}^2/\hat{\tau}^2$ ? (**4 points**).
  - ii. Draw  $N = 1000$  posterior samples from  $\{\mu_t\}$  and plot these sampled trend functions on a scatter plot of the data. Comment on whether the uncertainty revealed in this plot makes sense. (**4 points**)
  - iii. Average over the  $N$  posterior samples to obtain the Bayesian point estimate of  $\hat{\mu}_t$ . Compare this with the ridge regression estimate from part (a). Which trend estimate is smoother? Which trend estimate would you prefer? (**4 points**).
6. Consider again the *golf* google trends dataset as in the previous problem. In this problem, we will consider the model

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1(t-1) + \beta_2(t-2)_+ + \beta_3(t-3)_+ + \dots + \beta_{n-1}(t-(n-1))_+ \\ + \beta_n \cos\left(2\pi \frac{t}{12}\right) + \beta_{n+1} \sin\left(2\pi \frac{t}{12}\right) + \epsilon_t.$$

a) A natural ridge regression estimator here would minimize:

$$\sum_{t=1}^n \left( y_t - \beta_0 - \beta_1(t-1) - \beta_2(t-2)_+ - \beta_3(t-3)_+ - \cdots - \beta_{n-1}(t-(n-1))_+ - \beta_n \cos\left(2\pi \frac{t}{12}\right) - \beta_{n+1} \sin\left(2\pi \frac{t}{12}\right) \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \beta_j^2$$

Implement this estimator with a cross validation strategy for determining the regularization parameter. Report your value of  $\lambda$  and plot the estimated trend functions:

$$\hat{\mu}_t := \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1(t-1) + \hat{\beta}_2(t-2)_+ + \hat{\beta}_3(t-3)_+ + \cdots + \hat{\beta}_{n-1}(t-(n-1))_+$$

and

$$\hat{\mu}_t + \hat{\beta}_n \cos\left(2\pi \frac{t}{12}\right) + \hat{\beta}_{n+1} \sin\left(2\pi \frac{t}{12}\right).$$

on a scatter plot of the data. Comment on whether these trend functions capture well the patterns present in the data without fully interpolating the data. **(8 points)**

b) Consider Bayesian inference with the prior:

$$\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_n, \beta_{n+1} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(0, C), \quad \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n-1} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(0, \tau^2)$$

along with  $\text{unif}(-C, C)$  priors for  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  ( $C$  is a large number).

- i. Calculate point estimates  $\hat{\tau}$  of  $\tau$  and  $\hat{\sigma}$  of  $\sigma$ . How does your choice of the tuning parameter  $\lambda$  in part (a) compare to  $\hat{\sigma}^2/\hat{\tau}^2$ ? **(5 points)**.
- ii. Draw  $N = 1000$  posterior samples from  $\{\mu_t\}$  (note that  $\mu_t$  does not include the sinusoidal part) and plot these sampled trend functions on a scatter plot of the data. Comment on whether the uncertainty revealed in this plot makes sense. **(5 points)**
- iii. Average over the  $N$  posterior samples to obtain the Bayesian point estimate of  $\hat{\mu}_t$ . Compare this with the ridge regression estimate from part (a). Which trend estimate is smoother? Which trend estimate would you prefer? **(5 points)**.

7. **[This question is only for students taking STAT 248]** Consider Bayesian inference for the model studied in Lecture 12:

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1(t-1) + \beta_2(t-2)_+ + \cdots + \beta_{n-1}(t-(n-1))_+ + \epsilon_t \quad (1)$$

with  $\epsilon_t \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$ , along with the prior:

$$\beta \mid \tau, \sigma \sim N(0, Q), \log \tau \sim \text{uniform}(-C, C), \log \sigma \sim \text{uniform}(-C, C)$$

where  $Q$  is the  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $C, C, \tau^2, \dots, \tau^2$  ( $C$  is as usual a large constant). In Lecture 12, we discussed a gridding-based procedure for Bayesian inference in this model. In this problem, we shall explore the Gibbs sampler for obtaining posterior samples  $\beta^{(i)}, \tau^{(i)}, \sigma^{(i)}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N = 5000$ . The Gibbs sampler employs the following algorithm:

- a) Initialize  $\beta^{(0)}, \tau^{(0)}, \sigma^{(0)}$ .

- b) For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,
- i. Sample  $\beta^{(i)}$  from the conditional posterior distribution of  $\beta$  given  $\tau = \tau^{(i-1)}$ ,  $\sigma = \sigma^{(i-1)}$  as well as the data.
  - ii. Sample  $\tau^{(i)}$  from the conditional posterior distribution of  $\tau$  given  $\beta = \beta^{(i)}$ ,  $\sigma = \sigma^{(i-1)}$  as well as the data.
  - iii. Sample  $\sigma^{(i)}$  from the conditional posterior distribution of  $\sigma$  given  $\beta = \beta^{(i)}$ ,  $\tau = \tau^{(i)}$  as well as the data.

For the following, you may assume  $C \rightarrow \infty$  (below  $X$  denotes the design matrix corresponding to the regression model (1))

- a) Prove that (**3 points**)

$$\beta \mid \text{data}, \sigma, \tau \sim N \left( \left( \frac{X^T X}{\sigma^2} + Q^{-1} \right)^{-1} \frac{X^T y}{\sigma^2}, \left( \frac{X^T X}{\sigma^2} + Q^{-1} \right)^{-1} \right)$$

- b) Prove that (**3 points**)

$$\frac{1}{\tau^2} \mid \text{data}, \sigma, \beta \sim \text{Gamma} \left( \frac{n-2}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \beta_j^2 \right)$$

- c) Prove that (**3 points**)

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mid \text{data}, \tau, \beta \sim \text{Gamma} \left( \frac{n}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \|y - X\beta\|^2 \right)$$

- d) Implement the Gibbs sampler for the data from Problem 4 (*yahoo* Google trends dataset) and compare the samples obtained from the Gibbs sampler with the samples generated in Problem 4(b). (**9 points**)