
Assignment/Problem Set 1

Heinrich Dinkel

ID: 1140339107

E-mail: heinrich.dinkel@sjtu.edu.com.cn

1 Exercise 1

c LU decomposition

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ -1 & 1 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -3 & 3 & 1 & -7 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ -1 & 1 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 & 1 \\ -3 & 3 & -8 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ -1 & 1 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 & 1 \\ -3 & 3 & 2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} U = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

When using scaled row pivoting:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, p = (1, 2, 3, 4)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{7}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{8}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{3}{3} & 0 & \frac{3}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, p = (4, 2, 3, 1)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & \frac{4}{3} & -\frac{4}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{8}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{3}{3} & 0 & \frac{3}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, p = (4, 3, 2, 1)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{8}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{3}{3} & 0 & \frac{3}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, p = (4, 3, 2, 1)$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, U = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{8}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{2} \end{pmatrix}, P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

2 Exercise 3

The Matrix PA is a row lever permutation of A . The column c of the 1 entry in row r within P , will swap the r th row with the c th one. The Matrix AP will only switch elements in every row of A . The row order stays the same, yet the elements within the rows are interchanged. Since the matrix P is linear independent, an inverse does exist. In this case, we can solve for $PP^{-1} = \mathbf{I}$. In this case P^{-1} is equal to P^T , since the only possible combination to achieve the invertible is by multiplying every row with itself as column. This results in $P = P^{-1} = P^T$. The matrix PAP^{-1} swaps the rows like as PA and then swaps the elements within every row like AP .

3 Exercise 8

Assuming that the matrix A is linearly independent. The forward elimination matrix B then consists of the cofactors and values of L and U respectively.

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1,1} & \dots & b_{1,n-1} & b_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ b_{n-1,1} & & \ddots & \\ b_{n,1} & \dots & \dots & b_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since the matrix B is not ordered, it cannot be seen which item b_{ij} is either a cofactor for L or value for U . If we apply PB , we get rotate the matrix rows into correct order, so that all entries below b_{ii} are cofactors of L and all above (including b_{ii}) are the upper triangular values U .

4 Exercise 10

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 & -4 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 3 & 7 & 5 \end{pmatrix} s &= (4, 1, 7)p = (1, 2, 3) \\ \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -4 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 3 & 4 & 8 \end{pmatrix} s &= (1, 4, 7)p = (2, 1, 3) \\ \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -4 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 3 & -1 & 6 \end{pmatrix} s &= (1, 4, 7)p = (2, 1, 3) \\ L &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -4 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{pmatrix}, P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

5 Exercise 12

To proof this inequality, one can use induction. Since the matrix is mirrored at the points $i = 1$ and $i = n$, it is convenient to use either side to begin with. We want to show that $|d_1| > |a_1| + |c_0|$. Since per definition $c_0 = 0$ and therefore we can need to show that $|d_1| > |a_1|$. The elimination step can be viewed as: $d'_1 = d_1 - c_0 \frac{a_1}{d_1}$. In this case it can be clearly seen that $d'_1 > a_1$. In the next case, we will display the specific case of $n = 2$. $d'_2 = d_2 - c_1 \frac{a_2}{d_2}$. That means we need to show that $|d_2| \geq |c_1 \frac{a_2}{d_2}|$. Given the inequality of $|d_i| \geq |c_{i-1} + a_i|$, it is obvious that this inequality holds, so

that the produced by Gaussian elimination is still non-singular and the columnwise dominance is preserved.

6 Exercise 17

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{pmatrix} -9 & 1 & 17 \\ 3 & 2 & -1 \\ 6 & 8 & 1 \end{pmatrix} p = (1, 2, 3) \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 7 & 14 \\ 3 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} p = (2, 1, 3) \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} -3 & \frac{7}{4} & \frac{35}{4} \\ 3 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} p = (2, 3, 1) \\
 & P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & \frac{7}{4} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, U = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{35}{4} \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since this matrix is not diagonally dominant, because the first row has overall two elements which could be diagonally the maximum (-9 and 17). This results in a false LU decomposition.

7 Exercise 30

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} p = (1, 2, 3, 4) \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{3}{2} & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} p = (4, 2, 3, 1) \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{3}{2} & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} p = (4, 2, 3, 1) \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{3}{2} & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} p = (4, 2, 3, 1) \\
 & P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, U = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix},
 \end{aligned}$$

The definition $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$ can be used in conjunction to the definition of determinant of a triangular matrix : $\det(T) = \sum_i a_{ii}$. Since the lower triangular Matrix will have $\det(L) = 1$, only the upper triangular matrix U will be considered. $\det(A) = \underline{6}$

8 Exercise 41

To show that diagonal dominance will be preserved, I show that after eliminating element $a_{i,j}$, the equation $|a_{i,i}| \geq \sum_{j=1, j \neq i} |a_{i,j}|$ still holds. After one iteration of gaussian elimination, the elements of $a_{i,j}, j > 1$ are all zero. To show that the new obtained matrix is still diagonal dominant we use:

$$\sum_{i=2, i \neq j} |a_{i,j}| = \sum_{i=2, i \neq j} |a_{i,j} - \frac{a_{1,j}a_{i,1}}{a_{11}}| \leq \sum_{i=2, i \neq j} |a_{i,j}| + \sum_{i=2, i \neq j} \frac{a_{1,j}a_{i,1}}{a_{11}}$$

Since A is diagonally dominant, $|a_{j,j}||a_{1,1}| > |a_{1,j}||a_{j,1}|$, which leads to following inequality:

$$\sum_{i=2, i \neq j} |a_{i,j}| + \sum_{i=2, i \neq j} \frac{a_{1,j}a_{i,1}}{a_{11}} < |a_{j,j}| - |a_{1,j}| + \frac{|a_{1,j}|(|a_{1,1}| - |a_{j,1}|)}{|a_{1,1}|} = |a_{j,j} - \frac{a_{j,1}a_{1,j}}{a_{1,1}}| = |a_{j,j}|$$