

Improving the coherence time of superconduct- ing qubits by de- sign

A procedure to cal-
culate participa-
tion ratios

R.A. Koster

Cover Text

possibly

spanning multiple lines

ISBN 000-00-0000-000-0

Improving the coherence time of superconducting qubits by design

A procedure to calculate participation ratios

by

R.A. Koster

to obtain the degree of Bachelor of Science
at the Delft University of Technology,
to be defended publicly on Tuesday January 1, 2013 at 10:00 AM.

Student number:	4110749
Project duration:	March 1, 2012 – January 1, 2013
Thesis committee:	Prof. dr. ir. J. Doe, TU Delft, supervisor Dr. E. L. Brown, TU Delft Ir. A. Aaronson, Acme Corporation

This thesis is confidential and cannot be made public until December 31, 2013.

An electronic version of this thesis is available at <http://repository.tudelft.nl/>.

Preface

Preface...

R.A. Koster
Delft, January 2016

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Quantum computing and quantum bits	1
2	Theory	3
2.1	Electric fields	3
2.1.1	Perfect Electric Conductor	3
2.1.2	Continuity rules	3
2.1.3	Stored energy	3
2.2	The transmon qubit.	3
2.3	LC-circuits	3
2.3.1	Energy in an LC-circuit.	4
2.3.2	Resonance frequency of an LC-circuit	4
2.4	Sources of decoherence.	4
2.4.1	Dielectric loss	4
2.5	The participation ratio	4
3	Model of the system	5
3.1	Materials and dimensions.	5
3.2	Josephson junction	5
3.3	Lossy layers	5
3.4	Meshing.	5
3.4.1	Ground	6
3.4.2	Pads	6
4	Results and Discussion	7
4.1	Interdigitated qubit	7
4.1.1	The capacitance	7
4.1.2	The participation ratios	7
4.2	Parallel pad qubit	9
4.2.1	The capacitance	9
4.2.2	The participation ratios	10
4.3	Conclusion	10
	Bibliography	11
A	Appendix CST procedure	13
A.1	Creating a new project	13
A.2	The capacitance.	13
A.2.1	Modeling.	14
A.2.2	Meshing	14
A.2.3	Post processing	14
A.2.4	Simulation setup.	15
A.3	The electric field	15
A.3.1	Modeling.	16
A.3.2	Meshing	17
A.3.3	Simulation setup.	17
A.3.4	Exporting data	17
B	Appendix Matlab procedure	19

Introduction

Since the introduction of the transmon quantum bit (transmon qubit) by Koch et al. in 2007 [3] as a promising candidate of qubits there have been investigations into sources of decoherence of these qubits. C. Wang et al. found that surface dielectric dissipation is probably still the major limiting factor for the coherence time of transmon qubits [5]. The different surface dielectrics introduced to the system during production have distinct material compositions [1] and as a result will have a different impact on the coherence time [5]. Qubit structure design itself will dictate how the Electric field is distributed through the dielectrics.

The goal of this research is to determine this distribution and to use this information to design a transmon qubit in such a way as to be able to avoid concentrating the Electric field in regions containing more lossy dielectric material. Being able to do so may better the ability to design transmon qubits with longer coherence times.

The following section will provide necessary background information to substantiate the above. Information particularly relevant to this research will be provided in the next chapter.

Quantum computing and quantum bits

In order to understand the importance of this research a minimal understanding of a quantum computer is necessary.

A classical computer uses binary digits (or bits) for computation and storage. Every bit can be in one of two states, usually denoted by zero or one. A quantum computer however uses what has been named quantum bits, or qubits for short, instead. These qubits can represent a zero or a one, or any superposition of these two states. The superposition of the qubits combined with the right algorithms allows a quantum computer to perform certain tasks significantly faster than is currently possible using classical computers. Prominent examples are those that deal with large sets of data such as querying of information in large sets of data, and optimization problems such as the travelling salesman problem [2].

There are multiple types of qubits which use different methods to achieve a state of superposition. Examples are the photon polarization qubit and the electron spin qubit. The qubit under investigation in this research is the transmon qubit. Further information about this type of qubit will be given in the next chapter.

A common necessity for these qubits is that they must maintain their state of superposition for as long as any calculation is running. In other words, their coherence time must be sufficiently long.

-General information about quantum computing. Benefits, application etc. -quantum bits; importance of longer coherence time

Restatement of the problem -Role of dielectric lossy materials -why is this research important?!

-Knowing how design choices influence the participation ratio of lossy layers.

Restatement of the response - "In order to address this problem, I will ...".

Roadmap -How will the thesis proceed

2

Theory

Electric fields

Perfect Electric Conductor

As the qubit is supercooled to temperatures of only a few mK, the metal in the qubit is treated as a Perfect Electric Conductor (PEC).

Continuity rules

Stored energy

The energy stored in the Electric field in a material can be calculated using equation (2.1)

$$W = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int |E|^2 dV \quad (2.1)$$

Where ϵ is the permittivity of the material and V is the volume occupied by the material.

The transmon qubit

The qubit under investigation during this project is the so called transmon qubit. A traditional transmon qubit consists of a pair of Josephson junctions connected to two superconducting pads. The structure is surrounded by a grounded metal plane. Other parts of the structure are the transmission line resonator, the quantum bus resonator and the

LC-circuits

The transmon qubit can be treated as a simple LC-circuit. The Josephson junction is replaced by an inductor and the different capacitors are replaced by an single equivalent capacitor. The resulting simplified system can be seen in figure 2.1.




Figure 2.1: A simple parallel LC-circuit

Energy in an LC-circuit

In order to determine the participation ratio of the lossy layers in storing energy in the system, the total energy must be known. The total energy stored in an LC-circuit at any time can be calculated as follows:

$$W = \frac{1}{2} CV^2 \quad (2.2)$$

Where C is the total capacitance of the system and V the voltage over the systems.

Resonance frequency of an LC-circuit

Sources of decoherence

In order for the qubit to be coherent for a sufficiently long time period, sources of decoherence must be eliminated. Sources include spontaneous emission, the Purcell Effect, quasiparticle tunnelling and flux coupling [3]. The source in question during this project is the loss through dielectric materials in the system. It is believed to be a prominent, if not limiting source of decoherence [4][3].

Dielectric loss

During production of qubits, different procedures introduce lossy materials to the structure. An important property of each of these materials is their permittivity. It will determine the strength of the field and the energy stored inside the layers.

Two-Level Systems

The participation ratio

To determine what kind of structure design may improve coherence time the participation ratio of lossy layers can be calculated. If the assumption is made that the Electric field remains constant inside the lossy layer equation (2.1) can be rewritten as follows:

$$W = \frac{\epsilon}{2} t \int |E|^2 dA \quad (2.3)$$

Where ϵ is the permittivity of the material and t is the thickness of the lossy layer. Furthermore, A is the surface area of the lossy layer.

3

Model of the system

In order to calculate the participation ratio of the different lossy layers in an arbitrary structure, it is simulated using 3D EM simulation software called Computer Simulation Technology Studio Suite (CST). Certain assumptions and simplifications are made to allow for simulation within CST. These are discussed below. A step-by-step guide for setting up a simulation in CST can be found in appendix A.

Materials and dimensions

Certain choices of materials and dimensions are valid for all qubit designs in this project. They are listed in table 3.1 below.

Josephson junction

During simulation in CST, the Josephson junction is replaced by an inductor. By tuning the inductance together with the capacitance of the structure a specific resonance frequency can be reached (see formula (??)).

Lossy layers

The relatively small thickness of the layers suggests that the impact they have on the Electric field is small. During simulation their impact is neglected and the layers are therefore omitted. The exclusion of thin lossy layers prevents the necessity for mesh elements with sub-nano meter size. This significantly reduces the number of mesh elements and in turn the computation time of the simulation. A simple representation of the structure can be seen in figure 3.1.

SOURCE?

Meshing

To reduce simulation times the initial mesh is of critical importance. After each mesh refinement the fields are simulated anew. While CST is able to select regions of importance in the system where it should further refine the mesh, it can only do so after having simulated the fields. When establishing the initial mesh the importance of different regions of the system must be taken into account. Two important steps taken to improve the initial mesh during this project are described below.

two?

	Material	Thickness	Permeability
Pads	PEC	10 um	1
Substrate	Silicon	520 um	1
Ground	PEC	-	1
MA	NiO	~3 nm	1
MS		~3 nm	1
SA	SiO	~3 nm	1

Table 3.1: Parameters that are valid for all qubit designs used in this research




Figure 3.1: Simplification of the system including three lossy layers. The substrate and metal are depicted in light and dark grey respectively

Ground

To reduce the number of mesh elements, the ground pad is replaced by a sheet of PEC with zero thickness. Considering the field in the ground region is small compared to the field at the edges of the pads its contribution to the participation ratio is also small. Investing more computation time on the ground plane region by increasing the density of mesh elements there, would therefore also have limited impact on the participation ratio.

Pads

The pads being the source of the electric field, it is to be expected that the intensity will be greatest in this region. Furthermore, electric field lines tend to have a higher density at the edges of a metal . Considering this, the intensity of the electric field in the entire system is expected to be greatest around the edges of the metal pads. The initial mesh should reflect this by being very fine in these regions. In order to achieve this the edges of the metal pads are rounded as in figure A.4 of the appendix .The steps taken to achieve this in CST can be found in appendix A.

reference to The-

are here as well,
g resulting mesh?

4

Results and Discussion

The starting point of this project was the familiar interdigitated qubit (see figure ??). This chapter will start with a discussion of the results gathered on this design.

First, the influence of different parameters on the capacitance of structures was determined. This knowledge enables designing structures with a specific capacitance necessary for a qubit with a certain frequency (see equation ??).

Secondly, the participation ratio of the lossy layers is determined. This will give insight into what design decisions can be made to reduce these participation ratios. Taking these insights into account a second qubit design was investigated in similar fashion.

Interdigitated qubit

The capacitance

Ground plane separation

To better determine the influence of the pad design on the capacitance of the system, the influence of the ground plane is determined as a function of separation distance. Figure 4.1 indicates that the influence of the ground plane on the capacitance of the system decreases rapidly with increasing separation distance. The influence of the ground plane becomes insignificant only at a separation distance of around $100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. So, in order to determine the influence of changes in pad design on the capacitance (and participation ratio) of the system, the separation distance of the ground plane should be carefully kept equal among pad designs.

rethink subsection
ture?

The fingers

For the interdigitated pad design, the first parameter under investigation is the amount of 'fingers' in the qubit. The capacitances of qubits with 4 to 9 fingers were determined. The finger length, width and separation were not changed. As can be seen in figure ??, the relationship appears to be linear. This can be explained by viewing the addition of a finger as the addition of an extra capacitor in parallel.

Next the influence of the finger width is determined. The finger separation is kept equal to the finger width. For a design with five fingers the results are depicted in figure ??

Or I should have e
nated the ground p
altogether when de
ing the influence o
parameters.

Having determined the dependencies, qubits can be designed to have specific capacitances. Keeping all other parameters equal, the capacitance of earlier systems was tuned by adjusting the length of the fingers. For qubits with 5 fingers and a finger width of $5\text{ to }50\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ the finger length was adjusted between $10\text{ and }100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$.

The results are combined in figure 4.2.

The participation ratios

Using the previous results several interdigitated qubits were designed to have a capacitance of 60 fF . An overview of the relevant parameters can be seen in table 4.1. The resulting participation ratios of the different




Figure 4.1: Capacitance of the system as a function of ground plane separation




Figure 4.2: A plot of the capacitance as a function of finger length for several finger widths. dotted lines represent linear fits of the data. The horizontal dashed line is a guideline for the eye showing the necessary finger length to make a 60 fF capacitor.

Fingers	Finger Width [μm]	Finger Length [μm]	P ma	P ms	P sa	P all
5	5	87	1.85e-04	1.60e-03	4.94e-04	2.28e-03
5	10	76	8.62e-05	8.45e-04	2.66e-04	1.20e-03
5	20	56	1	1	1	1
10	5	36	1.36e-04	1.30e-03	4.12e-04	1.85e-03
10	10	26	7.56e-05	7.33e-04	2.46e-04	1.06e-03

Table 4.1: The relevant parameters for interdigitated qubit. For all designs the finger length has been tuned to result in a qubit with a capacitance of 60 fF.

Corner radius [μm]	P ma	P ms	P sa	P all
1	1	1	1	1
2	6.57e-05	6.00e-04	1.82e-04	8.47e-04
5	6.62e-05	5.76e-04	1.78e-04	8.20e-04
8	5.07e-05	5.25e-04	1.63e-04	7.39e-04
10	1	1	1	1

Table 4.2: caption here

lossy layers can be seen in the same table. As the finger width is increased and the finger length decreased, all participation ratios decrease.

By default the corners of the fingers were rounded (as in figure ??). As this was not standard practice the influence of doing so was determined retroactively. To do so the corner radius of the fingers (with a width of 20 μm) was changed between 1 and 10 μm (10 μm making semi-circles at the finger tips). The resulting participation ratios can be seen in table 4.2 and figure ?. All participation ratios tend to decrease as the corner radius is increased.

The influence of the ground plane separation distance on the participation ratios was also determined. The distance was changed between 10 μm and 200 μm . The resulting participation ratios can be found in table 4.3. Again, all participation ratios show a downward trend with increasing separation distance.

Looking at these results for the interdigitated qubit design it can be seen that the most significant change in participation ratios was achieved by making the fingers shorter and separating them further. Extrapolating these changes would indicate that a qubit consisting of two parallel rectangular pads would have even smaller participation ratios.

Parallel pad qubit

Due to the simplicity of the parallel pad design (see figure ??) there are fewer ways of changing the qubit. The parameters under consideration are the pad separation, the pad width, and the radius of the corners. Again, first the influence different parameters have on the capacitance of the system was determined. Afterwards the participation ratios of different configurations were calculated.

The capacitance

Similar to adding extra fingers to the interdigitated qubit, widening the pad width is expected to linearly increase the capacitance of the system. The pad width was changed between 300 and 500 μm . The data shown

Ground Separation [μm]	P ma	P ms	P sa	P all
10	5.71e-05	5.31e-04	1.78e-04	7.67e-04
20	1	1	1	1
50	5.22e-05	5.04e-04	1.61e-04	7.17e-04
100	4.82e-05	4.92e-04	1.54e-04	6.94e-04
200	5.10e-05	4.90e-04	1.59e-04	7.00e-04

Table 4.3: caption here

in figure ?? indeed shows a linear proportionality. The capacitance of a standard parallel plate capacitor is inversely proportional to the plate separation. Similar proportionality is expected for the parallel pad qubit system. The pad separation was set between 5 and 60 μm . The resulting capacitances can be seen in figure ?. Finally the radius of pads' corners are changed. They were set between 5 and 30 μm . The resulting capacitances are shown in figure ?.

The participation ratios

Comparing the two qubit designs; increasing the finger width (and separation) in the interdigitated qubit can be seen as increasing the pad separation and pad width in the parallel pad qubit. Therefore the participation ratios are expected to decrease when increasing pad width or separation. The influence of three parameters on the participation ratios were investigated separately: the pad separation, the pad width, and the corner radius. Contrary to what was done during the investigation of the interdigitated qubit, the resonance frequencies of all parallel pad qubits were kept equal by changing the value of the inductance (see formula (??)).

Starting with the pad width which was again changed between 300 and 500 μm . Figure ?? shows the resulting ratios, all of them decreasing with increasing width.

Secondly, the separation between the two pads was changed between 5 and 60 μm . The results in figure ?? again show decreasing ratios for all layers with increasing separation.

Finally, the radius of the corners was changed between 5 and 30 μm , where a radius of 30 μm results in an semi-circle on the sides. Although the resulting ratios in figure ?? show a decreasing trend, the change is much less significant compared to that of the interdigitated qubit.

Conclusion

To explain these results the actual electric field can be looked at. Figure ?? shows a comparison of the electric field intensity belonging to parallel pad qubits with a width of 300 and 500 μm . .

Next, figure ?? shows a comparison of the electric field intensity belonging to parallel pad qubits with a separation distance of 5 and 60 μm , a cross-section is also included. .

Finally, figure ?? shows a comparison of the electric field intensity belonging to bot interdigitated and parallel pad qubit having partial of fully rounded corners. The difference is especially noticeable for the interdigitated qubits. .


differences in field

differences in field

differences in field

Bibliography

- [1] A. Bruno, G. de Lange, S. Asaad, K. L. van der Enden, N. K. Langford, and L. DiCarlo. Reducing intrinsic loss in superconducting resonators by surface treatment and deep etching of silicon substrate. *Applied Physics Letters*, 106, February 2011. doi: 10.1063/1.4919761.
- [2] Amit Hagar and Michael Cuffaro. Quantum computing. 2015. URL <http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2015/entries/qt-quantcomp/>.
- [3] J. Koch, T. M. Yu, J. Gambetta, A. A. Houck, D. I. Schuster, J. Majer, A. Blais, M. H. Devoret, S. M. Girvin, and R. J. Schoelkopf. Charge insensitive qubit design derived from the cooper pair box. *Physical Review, A*, 76, February 2007. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevA.76.042319.
- [4] John M. Martinis, K. B. Cooper, R. McDermott, Matthias Steffen, Markus Ansmann, K. D. Osborn, K. Cicak, Seongshik Oh, D. P. Pappas, R. W. Simmonds, and Clare C. Yu. Decoherence in josephson qubits from dielectric loss. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 95:210503, Nov 2005. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.210503. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.210503>.
- [5] C. Wang, C. Axline, Y. Y. Gao, T. Brecht, L. Frunzio, M. H. Devoret, and R. J. Schoelkopf. Surface participation and dielectric loss in superconducting qubits. *Applied Physics Letters*, 107, September 2015. doi: 10.1063/1.4934486.



CST procedure

This appendix will detail the steps that should be taken to easily set up a qubit simulation in CST. First a project template needs to be created.

Creating a new project

When a new project is started, CST asks which module is going to be used. Most settings can be changed at a later time.

- After clicking '*Create project*' choose '*MW & RF & Optical*'.
- Choose '*Antennas*' and click '*Next >*'.
- Choose '*Waveguide (Horn, Cone, etc.)*'.
- Choose '*Frequency Domain*'.
- Select the units to be used, the default settings are sufficient.
- Choose a frequency domain. This can be left blank and changed at a later time.
- Click '*Next*' to see an overview of the created template.
- Click '*Finish*'.

Qubit designs can now be imported or created in CST.

The estimation of the capacitance of the qubit and the electric fields in the structure will be treated separately as the second process is more complex. Both simulations make use of the Frequency Domain Solver. Settings applicable to both processes are the frequency range and the boundaries.

- Under '*Simulation*' click '*Frequency*' and set the desired values.
- Again under '*Simulation*' click '*Boundaries*' and set the fields as in figure A.1
- Click '*Open Boundary...*' and under '*Automatic minimum distance to structure*' select '*Fraction of wavelength*' and set to 8. Click '*OK*'.

The capacitance

As shown in equation (A.1) the capacitance of the structure must be known to calculate the total energy in the qubit. The value of the capacitance converges very quickly as the mesh is refined. This simulation should always include a Discrete Port connected to the capacitor pads.

$$W = \frac{1}{2} CV^2 \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Where C is the total capacitance of the system and V the voltage over the systems.




Figure A.1: Settings for the boundary conditions of the simulations. All except 'Zmax' are set to 'electric ($E_t = 0$)'. 'Zmax' is set to 'open(add space)'.




Figure A.2: An example of a qubit design with the substrate in green, the metal parts in grey and the port indicated by the red cone. No lumped element representing the Josephson junction is present in the model.

Modeling

The qubit design can be imported to CST or created in CST itself. Figure A.2 shows a qubit designed in CST. It includes two perfectly electrical conducting (PEC) pads connected by a discrete port. The pads must be modelled using their actual thickness in order to include the lossy layers on their sides. A discrete port can be added as follows:

- Under 'Simulation' click 'Discrete Port'.
- Now select the location in the model or input the coordinates numerically. Ensure that the discrete port connects the two PEC pads.
- Leave all other settings as default.

The pads are surrounded by a PEC ground sheet. For the determination of the capacitance, the inductor representing the Josephson junction should be omitted from the simulation.

Meshing

The default settings for the tetrahedral meshing can be used during calculation of the capacitance. This will yield a very rough initial mesh with few mesh elements and will ensure short simulation times.

Post processing

In the post processing templates window, the capacitance of the simulated structure can be retrieved;

- Under 'Post Processing' select 'Template Based Post Processing'.




Figure A.3: An example of the data retrieved on the capacitance of a qubit design. On the y-axis is the capacitance in Farad. On the x-axis is the number of mesh refinement passes. Highlighted is the value of the capacitance after 8 passes.

- In the pop-up window, in the first selection box choose '*S-Parameters*'.
- In the second selection box choose '*Z-parameter*'.
- In the pop-up window check the '*C*' option and click '*OK*'.

This will yield a 2D graph showing the capacitance of the structure as a function of frequency. Now include a second template;

- In the first selection box choose '*General 1D*'.
- In the second selection box choose '*0D or 1D Results from 1D Result (Rescale, Derivation, etc)*'.
- In the pop-up window select '*y at given x*' and set '*Evaluate at x =*' to the desired frequency. Click '*OK*'.

After simulation, the result should be a single value of the capacitance at the required frequency.

Simulation setup

To ensure convergence of the capacitance, results from the post processing templates can be used as targets for the simulation;

- Under '*Simulation*' choose '*Setup Solver*'.
- Under '*Adaptive mesh refinement*' make sure the '*Adaptive tetrahedral mesh refinement*' is checked and click '*Properties*'.
- In the pop-up window under '*Number of passes*' set the maximum to at least 8.
- Under '*Check after broadband calculation*:' mark the '*0D result Template...*' as active and select the 0D result of the capacitance from the post processing template above.
- Set the required Threshold and Checks as desired and click '*OK*'.

This will ensure the simulation keeps refining the mesh until your demands on accuracy are met or until maximum amount of mesh refinement passes is reached. After every mesh refinement pass the results are updated and can be checked. In the Navigation Tree click '*Tables*' → '*0D Results*' → '*C1,1_0D_yAtX*'. The first result will be viewable once the first pass of the simulation is completed. When the simulation is finished the capacitance of the structure can be extracted from the plot. An example is given in figure A.3.

The electric field

Now that the capacitance of the structure is known the more extensive simulation of the electric field can be set up.

Modeling

Using equation (A.2) the inductance needed to reach a certain resonance frequency can be calculated.

$$L = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 f_0^2 C} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Where f_0 is the required qubit frequency and C its capacitance.

Now to include such an inductor;

- In the simulation menu add a '*Lumped element*'.
- Set the element '*Type*' to be '*RLC parallel*'
- Set the value of the inductance as calculated and leave the other values at zero.
- Make sure the '*Monitor voltage and current*' is checked.
- Set the location as desired or use picked points.

Next, to ensure a fine initial mesh, the edges on the side of the pads are rounded. In order to make this possible each pad must be a single object. To achieve this the '*Boolean*' operation can be used to combine multiple object into one;

- In the Navigation Tree, under '*Components*' select all objects pertaining to one pad.
- Under '*Modeling*' click '*Boolean*'.


Now that the pad consists of a single object, its side edges can be rounded.

- Under '*Modeling*' click '*Picks*' and choose '*Pick Edge Chain*' (or use Shift+E)
- Select all the edges laying in the xy -plane.


Figure A.4 show what the selection should look like. Once the right edges are selected they can be rounded;

- Under '*Modeling*' click '*Blend*'.
- Set the '*radius*' to be half the height of the pad.

The result should be as in figure A.4.



(a) A selection of two Edge Chains in red. One at the level of the substrate and another at the level of the top of the pad.



(b) The resulting blended edges.

Figure A.4: Before and after blending the edges

Meshing

In order to obtain a fine initial mesh the *Global mesh properties* can be changed;

- Under '*Simulation*' click '*Global mesh properties*'.
- In the pop-up window click '*Specials*'.
- Under the '*Mesh Control*'-tab set '*Smooth mesh with equilibrate ratio*' to around 1.15. Use this value to fine tune the number of mesh elements in the initial mesh.
- Set '*Normal tolerance*' to 1 degree.
- **Uncheck** the '*Anisotropic curvature refinement*'.
- Click '*OK*' and '*Update*' to see the resulting mesh.

Again, use the '*Smooth mesh with equilibrate ratio*' to tune the amount of mesh elements in the initial mesh. See figure A.5 for the settings.




Figure A.5: The required settings for the Mesh Properties. The Anisotropic curvature refinement must be unchecked!

Simulation setup

To be able to view and save field data, include a field monitor;

- Under '*Simulation*' click '*Field Monitor*'.
- In the pop-up window select the E-Field monitor and choose a frequency.
- Click '*OK*', the monitor should be visible in the Navigation Tree.

The simulation is now ready to run.

Exporting data


To calculate the participation ratio the simulated electric field is exported as an ASCII file. In order to separate data pertaining to different lossy layers the data for the field on the Pads, Substrate and Ground must be exported separately.

- In the Navigation Tree under '*Components*' hide all objects until only the Pads are visible.
- Again in the Navigation Tree open the '*2D/3D Results*' folder.
- Select the '*Abs*' component of the '*E-Field*'.
- Under '*Post Processing*' click '*Import/Export*' and click '*Plot Data (ASCII)*'.

Repeat these steps for the Substrate and Ground.

The last value needed from CST is the voltage over the Lumped element.

- In the Navigation Tree open '*1D Results*'.
- Open '*Lumped Elements*' and select '*Voltages*'.
- Select the element representing the Josephson Junction and extract the peak voltage at the resonance frequency from the graph.



Matlab procedure

A Matlab script is used to calculate the participation ratio of the lossy layers using the previously exported files from CST. The script will ask for the location of the files containing the data. To calculate the total energy in the system the script will ask for the capacitance and the voltage over the inductor. After the correct values are submitted the script will calculate and save the ratio.