

---

First author: **Rickard Hultgren** [rihu0003@student.umu.se](mailto:rihu0003@student.umu.se)

Supervisor: **Mikael Sandlund** [mikael.sandlund@umu.se](mailto:mikael.sandlund@umu.se)  
*Inst f klinisk vetenskap/psykiatri; Umeå universitet; 901 85 Umeå*

Assistant supervisor: **Heljä Pihkala** [helja.pihkala@umu.se](mailto:helja.pihkala@umu.se)  
*Inst f klinisk vetenskap/psykiatri; Umeå universitet; 901 85 Umeå*

**Project title:**  
**Staff attitudes towards follow-up and screening via the patient's smartphone, exemplified by a questionnaire for self-rating symptoms of depression.**

---

### Abstract

The health care system is in need of new cost-effective tools. How would the health care be affected if the primary care units would receive questionnaire results from the patient's smartphone? Interviews on this topic were performed with focus groups containing primary health care staff at Hagfors Primary Care Centre in Sweden. The recordings were examined using qualitative content analysis. The project shows that digital questionnaires has potentials in screening and follow up.

### Background

In Sweden, the lifetime prevalence of depression is estimated to be 13.2% among men and 25.1% among women<sup>(1)</sup>. There is a well-established relationship between suicide and mood disorders<sup>(3)</sup>. It has been estimated that 50–80% of completed suicides are associated with mood disorders<sup>(3)</sup>. Suicide is the leading cause of death among men between the ages of 15 and 44 in Sweden<sup>(5)</sup>. Nevertheless, it is estimated that just over  $\frac{2}{3}$  of all suicide cases had recently been in touch with the healthcare. Only  $\frac{1}{3}$  of all suicide cases had contact with a psychiatric clinic<sup>(4)</sup>. In many cases, the suicide could have been prevented if adequate efforts had been made<sup>(2)</sup>. Guidelines for the treatment and follow-up of depression exist, but the increase in mental problems among young people poses a major challenge<sup>(15, 16)</sup>.

Thus solving the difficult situation require new ways of dealing with depression. Perhaps smartphones can be used to fight depression? Some smartphone apps have been developed for the purpose of benefiting the health care of depressed patients. The apps could be categorized into two groups depending on what end-user they are meant for. If the end-user is a patient, then the app helps the patient track and understand the symptoms through a mood diary<sup>(8)</sup>. If the app is meant to be used by healthcare staff, then the app is constructed around different questionnaires<sup>(9)</sup>. Both approaches may result in somewhat better results for the patient, but by focusing on either the patient or the staff a key aspect is neglected. In order for the healthcare staff to help the patient as good and effective as possible, it is necessary to focus on the communication between both parties.

## Purpose

In order for the healthcare staff to give the depressed patient adequate help, the staff needs adequate information about the patient. In investigations of somatic pathologies, adequate laboratory tests are usually done before an appointment. What if the patient's mood could be measured in a similar way before an appointment? With the purpose of enhancing the communication between the patient and healthcare staff an app prototype (eMADRS) for android smartphones has been developed by the first author<sup>(12, 13)</sup>. The app consists of a MADRS-S form where the result is sent to a phone number, preferred by the patient as an SMS text message. MADRS-S is a verified tool commonly used for screening and follow-up of depression<sup>(10, 11)</sup>. It consists of nine questions where the patient answers with a rating from zero to six. The score is categorized as follows:

score	severity of depression
0–6	no depression
7–19	mild depression
20–34	moderate depression
35–60	severe depression

The research question is: What advantages and disadvantages are identified from a professional clinical perspective, using a digital mood evaluation instrument for depression in screening and follow-up? The aim is also to collect proposals for further development of eMADRS.

## Materials and Methods

Two focus groups were formed, consisting of seven respectively six primary care unit employees from different staff categories that are directly or indirectly involved in the treatment of depression at Hagfors Primary Care Centre in Sweden. The following table is a compilation of the group members:

### Group A

Work title	Interview 1	Interview 2
Administrators	1	1
Nurses	3	3
Foot therapists	1	0
Physicians	0	1
Psychotherapists	1	1

### Group B

Work title	Interview 1	Interview 2
Administrators	1	1
Auxiliary nurses	1	2
Nurses	0	1
Foot therapists	0	0
Physician assistants	1	1
Psychotherapists	1	1

Two 30-minutes long interviews were performed with each group. In order to get a holistic picture of how a primary care unit would be affected by eMADRS, as many staff categories as possible were interviewed<sup>(14)</sup>. During the interviews the following topics were discussed:

#### Interview 1

- A. What is specific, measurable and achievable in your work?

#### Interview 2

- B. Describe your feelings when a patient's major issue is not related to depression, but the patient seems to be in a very sad mood?
- C. Scenarios are discussed:
- What if eMADRS only could be used by follow-up patients?

- What if eMADRS could be used by everyone to send you mood evaluations?
- What if the result of eMADRS automatically could direct what lab-tests should be performed before the initial meeting with a health-care professional?

The interviews were then analysed using qualitative content analysis<sup>(21)</sup>. From the recordings, causation codes were derived and categorized. The work was done with the help of the programming language R and its library RQDA<sup>(22)</sup>.

## Results

Around the example emadrs following potentials, strengths and weaknesses were identified:

The codes on page 4 stands for the followin statements:

### **emadrs\_in\_dev\_only\_follow\_up**

EMADRS could be very useful for following up patients that are at risk of relapse of depression.

### **emadrs\_in\_dev\_screening\_follow\_up**

There is a need for digital tools with validated questionnaires for a broader spectrum of pathologies.

### **emadrs\_in\_dev\_controll**

These questionnaires (in previous code) should be connected with each other in a controlled way.

### **emadrs\_already\_(+)\_less\_paper\_work**

EMADRS could reduce the work load of the administrators

### **emadrs\_already\_(+)\_possebility\_to\_check**

Important that someone should be responsible and accountable for the incoming questionnaire results.

### **emadrs\_not\_in\_dev\_everybody\_diagnostic**

EMADRS should not be used in the process of diagnosing depression.

### **emadrs\_not\_in\_dev\_everybody\_too\_many**

EMADRS should not be possible to use by everyone in order to send the results to the healthcare provider.

In an effective organisation the managing process is distinguished from the leadership <sup>(19)</sup>. Below is a table describing what different staff categories are managing or leading during in their work:

#### leadership\_contact\_patient

- assistant\_physician [ 165: 202]
- assistant\_physician [ 362: 445]
- auxiliary\_nurse\_2 [ 168: 240]
- foot\_therapist [ 11: 739]
- nurse\_1 [ 11: 38]
- nurse\_1 [ 183: 263]
- nurse\_COPD [ 238: 479]
- nurse\_COPD [1096:1144]
- nurse\_DM [1010:1034]
- nurse\_geriatric [ 11: 290]
- nurse\_geriatric [ 554: 580]
- physician [ 202: 344]
- psychotherapist2 [ 425: 470]

#### leadership\_empathy

- administrator1 [643:649]

#### management\_contact\_patient

- administrator1 [160: 182]
- administrator2 [ 47: 92]
- administrator2 [229: 306]

- nurse\_1 [ 56: 107]
- nurse\_1 [122: 181]
- psychotherapist2 [882:1013]

#### management\_contact\_staff

- foot\_therapist [844:881]

#### management\_financial

- administrator1 [528:555]
- administrator1 [242:375]
- administrator1 [389:511]
- administrator2 [151:216]
- administrator2 [438:502]

#### management\_medical\_practice\_patient\_part

- foot\_therapist [752: 832]
- nurse\_COPD [890:1005]
- nurse\_DM [804: 922]

#### management\_medical\_practice\_staff\_part

- assistant\_physician [ 11: 36]
- assistant\_physician [ 51: 86]
- assistant\_physician [ 99: 165]
- assistant\_physician [ 291: 356]
- auxiliary\_nurse\_1 [ 82: 122]
- auxiliary\_nurse\_2 [ 28: 36]
- auxiliary\_nurse\_2 [ 54: 154]
- auxiliary\_nurse\_2 [ 241: 372]
- auxiliary\_nurse\_2 [ 462: 492]

- nurse\_COPD [ 11: 237]
- nurse\_COPD [ 480: 815]
- nurse\_COPD [ 829: 877]
- nurse\_DM [ 11: 680]
- nurse\_DM [ 693: 751]
- nurse\_DM [ 773: 803]
- nurse\_geriatric [ 302: 359]
- nurse\_geriatric [ 371: 464]
- physician [ 82: 201]
- psychotherapist1 [ 11: 655]
- psychotherapist1 [ 667:1025]
- psychotherapist1 [1036:1125]
- psychotherapist1 [1217:1443]
- psychotherapist2 [ 11: 52]
- psychotherapist2 [ 66: 424]
- psychotherapist2 [ 484: 868]
- psychotherapist2 [1102:1199]

#### management\_medical\_record

- administrator1 [187:201]
- administrator2 [306:350]
- administrator2 [106:149]

Digital questionnaires would effect the *managing of communication with the patient*. According to the table above, the staff categories that are working with that task are: nurses, administrators and psychotherapists. In order to foresee shifts in work load that the new digital tools could lead to, further scientific work has to be done. This is important since economic benefits could only be gained if the work tasks would change, since the finances are a mirroring of what work is actually done.

## Discussion

For at least the last 7 years, the county council's expenses have increased by approximately 5% per year. Adjusted for inflation, it will be approximately 3% per annum<sup>(6, 7)</sup>. The strategies in healthcare must change. Hopefully, this project can be a step in the right direction. The results show new ways to improve the communication between the healthcare system and the patient. Further research has to be done in order to figure out what staff categories are best suited for helping with the leadership of patients.

## Ethics

The project's character is developmental work within the clinic. Therefore it is being examined in terms of confidentiality and safety by the Head of Operations. The project does not fall under the Ethics Testing Act's research definition.

## References

- [1] Kendler KS, Gatz M, Gardner CO, Pedersen NLA *Swedish national twin study of lifetime major depression*; Am J Psychiatry. 2006 Jan; 163(1):109-14.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16390897/>
- [2] *Utvärdering 2013 – vård och insatser vid depression, ångest och schizofreni. Indikatorer och underlag för bedömningar*; Socialstyrelsen  
<http://www.socialstyrelsen.se/publikationer2013/2013-6-7>
- [3] Kasper S1, Schindler S, Neumeister A.; *Risk of suicide in depression and its implication for psychopharmacological treatment*; Int Clin Psychopharmacol. 1996 Jun;11(2):71-9.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8803644>
- [4] *Själv mord i anslutning till vård Socialstyrelsen*;  
<http://www.socialstyrelsen.se/patientsakerhet/riskomraden/suicid>
- [5] *Statistics on causes of death 2015 - Socialstyrelsen*; Socialstyrelsen;  
<https://www.socialstyrelsen.se/Lists/Artikelkatalog/Attachments/20291/2016-8-4.pdf>



- [6] *Resultaträkning för landsting år 2010–2014*; SCB;  
<http://www.scb.se/hitta-statistik/statistik-efter-amne/offentlig-ekonomi/finanser-for-den-kommunala-sektorn/rakenskapssammandrag-for-kommuner-och-landsting/pong/tabell-och-diagram/kommun--och-landstingssektorn-2014/resultattrakning-for-landsting-ar-20102014/>
- [7] *Resultaträkning för landsting år 2012–2016*; SCB;  
<http://www.scb.se/hitta-statistik/statistik-efter-amne/offentlig-ekonomi/finanser-for-den-kommunala-sektorn/rakenskapssammandrag-for-kommuner-och-landsting/pong/tabell-och-diagram/kommun--och-landstingssektorn-2016/resultattrakning-for-landsting-ar-2012-2016/>
- [8] *Appen Uppskatta*; Google Play  
<https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=com.akerlund.uppskattadindag>  
<https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=com.akerlund.uppskattadindag>
- [9] *Appen PsykTools*; Google Play  
<https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=no.sonat.honos>  
<https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=no.sonat.honos>
- [10] Svanborg, P; Åsberg, M; *A comparison between the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) and the self-rating version of the Montgomery Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS)*; J. Affective Disorders. 64 (2–3): 203–216. doi:10.1016/S0165-0327(00)00242-1.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11313087>
- [11] *Tolkning av MADRS-S*; Region Jönköpings län  
[http://plus.rjl.se/info\\_files/infosida39803/madrs\\_s\\_tolkning.pdf](http://plus.rjl.se/info_files/infosida39803/madrs_s_tolkning.pdf)
- [12] Rickard Hultgren; *eMADRS source code*; github.com;  
<https://github.com/RickardHultgren/emadrs>
- [13] Rickard Hultgren; *eMADRS compiled*; play.google.com;  
<https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=rickardverner.hultgren.emadrs>
- [14] Tracy R.G. Gladstone, William R. Beardslee, Erin E. O'Connor; *The Prevention of Adolescent Depression*; Psychiatr Clin North Am. 2011 Mar; 34(1): 35–52.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3072710/>

- [15] Riitta Sorsa; *Nationella riktlinjer – Målnivåer – Vård vid depression och ångestsyndrom – Målnivåer för indikatorer*; socialstyrelsen.se december 2017  
<http://www.socialstyrelsen.se/publikationer2017/2017-12-1>
- [16] Majvor Enström; *Granskning av Psykiatrin 2014 Region Jämtland-Härjedalen*  
<https://www.regionjh.se/download/18.61342ea415bcfb51720c5fd7>
- [17] *Style Guide for Authors*  
[https://academic.oup.com/cdj/pages/Style\\_Guide](https://academic.oup.com/cdj/pages/Style_Guide)
- [18] Barbara J. Hoogenboom, Robert C. Manske; *How to write a scientific article*. Int J Sports Phys Ther. 2012 Oct; 7(5): 512–517.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3474301/>
- [19] Kotter JP *What leaders really do*. Harvard Business Review 1990
- [20] Will JF *A brief historical and theoretical perspective on patient autonomy and medical decision making: Part I: The beneficence model*. Chest. 2011 Mar;139(3):669-673. doi: 10.1378/chest.10-2532.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21362653>
- [21] Granheim, Lundman *Qualitative content analysis in nursing research concepts, procedures and measures to achieve trustworthiness*. Nurse Educ Today. 2004 Feb;24(2):105-12  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14769454>
- [22] Ronggui Huang;  
<http://rqda.r-forge.r-project.org/>