# Time Series Analysis

Lag operator

#### Binzhi Chen

Department of Economics University of Birmingham

March 4, 2020

#### Introduction

#### Operator

The operator is summarized by describing the value of a typical element of  $\{y_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$  in terms of the corresponding elements of  $\{x_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ 

#### Lag Operator

The lag operation is represented by symbol L:

$$Ly_t = y_{t-1}$$

For any integer k,

$$L^k y_t = y_{t-k}$$

#### Introduction

For example, the process defined by

$$y_t = (a + bL)Ly_t$$

is exactly the same as:

$$y_t = (aL + bL^2)y_t = ay_{t-1} + by_{t-2}$$

An expression such as  $(aL + bL^2)y_t$  is referred to as polynomial in the lag operator

Consider a first-order difference equation:

$$y_t = \phi y_{t-1} + u_t \tag{1}$$

We can solve this equation by recursive substitution approach or lag operator approach.

For recursive approach, we can calculate the value of y at time t-1 in equation (1):

$$y_t = \phi(y_{t-2} + u_{t-1}) + u_t \tag{2}$$

$$=\phi^2 y_{t-2} + \phi u_{t-1} + u_t \tag{3}$$

Now we have the relationship between  $y_t$  and  $y_{t-2}$ . We can calculate the value of y at time t-2 in equation (3):

$$y_t = \phi(y_{t-3} + u_{t-2}) + \phi u_{t-1} + u_t$$
  
=  $\phi^3 y_{t-3} + \phi^2 u_{t-2} + \phi u_{t-1} + u_t$ 

Continuing recursively in this fashion, we can have the relationship between  $y_t$  and  $y_0$ :

$$y_t = \phi^t y_0 + \phi^{t-1} u_1 + \phi^{t-2} u_2 + \dots + \phi u_{t-1} + u_t$$
 (4)

This procedure is known as solving the difference equation (4) by *recursive* substitution

Now we rewrite equation (1) by using lag operator approach as:

$$y_t - \phi L y_t = u_t$$

or

$$(1 - \phi L)y_t = u_t \tag{5}$$

Next consider multiplying both sides of equation by the following operator:

$$(1 + \phi L + \phi^2 L^2 + \phi^3 L^3 + \dots + \phi^{t-1} L^{t-1})$$

The result would be:

$$(1 + \phi L + \phi^2 L^2 + \phi^3 L^3 + \dots + \phi^{t-1} L^{t-1})(1 - \phi L) y_t$$
  
=  $(1 + \phi L + \phi^2 L^2 + \phi^3 L^3 + \dots + \phi^{t-1} L^{t-1}) u_{t-1}$  (6)

$$(1 - \phi^t L^t) y_t = (1 + \phi L + \phi^2 L^2 + \phi^3 L^3 + \dots + \phi^{t-1} L^{t-1}) u_{t-1}$$

The result would be:

$$y_t = \phi^t y_0 + \phi^{t-1} u_1 + \phi^{t-2} u_2 + \dots + \phi u_{t-1} + u_t$$
 (7)

Which is the same as the recursive substitution approach

If  $|\phi| < 1$ , the term  $\phi^t y_0$  would be negligible and the same as operator  $(1-\phi L)^{-1}$ :

$$(1 - \phi L)^{-1} = \lim_{j \to \infty} (1 + \phi L + \phi^2 L^2 + \phi^3 L^3 + \dots + \phi^j L^j)$$
 (8)

Thus equation (6) can be represented by:

$$(1 - \phi L)^{-1} (1 - \phi L) y_t = (1 - \phi L)^{-1} u_t$$

which can get the same result as equation 7

## Second-Order Difference Equations

Consider a second-order difference equation:

$$y_t = \phi y_{t-1} + \phi^2 y_{t-2} + u_t \tag{9}$$

Rewrite as lag operator form:

$$(1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2) y_t = u_t \tag{10}$$

If we factor this polynomial as:

$$(1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2) = (1 - \lambda_1 L)(1 - \lambda_2 L)$$

## Second-Order Difference Equations

we can have the following proposition:

#### Proposition

Factoring the polynomial  $(1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2)$  as:

$$(1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2) = (1 - \lambda_1 L)(1 - \lambda_2 L)$$
(11)

is the same calculation as finding the eigenvalues of the matrix  ${\bf F}$ :

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 & \phi_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{12}$$

The eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  of **F** are the same as the parameters  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  in equation (11)

The proposition of pth-order difference equations as follows:

#### Proposition

Factoring a pth-order polynomial in the lag operator as:

$$(1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2 - \dots - \phi_p L^p) = (1 - \lambda_1 L)(1 - \lambda_2 L) \dots (1 - \lambda_p L) \quad (13)$$

is the same calculation as finding the eigenvalues of the matrix  ${f F}$ :

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 & \phi_2 & \phi_3 & \dots & \phi_{p-1} & \phi_p \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(14)

The eigenvalues  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_p)$  of **F** are the same as the parameters  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_p)$  in equation (13)

Consider a perfect-foresight model:

$$r = (P_{t+1} - P_t/P_t + D_t/P_t) \qquad r > 0$$
 (15)

Where  $P_t$  is the price of a stock,  $D_t$  is dividend payment, total return is r. Multiply by  $P_t$  and rewrite the equation:

$$P_{t+1} = (1+r)P_t - D_t (16)$$

Equation (16) is the same as the first-order difference equation and it implies that:

$$P_{t+1} = (1+r)^{t+1} P_0 - (1+r)^t D_0 - (1+r)^{t-1} D_1 - \dots - (1+r) D_{t-1} - D_t$$
 (17)

If the sequence  $\{D_0, D_1, \dots, D_t\}$  and the initial value of  $P_0$  were given, then we could determine  $\{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_t\}$ .

If only the sequence are given, we can not determine  $\{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_t\}$ 

Suppose that dividends are constant over time, equation (17) becomes

$$P_{t+1} = (1+r)^{t+1} [P_0 - (D/r)] + (D/r)$$
(18)

If  $P_0 = D/r$ , equation (18) implies that:

$$P_t = D/r$$

If  $P_0 > D/r$ , equation (18) is consistent with APT and consists bubble in stock price

However, the assumption for constant dividend can be relaxed



If we rewrite the equation (16) as:

$$P_t = \frac{1}{1+r}[P_{t+1} + D_t] \tag{19}$$

Continuing recursive substitution for T periods:

$$P_{t} = \left[\frac{1}{1+r}\right]^{T} P_{t+T} + \left[\frac{1}{1+r}\right]^{T} D_{t+T-1} + \dots + \left[\frac{1}{1+r}\right]^{2} D_{t+1} + \left[\frac{1}{1+r}\right] D_{t}$$
(20)

If finite world resources put an upper limit on stock price, then:

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \left[ \frac{1}{1+r} \right]^T P_t = 0$$

If  $\{D_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$  is also bounded, equation (20) as:

$$P_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{1+r} \right]^{j+1} D_{t+j}$$
 (21)

which is the solution for the present value of future dividends with time-varying discount rate