Project#	Semester	

Final Report Evaluation Sheet

Introduction: 5 points	Max Score Min Sco			Min Score
Purpose	(1) - Clearly defined problem statement and solution present		(0) – Problem statement or solution are unclear or missing	
Functionality			(0) - Unclear or missing justification of at least one project functionality	
Subsystem Overview	(3) – Includes top-level diagram and description of all project subsystems and interconnects	(1) – At most one subsystem unclear or missing; block diagram unclear		(0) — More than one subsystem unclear or missing; block diagram missing

Design: 9 points	Max Score			Min Score	
Equations & Simulations				(0) - At least one equation or simulation incorrect/missing	
Design Alternatives	, , ,	ncies, corrective actions taken, Il results. If a design issue be resolved, a quantitative		nation of design alternatives nclear or missing	
Design Description & Justification	(3) – Complete modular design present. All design decisions justified through equations, simulation, or testing	(1) – Design is not modular; explanation and justification of design decisions unclear		(0) – Missing/incorrect justification or design description	
Subsystem Diagrams & Schematics	(3) — All subsystem diagrams and schematics included. All elements are clean and coherent	(1) — One subsystem element unclear or missing		(0) — More than one subsystem element unclear or missing	

Cost & Schedule: 2 points	Max Score	Min Score	
Cost	(1) – All parts and required information included	(0) - Some parts or required information omitted	
Schedule	(1) – Complete and specific schedule reflective of the work done throughout the semester. Schedule is broken down by week and team member	(0) – Lacks specificity or does not reflect the work done by each individual	

Requirements & Verification: 8 points	Max Score Min Score		
Completeness of Requirements	(2) – All necessary requirements included with reasonable tolerances	(1) - One requirement missing, unclear, or without justified tolerance	(0) – More than one requirement unclear or omitted
Appropriate Verification Procedures	(3) - Detailed, reproducible, and quantitative procedures for all requirements	(1) - One verification missing, non-procedural, or lacking quantitative metrics	(0) — More than one verification missing or unsatisfactory
Quantitative Results	(3) — Quantitative results for all requirements provided. Results are in line with verification procedures. If a requirement could not be met, explain why	(1) – At least one result unclear, missing, or non-quantitative. Missing explanations for failed requirements	(0) – More than one result omitted or unclear.

Conclusion: 6 points	Max Score Min Score			
Accomplishments	(2) - Detailed description of project successes and final functionality	(1) - Unclear description of project successes and final functionality		(0) – Missing description of project successes and final functionality
Uncertainties	(2) - Quantitative discussion of unsatisfactory results. Explanation of all issues is clear, qualified, and supported by evidence	(1) – Unclear or missing justification of one project uncertainty. Discussion of unsatisfactory results not quantitative		(0) - Unclear or missing justification of more than one project uncertainty. Analysis is false or misleading
Future Work / Alternatives	(1) – Thorough discussion of future improvements to be made upon project. Suggestions for potential design alternatives are included		(0) – Missing discussion of future improvements or design alternatives	
Ethical Considerations	(1) – All aspects of the IEEE Code of Ethics are addressed. Requisite risk mitigation plans are discussed; evidence provided where appropriate		(0) – At least one ethical consideration missing or unclear. Risk mitigation plan missing or not satisfied where required	