

# Price a European Up-and-out Call Option

Ng, Joe Hoong  
ng\_joehoong@hotmail.com

Nguyen, Dang Duy Nghia  
nghia002@e.ntu.edu.sg

Ansari, Zain Us Sami Ahmed  
zainussami@gmail.com

Thorne, Dylan  
dylan.thorne@gmail.com

April. 8, 2020

**Keywords:** Exotic Options, European up-and-out call option, Black-Scholes-Merton model and Geometric Brownian Motion.

## Abstract

In this report we discuss European up-and-out call option. In the first section we introduce a European up-and-out call option, in the second section we simulate paths for the underlying share and for the counterparty's firm value using varying sample sizes, in the third section we Determine Monte Carlo estimates of both the default-free value of the option and the Credit Valuation Adjustment (CVA), in the fourth section we calculate the Monte Carlo estimates for the price of the option incorporating counterparty risk, given by the default-free price less the CVA. We conclude the report by discussing .....

## 1 Introduction

A European up-and-out call option is type of a Barrier Option, these options are consider path-dependant because barrier option's payoff is based on the underlying stock's price path. The payoff of an up-and-out option at maturity  $T$  is given by,

$$Payoff_T = (S_T - K)^+ \text{ given, } \max_{t \in [0, T]} S_t < L$$

Where, where  $K$  is the strike of the option,  $L$  is the barrier level, and  $S_t$  is the share price at time  $t$ . This is a type of call option whose payoff is reduced to 0 if the share price crosses the barrier level.

The seminal work of Merton [1] pioneered the formula for pricing barrier options. This led pricing formulas under the geometric Brownian motion (GBM) framework for one-asset barrier options by Rich [2] and multi-asset barrier options by Wong and Kwok [3]. Although the return dynamics of underlying shares are not sufficiently well described by the GBM process proposed by Black and Scholes [4], we are going to assume both the stock and counterparty firm values follow GBM with constant drift and volatilities and default only occurs at maturity.

- 2 Lifetime simulations of the option.
- 3 Monte Carlo estimates of both the default-free value of the option and the Credit Valuation Adjustment (CVA).
- 4 Monte Carlo estimates for the price of the option incorporating counterparty risk, given by the default-free price less the CVA.

```
[1]: import QuantLib as ql
      from collections import namedtuple
      import math
```

```
[2]: today = ql.Date(15, ql.February, 2020);
      settlement= ql.Date(19, ql.February, 2020);
      ql.Settings.instance().evaluationDate = today;
      term_structure = ql.YieldTermStructureHandle(
          ql.FlatForward(settlement,0.04875825,ql.Actual365Fixed())
      )
      index = ql.Euribor1Y(term_structure)
```

```
-----
--
      Model Price      Market Price      Implied Vol      Market Vol      Rel Error
-----
--
      0.00871          0.00949          0.10531          0.11480          -0.08263
      0.00968          0.01008          0.10634          0.11080          -0.04018
      0.00867          0.00871          0.10652          0.10700          -0.00448
      0.00653          0.00625          0.10665          0.10210          0.04442
      0.00357          0.00334          0.10680          0.10000          0.06773
-----
--
Cumulative Error :          0.12288
```

## 5 Conclusion

## References

- [1] Merton, Robert C. "Theory of rational option pricing." *The Bell Journal of economics and management science* (1973): 141-183.
- [2] Rich, Don R. "The mathematical foundations of barrier option-pricing theory." *Advances in futures and options research* 7 (1994).
- [3] Wong, Hoi Ying, and Yue-ÅKuen Kwok. "Multi-asset barrier options and occupation time derivatives." *Applied Mathematical Finance* 10.3 (2003): 245-266.
- [4] Black, Fisher, and Myron Scholes. "The pricing and Corporate Liabilities." *Journal of Political Economy* 81 (1973).
- [5] Yousuf, M. "A fourth-order smoothing scheme for pricing barrier options under stochastic volatility." *International Journal of Computer Mathematics* 86.6 (2009): 1054-1067.