Linear Algebra and Applications Homework #06

Submitted By: Rifat Bin Rashid

RUID: 237000174

Date: Mar 31, 2025

A & B are similar. A = CBC, where c is an inventible Die of Charles eigenvalues of B = 120013 of cheracteristic potynomial equation det (B-LI) =0 eigenvalus of A = rivots of characteristic polynomial equation det (A-LS) =0 det (A 71) = det (c-1 B(- 2I) = det (c=Bc - 2c=c) c=1c=cc2 = det [c3 (B-21) c] = det(c1). det(B-2). det(c) det (AB) -deft(A). det(B) = det (c=c) det (B-12) = det(I). det (B-1I) def(A-AI) = de+ (B-ZI) 50, chenacteristic polynomias are the same for ALB, 60, regenvalues are same.

Scanned with

CS CamScanner

The scanner of the sca

(b)
A & B are similar:
$$A = C^{2}BC$$
, with a c invertible restrict restrict A is diagonalizable: $A = PDP^{2}$, p invertible metajx, D. Diagonal metajx, SO_{j}

$$\Rightarrow$$
 CP. D. $(CP)^{-1} = B$ $[(Xy)^{-1} = Y^{-1} \times -1]$

C is invertible) > so CP=B is invertible invertible

So, B is diagonalizable with the same diagonal matrix (D) of A and an inventible metrix

(c) let
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \det \begin{bmatrix} 1-1 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1-1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2-1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

=)
$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 2-\lambda & 2 & b \\ 2 & 2-\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

So, eigenvalues of A & B are not similar. same.

So, those matrices are not similar.

$$A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, from 'c', $\lambda = 1, 2, 3$

$$A_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

All three have some eigenvalor, to we now have to check diagonalizability.

Now, for As algebric multicliplicty = 2 for 1=2
eigenvector for 1=1 in As:

$$\frac{80}{50}$$
 102 $\left[\begin{array}{c} 10\\0\\0\\0\end{array}\right] = 0$ $\left[\begin{array}{c} 1\\0\\0\\0\end{array}\right]$

So, diversion of eigenspace = geometric multicipicity = 1

So, for 2=1 of A1, algebric multiplicity & geometric multiplicity 80, A) is not diagonalizable). A2 -> sommetrice matrix Algebric multiplicity = 2, for 121 Geometric Muli (A3-2I) 10 =0

Conclusion: (A) A, is not similar to A2 or A3

Since AT is not diagonalizable

(D) A2 L A3 are similar, as they

both are diagonalizable with same

eigenvalues and two have the

Serve diagonal Jordan form.