Implications of strongly convex $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Differentiable

(1) The subophinality gap 3(x) - pt can be bounded in terms of the norm of the gradient 1/\text{Vf(x)}//2.

Since & C (12h) and is strongly convex with parameter in

\$(y) ≈ \$(x) + \(\tau_{\text{(y-x)}} + \frac{m}{2} \|y-x\|_2^2\)

2(y) m (y-x)(y-x) Ay & den &

= Quadratic function which is strongly connex utecly.

It has a unique mínimizer.

 $\nabla^2(y^*) = 0 \iff y^* \text{ is the unique minimizer}$

 $\Delta\xi(A) = \Delta\xi(x) + \omega(A-x)$

 $\Delta\xi(A_*) = 0 \iff |A_* = x - \mu\Delta_{\xi(x)}|$

31/1ce \$(8) \$ \$(8) # y & dom f

=> \$(8) \$ \$(y) \$ \$(y*)

 $\Rightarrow \xi(A) \geq \xi(A_*)$

=> $\xi(R) > \xi(x) - \frac{\mu}{2} ||\Delta \xi(x)||_{5}^{5} + \frac{2\mu}{2} ||\Delta \xi(x)||_{5}^{5}$

 \Rightarrow $f(x) > f(x) - \frac{1}{2m} || \Delta f(x) ||_2$ Since this is true for all y & Domf Put y=xx $\Rightarrow 3(x^{+}) > 8(x) - \frac{1}{2m} || \Delta f(x) ||_{2}$ $\Rightarrow |f(x) - b_x \leq \frac{5m}{7} ||\Delta \xi(x)||_5^2$ Question: use want g(x)-fr & E. When should we Stop gradient descent? Ans: It we have In 1/ DR(x) 1/2 < E than f(x) - gx & & => 1/2f(x)//3 < 2me /1125(x)/15 = [swe e.g. e=10-8 => Stop GD when ||\frac{1}{2}(x)||_2 < \frac{7}{2}m 10. Challenge: Requires smuledge of m. Still! Requiring // THA) /2 to be smell enough is a good Stopping extresion. what about the distance of x from xx? 2(y) ≥ 2(y) + y ∈ Som 2 Take y = x*

Take y = x Z(x+) > Z(x) + DZ(x) (xx-x) + m // xx-x//5 $> - |\nabla \xi(x)^T(x^*-x)|$ > 2(x) - /2+(x) (x=x)/ + m // xx -x//2 $= -\|\nabla R(x)\|_2 \|x^* - x\|_2$ $\Rightarrow 2(x^*) > 2(x) - ||\nabla 2(x)||^2 ||x^* - x||^2 + \frac{m}{2} ||x^* - x||^2$ Since P(x*) & P(x) $\|\Delta f(x)\|^{5} \|x_{x} - x\|^{5} - \frac{1}{m} \|x_{x} - x\|^{5} > f(x) - f(x_{x}) > 0$ $\frac{m}{||x^* - x||_2^2} \le ||\nabla R(x)||_2 ||x^* - x||_2$ $\Leftrightarrow ||x^{*}-x|| \leq \frac{2}{m} ||\nabla f(x)||_{2}$ Looking at previous example, which set 1102 (X)1/2 < 12mc => //xx-x//2 < 2 x /2mE = 2/2 /E.

Condition number of an optimization Problem

Condition number of an optimization troblem and regularity of Objective functions around 1000 Minima Fet & E Co (Bu) -> Turce Continuously differentiable Let xx be a local minimum of & Z(xx) = px > not 3/0pg wiving Let's look at second-order approximation of f around this x^* . = 0 b/c $\nabla f(x^3) = 0$ $\frac{2}{3}(3) \frac{1}{3} \frac$ A RE EMPLY NEIGHBORHOUD OF XX (2, is. 3 6 20; 8 6 3 x; 11x-x, 115 5 e.g. => f(A) = bx + 7 (A-xx) \sighta_5 f(xx) (A-xx) Quadratic function in of Im of Pres if Trexis & mI then it is strongly convex gredrate Conclusion: Every "nice" local minimum of an & EC (12") looks like a strongly convex quadratic function in a small enough neighborhood of the 1000 minimum.

How difficult is it to go to the 10 Ed minimum; (or global minimum in a strongly convex problem).
The difficulty of an optimization problem is determined by the condition number of the Hessian (in local neighborhood or more albeatly for strongly
Decall: If & is m-strongly conven and fe cours
(2) $\Delta_{x}(x) \leqslant MI \text{for Some} M \implies M$
In the case of nonconvex functions, we replace these with statements in the neighborhood of the local minimum. If $=K > \frac{\sum mex(\nabla^2 f(x))}{\sum min}$ A $x \in In$ a neighborhood min $(\nabla^2 f(x))$ around x^{**}
sondition number of optimization problem.
Recoll:
what do the Eublevel Sets of Ecy) look l'ilu

what do the Endered Sets of Ecy) look like around x* for some of > bx (48 ptgm3 < 5 tg > b thooks tents) Ca := }y: \$1y) = a} \$(y) ≤ a ⇔ p* + ½(y-x*) T P(x*)(y-x*) < a € (y-x) (x) (y-x) ∈ 2(a-px) $\Leftrightarrow C_{\alpha} := \begin{cases} g: (y-x^{*}) \overrightarrow{\nabla} f(x^{*})(y-x^{*}) \in a(\alpha-p^{*}) \end{cases}$ ZZQZ with Q pos. del. 6.8, It 0 = I => ZZ < 2 ⟨ || Z||² ≤ α when B + I => Ellipsoid has axes aligned with one entered eixo sut lone a jo enteressis and puborgioner to Telsemann. $e \cdot 8'$ $z = \begin{cases} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{cases} Z \Rightarrow$

The difficulty of an ophinization problem is absentined by the stope of the subject sets.

The more squished the ellipsoid is, the more challenging it is for the algorithm to converge.

Later is determined by $K = \frac{M}{m}$ $K = 1 \implies Use have spheres$ $K = 1 \implies Use have spheres$

BN: 9.1.5