

# Algebraic Effect Handlers

## 1.1 Introduction to Algebraic Effect Handlers

In chapter ??, we considered  $\mathbb{B}$ , an extension of  $\mathbb{A}$ , that implemented effects by introducing specific language features for each kind of effect. While this allows simple reasoning about the behavior of those effects in  $\mathbb{B}$ , it establishes no common reasoning about effects in general. If  $\mathbb{B}$  were extended to implement another effect using a new language feature, none of what is defined in  $\mathbb{B}$  explains how it should be implemented how it might behave.

What we desire is an extension to  $\mathbb{A}$  that provides a language feature for generally defining effects. In order to allow for the full scope of effects we are interested in, such an extension should implement two features:

- Effects may be defined as “black boxes” with implicit behavior defined outside the language. For example, the *IO* effect must appeal to an *IP* interface at some point, just like in  $\mathbb{B}$ .
- Effects may be defined completely within the language. For example, the *state* and *exception* effects can be completely modeled within the language (e.g. chapter ??).

In addition, we endeavor to achieve the following improvement over  $\mathbb{A}$ ’s monadic effects:

- Effects are type-relevant.
- Effects are composable.

**Algebraic effect handlers** are such an extension to  $\mathbb{A}$  that meets all of these expectations. Its approach breaks the structure of effects into two parts:

- **Performances:** The code that corresponds to the *performing* of an effect. Performances are sensitive to the whole program context.
- **Handlers:** The code that corresponds to the result of an effect performance, parametrized by the *handler*’s clauses. The handler is not sensitive to the whole program context, and in its definition abstracts the context relevant to handling the performances (in the same way that a function abstracts its parameter).

Additionally, this setup requires an interface to the effects that are to be performed and handled.

- **Resources:** The code that corresponds to an instantiation of a specification of effects that are available to be performed and handled. The primitive effects it provides are called *actions*.

## 1.2 Language $\mathbb{C}$

Language  $\mathbb{C}$  implements algebraic effect handlers similarly to the scheme presented in [1].

### 1.2.1 Syntax for $\mathbb{C}$

II 1.1: Syntax for  $\mathbb{C}$

metavariable	constructor	name
$\langle\langle Type \rangle\rangle$	Resource	resource
$\langle\langle type \rangle\rangle$	<pre>resource{ [ <math>\langle\langle action-name \rangle\rangle</math> : <math>\langle\langle type \rangle\rangle \nearrow \langle\langle type \rangle\rangle</math> ; ] }  handler { [ <math>\langle\langle term \rangle\rangle \# \langle\langle action-name \rangle\rangle</math> <math>\langle\langle term-param \rangle\rangle</math> <math>\langle\langle term-param \rangle\rangle</math> <math>\Rightarrow \langle\langle term \rangle\rangle</math> ; ] ; value <math>\langle\langle term-param \rangle\rangle</math> <math>\langle\langle term-param \rangle\rangle \Rightarrow \langle\langle term \rangle\rangle</math> ; finally <math>\langle\langle term-param \rangle\rangle \Rightarrow \langle\langle term \rangle\rangle</math> }</pre>	resource  handler

Note that the `value` and `finally` clauses of the `handler` term-construct are optional. Their default implementations are given by the following notation:

### 1.2.2 Primitives for $\mathbb{C}$

#### Resource

[**TODO**] description

#### Action

[**TODO**] description

Listing 1.1: Notation for minimal handlers.

```

handler{ [ «term»#«action-name» «term-param» «term-param» ⇒ «term» ;] }

::=

handler
  { [ «term»#«action-name» «term-param» «term-param» ⇒ «term» ;]
  ; value a k ⇒ k a
  ; finally b ⇒ b }

```

Listing 1.2: Primitives for resources.

```

primitive term new ρ( : Resource) : ρ.

```

**Performance**

[**TODO**] description

**Handling**

[**TODO**] description

**Sequencing**

[**TODO**] description

Listing 1.3: Primitives for actions.

```
primitive type action : Type → Type → Type.
```

Listing 1.4: Notation for action.

$$\langle\!\langle type \rangle\!\rangle_1 \nearrow \langle\!\langle type \rangle\!\rangle_2 ::= \text{action } \langle\!\langle type \rangle\!\rangle_1 \langle\!\langle type \rangle\!\rangle_2$$

Listing 1.5: Primitives for performance.

```
primitive term perform  $\rho$ ( : Resource)
  :  $\rho \rightarrow \text{action } \alpha \beta \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \text{performance } \beta$ .
```

Listing 1.6: Notation for performance.

$$\langle\!\langle term \rangle\!\rangle_1 \# \langle\!\langle term \rangle\!\rangle_2 ::= \text{perform } \langle\!\langle term \rangle\!\rangle_1 \langle\!\langle term \rangle\!\rangle_2$$

Listing 1.7: Primitives for handling.

```
primitive type handling : Type → Type → Type.

primitive term handle  $\alpha$ (  $\beta$  : Type) : handling  $\alpha$   $\beta \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ .
```

Listing 1.8: Notation for handling.

$$\langle\!\langle type \rangle\!\rangle_1 \searrow \langle\!\langle type \rangle\!\rangle_2 ::= \text{handling } \langle\!\langle type \rangle\!\rangle_1 \langle\!\langle type \rangle\!\rangle_2$$

Listing 1.9: Notations for handling.

```

with «term»1 do «term»2   ::=   handle «term»1 «term»2
do «term»1 with «term»2   ::=   handle «term»2 «term»1

```

Listing 1.10: Primitives for sequencing

```

primitive term sequence :  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta$ .

```

Listing 1.11: Notation for sequencing.

```

«term»1 >> «term»2   ::=   sequence «term»1 «term»2

```

### 1.2.3 Typing Rules in $\mathbb{C}$

II 1.2: Typing in  $\mathbb{C}$

RESOURCE	$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \rho := \text{resource}\{ [ e_i : (\alpha_i \nearrow \beta_i) ; ] \} \\ \Gamma \vdash \alpha_i : \text{Type} \quad (\forall i) \\ \Gamma \vdash \beta_i : \text{Type} \quad (\forall i) \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{resource}\{ [ e_i : \alpha_i \nearrow \beta_i ; ] \}) : \text{Resource}}$
PERFORM	$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \rho := \text{resource}\{\dots e_i : \alpha_i \nearrow \beta_i \dots\} \\ \Gamma \vdash r : \rho \\ \Gamma \vdash e_i : (\alpha_i \nearrow \beta_i) \\ \Gamma \vdash a : \alpha_i \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash (r \# e_i \ a) : \beta}$
HANDLER	$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \rho := \text{resource}\{ [ e_i : (\alpha_i \nearrow \beta_i) ; ] \} \\ \Gamma \vdash \rho : \text{Resource} \\ \Gamma \vdash r : \rho \\ \Gamma, a_i : \alpha_i, k_i : (\beta_i \rightarrow \beta) \vdash b : \beta \quad (\forall i) \\ \Gamma, a_v : \alpha \vdash b_v : \beta \\ \Gamma, b_f : \beta \vdash c_f : \gamma \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{handler}\{ [ r \# e_i \ a_i \ k_i \Rightarrow b_i ; ] \\ \quad ; \text{value } a_v \Rightarrow b_v \\ \quad ; \text{finally } b_f \Rightarrow c_f \}) : \alpha \searrow \gamma}$

### 1.2.4 Reduction Rules for $\mathbb{C}$

[**TODO**] Since HANDLE-EFFECT only works on statements that aren't the *last* effect, there's a notation that appends a trivial ending to anything of that form.

[**TODO**] need to rephrase these in terms of pushing the handlers onto a stack since can have nested handlers

The evaluation context notation  $h, \mathcal{H}$  indicates that  $h$  is the top-most handler in the handler stack that handles the effect at hand. This breaks into two cases:

- For performances of actions from resource  $r$ ,  $h$  is the top-most handler for  $r$ .
- For values,  $h$  is just the top-most handler.

#### $\Pi$ 1.3: Reduction in $\mathbb{C}$

Do  $\mathcal{H} \parallel \text{do } a \text{ with } h \rightarrow h, \mathcal{H} \parallel a$

SEQUENCE 
$$\frac{\text{value } v}{\mathcal{H} \parallel v \gg k \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \parallel k}$$

HANDLE-EFFECT 
$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} h := \text{handler}\{\dots r\#e_i \ a_i \ k_i \Rightarrow b_i \ \dots\} \\ \text{value } v \end{array}}{\begin{array}{l} h, \mathcal{H} \parallel \text{let } x := r\#e_i \ v \ \text{in } k \rightarrow \\ h, \mathcal{H} \parallel (a_i \ k_i \Rightarrow b_i) \ v \ (x \Rightarrow k) \end{array}}$$

HANDLE-VALUE 
$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} h := \text{handler}\{\dots \text{value } a_v \Rightarrow b_v \ \dots\} \\ \text{value } v \end{array}}{\begin{array}{l} h, \mathcal{H} \parallel \text{let } x := v \ \text{in } k \rightarrow \\ h, \mathcal{H} \parallel (a_v \ k_v \Rightarrow k_v \ b_v) \ v \ (x \Rightarrow k) \end{array}}$$

HANDLE-FINALLY 
$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} h := \text{handler}\{\dots \text{finally } b_f \Rightarrow c_f \ \dots\} \\ \text{value } v \end{array}}{h, \mathcal{H} \parallel v \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \parallel (b_f \Rightarrow c_f) \ v}$$

## 1.3 Examples

### 1.3.1 Example: Nondeterminism

```

// specify a resource for coin-flipping effect
// flip returns true if heads and false if tails
type coin-flipping : Resource
  := new resource{ flip : unit → boolean }.

// create a new resource instance of the coin-flipping effect
term coin : coin-flipping := new coin-flipper.

count (b : boolean) := if b then 1 else 0.

// a term that uses coin to perform the coin-flipping effect
term experiment : coin#:(unit ↗ integer) :=
  let x1 := coin#flip • in
  let x2 := coin#flip • in
  count x1 + count x2.

```

```

// a handler that accumulates all possible results of experiment
term accumulate : coin#:(integer ↗ list integer) :=
  handler{ coin#flip - k ⇒ k true <> k false
    ; value x ⇒ [x] }.

// accumulate results of experiment
do experiment with accumulate

```



```
// reduction:
do experiment with accumulate
  →
with accumulate do
  let x1 := coin#flip • in
  let x2 := coin#flip • in
  count x1 + count x2
  →
with accumulate do
  ( (_ k ⇒ k true <> k false) •
    (x1 ⇒ let x2 := coin#flip • in
      count x1 + count x2) )
  →
with accumulate do
  ( let x2 := coin#flip • in
    count true + count x2 )
  <>
  ( let x2 := coin#flip • in
    count false + count x2 )
  →
with accumulate do
  ([count true + count true] <> [count true + count false]) <>
  ([count true + count true] <> [count true + count false])
  →
with accumulate do [[2, 1], [1, 0]]
  →
[[[2, 1], [1, 0]]]
```



# B

- [1] Bauer, A., & Pretnar, M. (2015). Programming with algebraic effects and handlers. *J. Log. Algebraic Methods Program.*, 84, 108–123.