

# MLOps

Lec 1

# Course Evaluation

Assignment with Viva (1) - 50%

Major Assignment with Viva: 50%

# Objective of the Course

To cover topics of ML systems, including applications and products with machine learning algorithms.

# What are we going to cover

Machine Learning Systems: Concepts and Stages (data collection to model development), Challenges, and Solutions

ML Data Structure and Data Processing

Machine Learning Accelerators (ML Compilers), Virtual Environments, Git, Docker, Containers

Experiment Tracking, Reproducibility, and Reusability

Quantized and Low-precision Machine Learning

Deployment: Platforms and Infrastructure

Machine Learning System Versioning, Tracking, Testing, and Debugging

# Traditional ML Workflow

Example Task: Predicting House Prices

Goal: Predict median house value (MEDV) using features like number of rooms, crime rate, etc.

## 1. Data Collection

Download data.csv manually from a website or load it from sklearn.datasets.

No logging of where or when data was collected.

## 2. Data Preprocessing

Data is cleaned in a Jupyter notebook.

Missing values are imputed manually.

Feature scaling (e.g., normalization) is done ad hoc.

Code is scattered across multiple notebook cells.

# Traditional ML Workflow

## 3. Model Training

A Random Forest Regressor is trained using scikit-learn.

Hyperparameters are manually tuned by trial and error.

Metrics like RMSE are printed to the console.

## 4. Model Saving

Model is saved to a .pkl file locally.

No standard location or documentation.

## 5. Deployment

A simple Flask app is written for inference.

There's no monitoring or version control.

# Traditional ML Workflow

| Stage           | Problem                          | Consequence  |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Data Collection | No versioning or source tracking | Can't reproduce results if dataset changes                 |
| Preprocessing   | Manual and undocumented          | Inconsistent between training and inference                |
| Model Training  | Manual hyperparameter tuning     | Time-consuming, low reproducibility                        |
| Model Saving    | No versioning or metadata        | Risk of overwriting models or losing context               |
| Deployment      | No CI/CD or monitoring           | If the model fails or drifts, no one notices               |
| Collaboration   | Everything is local and informal | Other team members can't reliably reuse or extend the work |

# Challenges in Traditional ML Workflows

## Fragile Pipelines

Manual, ad hoc data preprocessing and model deployment

## Poor Reproducibility

Difficult to recreate experiments due to changing data, code, or environments

## Slow Iteration

Lack of automation in training, testing, and deployment cycles

## Scaling Issues

Models fail to scale with growing data or infrastructure demands



# Why MLOps Matters

Automation of end-to-end ML lifecycle (data → training → deployment)

Versioning & Tracking of datasets, code, and models

Continuous Integration / Continuous Deployment (CI/CD) for ML

Monitoring & Reproducibility of experiments and outputs

Infrastructure Abstraction (Docker, Cloud-native tools)