

## Homework #3

Due: 2025-12-24 23:59 | 6 Problems, 100 Pts

Name: 赵凌哲, ID: 2300015881

**Problem 1 (15').** Find out and prove the VC-dimension of the hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}_n$  on instance space  $\{0, 1\}^n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) where

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \{\{x \in \{0, 1\}^n \mid f_S(x) = -1\} \mid S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Here,  $f_S(x) : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$  is defined as

$$f_S(x) := \begin{cases} -1, & S = \emptyset; \\ (-1)^{\prod_{j \in S} x_j}, & S \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Express the answer as a function of  $n$ . ◀

**Answer.** 首先证明  $VC(H_n) \geq n$ , 我们构造如下的  $n$  个点:

$$x^{(i)} = (1, 1, \dots, 1, \underbrace{0}_{i\text{位}}, 1, \dots, 1), \quad \forall i$$

注意到  $f_S(x)$  起到类似析取  $x$  的全部位的作用, 因此对于上述  $n$  个点的任意子集:

$$\begin{aligned} B &\subseteq \{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}\} \\ \text{可构造: } S &= \{i : x^{(i)} \notin B\} \end{aligned}$$

对于任意  $i$  不难得知:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(i)} \in B &\implies i \notin S \implies f_S(x^{(i)}) = -1 \\ x^{(i)} \notin B &\implies i \in S \implies f_S(x^{(i)}) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

因此  $n$  个点的任意子集都可以被如此打散。故  $VC(H_n) \geq n$ 。

再证明  $VC(H_n) \leq n$ , 注意到  $|H_n| = 2^n$ 。而如果打散  $m > n$  个点, 起码需要  $2^m$  种  $S$ , 无法实现。因此有  $VC(H_n) \leq n$ 。

综上有  $VC(H_n) = n$ 。 ▷

**Problem 2 (14').** The shatter function  $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(n)$  is the maximum number of subsets of any set  $A$  of size  $n$  that can be expressed as  $A \cap h$  for  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  be two hypothesis classes and  $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1 \cap h_2 \mid h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2\}$ . Recall that we have proved  $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(n) \leq \pi_{\mathcal{H}_1}(n)\pi_{\mathcal{H}_2}(n)$  in class.

- (1) (6') Recall the Sauer's lemma we have learned in class. Sauer's lemma tells that for a hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$  with VC-dimension  $d$ ,  $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(m) \leq \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{m}{i}$ . Prove that  $\sum_{i=0}^d \binom{m}{i} \leq \left(\frac{em}{d}\right)^d$  when  $m \geq d$ .

(2) (8') For a hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$  with VC-dimension  $d$ , define the hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}^k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) as

$$\mathcal{H}^k = \left\{ \bigcap_{i=1}^k h_i \mid h_i \in \mathcal{H} \right\}.$$

Prove that, the VC dimension of  $\mathcal{H}^k$  is no more than  $7dk \ln k$ . You may use the assertions above.  
 $(\ln 2 \approx 0.693, e \approx 2.718, \ln 7 \approx 1.946, \ln \ln 2 \approx -0.367)$

◀

### Answer.

(1) 数学归纳法。若  $m = d$ , 则原式化为  $2^m \leq e^d$ , 显然成立。若  $m - 1 \geq d$ , 对  $m$  的大小进行归纳。容易验证  $m = 1, 2$  的情况成立。假设对于  $1, 2, \dots, m - 1$  皆有上述不等式成立。则:

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{m}{\leq d} &= \binom{m-1}{\leq d} + \binom{m-1}{\leq d-1} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{e(m-1)}{d}\right)^d + \left(\frac{e(m-1)}{d-1}\right)^{d-1} \end{aligned}$$

我们证明下式成立即可:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{e(m-1)}{d}\right)^d + \left(\frac{e(m-1)}{d-1}\right)^{d-1} &\leq \left(\frac{em}{d}\right)^d \\ \Rightarrow \left(\frac{d}{d-1}\right)^{d-1} \cdot \frac{d}{e}(m-1)^{d-1} &\leq m^d - (m-1)^d \end{aligned}$$

又因为由二项式展开  $m^d - (m-1)^d \geq d \cdot (m-1)^{d-1}$ , 且  $\left(\frac{d}{d-1}\right)^{d-1} \leq e$ 。将两者分别代入, 发现上不等式成立。得证。

(2) 课堂已经证明  $\pi_{H_1 \cap H_2}(m) \leq \pi_{H_1}(m)\pi_{H_2}(m)$ , 且有上一问结论  $\binom{m}{\leq d} \leq \left(\frac{em}{d}\right)^d$ , 直接将结论迭代  $k$  次可以得到:

$$\pi_{H_k}(m) \leq (\pi_H(m))^k \leq \left(\frac{em}{d}\right)^{dk}$$

令  $D = \text{VC}(H_k)$ , 根据定义我们有:

$$\begin{aligned} 2^D &\leq \left(\frac{eD}{d}\right)^{dk} \\ D \ln 2 &\leq dk \ln \left(\frac{eD}{d}\right) \end{aligned}$$

下面证明若  $D \geq 7dk \ln k$  会矛盾。令

$$\begin{aligned} D &= 7dk \ln k \\ \Rightarrow \ln \left(\frac{eD}{d}\right) &= 1 + \ln 7 + \ln k + \ln \ln k \\ \Rightarrow LHS &= 7dk \ln k \cdot \ln 2, \quad RHS = dk(1 + \ln 7 + \ln k + \ln \ln k) \end{aligned}$$

希望证明  $\forall k \geq 2$ , 都有:

$$(7 \ln 2 \cdot \ln k) - (\ln k + \ln \ln k + \ln 7 + 1) > 0$$

计算知上式在  $k = 2$  时取最小, 此时用题给近似得到上式约等于  $0.088 > 0$ 。从而当  $D = 7dk \ln k$  时, 必有  $2^D \leq \left(\frac{eD}{d}\right)^{dk}$  不成立。当  $D \geq 7dk \ln k$  时更不成立。故与上述不等式产生矛盾。

综上有  $\text{VC}(H_k) = D \leq 7dk \ln k$ , 得证。

□

**Problem 3 (16').** Consider the following randomized online learning algorithm for expert advice problem.

---

**Algorithm 1:** Randomized online learning algorithm for expert advice

---

Set a constant  $\eta > 0$ , the number of experts  $N$

$\mathbf{L}_0 \leftarrow 0^N$  ▷ Cumulative loss vector.

**for**  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$  **do**

$W(t) = \sum_i \exp(-\eta \mathbf{L}_{t-1}(i))$  ▷ Normalization coefficient.

Select the  $i$ -th expert with probability  $\mathbf{p}_t(i) = \frac{\exp(-\eta \mathbf{L}_{t-1}(i))}{W(t)}$

Observe the loss vector  $\mathbf{l}_t \in [0, 1]^N$  for each expert ▷ The loss is guaranteed in  $[0, 1]$ .

Update the cumulative loss  $\mathbf{L}_t \leftarrow \mathbf{L}_{t-1} + \mathbf{l}_t$

**end**

---

The expected loss is  $\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{p}_t^\top \mathbf{l}_t$ .

(1) (7') Prove that,

$$\frac{W(t+1)}{W(t)} = \mathbf{p}_t^\top \exp(-\eta \mathbf{l}_t).$$

(2) (9') Prove the following upper bound for expected loss:

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{p}_t^\top \mathbf{l}_t - \mathbf{L}_T(i) \leq \frac{\ln N}{\eta} + T\eta$$

for any  $i \in [N]$ .

[Hint: Consider the potential function  $\Phi_t = \frac{1}{\eta} \ln(W(t))$ . You may find the following inequality useful:  $e^{-x} \leq 1 - x + x^2, x > 0.$ ]

◀

**Answer.**

(1) 由定义有:

$$\begin{aligned} W(t+1) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \exp(-\eta L_t(i)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \exp(-\eta(L_{t-1}(i) + l_t(i))) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \exp(-\eta L_{t-1}(i)) \exp(-\eta l_t(i)) \end{aligned}$$

根据  $p_t(i)$  的定义有:

$$\exp(-\eta L_{t-1}(i)) = W(t) p_t(i)$$

将其代入则有:

$$W(t+1) = W(t) \sum_{i=1}^N p_t(i) \exp(-\eta l_t(i))$$

两边同除以  $W(t)$ , 即可得到欲证等式:

$$\frac{W(t+1)}{W(t)} = \sum_{i=1}^N p_t(i) \exp(-\eta l_t(i)) = p_t^\top \exp(-\eta l_t)$$

(2) 根据题目提示定义势函数:

$$\Phi_t = \frac{1}{\eta} \ln W(t)$$

由 (1) 可得

$$\Phi_{t+1} - \Phi_t = \frac{1}{\eta} \ln \left( \frac{W(t+1)}{W(t)} \right) = \frac{1}{\eta} \ln(p_t^\top \exp(-\eta l_t))$$

用提示的  $e^{-x} \leq 1 - x + x^2$  来放缩上式中指数项, 注意  $l_t(i) \in [0, 1]$ , 有:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-\eta l_t(i)) &\leq 1 - \eta l_t(i) + \eta^2 l_t(i)^2 \\ &\leq 1 - \eta l_t(i) + \eta^2 l_t(i) \quad (l_t(i)^2 \leq l_t(i)) \end{aligned}$$

左乘  $p_t^\top$  后有:

$$\begin{aligned} p_t^\top \exp(-\eta l_t) &\leq 1 - \eta p_t^\top l_t + \eta^2 p_t^\top l_t \\ &\leq 1 - \eta p_t^\top l_t + \eta^2 \quad (p_t^\top l_t \leq 1) \end{aligned}$$

利用  $\ln(1 + u) \leq u$  放缩得到:

$$\ln(p_t^\top \exp(-\eta l_t)) \leq -\eta p_t^\top l_t + \eta^2$$

再代入势函数的式子:

$$\Phi_{t+1} - \Phi_t \leq -p_t^\top l_t + \eta$$

对  $t = 1, \dots, T$  求和，可得：

$$\Phi_{T+1} - \Phi_1 \leq - \sum_{t=1}^T p_t^\top l_t + T\eta$$

移项得到

$$\sum_{t=1}^T p_t^\top l_t + \Phi_{T+1} \leq \Phi_1 + T\eta$$

只需估算两个势函数的取值。

由  $L_0(i) = 0$  知道：

$$W(1) = \sum_{i=1}^N e^0 = N, \quad \Phi_1 = \frac{\ln N}{\eta}$$

对任意专家  $\forall i \in [N]$ ：

$$\begin{aligned} W(T+1) &= \sum_{j=1}^N e^{-\eta L_T(j)} \geq e^{-\eta L_T(i)} \\ \implies \Phi_{T+1} &= \frac{1}{\eta} \ln W(T+1) \geq -L_T(i) \end{aligned}$$

两者皆代入上述不等式即可得到目标不等式：

$$\sum_{t=1}^T p_t^\top l_t - L_T(i) \leq \frac{\ln N}{\eta} + T\eta$$

□

**Problem 4 (15').** Consider the following boosting algorithm we learned in class.

---

### Algorithm 2: Boosting algorithm

---

**Input:** Number of iterations  $M$  (where  $M$  is odd), a sample  $S$  of  $n$  labeled examples  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  with labels  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ , a  $\gamma$ -weak ( $\gamma > 0$ ) learner (i.e., an algorithm that given  $n$  labeled examples and a non-negative weight  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , gives an hypothesis with at least  $\frac{1}{2} + \gamma$  accuracy on the weight  $\mathbf{w}$ ).

$\mathbf{w}_1 \leftarrow (1, 1, \dots, 1)$  ▷ Initialize each example  $\mathbf{x}_i$  to have a weight  $\mathbf{w}_1(i) = 1$ .

**for**  $t = 1, 2, \dots, M$  **do**

- | Call the  $\gamma$ -weak learner on the sample  $S$  with weight  $\mathbf{w}_t$  to get the hypothesis  $h_t$ .
- | **for**  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  **do**
- | **if**  $h_t(\mathbf{x}_i) \neq y_i$  **then**
- | |  $\mathbf{w}_{t+1}(i) \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_t(i) \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma}{\frac{1}{2} - \gamma}$
- | | **else**
- | | |  $\mathbf{w}_{t+1}(i) = \mathbf{w}_t(i)$
- | | **end**
- | **end**
- | **end**
- | **end**

**Output:** The classifier  $\text{Maj}(h_1, \dots, h_M)$ .

---

Assume hypothesis  $h_t$  has error rate  $\beta_t$  on the weighted sample  $(S, \mathbf{w}_t)$ .

- (1) (10') Suppose  $\beta_t$  is much less than  $\frac{1}{2} - \gamma$ . Then, after the booster multiplies the weight of misclassified examples by  $\alpha = \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma}{\frac{1}{2} - \gamma}$ , hypothesis  $h_t$  will still have error less than  $\frac{1}{2} - \gamma$  under the new weights. This means that  $h_t$  could be given again to the booster (perhaps for several times in a row). Calculate, as a function of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta_t$ , how many times in a row  $h_t$  could be given to the booster before its error rate rises to above  $\frac{1}{2} - \gamma$ .
- (2) (5') Modify the boosting algorithm in the following way: During the iteration, multiply the weight of each example that was misclassified by  $h_t$  by  $\alpha_t = \frac{1 - \beta_t}{\beta_t}$ , instead of  $\alpha = \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma}{\frac{1}{2} - \gamma}$ . Prove that,  $h_{t+1} \neq h_t$ .

◀

**Answer.**

- (1) 记  $E$  为  $h_t$  分错的样本集合,  $C$  为分对的集合。在某一轮中:

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \sum_i w(i) \\ &= W_E + W_C \\ &= \beta W + (1 - \beta)W \end{aligned}$$

一次权重更新后, 只有错分样本的权重被乘以  $\alpha$ , 从而:

$$W'_E = \alpha W_E = \alpha \beta W$$

$$W' = \alpha W_E + W_C = \alpha \beta W + (1 - \beta)W = ((1 - \beta) + \alpha \beta)W$$

新权重下同一个  $h_t$  的错误率变为:

$$\beta' = \frac{W'_E}{W'} = \frac{\alpha \beta}{(1 - \beta) + \alpha \beta}$$

连续重复使用  $r$  次后, 错分样本的权重被乘以  $\alpha^r$ , 其余不变, 从而:

$$\beta_r = \frac{\alpha^r \beta}{(1 - \beta) + \alpha^r \beta}$$

欲使:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_r &\leq \frac{1}{2} - \gamma \\ \implies \frac{\alpha^r \beta}{(1 - \beta) + \alpha^r \beta} &\leq \frac{1}{2} - \gamma \end{aligned}$$

化简得到:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^r &\leq \frac{(\frac{1}{2} - \gamma)(1 - \beta)}{(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma)\beta} \\ \implies r &\leq \frac{\ln \left( \frac{(\frac{1}{2} - \gamma)(1 - \beta_t)}{(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma)\beta_t} \right)}{\ln \alpha} \end{aligned}$$

考虑到  $r$  为整数，整理得到最多连续使用的次数为：

$$r_{\max} = \left\lfloor \frac{\ln\left(\frac{(1-\beta_t)}{\beta_t}\right)}{\ln \alpha} - 1 \right\rfloor$$

(2) 错分样本的权重被乘以  $\alpha_t = \frac{1-\beta_t}{\beta_t}$ ，和 (1) 中计算完全类似，同一个  $h_t$  在新权重下的错误率为：

$$\beta'_t = \frac{\alpha_t \beta_t}{(1-\beta_t) + \alpha_t \beta_t} = \frac{(1-\beta_t)}{(1-\beta_t) + (1-\beta_t)} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$\gamma$ -weak learner 定义要求输出的假设在新权重下错误率不超过  $\frac{1}{2} - \gamma$ 。

根据上述计算， $h_t$  在新权重下的错误率等于  $\frac{1}{2}$ ，不满足弱学习器条件，因此：

$$h_{t+1} \neq h_t$$

◇

**Problem 5 (20').** A bipartite graph is a graph whose vertices can be divided into two disjoint and independent sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that every edge connects a vertex in  $U$  to one in  $V$ . Find out and prove the threshold for  $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$  to be bipartite.

[Hint: The definition of bipartite graph is equivalent to a graph that does not contain any odd-length cycles.]

**Answer.** threshold 为  $\frac{1}{n}$ ，下面分别说明  $p < \frac{1}{n}$  和  $p > \frac{1}{n}$  的情况。

(1) 当  $p < \frac{1}{n}$ ：

设  $X_\ell$  表示图中长度为  $\ell$  的简单环的个数，其中  $\ell \geq 3$ 。则有：

$$\mathbb{E}[X_\ell] \leq \frac{n^\ell}{2\ell} p^\ell$$

上式即为  $n$  choose  $\ell$  再除以环的  $2\ell$  种等价表示。令  $X = \sum_{\ell \geq 3} X_\ell$  表示图中所有环的总数，则

$$\mathbb{E}[X] \leq \sum_{\ell \geq 3} \frac{(np)^\ell}{2\ell}$$

当  $p < \frac{1}{n}$  且  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  时，有  $np \rightarrow 0$ ，上式严格小于一个首项、公比都趋于 0 的等比数列，故求和式仍为 0，从而：

$$\mathbb{E}[X] \rightarrow 0 \implies \mathbb{P}(X \geq 1) \leq \mathbb{E}[X] \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{Markov})$$

因此 w.h.p. 图中不存在任何环，进而不存在奇环，从而 w.h.p.  $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$  是二分图。

(2) 当  $p > \frac{1}{n}$ :

只需证明 w.h.p. 存在一个奇环。此处证明 w.h.p. 存在一个三角形。

设  $X$  表示图中三角形的个数。每个三角形由三个顶点组成，其三条边全部存在的概率为  $p^3$ ，因此

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \binom{n}{3} p^3 \sim n^3 p^3$$

当  $p > \frac{1}{n}$  且  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  时，有  $np \rightarrow \infty$ ，因此  $\mathbb{E}[X] \rightarrow \infty$

下面估计方差。令

$$X = \sum_{\Delta} I_{\Delta}$$

其中  $I_{\Delta}$  表示某个特定三角形是否存在，从而有：

$$\text{Var}(X) = \sum_{\Delta} \text{Var}(I_{\Delta}) + \sum_{\Delta \neq \Delta'} \text{Cov}(I_{\Delta}, I_{\Delta'})$$

将方差拆为两项，放缩掉减去期望平方，则第一项满足：

$$\sum_{\Delta} \text{Var}(I_{\Delta}) \leq \mathbb{E}[X]$$

第二项中，只有两个三角形共享一条边时协方差才非零。相当于  $n$  choose 4 的数量级，每个此图形有  $6 - 1 = 5$  条边。因此有：

$$\sum_{\Delta \neq \Delta'} \text{Cov}(I_{\Delta}, I_{\Delta'}) \sim n^4 p^5$$

因此

$$\frac{\text{Var}(X)}{\mathbb{E}[X]^2} \sim \frac{n^3 p^3 + n^4 p^5}{n^6 p^6} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{when } np \rightarrow \infty$$

由 Chebyshev 不等式，

$$\mathbb{P}(X = 0) \leq \mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq \mathbb{E}[X]) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{\mathbb{E}[X]^2} \rightarrow 0$$

因此 w.h.p. 存在三角形，从而存在奇环， $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$  不是二分图。

综上知道  $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$  是二分图的阈值为  $\frac{1}{n}$ 。

◆

**Problem 6 (20').** A vertex is called an isolated vertex if it does not have any edges. Prove that, the threshold for  $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$  of the existence of isolated vertex is  $p = \frac{\ln n}{n}$ .

**Answer.** 下面分别说明  $p > \frac{\ln n}{n}$  和  $p < \frac{\ln n}{n}$  的情况：

(1) 当  $p > \frac{\ln n}{n}$ ,

设随机变量  $X$  表示图中孤立点的总数。

对任意顶点  $v$ , 它是孤立点当且仅当其余  $n - 1$  个顶点之间的边全部不存在:

$$\mathbb{P}(v \text{ 孤立}) = (1 - p)^{n-1}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = n(1 - p)^{n-1}$$

由  $(1 - p)^{n-1} \leq e^{-p(n-1)}$ , 得到:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] \leq n \exp(-p(n-1)) \sim n \exp(-(ln n + \omega(1))) = \exp(-\omega(1)) \rightarrow 0$$

由 Markov 不等式有:

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq 1) \leq \mathbb{E}[X] \rightarrow 0$$

因此 w.h.p.  $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$  中不存在孤立点。

(2) 当  $p > \frac{\ln n}{n}$ ,

在该条件下,

$$\mathbb{E}[X] \sim n \exp(-(ln n - \omega(1))) = \exp(\omega(1)) \rightarrow \infty$$

下面计算方差来构造 Chebyshev 不等式证明。

对两个不同顶点  $u \neq v$ , 它们同时是孤立点需要总共  $(n - 2) + (n - 2) + 1 = 2n - 3$  条边不存在:

$$\mathbb{P}(u, v \text{ 同时孤立}) = (1 - p)^{2n-3}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X(X - 1)] = n(n - 1)(1 - p)^{2n-3}$$

同时我们有,

$$\mathbb{E}[X]^2 = n^2(1 - p)^{2n-2}$$

因此有:

$$\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[X(X - 1)] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2 = \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[X]^2 \left( \frac{n(n-1)}{n^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1-p} - 1 \right) = \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[X]^2 \cdot o(1)$$

从而有:

$$\frac{\text{Var}(X)}{\mathbb{E}[X]^2} = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[X]} + o(1) \rightarrow 0$$

由 Chebyshev 不等式,

$$\mathbb{P}(X = 0) \leq \mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq \mathbb{E}[X]) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{\mathbb{E}[X]^2} \rightarrow 0$$

因此  $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$  中 w.h.p. 存在孤立点。

综上我们证明了不存在孤立点的阈值为  $p = \frac{\ln n}{n}$

◇