Will LNAPL recovery be effective? Tier 3

Computer Models: Several computer models are available to help understand if LNAPL can be recovered effectively:

- 1. The <u>API's LDRM model</u> can be used to determine how much LNAPL can be recovered. For an overview of LDRM, see Tier 3 of "How much LNAPL is present?"
- 2. The <u>USEPA's REMFuel</u> model allows users to develop a simple box model of BTEX and oxygenates in an LNAPL source zone, simulate a historical release, and see the effects of removing some fraction of LNAPL in the current timeframe or sometime in the future. For an overview of REMFuel, see Tier 3 of "How long will LNAPL persist?"
- 3. The <u>UTCHEM model</u> can simulate LNAPL recovery and is particularly useful for extremely complex LNAPL problems and for modeling surfactant remediation projects. For an overview of UTCHEM, see Tier 3 of "How far will LNAPL migrate?"
- 4. The <u>API LNAST model</u> can be used to see the impact of LNAPL recovery on dissolved plumes. For an overview of LNAST, see Tier 3 of "How will LNAPL risk change over time?"

LNAPL Transmissivity: More recently there has a been a move to use LNAPL transmissivity as a key metric to evaluate LNAPL recoverability (e.g., <u>ITRC</u>, <u>2017</u>). The ITRC's "Top Three Things To Know about LNAPL Transmissivity" is reproduced to the right.

The use of transmissivity has been catalyzed by a general consensus that hydraulic recovery of LNAPL (skimmer wells, trenches, groundwater pumping, etc.) has a **Technology Threshold Metric** consisting of LNAPL transmissivity greater than 0.1 to 0.8 ft²/day (0.0093 to 0.074 m²/day). This metric may be used as a decision point for remedial system operation or technology transitions (ITRC, 2018). For example, in the State of Michigan, LNAPL guidance states "if the NAPL has a transmissivity greater than 0.5 ft²/day, it is likely that the NAPL can be recovered in a cost-

Top Three Things to Know about $\underline{\text{LNAPL Transmissivity}}$ (T_n)

- T_n describes a basic relationship between LNAPL drawdown in a well and LNAPL flow to that well.
 This makes it a representative metric for LNAPL recoverability by any hydraulic method—including skimming, pumping, vacuum extraction, or manual bailing.
- T_n measurements must be used in context of the overall LCSM; identifying potentially confined or perched conditions and understanding seasonal changes are important to accurately measuring and appropriately using T_n as a metric.
- 3. T_n measurements are relevant to LNAPL saturation remedial objectives (e.g., recovering NAPL to the maximum extent practicable, or controlling LNAPL migration) and are not directly relevant to composition-based remedial objectives (e.g., meeting dissolved-phase groundwater standards).

effective and efficient manner unless a demonstration is made to show otherwise." (<u>ITRC</u>, <u>2018</u>). ITRC also describes five sites in detail that were used as the basis of this range (Section 1.3).

LNAPL transmissivity can be determined in two general ways:

- Computer Models: Using a multiphase LNAPL model to calculate transmissivity based on soil type, LNAPL properties, and other factors. The Tier 2 LNAPL Subsurface Volume and Extent Model can be used to easily estimate LNAPL transmissivity, as can LDRM. <u>Sale</u> (2001) provide methods for determining inputs to environmental petroleum hydrocarbon and recovery models.
- 2. Field Measurements (<u>ITRC, 2018</u>, Section 2.0): Conduct field data and analyze the data to calculate the LNAPL transmissivity. <u>ITRC (2018)</u> and <u>ASTM (2013)</u> prescribe three approaches:

- 1. LNAPL Baildown Testing. Note a computer spreadsheet is available to process the data from baildown tests to determine transmissivity (Charbeneau et al., 2012) (no metric units, however).
- 2. Manual LNAPL Skimming Testing.
- 3. LNAPL Recovery System Evaluation.

References

- ASTM. 2013. Standard Guide for Estimation of LNAPL Transmissivity. ASTM International.
- Charbeneau, R. Kirkman, A., Muthu, R., (2012) API LNAPL Transmissivity Workbook: A Tool for Baildown Test Analysis.
- ITRC, 2018. LNAPL-3: LNAPL Site Management LCSM Evolution, Decision Process, and Remedial Technologies. Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council.
- <u>Sale, T. (2001). Methods for Determining Inputs to Environmental Petroleum Hydrocarbon Mobility and Recovery Models, American Petroleum Institute Publication No. 4711.</u>