.6 Lab: Logistic Regression, LDA, QDA, and KNN

4.6.1 The Stock Market Data

We will begin by examining some numerical and graphical summaries of the Smarket data, which is part of the ISLR library. This data set consists of percentage returns for the S&P 500 stock index over 1,250 days, from the beginning of 2001 until the end of 2005. For each date, we have recorded the percentage returns for each of the five previous trading days, Lag1 through Lag5. We have also recorded Volume (the number of shares traded

on the previous day, in billions), Today (the percentage return on the date in question) and Direction (whether the market was Up or Down on this date).

```
> library(ISLR)
> names(Smarket)
[1] "Year"
               "Lag1"
                          "Lag2"
                                     "Lag3"
                                                "Lag4"
[6] "Lag5"
              "Volume"
                          "Today"
                                     "Direction"
> dim(Smarket)
[1] 1250 9
> summary (Smarket)
     Year
                   Lag1
                                     Lag2
Min.
       :2001
              Min. :-4.92200 Min. :-4.92200
1st Qu.:2002 1st Qu.:-0.63950 1st Qu.:-0.63950
Median :2003 Median : 0.03900
                              Median : 0.03900
       :2003
              Mean
                     : 0.00383
                                Mean
                                       : 0.00392
3rd Qu.:2004 3rd Qu.: 0.59675
                                3rd Qu.: 0.59675
Max. :2005 Max. : 5.73300
                                Max. : 5.73300
     Lag3
                       Lag4
                                         Lag5
                 Min. : -4.92200
                                  Min. :-4.92200
Min. :-4.92200
1st Qu.:-0.64000 1st Qu.:-0.64000 1st Qu.:-0.64000
Median: 0.03850 Median: 0.03850 Median: 0.03850
Mean : 0.00172 Mean : 0.00164
                                  Mean : 0.00561
                  3rd Qu.: 0.59675
3rd Qu.: 0.59675
                                    3rd Qu.: 0.59700
Max. : 5.73300 Max. : 5.73300 Max.
                                          : 5.73300
    Volume
                  Today
                           Direction
Min. :0.356 Min. :-4.92200
                               Down:602
              1st Qu.:-0.63950
1st Qu.:1.257
                                 Up :648
Median :1.423
               Median : 0.03850
Mean :1.478
               Mean : 0.00314
3rd Qu.:1.642
               3rd Qu.: 0.59675
Max. :3.152
                     : 5.73300
               Max.
> pairs(Smarket)
```

The cor() function produces a matrix that contains all of the pairwise correlations among the predictors in a data set. The first command below gives an error message because the **Direction** variable is qualitative.

```
> cor(Smarket)
Error in cor(Smarket) : 'x' must be numeric
> cor(Smarket[,-9])
         Year
                  Lag1
                           Lag2
                                    Lag3
                                             Lag4
                                                       Lag5
                                                    0.02979
       1.0000 0.02970
                        0.03060 0.03319
                                         0.03569
Year
       0.0297 1.00000 -0.02629 -0.01080 -0.00299 -0.00567
Lag1
Lag2
       0.0306 -0.02629
                       1.00000 -0.02590 -0.01085 -0.00356
       0.0332 -0.01080 -0.02590
                                 1.00000 -0.02405 -0.01881
Lag3
Lag4
       0.0357 -0.00299 -0.01085 -0.02405
                                         1.00000 -0.02708
       0.0298 - 0.00567 - 0.00356 - 0.01881 - 0.02708
Volume 0.5390
              0.04091 -0.04338 -0.04182 -0.04841 -0.02200
       0.0301 \ -0.02616 \ -0.01025 \ -0.00245 \ -0.00690 \ -0.03486
                  Today
        Volume
Year 0.5390 0.03010
```

```
Lag1 0.0409 -0.02616
Lag2
      -0.0434 -0.01025
Lag3
      -0.0418 -0.00245
Lag4
      -0.0484 -0.00690
Lag5
      -0.0220 -0.03486
Volume 1.0000
              0.01459
Today 0.0146 1.00000
```

As one would expect, the correlations between the lag variables and today's returns are close to zero. In other words, there appears to be little correlation between today's returns and previous days' returns. The only substantial correlation is between Year and Volume. By plotting the data we see that Volume is increasing over time. In other words, the average number of shares traded daily increased from 2001 to 2005.

```
> attach(Smarket)
> plot(Volume)
```

4.6.2 Logistic Regression

Next, we will fit a logistic regression model in order to predict Direction using Lag1 through Lag5 and Volume. The glm() function fits generalized linear models, a class of models that includes logistic regression. The syntax of the glm() function is similar to that of lm(), except that we must pass in linear model the argument family=binomial in order to tell R to run a logistic regression rather than some other type of generalized linear model.

```
> glm.fit=glm(Direction~Lag1+Lag2+Lag3+Lag4+Lag5+Volume,
   data=Smarket, family=binomial)
> summary(glm.fit)
glm(formula = Direction \sim Lag1 + Lag2 + Lag3 + Lag4 + Lag5
   + Volume, family = binomial, data = Smarket)
Deviance Residuals:
  Min 1Q Median
                          3 Q
                                 Max
                1.07
 -1.45
        -1.20
                         1.15
                                 1.33
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -0.12600 0.24074 -0.52
                                       0.60
      -0.07307
                                 -1.46
                       0.05017
Lag1
                                           0.15
           -0.04230
                      0.05009 -0.84
Lag2
                                          0.40
                       0.04994
                                 0.22
                                          0.82
Lag3
            0.01109
                      0.04997 0.19
0.04951 0.21
0.15836 0.86
            0.00936
0.01031
Lag4
                                           0.85
Lag5
                                          0.83
            0.13544
                       0.15836
                                  0.86
                                           0.39
Volume
```

```
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 1731.2 on 1249 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 1727.6 on 1243 degrees of freedom
AIC: 1742

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 3
```

The smallest p-value here is associated with Lag1. The negative coefficient for this predictor suggests that if the market had a positive return yesterday, then it is less likely to go up today. However, at a value of 0.15, the p-value is still relatively large, and so there is no clear evidence of a real association between Lag1 and Direction.

We use the <code>coef()</code> function in order to access just the coefficients for this fitted model. We can also use the <code>summary()</code> function to access particular aspects of the fitted model, such as the p-values for the coefficients.

```
> coef(glm.fit)
(Intercept)
                                Lag2
                                             Lag3
                                                          Lag4
                    Lag1
   -0.12600
                -0.07307
                            -0.04230
                                          0.01109
                                                       0.00936
       Lag5
                 Volume
    0.01031
                0.13544
> summary(glm.fit)$coef
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -0.12600
                                  -0.523
                          0.2407
                                             0.601
Lag1
            -0.07307
                          0.0502
                                 -1.457
                                             0.145
Lag2
            -0.04230
                          0.0501
                                  -0.845
                                             0.398
Lag3
             0.01109
                          0.0499
                                   0.222
                                             0.824
             0.00936
                          0.0500
                                   0.187
                                             0.851
Lag4
Lag5
             0.01031
                          0.0495
                                   0.208
                                             0.835
             0.13544
                          0.1584
                                   0.855
                                             0.392
Volume
> summary(glm.fit)$coef[,4]
(Intercept)
                   Lag1
                                             Lag3
                                                          Lag4
                               Lag2
      0.601
                  0.145
                               0.398
                                            0.824
                                                         0.851
                 Volume
       Lag5
                   0.392
```

The predict() function can be used to predict the probability that the market will go up, given values of the predictors. The type="response" option tells R to output probabilities of the form P(Y=1|X), as opposed to other information such as the logit. If no data set is supplied to the predict() function, then the probabilities are computed for the training data that was used to fit the logistic regression model. Here we have printed only the first ten probabilities. We know that these values correspond to the probability of the market going up, rather than down, because the contrasts() function indicates that R has created a dummy variable with a 1 for Up.

```
> glm.probs=predict(glm.fit,type="response")
> glm.probs[1:10]
    1    2    3    4    5    6    7    8    9    10
0.507    0.481    0.481    0.515    0.511    0.507    0.493    0.509    0.518    0.489
```

In order to make a prediction as to whether the market will go up or down on a particular day, we must convert these predicted probabilities into class labels, Up or Down. The following two commands create a vector of class predictions based on whether the predicted probability of a market increase is greater than or less than 0.5.

```
> glm.pred=rep("Down",1250)
> glm.pred[glm.probs>.5]="Up"
```

The first command creates a vector of 1,250 Down elements. The second line transforms to Up all of the elements for which the predicted probability of a market increase exceeds 0.5. Given these predictions, the table() function can be used to produce a confusion matrix in order to determine how many observations were correctly or incorrectly classified.

table(

The diagonal elements of the confusion matrix indicate correct predictions, while the off-diagonals represent incorrect predictions. Hence our model correctly predicted that the market would go up on 507 days and that it would go down on 145 days, for a total of 507 + 145 = 652 correct predictions. The mean() function can be used to compute the fraction of days for which the prediction was correct. In this case, logistic regression correctly predicted the movement of the market 52.2% of the time.

At first glance, it appears that the logistic regression model is working a little better than random guessing. However, this result is misleading because we trained and tested the model on the same set of 1,250 observations. In other words, 100 - 52.2 = 47.8% is the training error rate. As we have seen previously, the training error rate is often overly optimistic—it tends to underestimate the test error rate. In order to better assess the accuracy of the logistic regression model in this setting, we can fit the model using part of the data, and then examine how well it predicts the held out data. This will yield a more realistic error rate, in the sense that in practice we will be interested in our model's performance not on the data that we used to fit the model, but rather on days in the future for which the market's movements are unknown.

To implement this strategy, we will first create a vector corresponding to the observations from 2001 through 2004. We will then use this vector to create a held out data set of observations from 2005.

```
> train=(Year < 2005)
> Smarket .2005=Smarket[!train,]
> dim(Smarket .2005)
[1] 252 9
> Direction .2005=Direction[!train]
```

The object train is a vector of 1,250 elements, corresponding to the observations in our data set. The elements of the vector that correspond to observations that occurred before 2005 are set to TRUE, whereas those that correspond to observations in 2005 are set to FALSE. The object train is a Boolean vector, since its elements are TRUE and FALSE. Boolean vectors can be used to obtain a subset of the rows or columns of a matrix. For instance, the command Smarket [train,] would pick out a submatrix of the stock market data set, corresponding only to the dates before 2005, since those are the ones for which the elements of train are TRUE. The ! symbol can be used to reverse all of the elements of a Boolean vector. That is, !train is a vector similar to train, except that the elements that are TRUE in train get swapped to FALSE in !train, and the elements that are FALSE in train get swapped to TRUE in !train. Therefore, Smarket[!train,] yields a submatrix of the stock market data containing only the observations for which train is FALSE—that is, the observations with dates in 2005. The output above indicates that there are 252 such observations.

We now fit a logistic regression model using only the subset of the observations that correspond to dates before 2005, using the subset argument. We then obtain predicted probabilities of the stock market going up for each of the days in our test set—that is, for the days in 2005.

Notice that we have trained and tested our model on two completely separate data sets: training was performed using only the dates before 2005, and testing was performed using only the dates in 2005. Finally, we compute the predictions for 2005 and compare them to the actual movements of the market over that time period.

hoolean

```
[1] 0.48
> mean(glm.pred!=Direction.2005)
[1] 0.52
```

The != notation means not equal to, and so the last command computes the test set error rate. The results are rather disappointing: the test error rate is 52%, which is worse than random guessing! Of course this result is not all that surprising, given that one would not generally expect to be able to use previous days' returns to predict future market performance. (After all, if it were possible to do so, then the authors of this book would be out striking it rich rather than writing a statistics textbook.)

We recall that the logistic regression model had very underwhelming p-values associated with all of the predictors, and that the smallest p-value, though not very small, corresponded to Lag1. Perhaps by removing the variables that appear not to be helpful in predicting Direction, we can obtain a more effective model. After all, using predictors that have no relationship with the response tends to cause a deterioration in the test error rate (since such predictors cause an increase in variance without a corresponding decrease in bias), and so removing such predictors may in turn yield an improvement. Below we have refit the logistic regression using just Lag1 and Lag2, which seemed to have the highest predictive power in the original logistic regression model.

```
> glm.fit=glm(Direction~Lag1+Lag2,data=Smarket,family=binomial,
    subset=train)
> glm.probs=predict(glm.fit,Smarket.2005,type="response")
> glm.pred=rep("Down",252)
> glm.pred[glm.probs>.5] = "Up"
 table(glm.pred,Direction.2005)
        Direction .2005
glm.pred Down Up
    Down
           35
              35
           76 106
> mean(glm.pred==Direction.2005)
[1] 0.56
> 106/(106+76)
[1] 0.582
```

Now the results appear to be more promising: 56% of the daily movements have been correctly predicted. The confusion matrix suggests that on days when logistic regression predicts that the market will decline, it is only correct 50% of the time. However, on days when it predicts an increase in the market, it has a 58% accuracy rate.

Suppose that we want to predict the returns associated with particular values of Lag1 and Lag2. In particular, we want to predict Direction on a day when Lag1 and Lag2 equal 1.2 and 1.1, respectively, and on a day when they equal 1.5 and -0.8. We do this using the predict() function.

4.6.3 Linear Discriminant Analysis

Now we will perform LDA on the Smarket data. In R, we fit a LDA model using the lda() function, which is part of the MASS library. Notice that the syntax for the lda() function is identical to that of lm(), and to that of glm() except for the absence of the family option. We fit the model using only the observations before 2005.

lda()

```
> library(MASS)
> lda.fit=lda(Direction~Lag1+Lag2,data=Smarket,subset=train)
> lda.fit
lda(Direction \sim Lag1 + Lag2, data = Smarket, subset = train)
Prior probabilities of groups:
Down
        Uр
0.492 0.508
Group means:
        Lag1
               Lag2
Down 0.0428 0.0339
     -0.0395 -0.0313
Coefficients of linear discriminants:
        LD1
Lag1 -0.642
Lag2 -0.514
> plot(lda.fit)
```

The LDA output indicates that $\hat{\pi}_1 = 0.492$ and $\hat{\pi}_2 = 0.508$; in other words, 49.2% of the training observations correspond to days during which the market went down. It also provides the group means; these are the average of each predictor within each class, and are used by LDA as estimates of μ_k . These suggest that there is a tendency for the previous 2 days' returns to be negative on days when the market increases, and a tendency for the previous days' returns to be positive on days when the market declines. The coefficients of linear discriminants output provides the linear combination of Lag1 and Lag2 that are used to form the LDA decision rule. In other words, these are the multipliers of the elements of X = x in (4.19). If $-0.642 \times \text{Lag1} - 0.514 \times \text{Lag2}$ is large, then the LDA classifier will predict a market increase, and if it is small, then the LDA classifier will predict a market decline. The plot() function produces plots of the linear discriminants, obtained by computing $-0.642 \times \text{Lag1} - 0.514 \times \text{Lag2}$ for each of the training observations.

The predict() function returns a list with three elements. The first element, class, contains LDA's predictions about the movement of the market. The second element, posterior, is a matrix whose kth column contains the posterior probability that the corresponding observation belongs to the kth class, computed from (4.10). Finally, \mathbf{x} contains the linear discriminants, described earlier.

```
> lda.pred=predict(lda.fit, Smarket.2005)
> names(lda.pred)
[1] "class" "posterior" "x"
```

As we observed in Section 4.5, the LDA and logistic regression predictions are almost identical.

Applying a 50% threshold to the posterior probabilities allows us to recreate the predictions contained in lda.pred\$class.

```
> sum(lda.pred$posterior[,1]>=.5)
[1] 70
> sum(lda.pred$posterior[,1]<.5)
[1] 182</pre>
```

Notice that the posterior probability output by the model corresponds to the probability that the market will *decrease*:

```
> lda.pred$posterior[1:20,1]
> lda.class[1:20]
```

If we wanted to use a posterior probability threshold other than 50% in order to make predictions, then we could easily do so. For instance, suppose that we wish to predict a market decrease only if we are very certain that the market will indeed decrease on that day—say, if the posterior probability is at least 90%.

```
> sum(lda.pred$posterior[,1]>.9)
[1] 0
```

No days in 2005 meet that threshold! In fact, the greatest posterior probability of decrease in all of 2005 was 52.02%.

4.6.4 Quadratic Discriminant Analysis

We will now fit a QDA model to the Smarket data. QDA is implemented in R using the qda() function, which is also part of the MASS library. The syntax is identical to that of lda().

qda()

The output contains the group means. But it does not contain the coefficients of the linear discriminants, because the QDA classifier involves a quadratic, rather than a linear, function of the predictors. The predict() function works in exactly the same fashion as for LDA.

Interestingly, the QDA predictions are accurate almost 60% of the time, even though the 2005 data was not used to fit the model. This level of accuracy is quite impressive for stock market data, which is known to be quite hard to model accurately. This suggests that the quadratic form assumed by QDA may capture the true relationship more accurately than the linear forms assumed by LDA and logistic regression. However, we recommend evaluating this method's performance on a larger test set before betting that this approach will consistently beat the market!

4.6.5 K-Nearest Neighbors

We will now perform KNN using the knn() function, which is part of the class library. This function works rather differently from the other model-fitting functions that we have encountered thus far. Rather than a two-step approach in which we first fit the model and then we use the model to make predictions, knn() forms predictions using a single command. The function requires four inputs.

knn()

- 1. A matrix containing the predictors associated with the training data, labeled train.X below.
- 2. A matrix containing the predictors associated with the data for which we wish to make predictions, labeled test.X below.