

# AI Development Workflow Report

**Course:** AI for Software Engineering

**Assignment:** Understanding the AI Development Workflow

---

## 1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a cornerstone in modern software engineering, providing predictive insights, automation, and decision support across industries. The AI development workflow ensures a systematic approach, from defining problems to deploying models. This report demonstrates the workflow through a hypothetical student dropout prediction problem and a hospital readmission risk case study. The report also analyzes ethical considerations and deployment challenges in real-world settings.

---

## 2. Problem Definition

### 2.1 Hypothetical AI Problem

**Predicting student dropout rates.**

**Objectives:**

1. Identify students at high risk of dropping out.
2. Provide actionable interventions to reduce dropouts.
3. Optimize allocation of academic support resources.

**Stakeholders:**

- University administration
- Academic advisors and faculty

**KPI:** Percentage of correctly predicted at-risk students (prediction accuracy).

---

### 2.2 Hospital Case Study Problem

**Predict 30-day patient readmission risk.**

**Objectives:**

1. Identify high-risk patients before discharge.

2. Reduce avoidable readmissions.
3. Optimize hospital resource allocation.

**Stakeholders:**

- Hospital management
  - Physicians and care coordinators
- 

### **3. Data Collection & Preprocessing**

#### **3.1 Hypothetical Student Dataset**

**Sources:**

- Student academic records (grades, attendance)
- Engagement surveys and socio-economic data

**Potential Bias:** Students from underrepresented backgrounds may have incomplete records, affecting model fairness.

**Preprocessing Steps:**

1. Impute missing numeric values using median.
  2. Normalize numeric features (GPA, attendance).
  3. Encode categorical variables (program type) using one-hot encoding.
- 

#### **3.2 Hospital Dataset**

**Sources:**

- Electronic Health Records (EHRs) – diagnoses, lab results, medications
- Patient demographics – age, gender, socio-economic status

**Ethical Concerns:**

- Patient privacy and HIPAA compliance
- Algorithmic bias affecting disadvantaged groups

**Preprocessing Steps:**

1. Impute missing lab values or prior admission counts.
  2. Normalize numeric features.
  3. Encode categorical variables (e.g., discharge type).
  4. Feature engineering: calculate comorbidity scores, prior admissions count.
- 

## 4. Model Development

### 4.1 Student Dropout Prediction

- **Model:** Random Forest – robust to missing data, interpretable via feature importance.
- **Data Split:** Training 70%, Validation 15%, Test 15%
- **Hyperparameters Tuned:**
  - Number of trees (n\_estimators)
  - Maximum tree depth (max\_depth)

### 4.2 Hospital Readmission Prediction

- **Model:** XGBoost – handles tabular, imbalanced data efficiently.
- **Train/Test Split:** 70/30
- **Hyperparameters Tuned:**
  - Learning rate (controls convergence speed)
  - Maximum tree depth (prevents overfitting)

#### Hypothetical Confusion Matrix for Hospital Case Study:

	Predicted Readmit	Predicted No Readmit
Actual Readmit	80	20
Actual No Readmit	15	85

#### Metrics:

- Precision =  $80 / (80 + 15) \approx 0.842$
- Recall =  $80 / (80 + 20) = 0.80$

---

## 5. Evaluation & Deployment

### Evaluation Metrics:

- **F1-score:** balances precision and recall for imbalanced classes
- **ROC-AUC:** measures model discrimination ability

**Concept Drift:** Change in student behavior or patient population over time reducing model accuracy.

### Deployment Challenges:

- Scalability: Models must handle predictions for thousands of students or patients in real time.
- Compliance: Hospital models must comply with HIPAA and patient privacy regulations.

### Deployment Steps (Hospital Case Study):

1. Export trained model as REST API (Flask/FastAPI)
  2. Integrate API with EHR system for real-time scoring
  3. Display results via clinician dashboard
  4. Implement access controls, encryption, and logging
- 

## 6. Critical Thinking

### 6.1 Ethics & Bias

- Biased training data may underrepresent certain student demographics or patient groups, leading to inequitable interventions or treatment recommendations.
- **Mitigation Strategy:** Oversample underrepresented groups or generate synthetic samples (SMOTE), monitor performance across demographics.

### 6.2 Trade-offs

- **Interpretability vs Accuracy:** Complex models (XGBoost) are accurate but less interpretable; simpler models (logistic regression) are transparent but less precise.
- **Resource Constraints:** Hospitals with limited computational resources may prefer simpler models to reduce inference latency and memory usage.

---

## 7. Reflection & Workflow Diagram

### 7.1 Reflection

- **Challenge:** Preprocessing real-world datasets — missing values, inconsistent records, sensitive information.
- **Improvement:** With more time/resources, collect larger datasets, automate data cleaning, and perform bias audits.

### 7.2 Workflow Diagram

Problem Definition → Data Collection → Data Preprocessing → Model Development → Evaluation → Deployment → Monitoring & Maintenance

(*Insert diagram image in figures/workflow\_diagram.png here in your PDF*)

---

## 8. References

1. Géron, A. (2019). *Hands-On Machine Learning with Scikit-Learn, Keras & TensorFlow*.
2. Kuhn, M., & Johnson, K. (2013). *Applied Predictive Modeling*.
3. HIPAA Guidelines: <https://www.hhs.gov/hipaa/index.html>