Answer:

----SETP1----

The language given in the question is as follows:

Language
$$F = \{a^i b^j | i = kj \text{ for some positive integer } k\}$$
.

A context free language (CFL) is generated by a context free grammar. In order to prove that a language is not a CFL, Pumping Lemma is used.

----SETP2----

Proof that Language L is not a Context Free Language:

Assume that F is CFL. Obtain a contradiction using pumping lemma to prove that the assumed statement is false.

- Let p be the pumping length for F that is guaranteed to exit by pumping lemma.
- Select string $s = a^p b^{2p} \in F$ where k = 2 and divide the string s into uvxyz.
- According to pumping lemma, v and y in string cannot be empty sets.
- Now, consider these two case, depending on whether substring *v* and *y* contain more than one type of alphabet symbol:
- 1. **Both v and y contain only one type of alphabet symbol:** In this case, both v and y does not contain mixed a's and b's. Thus, the string uv^2xy^2z cannot contain equal number of a's and b's. Also, a pattern for a's and b's can be obtained that contains a relation between number of a's and b's. So, none of the conditions of lemma violates and thus it does not contradict.

For example:

Consider $uv^i xy^i z$ such that v = a, y = bb and $u = x = z = \phi$. Thus, the strings generated will be s = abb, aabbbb, aaabbbbb...

All the strings *s* are a member of *F*. Hence, no contradiction is obtained.

2. **Either of v or y contains more than one type of alphabet symbols**: In this case, both vand y contain mixed a's and b's. Thus, the string uv^2xy^2z will contain strings with some order of ab followed by some order of ab again. Thus, it produces a wrong order of strings thereby producing a contradiction

For example:

Consider $uv^i x y^i z$ such that v = ab and y = b and $u = x = z = \phi$. Thus the strings generated will be s = abb, ababbb...

The string s = ababbb is not member of F. This violates our assumption and thus, a contradiction is obtained.

The second case results in a contradiction. Hence, the assumption that $\it F$ is context free language is false and therefore, $\it F$ is not a context free language.