

## 获得的答案

From the problem statement assume a language A is context free and a language B is regular.

From the theorem 2.20: A language is a context free language if and only if some push down automaton recognizes it.

From the corollary 1.40: A language is regular if and only if some nondeterministic finite automaton (NFA) recognizes it.

To prove that A/B is context free then it must be recognized by some push down automaton.

The proof is as follows:

Firstly construct a push down automata  $P_A$  for the context free language A

Consider the following pushdown automata  $P_A$ :

$$P_A = (Q_A, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta_A, q_A, F_A)$$

and a NFA M for the regular language B.

Consider the following NFA M:

$$M = (Q_B, \Sigma, \delta_B, q_B, F_B)$$

Assume  $P_{A/B}$  is the push down automaton that recognizes the Context free language A/B.

$$P_{A/B} = (Q^{A/B}, \Sigma, \Gamma^{A/B}, \delta_{A/B}, q_{A/B}, F_{A/B})$$

- Now  $P_{A/B}$  will read the prefix **w** of the input string.
- $P_{A/B}$  will guess that it has reached to the end of input string **w** at a non-deterministically chosen point;
- $P_{A/B}$  will behave like  $P_A$  and M running concurrently, except that it will guess the input string **x**, rather than actually reading it as input.
- If it is feasible in this way to concurrently to reach an accepting state of both  $P_A$  and M then  $P_{A/B}$  accepts.
- Note that there is no reason why the stack would have to be empty at the point where  $P_{A/B}$  begins the guessing phase.
- So it is essential for  $P_{A/B}$  to carry on *modeling*  $P_A$  in order to properly account for the stack contents.

$P_{A/B}$  is defined as follows:

- $Q^{A/B} = Q_A (Q_A \times Q_B)$
- $\Gamma^{A/B} = \Gamma$
- $q_{A/B} = q_0 P_A$  where  $q_0 = q_A = q_B$
- $F_{A/B} = F_A \times F_B$
- $\delta_{A/B}$  is defined as follows: For  $Q_A \in Q_A$  (i.e. if  $P_{A/B}$  is the initial phase):

$$\delta_{A/B}(q_A, a, u) = \begin{cases} \delta_A(q_A, a, u), & \text{if } a \in \Sigma, \\ \delta_A(q_A, \epsilon, u) \cup \{(q_A, q_{B,0}), \epsilon\} & \text{if } a = \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

For  $(q_A, q_B) \in Q_A \times Q_B$  (is the guessing phase):

$$\delta_{A/B}((q_A, q_B), a, u) = \begin{cases} \phi, & \text{if } a \in \Sigma, \\ \bigcup_{b \in \Sigma} \{(r_A, r_B), v\} : (r_A, v) \in \delta_A(q_A, b, u) \text{ and } r_B \in \delta_B(q_B, b)\}, & \text{if } a = \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

- Therefore it can be claimed that  $P_{A/B}$  accepts **w** if and only if there occurs a string **x** such that  $P_A$  accepts **wx** and M accepts **x**.
- For instance an acceptance calculation of  $P_{A/B}$  on input **w**, all of **w** must be read during the 1<sup>st</sup> stage.
- The input symbols b that are predicted through the 2<sup>nd</sup> stage determine a string **x** that is recognized M and is such that **wx** is recognized by  $P_A$ .
- Contrariwise, if w is a string with the property that **wx** belongs to A for some x belong to B, then there is an acceptance calculation of  $P_{A/B}$  in which w is read through the 1<sup>st</sup> stage, and the input x is predicted in the 2<sup>nd</sup> stage.
- In this instance the  $P_A$  components of the states determine an acceptance calculation on  $P_A$  on input **wx** and the M- components of the states determine an acceptance calculation of B on input **x**.

[浙ICP备16034203号-2](#)

Hence, it is proved A/B is context free language by using  $P_{A/B}$  from the above discussion.

