
Question:

Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 3x + 1 & \text{for odd } x \\ x/2 & \text{for even } x \end{cases}$$

for any natural number x . If you start with an integer x and iterate f , you obtain a sequence, $x, f(x), f(f(x)), \dots$. Stop if you ever hit 1. For example, if $x = 17$, you get the sequence 17, 52, 26, 13, 40, 20, 10, 5, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1. Extensive computer tests have shown that every starting point between 1 and a large positive integer gives a sequence that ends in 1. But the question of whether all positive starting points end up at 1 is unsolved; it is called the $3x + 1$ problem.

Suppose that A_{TM} were decidable by a TM H . Use H to describe a TM that is guaranteed to state the answer to the $3x + 1$ problem.

Answer:

----SETP1----

The solution can be built in stages. Later stages will use Turing machines that are constructed in earlier stages. The first step is to construct a Turing machine M_{query} that takes an input x and accepts if iterating f starting from x eventually yields 1 and loops forever otherwise.

M_{query} . On input $\langle x \rangle$:

1. If $x = 1$, then accept.
2. If x is odd, update $x \leftarrow 3x + 1$. If x is even, update $x \leftarrow \frac{x}{2}$.
3. Go to step 1.

Our next step is to construct a Turing machine M_{loop} which iterates over all positive integers, looking for a counter-example to the $3x + 1$ conjecture. That is, M_{loop} searches for some x such that iterating f starting from x never reaches 1 and accepts if it finds such an x . To do this, it seems tempting to have M_{loop} simulated M_{query} first on $x = 1$, next on $x = 2$, and so forth. Whenever iterating f on x yields 1, the simulation of M_{query} will eventually end and M_{loop} would then proceed to the next number $x + 1$. But what if M_{loop} actually finds a counter-example x ? In this case, the simulation of M_{query} on x will never terminate, and M_{loop} will be in the unfortunate situation that it has found what it is looking for, but it doesn't know it has found it!

To get around this, use H . Instead of simulating M_{query} on x , it has M_{loop} check whether or not M_{query} would accept x by passing $\langle M_{\text{query}}, x \rangle$, to H .

M_{loop} . On input $\langle w \rangle$:

1. Ignore the input w .
2. For each natural number $y = 1, 2, 3, \dots$:
3. Run H on $\langle M_{\text{query}}, y \rangle$.
4. If H rejects, then accept. Otherwise, continue the loop.

Finally, in order to solve the $3x + 1$ problem, it is required to know whether or not M_{loop} finds a counter-example. Again, it might be tempted to simulate M_{loop} and see if it ever finds a counter-example and accepts.

The problem is that there may not be any counter-example, in which case M_{loop} will loop forever and our simulation will not terminate. The trick is to use H again to see if M_{loop} finds a counter example.

M_{3x+1} . On input $\langle w \rangle$:

1. Ignore the input w .
2. Run H on $\langle M_{\text{loop}}, \varepsilon \rangle$.
3. If H accepts, then print "There is a counter-example to the $3x+1$ conjecture."