获得的答案

## Given:

Assume that  $EQ_{DFA} = \{\langle A, B \rangle | A, B \text{ are } DFAs \text{ and } L(A) = L(B) \}$  and suppose M is a Turing machine for determining the decidability of  $EQ_{DFA}$ .

## Construction of Turing machine M:

- M = "On input  $\langle A, B \rangle$ , where A and B are DFAs with the same terminal symbols. If symbols are not same then reject.
- Calculate the number of states in the DFA, A and B and stored them in n and m respectively.
- Iterate all strings which comes under  $\Sigma$  till n.m
- ullet Now for each and every string w
- o Simulate DFA A on the string w
- o Simulate DFA B on the string w

o Here Turing Machine M is working as a decider by running on the output provided both DFA M and M. If result of both the simulation comes different the reject the string, otherwise accept it.

- After that all *n.m* strings then accept it otherwise reject it.
- ullet If M accepts, accept. If M rejects, reject."

## Size for working:

The reason behind checking first n.m strings is that if both DFAs do not accept the same language so there is a string w of size |w| and it is less than equals to size of n.m for  $A(w) \neq B(w)$ .

By using contradiction, suppose that the first string provides a different output of DFAs A and B is w'. The length l of |w'| is greater than n.m.

Now the sequence of states for the DFA A is  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l$  and the sequence of states for the DFA B is  $b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_l$  for describing the transitions for w' in DFA A and B.

As the value of I is greater than n.m, if the user places above sequences side by side so there is some repetition in pairs like  $a_i, b_i$  and  $a_j, b_j$  such that  $a_i = a_j, b_i = b_j, i < j$  also present.

So user can remove all the sequences remaining  $a_i, b_i$  and get a smaller string w. As the DFA A and B is same over as w, thus our contradiction becomes false.

As the string w'' has length less than equal to n.m such that  $A(w'') \neq B(w'')$ .

So, checking all the strings up to size n.m is enough.

## Conclusion:

Here two Turing Machines are used M is used as decider to find decidability of A and B Turing Machine M is used to find Running condition of Turing machines M. This way A and B are being decided by M, A and B are components of  $EQ_{DEA}$  so,  $EQ_{DEA}$  is also decidable.