Problem 1

Results –

Performance with small files (23 KB)

Wind	1		20		40		63	
OW Sizo								
Size →								
Block	Through	RTT	Through	RTT	Through	RTT	Through	RTT
Size ↓	put	(milliseco	put	(milliseco	put	(milliseco	put	(milliseco
	(Mbps)	nds)	(Mbps)	nds)	(Mbps)	nds)	(Mbps)	nds)
512	11.38	16	60.62	3	60.62	3	60.62	3
1024	22.37	8	60.62	3	90.94	2	90.94	2
1471	25.98	7	60.62	3	90.94	2	90.94	2

Performance with large files (89 MB)

Wind	1		20		40		63	
ow Size →								
Block	Through	RTT	Through	RTT	Through	RTT	Through	RTT
Size ↓	put	(milliseco	put	(milliseco	put	(milliseco	put	(milliseco
·	(Mbps)	nds)	(Mbps)	nds)	(Mbps)	nds)	(Mbps)	nds)
512	18.35	40312	193.44	3825	245	3020	302	2450
1024	32.59	22702	238.60	3101	323	2284	355.04	2084
1471	41.58	17792	245	3020	316.07	2041	340.97	2170

As it can be seen from the table, for large files, the completion time is in the range of 2000-3000 milliseconds that is 2-3 seconds, this verifies the correctness of the program. For the performance of block size = 1024, the performance with different window sizes is highlighted.

In comparison with lab 3, problem 2, the throughput for both large and small files decreases and the round trip time increases. For a typical large file, the throughput for myftps/myftpc was around 800 Mbps, and the round trip time was \sim 200 ms. For this algorithm, reliability is added, so, the round trip time increases to \sim 2000 ms (or 2 seconds) and the throughput decreases to \sim 300 Mbps.

The following table depicts the throughput of lab3 problem ${\bf 2}$

Category	Block Size	File Size	Time Taken (milliseconds)	Throughput (Megabits/seconds)	
Small Files (tens	512 bytes	17.4 KB	3 msec	46 Mbps	
of KB)	1024 bytes	17.4 KB	2 msec	69 Mbps	
	2048 bytes	17.4 KB	2 msec	69 Mbps	
	4096 bytes	17.4 KB	2 msec	69 Mbps	
Large Files (tens	512 bytes	30.116 MB	279 msec	884.24 Mbps	
of MB)	1024 bytes	30.116 MB	297 msec	830.65 Mbps	
	2048 bytes	30.116 MB	278 msec	887.42 Mbps	
	4096 bytes	30.116 MB	277 msec	892.32 Mbps	