



PRIVACY-PRESERVING CREDIT CARD FRAUD DETECTION

**Building a secure ML model to detect fraudulent transactions
while protecting user privacy**

Presented By : Rishank kumbhare (22070521184)

Divyanshu Dharmik(22070521174)

Akash Ujawane(22070521175)

DATASET OVERVIEW

We used a publicly available dataset from Kaggle with 284,807 transactions. It contains anonymized features (V1 to V28) transformed using PCA, along with Time, Amount, and Class labels. Only 0.17% of transactions are fraudulent, making it highly imbalanced.

Time	V1	V2	V3	...	V28	Amount	Class
0	-1.3598	-0.0728	2.5363	...	0.0218	149.62	0
1	1.1918	0.2661	0.1664	...	0.0003	2.69	0
2	-1.3584	-1.3402	1.7732	...	0.0102	378.66	0

PRIVACY-PRESERVING TECHNIQUES

- No personal details were used — no names, card numbers, or addresses.
- Data features were anonymized using PCA, hiding real identities.
- Model only saw transformed values, not actual customer info.
- Impossible to reverse the data back to original users.
- All processing was done securely to prevent data leaks.

METHODOLOGY

- **Data Preprocessing:** Cleaned and scaled features like Amount, handled class imbalance.
- **Train-Test Split:** Divided data to train the model and test its performance.
- **Model Training:** Used XGBoost — fast, accurate, and handles imbalanced data well.
- **Privacy Preserved:** Model trained only on anonymized features.

HANDLING IMBALANCED DATA

- Fraudulent transactions make up less than 0.2% of the data.
- Trained the model using class weighting to focus more on fraud cases.
- This prevents the model from always predicting "not fraud" just to boost accuracy.
- Goal: Improve recall (catch more frauds) without too many false alarms.

MODEL USED

- Tried models like Logistic Regression and Random Forest.
- XGBoost performed best — it's fast, accurate, and handles imbalanced data well.
- Automatically gives more weight to rare fraud cases.
- Easy to tune and works well with anonymized numeric data.

What is XGBoost?

- Builds a series of decision trees
- Each tree fixes errors made by the previous one
- Combines all trees for better accuracy

Why XGBoost?

- High precision and recall
- Robust against overfitting
- Scalable for large datasets

EVALUATION METRICS

- **Accuracy** – Overall correctness of predictions
- **Precision** – Out of all predicted frauds, how many were actually fraud
- **Recall** – Out of all actual frauds, how many we caught
- **F1-Score** – Balance between precision and recall
- **ROC-AUC** – Measures model's ability to distinguish fraud vs non-fraud

RESULTS & MODEL COMPARISON

Key Takeaway:

- XGBoost outperformed other models in all key metrics.
- Best balance between catching frauds (recall) and being accurate (precision).

Model Performance Comparison

Model	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Score
Logistic Regression	97.5	66	62	64
Random Forest	99.3	89	85	87
XGBoost	99.6	92	90	91

FUTURE ENHANCEMENTS

- **Add real-time detection to catch fraud as it happens.**
- **Integrate Differential Privacy to mathematically guarantee user data protection.**
- **Use Federated Learning to train models across devices without sharing data.**
- **Automate retraining with fresh data to adapt to new fraud patterns.**
- **Deploy as a secure API for easy integration with banking systems.**

CONCLUSION

- **We built a smart model that spots credit card fraud.**
- **It works without using any personal or sensitive info.**
- **XGBoost gave the best results with great accuracy and speed.**
- **The model handles rare fraud cases really well.**

The background features three vertical stripes on the left: a wide pink stripe, a medium blue stripe, and a narrow beige stripe. The right side of the image is a light beige background with two rectangular areas of small, light pink dots in the top right and bottom right corners.

THANK YOU