

## ACMG Pathogenic Criteria – Detailed Guide

This document provides an in-depth explanation of the ACMG/AMP pathogenic criteria for variant interpretation. Each criterion is explained with definition, how to identify it, and a checklist for practical usage.

---

### PVS1 – Very Strong

**Definition:** Null variant (nonsense, frameshift, canonical  $\pm 1$  or 2 splice sites, initiation codon, single/multi-exon deletion) in a gene where loss of function (LoF) is a known mechanism.

**How to Identify:** - "This variant causes a premature stop codon" - "The mutation results in nonsense-mediated decay" - "Frameshift deletion in a LoF-intolerant gene"

**Checklist:** - Is the variant type null (e.g., nonsense, frameshift)? - Is LoF a known disease mechanism for this gene? - Is the transcript susceptible to nonsense-mediated decay?

---

### PS1 – Strong

**Definition:** Same amino acid change as a known pathogenic variant but through a different nucleotide change.

**How to Identify:** - "A missense variant resulting in the same amino acid as a previously reported pathogenic variant"

**Checklist:** - Does the amino acid change match a known pathogenic variant? - Is the nucleotide change different from the known pathogenic one?

---

### PS2 – Strong

**Definition:** De novo occurrence (both maternity and paternity confirmed) in a patient with the disease and no family history.

**How to Identify:** - "Confirmed de novo mutation" - "Both parents tested; variant not found"

---

### PS3 – Strong

**Definition:** Well-established in vitro or in vivo functional studies supportive of a damaging effect on the gene or gene product.

**How to Identify:** - "Functional assay showed loss of enzymatic activity" - "Luciferase assay confirms disrupted splicing"

---

#### **PS4 – Strong**

**Definition:** Prevalence of the variant in affected individuals is significantly increased compared with controls.

**How to Identify:** - "Observed in 6/10 patients but 0/2000 controls" - "High odds ratio with statistical significance in a case-control study"

---

#### **PM1 – Moderate**

**Definition:** Located in a mutational hot spot or well-established functional domain without benign variation.

**How to Identify:** - "Within DNA-binding domain" - "Highly conserved active site"

---

#### **PM2 – Moderate**

**Definition:** Absent from controls (or at extremely low frequency if recessive) in population databases like gnomAD, ExAC.

**How to Identify:** - "Not found in any population cohort" - "MAF = 0% in gnomAD"

---

#### **PM3 – Moderate**

**Definition:** Detected in trans with a pathogenic variant in recessive disorders.

**How to Identify:** - "Compound heterozygous with known pathogenic variant"

---

#### **PM4 – Moderate**

**Definition:** Protein length changes due to in-frame insertions/deletions or stop-loss variants.

**How to Identify:** - "In-frame deletion of 3 amino acids" - "Elongation at protein C-terminus"

---

### **PM5 – Moderate**

**Definition:** Novel missense change at an amino acid residue where a different missense change is known to be pathogenic.

**How to Identify:** - "Other variants at the same codon previously reported as pathogenic"

---

### **PM6 – Moderate**

**Definition:** Assumed de novo occurrence without confirmed parentage.

---

### **PP1 – Supporting**

**Definition:** Co-segregation with disease in multiple affected family members.

**How to Identify:** - "Variant present in all affected family members and absent in unaffected"

---

### **PP2 – Supporting**

**Definition:** Missense variant in a gene with low rate of benign missense variation and where such changes are a common mechanism of disease.

**How to Identify:** - Gene has a high constraint score (e.g., Z-score) - Missense variants are typically disease-causing in this gene

---

### **PP3 – Supporting**

**Definition:** Multiple lines of computational evidence support a deleterious effect.

**How to Identify:** - "SIFT, PolyPhen, CADD all predict damaging" - "REVEL score > 0.75"

---

### **PP4 – Supporting**

**Definition:** Patient's phenotype or family history is highly specific for a disease with a single genetic etiology.

**How to Identify:** - Phenotype highly consistent with disease caused by the gene in question - No competing diagnoses

---

## **PP5 – Supporting (Deprecated)**

**Definition:** Reputable source reports variant as pathogenic without accessible evidence.

**Note:** This criterion is deprecated in newer guidelines due to reliance on third-party assertions without direct evidence.

---

**Note:** Pathogenic classification generally requires a combination of criteria: - Very Strong (PVS1) + Strong (PSx) - Multiple Strong criteria - Combinations of Moderate and Supporting evidence as per ACMG rules.

Use these definitions and checklists to consistently evaluate evidence strength when classifying variants.