# Assignment 4

#### Steps to Install standfordCoreNLP:

- 1. Download Stanford CoreNLP (link)
- 2. Install Java 8 (for mac): Open terminal and use below commands:
  - a. brew update
  - b. brew install jenv
  - c. brew cask install java
- 3. (if brew is not installed then first intsall brew on mac)
- 4. Running Stanford CoreNLP Server: change directory to the path of the unzipped Stanford CoreNLP and execute the below command:
  - a. java -mx4g -cp "\*" edu.stanford.nlp.pipeline.StanfordCoreNLPServer -annotators "tokenize,ssplit,pos,lemma,parse,sentiment" -port 9000 -timeout 30000
- 5. Accessing Stanford CoreNLP Server using Python
  - a. Download pycorenlp: pip install pycorenlp

#### **Designing of Opinion Extraction Module with CoreNLP**

For task 1, first we need to install standfordCoreNLP (instructions given above)

Annotator used: tokenize, sentiment, ner, pos

Reason why I chose above annotators for designing my opinion extraction module:

tokenize: To detect words in a sentence

**pos:** To get the tagging of a word which I have further utilized to extract only relevant 'enhanced dependencies'.

**Sentiment:** To identify the sentiment of a query and retrieve only matching sentiment query.

**Objective:** To create a dictionary 'extracted\_opinions' of format {opinion: [list\_of\_docs]} where opinion = 'attribute', 'quality'

#### **Process:**

To create a dictionary (extracted opinions)"

- 1. First a dictionary mapping all the words in a review with its corresponding 'pos' is created.
- 2. for each sentence in a review, extracted 'enhanced dependencies' of following types:
  - a) 'amod': Retrived all amod type dependencies without any conditions. In this case opinion is stored as 'governorGloss', 'dependentGloss'
  - b) 'nsubj': Retrived only 'governorGloss' = 'JJ' ('adjective') and 'dependentGloss' = 'NN' ('noun'). In this case opinion is stored as 'dependentGloss', 'governorGloss'
  - c) 'discourse': Retrived only 'governorGloss' = 'NN' ('noun') and 'dependentGloss' = 'UH' ('interjection'). In this case opinion is stored as 'dependentGloss', 'governorGloss'

To extract above dependencies, I have referred research paper on 'Aspect-Based Opinion Mining Using Dependency Relations" by Amani K Samha. ( 'Amani K Samha, "Aspect-Based Opinion Mining Using Dependency Relations", International Journal of Computer Science Trends and Technology (IJCST) – Volume 4 Issue 1, Jan - Feb 2016')

3. After extracting relevant opinions from a review, I stored it in the extracted\_opinions along with the review\_id. So, for each review we have multiple dictionary entry.

### **Opinion Similarity Score Calculation:**

- 1. Split query\_opinion to get 'attribute' and 'quality' of the query\_opinion
- 2. Split each opinion in extracted opinion into 2 parts 'attribute' and 'quality'
- 3. Check if the 'attribute' and 'quality' of an opinion exist in our word embedding 'assign4\_word2vec1.bin'
- 4. Now check the sentiment of the query quality and opinion quality.
- 5. If opinion's 'attribute' and 'quality' exist in our word embedding and sentiments of the query and the opinion is same, then calculate similarity between query and opinion. To calculate similarity between a query and an opinion calculate:
  - a. Attribute similarity between the query and the opinion
  - b. Quality similarity between the query and the opinion
- 6. Decide a threshold of similarity to retrieve only relevant opinions.
- 7. Tuning cosine similarity threshold:
  - a. cosine similarity threshold for attribute is kept at 0.33 to retrieve opinion attributes like 'salad', 'fries', 'taco' for query attribute 'food' Below is an output snippet to support my logic:

```
query opinion [food, delicious] has similar opinions: query attribute: food, opinion attribute: salad, similarity score: 0.36177757 query attribute: food, opinion attribute: turkey, similarity score: 0.3526584 query attribute: food, opinion attribute: taco, similarity score: 0.34466738
```

```
query attribute: food, opinion attribute: fries, similarity score: 0.337115
```

b. cosine similarity threshold for attribute is kept at 0.2 to retrieve opinion attributes like 'tender', 'excellent', 'good' for query attribute 'delicious' Below is an output snippet to support my logic:

```
query opinion [food, delicious] has similar opinions:
query quality: delicious, opinion quality: tender, similarity s
core: 0.20179237
query quality: delicious, opinion quality: excellent, similarit
y score: 0.29940972
query quality: delicious, opinion quality: good, similarity sco
re: 0.28821617
```

#### **Successful Cases:**

• I am filtering by common sentiments to remove cases where there are strong similarities between negative and positive words. For eg for query "service good" similarity between 'bad' and 'good' > 0.7. Filtering only on the basis of scores is not efficient here that's why I implement filtering by common sentiments. So a positive query will extract only positive opinions.

```
query opinion [service, good] has similar opinions:
[good, excellent: 0.6442926
[good, great: 0.72915095
[good, warm: 0.3033841
[good, solid: 0.5806034
[good, good: 1.0

[service, excellent] appears in review [ 1 , 2 ]

[service, great] appears in review [ 5 , 1 4 ]

[service, warm] appears in review [ 8 ]

[service, solid] appears in review [ 1 3 ]

[service, good] appears in review [ 2 0 ]
```

Note: [service, bad] is not retrieved

• I decided to have separate cosine similarity threshold value for attribute and quality to design a better retrieval system. A lower cosine similarity threshold is good for quality but not for attributes (given a common sentiment) as threshold at 0.2 will retrieve some irrelevant attributes like 'food' for

'service' and a higher threshold in quality will reject some relevant opinions as well. For instance 'good' and 'delicious' have similarity score 0.28.

```
query opinion [food, delicious] has similar opinions:
[delicious, wonderful: 0.50828356
[delicious, delicious: 1.0
[delicious, tender: 0.20179237
[delicious, flavorful: 0.74283195
[delicious, delicious: 1.0
[delicious, nice: 0.36657465
[delicious, great: 0.34220225
[delicious, excellent: 0.29940972
[delicious, hearty: 0.5545052
[delicious, roasted: 0.46554118
[delicious, fresh: 0.33691075
[delicious, interesting: 0.31214893
[delicious, good: 0.28821617
[delicious, satisfying: 0.47659993
[delicious, great: 0.34220225
```

#### Cases where system fails:

- My algorithm fails to retrieve opinion attribute 'waiter', 'server' for query attribute 'service' as they have a very low cosine similarity and lowering down threshold to retrieve these cases will retrieve some irrelevant opinions as well.
- My opinion retrieval design system is limited to 2 words opinion only and hence attributes like 'bread stick', 'California salad' are stored in the system as 'stick' and 'salad' respectively.

## **Output:**

(base) rishusingh@Rishus-MacBook-Pro Assignment 4 % python Assignment4Main.py

[1]

[co-worker, old] appears in review [1]

[meal, wonderful] appears in review

```
[salad, huge] appears in review [1]
[salad, delicious] appears in review
                                       [1]
[stick, little] appears in review
                                 [1]
[stick, delicious] appears in review
                                       [1]
                                      [1, 2]
[service, excellent] appears in review
[place, great] appears in review
                                 [1]
[experience, upscale] appears in review
                                             [1]
[spots, other] appears in review
                                 [1]
[school, old] appears in review
                                 [2]
[woodwork, ornate] appears in review
                                       [2]
[tablecloths, white] appears in review
                                       [2]
[potatoes, red] appears in review [2]
[skinned, mashed] appears in review
                                       [2]
```

```
[meat, tender] appears in review [2]
[meat, flavorful] appears in review [2]
[slaw, delicious] appears in review
                                       [2]
[atmosphere, nice] appears in review
                                       [3]
[list, local] appears in review
                                 [3]
[list, great] appears in review
                                 [3]
[potions, huge] appears in review [3]
[menu, huge] appears in review
                                [3]
[thing, good] appears in review
                                 [3]
[note, positive] appears in review [4]
                                       [4]
[meal, delicious] appears in review
[sandwich, grilled] appears in review
                                       [4]
[toppings, own] appears in review [4]
```

```
[ambiance, nice] appears in review [4]
```

[ride, useless] appears in review [5]

[meals, best] appears in review [5]

[fan, huge] appears in review [5]

[service, great] appears in review [5, 14]

[sandwiches, french] appears in review [5]

[restaurant, nice] appears in review [5]

[atmosphere, great] appears in review [5]

[food, great] appears in review [5]

[rotation, regular] appears in review [6]

- [food, excellent] appears in review [ 6 ]
- [deserts, recent] appears in review [6]
- [deserts, mini] appears in review [6]
- [deal, great] appears in review [6]
- [selection, good] appears in review [6]
- [quality, bad] appears in review [7]
- [service, rude] appears in review [7]
- [day, bad] appears in review [7]
- [food, hearty] appears in review [8]
- [prices, decent] appears in review [8]
- [service, warm] appears in review [8]
- [fish, battered] appears in review [8]
- [good, bad] appears in review [8]

```
[service, bad] appears in review [9]
[fingers, homemade] appears in review [10]
[value, great] appears in review [10]
[menu, large] appears in review [11]
[quality, excellent] appears in review
                                     [11]
[menu, entire] appears in review [11]
[specialities, other] appears in review [11]
[5, top] appears in review [12]
                                      [12]
[favorites, local] appears in review
[feeling, warm] appears in review [12]
                                     [12]
[deal, cheapest] appears in review
[order, particular] appears in review
                                      [12]
[course, main] appears in review [12]
```

```
[onion, french] appears in review [12]
[summer, last] appears in review [13]
[time, wonderful] appears in review
                                     [13]
[service, solid] appears in review [13]
[group, larger] appears in review [13]
                                     [14]
[turkey, roasted] appears in review
[food, fresh] appears in review
                              [14]
[food, interesting] appears in review
                                     [14]
                                [15]
[taco, good] appears in review
                               [15]
[waiter, slow] appears in review
[time, long] appears in review
                                [15]
[thing, second] appears in review [15]
[food, cold] appears in review
                                [15]
```

```
[group, large] appears in review [16]
                                      [16]
[food, satisfying] appears in review
[food, typical] appears in review [16]
                                      [16]
[food, american] appears in review
                                [16]
[way, bad] appears in review
                                      [16]
[options, vegan] appears in review
[salad, large] appears in review [17]
[salad, greek] appears in review [17]
[nachos, good] appears in review [17]
[fries, great] appears in review
                                [17]
                                      [17]
[waiter, friendly] appears in review
                                      [17]
[waiter, attentive] appears in review
[wines, decent] appears in review [ 1 8 ]
```

```
[restaurants, more] appears in review [19]
[restaurants, busy] appears in review [19]
[hopes, high] appears in review [19]
[service, good] appears in review [20]
[ambience, pleasant] appears in review [20]
[pittsburghers, older] appears in review [20]
[lot, expensive] appears in review [20]
query opinion [service, good] has similar opinions:
     [service, excellent] appears in review [1, 2]
     [service, great] appears in review [5, 14]
     [service, warm] appears in review [8]
     [service, solid] appears in review [13]
```

```
[service, good] appears in review [20]
query opinion [service, bad] has similar opinions:
     [service, rude] appears in review [7]
     [service, bad] appears in review [9]
query opinion [atmosphere, good] has similar opinions:
                                            [3]
     [atmosphere, nice] appears in review
     [ambiance, nice] appears in review
                                            [4]
     [atmosphere, great] appears in review [5]
     [feeling, warm] appears in review [12]
     [ambience, pleasant] appears in review [20]
query opinion [food, delicious] has similar opinions:
     [meal, wonderful] appears in review
                                            [1]
     [salad, delicious] appears in review
                                            [1]
```

```
[meat, tender] appears in review [2]
[meat, flavorful] appears in review [2]
                                       [4]
[meal, delicious] appears in review
                                       [5]
[restaurant, nice] appears in review
[food, great] appears in review
                                 [5]
                                       [6]
[food, excellent] appears in review
[food, hearty] appears in review
                                 [8]
[turkey, roasted] appears in review
                                       [14]
[food, fresh] appears in review
                                 [14]
                                       [14]
[food, interesting] appears in review
                                 [15]
[taco, good] appears in review
[food, satisfying] appears in review
                                       [16]
[fries, great] appears in review
                                 [17]
```

\_\_\_\_\_