

## Procedures

### Getting Started

To obtain a copy of today's activity, log into a shark machine and do the following:

```
$ wget http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~213/activities/machine-procedures.tar
$ tar xf machine-procedures.tar
$ cd machine-procedures
```

Record your answers to the discussion questions below. You may wish to refer back to the activity from last week (<https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~213/activities/gdb-and-assembly.pdf>) which contains a list of relevant GDB commands.

### 1 Activity 1: Calls

In the machine-procedures directory that you created, run the calls binary from within GDB, like this:

```
$ gdb --args ./calls
(gdb) r
```

The program will instruct you as you progress through the activity. These questions accompany the program; when it prompts you to answer a problem, discuss with your partner and write your answer here.

**Problem 1.** Fill in the contents of the stack:

0x 0x0000000000015213	← \$rsp = 0x 7fffffffdf10
0x 0x0000000000040117a	
...	

**Problem 2.** What was the meaning of the second number on the stack?

the return address

**Problem 3.** What does the ret instruction do?

pop the stack and store the pop address to rip

**Problem 4.** Given your answer to Problem 3, what must it be that call does?

push rip(the address of the instruction immediately after the call) onto the stack, and jumps to the address of its operand

**Problem 5.** What special optimization of calls has been applied to `returnOneOpt`?

Why does this optimization work for `returnOneOpt`? Can it be used for any call?  
the call is changed into `jmp`, because `returnOneOpt` do nothing after the call to `abs`. NO

The transformation you just witnessed is a simple example of tail-call optimization. Because a call was the last instruction before a `ret`, within a function that doesn't adjust the stack pointer, the compiler could skip allocating a stack frame: both the call and the `ret` could be replaced with a simple `jmp` to the called function. That function must end in its own `ret` instruction, which will use the return address pushed by the call to `returnOneOpt`. This optimization is especially valuable when applied to recursive functions.

## 2 Activity 2: Arguments and Local Variables

In the machine-procedures directory that you created, run the `locals` binary from within GDB, like this:

```
$ gdb --args ./locals
(gdb) r
```

The program will instruct you as you progress through the activity. These questions accompany the program; when it prompts you to answer a problem, discuss with your partner and write your answer here.

**Problem 6.** What is the type of the data `seeArgs` passes as the first argument to `printf`? (You should be able to answer this question based solely on what you already know about `printf`.) Given this, and what you saw when you followed the instructions up to this point, what does the GDB command `x/s` do?

`const char*` ; print the value as  
string

**Problem 7.** When `seeMoreArgs` calls `printf`, where did the compiler place arguments 7 and 8? Why do you think this happened?

it place them on the stack in reverse order; because there is no  
enough place in registers to hold these arguments

**Problem 8.** Where does the function `getV` allocate its array? How does it pass this location to `getValue`?

on the stack; by copying the pointer to `rdi`

**Problem 9.** Which registers are treated as call-preserved by `mult4`? Which register does `mult4` expect to contain a return value? (It may help to disassemble `mult2` as well.)

`rbx, r12, r13; rax`

**Problem 10.** What does the function `mrec` do?

```
if (x == 1)
    return x
return x *mrec(x - 1)
```

calculating factorial

### 3 Activity 3 (Optional, Time Permitting): Endianness Preview

Rerun `gdb -args ./calls` and continue to the point where you printed the stack before.

**Problem 11.** The first eight bytes of the stack contain the number `0x15213`. What do you expect the first *two* bytes of the stack to contain?

`0x13 0x52`

**Problem 12.** Check your hypothesis by running `x/2xb $rsp`. What did the first two bytes of the stack contain? What can you deduce about the order in which each integer's bytes are stored?

`0x13 0x52` it store the least significant byte first, namely, the smallest address

### Appendix: x86-64 ELF Calling Convention Summary

The following table lists all of the x86-64 integer registers, indicates whether each is call-preserved or call-clobbered, and gives the conventional function of each.

Register	Call Treatment	Function
%rax	Clobbered	Return value
%rbx	Preserved	
%rcx	Clobbered	Argument #4
%rdx	Clobbered	Argument #3
%rbp	Preserved	
%rsp	Preserved	Stack pointer
%rsi	Clobbered	Argument #2
%rdi	Clobbered	Argument #1
%r8	Clobbered	Argument #5
%r9	Clobbered	Argument #6
%r10	Clobbered	
%r11	Clobbered	
%r12	Preserved	
%r13	Preserved	
%r14	Preserved	
%r15	Preserved	