



LEC-13: CPU Scheduling | SJF | Priority | RR

1. Shortest Job First (SJF) [Non-preemptive]
 - a. Process with least BT will be dispatched to CPU first.
 - b. Must do estimation for BT for each process in ready queue beforehand, Correct estimation of BT is an impossible task (ideally.)
 - c. Run lowest time process for all time then, choose job having lowest BT at that instance.
 - d. This will suffer from convoy effect as if the very first process which came is Ready state is having a large BT.
 - e. Process starvation might happen.
 - f. Criteria for SJF algos, AT + BT.
2. SJF [Preemptive]
 - a. Less starvation.
 - b. No convoy effect.
 - c. **Gives average WT less for a given set of processes as scheduling short job before a long one decreases the WT of short job more than it increases the WT of the long process.**
3. Priority Scheduling [Non-preemptive]
 - a. Priority is assigned to a process when it is created.
 - b. SJF is a special case of general priority scheduling with priority inversely proportional to BT.
4. Priority Scheduling [Preemptive]
 - a. Current RUN state job will be preempted if next job has higher priority.
 - b. May cause indefinite waiting (Starvation) for lower priority jobs. (Possibility is they won't get executed ever). (True for both preemptive and non-preemptive version)
 - i. Solution: Ageing is the solution.
 - ii. Gradually increase priority of process that wait so long. E.g., increase priority by 1 every 15 minutes.
5. Round robin scheduling (RR)
 - a. Most popular
 - b. Like FCFS but preemptive.
 - c. Designed for time sharing systems.
 - d. Criteria: AT + time quantum (TQ), Doesn't depend on BT.
 - e. No process is going to wait forever, hence very low starvation. [No convoy effect]
 - f. Easy to implement.
 - g. If TQ is small, more will be the context switch (more overhead).

