```
Closure Properties of Recuestive & RE Languages
Feat . L recognitely expectedly: L = L (n) . fin since TM M (includedly)

• L recognite: L = L (n) for some TM M that do its (another)

(another) on all hep-19
     Thm: Recursive languages are dosed under complementation.
            Proof: Suppose Lis reconsine, it. Le L(M) and M halts
                               on all inputs.
                               Machine M that accepts I;
On input w, it yous M on w
M accepts W if M rejects, and
                                                 M rejects w if M accepts
         Then a Reconstruction and adopted under intersection.
           Front: Let M, and Me Se TMs that accept 1, and 12 top., and Shath on all imports.
                                   TM M that accept 1, 1 L2
                                                On logal to flow M1 on W if M1 on W if M2 on
                 Thm: RE languages are closed under intersection.
                   U_{nion} : L_1 \cup L_2 = \overline{L_1 \cap L_2}
                         Home recursive languages are Josef under union.
              I'm: RE languages are closed under union.
                 Red: Let L_1 = L(M_1) and L_2 = L(M_2),
                                        TM M that accorpts LIUL2
                                                        On input w

Doveted the computations of M1 and M2

On wo and accept if effect M1 or M2

accepts
                                            Alternatively
                                                       TMM: On input w
                                                                                  Nondetuministically choose to run essen M, or M2 on a and accept if it accepts.
           Thm: LIS recursive iff Land I are both r.e.
           Prof: (=>) If Lis recursive him Lis Tre. Moreover, if L is recursive them so is I, and lime I is the
                            (\Leftarrow) \quad \text{Suppose} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L} = \ \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{M}\right) \\ \bar{\mathcal{L}} = \ \mathcal{L}\left(\bar{\mathbf{M}}\right) \end{array}
                                               The M : On input w
                                                                          n input w
Doughall the computations of M
and M ON W
                                                                         M Han to
                    (1) L is not r.e. \Rightarrow L is not recursive
                     (2) I is not re. => L is not reconsive.
                      (3) L is r.e. but not recusive => _ is not r.e.
       Decision Problems
            Ex . Given a CFG 5 is 6 ambiguous?
                          · grum a TM M, is L(M) = E*?
                          . five DFA M,, M2 is L(M) = L(M2)?
               A desisten problem can be represented as a lampurage membership.

• {<6> | G > | G is antigroup | lampurage peoplem.
                                        \hookrightarrow a string encoding the (th G \simeq (V, T, S, P)
                            . \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) = \Sigma^* \} — language
                               . { < M1, M2 } [ L(M1) = L(M2)}
             Undecidability
          A decision problem is undecidable if theme is no algorithm that solves the problem.
                          Algorithm: The M that halfs on all injuts and which accepts the language corresponding to the decision problem.
                   This incomes: A decision problem is decidable the corresponding language to decidable/reconsor.
                Encoding TMs as strings our foil E= {0,1} input
                 TM M Ras: - Studes : gis for ..... ge start 2 without state accepting state
                                                    - Tape symbols: X1, X2, ..., Xm

\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} X_{3} = B

                                                        - Directions L and R
                                   Code for the TM M:
                                                 S(q_i, X_j) = (q_k, X_l, D_m)
```

encoded as