

Myhill - Nerode Theorem

Recap

- For any $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$, $R_L \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*$ is an equivalence relation, where R_L is defined by:

$$x R_L y \iff xz \in L \iff yz \in L$$
- For DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ define the equivalence relation $R_M \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*$ by:

$$x R_M y \iff \delta(q_0, x) = \delta(q_0, y)$$
- Reachable states of $M \leftrightarrow$ equivalence classes of R_M
- Right-invariant equivalence relation R on Σ^* :

$$x R y \implies xz R yz \text{ for all } z \in \Sigma^*$$

Ex (1) R_M is right-invariant: $\delta(q_0, x) = \delta(q_0, y)$
 $\implies \delta(q_0, xz) = \delta(q_0, yz)$

(2) R_L is also invariant (proved later)
- Index of an equivalence relation: cardinality of the set of equiv. classes
- Refinement of equivalence relations: R_1 refines R_2

$$\text{if } x R_1 y \implies x R_2 y$$

i.e. every equiv. class of R_1 is contained in an equiv. class of R_2

Then (Myhill - Nerode) The following three statements about any $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ are equivalent:

- (1) L is regular, i.e. it is accepted by some DFA M .
- (2) L is union of some of the equivalence classes of a right invariant equivalence reln. of finite index.
- (3) R_L is of finite index.

Proof: We show (1) \implies (2) \implies (3) \implies (1)

(1) \implies (2) We know that R_M is right invariant and it has finite index. Recall $x R_M y \iff \delta(q_0, x) = \delta(q_0, y)$

$$L = \bigcup_{\delta(q_0, x) \in F} [x]$$

(2) \implies (3): We show that any equiv. reln. E satisfying (2) is a refinement of R_L . (In particular, since R_M satisfies (2) R_M refines R_L). Hence R_L is of finite index.

Assume $x E y$. Since E is right invariant, $xz E yz$ for all $z \in \Sigma^*$. Since L is the union of some of the equiv. classes of E , this implies $xz \in L \iff yz \in L$, i.e., $x R_L y$. Thus, E is a refinement of R_L and hence R_L is of finite index.

(3) \implies (1): We first show that R_L is right invariant.

Suppose $x R_L y$ and $w \in \Sigma^*$. We have to show that $xw R_L yw$, i.e., $xwz \in L \iff ywz \in L$.

Now take $z = \epsilon$. By the defn. of R_L , $x \in L \iff y \in L$. Hence R_L is right-invariant.

We now define a DFA M_L from R_L as follows.

Let Q_L be the finite set of equivalence classes of R_L . Let $[x]_L$ be the element of Q_L containing $x \in \Sigma^*$.

Define $\delta_L([x]_L, a) = [xa]_L$.

Need to show that the defn. is independent of the choice of x , i.e., if $[x]_L = [y]_L$ then

$[xa]_L = [ya]_L$.

But this follows from the right-invariance of R_L (simply take $z = a$).

Define $q_{0L} = [q_0]_L$ and $F_L = \{[x]_L \mid x \in L\}$.

Claim: The DFA $M_L = (Q_L, \Sigma, \delta_L, q_{0L}, F_L)$ accepts L , since

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_L(q_{0L}, x) &= \delta_L([q_0]_L, x) \text{ by defn. of } q_{0L} \\ &= [qx]_L \\ &= [x]_L \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} x \in L(M_L) &\iff [x]_L \in F_L \\ &\iff x \in L. \end{aligned}$$