

Recall :  $\langle M \rangle$  : code of TM  $M$  |  $M_i$  : TM whose code is  $i$

1.  $L_d = \{i \mid i \notin M_i\}$  is not r.e. Alt:  $L_d = \{\langle M \rangle \mid \langle M \rangle \notin L(M)\}$
2.  $L_u = \{\langle M \rangle \# w \mid M \text{ accepts } w\}$  is r.e. but not recursive.
3.  $L_{halt} = \{\langle M \rangle \# w \mid M \text{ halts on } w\}$  is r.e. but not recursive.
4.  $L_{ne} = \{i \mid L(M_i) \text{ is non-empty}\}$  is r.e. but not recursive.
5.  $L_e = \{i \mid L(M_i) = \emptyset\}$  is not r.e.

Def: A property of r.e. languages is simply a set of r.e. languages. We express properties of r.e. languages as the sets of codes of TMs that accept these languages.

Ex 1.  $\{i \mid L(M_i) \text{ is infinite}\}$

2.  $\{i \mid L(M_i) \text{ does not contain a prime}\}$

Non-example :  $\{i \mid M_i \text{ has 15 states}\}$

— This is a property of TMs

Suppose  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are two distinct TMs s.t.  $L(M_1) = L(M_2)$

Then for a property  $P$  of r.e. languages either both or neither  $\langle M_i \rangle$  belong to  $P$ .

Def: A property of r.e. languages is trivial if it is either empty or it is the set of all r.e. languages. Otherwise, it is called non-trivial.

Ex  $\{i \mid L(M_i) \text{ is r.e.}\}$  — trivial

$\{i \mid L(M_i) \text{ is not r.e.}\}$  — trivial

$\{i \mid L(M_i) = \emptyset \text{ or } L(M_i) \neq \emptyset\}$  — trivial

Observation: Trivial properties are decidable!

Rice's Theorem: Every non-trivial property of r.e. languages is undecidable.

Examples of properties of TMs

$\{i \mid M_i \text{ has 200 states}\}$  — decidable

$\{i \mid M_i \text{ uses at most 35 tape cells on blank input}\}$  — decidable

$\{i \mid M_i \text{ halts on blank input}\}$  — undecidable (exercise)

$\{i \mid M_i \text{ on input 0011 at some point writes the symbol } \$ \text{ on its tape}\}$

— Rice's thm. not applicable. — undecidable

Proof of Rice's Theorem

Let  $L = \{i \mid L(M_i) \text{ belongs to } P\}$  where

— at least one r.e. belongs to  $P$ , and one does not (i.e.,  $P$  is non-trivial).

Plan: Reduce  $L_u$  to  $L$ . Since  $L_u$  is undecidable, so is  $L$ .

Assume, wlog, that the empty language  $\emptyset$  does not belong to  $P$ . If it did, then we consider  $L$  and show that it is not recursive. Why?

Since  $P$  is non-trivial, at least one language is in  $P$ . Let  $i \in L$ , i.e.  $L(M_i)$  belongs to  $P$ .

The reduction  $f$  from  $L_u$  to  $L$ :

Takes an instance  $\langle M \rangle \# w$  for  $L_u$  and produces  $f(M, w)$ , the code of a TM s.t.

(i) If  $M$  accepts  $w$  then  $M_f(M, w)$  accepts the same language as  $M_i$

(ii) If  $M$  does not accept  $w$  then  $M_f(M, w)$  accepts  $\emptyset$ . (Remember that by assump.  $\emptyset$  does not belong to  $P$ )

Thus  $\langle M \rangle \# w \in L_u$  iff  $f(M, w) \in L$ .

Details of  $M' = M_f(M, w)$

On input  $x$

— Runs  $M$  on  $w$

— If  $M$  does not accept or does not halt then do not accept  $x$  (or do not halt)

— If  $M$  does accept  $w$  then run  $M_i$  on  $x$  accept  $x$  iff  $M_i$  does.

Fact: If  $M$  accepts  $w$  then  $L(M') = L(M_i)$

Otherwise  $L(M') = \emptyset$ .

Many-one reduction from  $L_1$  to  $L_2$   
 $f: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$   
s.t.  
 $a \in L_1 \Leftrightarrow f(a) \in L_2$