

## Context Free Languages

Ex: Language of balanced parentheses  $\Sigma = \{C, \}$   
 $( ), (C), (C)( ), (C)(C), \dots$  ✓  
 $(C, )C, C)C, \dots$  ✗

### Inductive definition

- (1)  $\epsilon \in L$
  - (2) If  $x, y \in L$  then  $xy \in L$  and  $(x) \in L$ .
- Let  $E$  be the set of <sup>correct</sup> expressions (i.e. strings of balanced parentheses)
- $$E \rightarrow \epsilon$$
- $$E \rightarrow EE$$
- $$E \rightarrow (E)$$

### Context-Free Grammar (CFG)

A CFG is a tuple  $G = (V, T, S, P)$  where

- $V$ : finite set of variables (nonterminals, syntactic categories)
- $T$ : finite set of symbols called terminals
- $S \in V$ : start symbol
- $P$ : finite set of productions / rules of the form  $A \rightarrow \alpha$  where  $A \in V$  and  $\alpha \in (V \cup T)^*$

$$G = (\underbrace{\{E\}}_V, \underbrace{\{C, \}_{T}}, \underbrace{E}_S, \underbrace{\{E \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow EE, E \rightarrow (E)\}}_P)$$

Ex 1: A string  $w$  is a palindrome if  $w = w^R$ .

CFG for the language of palindromes  $\{0,1\}^*$

$$G = (\{S\}, \{0,1\}, S, P) \text{ where } P:$$

contains the following productions

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow \epsilon \\ S &\rightarrow 0 \\ S &\rightarrow 1 \\ S &\rightarrow 0S0 \\ S &\rightarrow 1S1 \end{aligned}$$

Written as  $S \rightarrow \epsilon \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid 0S0 \mid 1S1$

Ex 2: Language of arithmetic expressions ( $E$ ) built from integers ( $N$ ) and identifiers ( $I$ ), using only  $+$  and  $*$ .

$$G = (\underbrace{\{E, I, N\}}_V, \underbrace{\{a, b, 0, 1, (, ), +, *, \}_{T}}, \underbrace{E}_S, \underbrace{P}_{S \text{ prod}})$$

$$\begin{aligned} E &\rightarrow I \mid N \mid E + E \mid E * E \mid (E) \\ I &\rightarrow a \mid b \mid I a \mid I b \\ N &\rightarrow 0 \mid 1 \mid N 0 \mid N 1 \mid -N \mid +N \end{aligned}$$

e.g.  $\frac{a + b}{E} + \frac{c * d}{N}$

- Applications:
1. Parsing of programs (in compilers, say)
  2. Markup languages: HTML, XML
  3. Model of software

### Derivations

Ex: What are the strings in the language of arithmetic expressions?

$$\begin{aligned} E &\Rightarrow E * E && \text{using the production } E \rightarrow E * E \\ &\Rightarrow E * N && \text{using } E \rightarrow N \\ &\Rightarrow E * -N && \text{using } N \rightarrow -N \\ &\Rightarrow I a * -N && \text{using } E \rightarrow I a \\ &\Rightarrow a * -N && \text{using } I \rightarrow a \\ &\Rightarrow a * -1 && \text{using } N \rightarrow 1 \end{aligned}$$

Def: Let  $G$  be a CFG.

We say  $\alpha A \beta \Rightarrow \alpha \beta$  where  $A \in V$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in (V \cup T)^*$  if  $A \rightarrow \gamma$  is a production of  $G$  and  $\gamma \Rightarrow \beta$ .

- When  $G$  is understood, we write  $\Rightarrow$
- $\Rightarrow^*$ : zero or more derivation steps of  $G$  (reflexive-transitive closure of  $\Rightarrow$ )  
e.g.  $E \Rightarrow^* a * -N$

• The language generated by CFG  $G$  is  $L(G) = \{w \in T^* \mid S \Rightarrow^* w\}$ , i.e. the set of all strings of terminals derivable from the start symbol.

• A language  $L$  is context-free if there is a CFG  $G$  st.  $L = L(G)$ .

Ex: Palindromes  $G: S \rightarrow \epsilon \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid 0S0 \mid 1S1$

$$\text{Claim } L(G) = \{w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid w = w^R\} \rightarrow \text{Pal}$$

Proof: need to show

- (1)  $\text{Pal} \subseteq L(G)$
- (2)  $L(G) \subseteq \text{Pal}$

We show (1) by proving by induction on  $|w|$  that  $w \in \text{Pal} \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow^* w$

We show (2) by induction on the no. of derivation steps. First

$$\epsilon \Rightarrow^* \epsilon \Rightarrow w \in \text{Pal}$$