DFA Minimigation

Idea 1. For any regular language L, the DFA ML obtained from RL is the unique (up to iso) minimum DFD that accepts L.

2. Rm refines RL for any DFA M that accepts L.

3. Idea behind mininizing a given DFA M; Merge states of M : merge & and & iff

S(go, x) = & and 3(go,y) = g o given a DFA M (where all states one reachable for Define equivalence reln. = on the states of M: $\phi = q$ # for each $x \in \Sigma^{*}$, $S(p,x) \in F \Leftrightarrow S(q,x) \in F$ - band of are equivalent if p= 8 distinguishable if p = 2

Need to show: Correctness of = wit which states can be negled 2 R 4

Proof: Easy (Exercise)

Computing \equiv : How do we determine if $p \equiv q$, where pige Q?

Inductive Definition of \$ (distinguishability)

1. If pEF and g & F , or vice serea, then p = 8

2. If for some a, $S(\phi, \alpha) \neq S(\phi, \alpha)$ then $\phi \neq \phi$. Every other pair is equivalent.

Claim: This defin is equivalent to the one given earlier Proof: Exercise.

Minimization Algorithm

1. Eliminate all unreachable studes from M.

2. Distinguish < { \p, 9> | peF and geF, or vice verse }

/* initialigations*/ 3. Old Distinguish ← Φ

while (distinguish + Old Distinguish)

Old Distinguish - Distinguish

for every symbol a and for every <p,q> € Distinguish do 6.

if $\langle \delta(\varphi, a), \delta(\varphi, a) \rangle \in Distinguish tun$ ٦.

Distinguish & Distinguish U { < p, 8 >} 8.

Time Complexity # Heration of while loop: O(n) Why? Time taken in each iteration: O(151n2) Total time O(n3)

Thuc is our algorithm that runs in time O(hlog n).



