CS CAPSTONE PROGRESS REPORT

DECEMBER 4, 2017

COVERAGEJSON RESPONSE HANDLER FOR OPENDAP

PREPARED FOR

NASA JET PROPULSION LABORATORY

LEWIS JOHN MCGIBBNEY

PREPARED BY

GROUP55

RILEY RIMER RIVER HENDRIKSEN COREY HEMPHILL

Abstract

This document briefly recaps the CoverageJSON Response Handler for OPeNDAP project purposes and goals, describes where we are currently on the project, describes any problems that have impeded the project's progress, and solutions to the problems encountered. This document also provides examples of particularly interesting pieces of code, and a retrospective of the past 10 weeks for CS461 Senior Capstone term one of three.

CONTENTS

1	Projec	Project Overview					
	1.1	Purpose	3				
	1.2	Goals	3				
	1.3	Deliverables	3				
2	Projec	et Progress	3				
	2.1	Problem Statement	3				
	2.2	Requirements Document	4				
	2.3	Technology Review	4				
	2.4	Design Document	4				
	2.5	Project Status	4				
2	Como	Interesting Code	5				
3			5				
	3.1	CoverageJSON Example	3				
4	Fall T	erm Summary	5				
	4.1	Week 1 — September 25, 2017	5				
		4.1.1 Summary	5				
		4.1.2 Problems & Solutions	5				
	4.2	Week 2 — October 2, 2017	5				
		4.2.1 Summary	5				
		4.2.2 Problems & Solutions	6				
	4.3	Week 3 — October 9, 2017	6				
		4.3.1 Summary	6				
		4.3.2 Problems & Solutions	6				
	4.4	Week 4 — October 16, 2017	6				
		4.4.1 Summary	6				
		4.4.2 Problems & Solutions	6				
	4.5	Week 5 — October 23, 2017	7				
		4.5.1 Summary	7				
		4.5.2 Problems & Solutions	7				
	4.6	Week 6 — October 30, 2017	7				
		4.6.1 Summary	7				
		4.6.2 Problems & Solutions	7				
	4.7	Week 7 — November 6, 2017	7				
		4.7.1 Summary	7				
		4.7.2 Problems & Solutions	7				
	4.8	Week 8 — November 13, 2017	7				
		4.8.1 Summary	7				

				2
		4.8.2	Problems & Solutions	8
	4.9	Week 9 -	— November 20, 2017	8
		4.9.1	Summary	8
		4.9.2	Problems & Solutions	8
	4.10	Week 10	— November 27, 2017	8
		4.10.1	Summary	8
		4.10.2	Problems & Solutions	8
_	Eall Ta	Datus		0
5	rall le	rm Retros	pective	9

1 PROJECT OVERVIEW

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this project is to integrate a new response handler for the CoverageJSON data format into the currently existing collection of data response handlers within the OPeNDAP network data access protocol. OPeNDAP does not currently provide a handler for a coverage data format like CoverageJSON, which is a structure that associates positions in space and time with the corresponding data values. The addition of the CoverageJSON format into OPeNDAP will allow for more fluid development of coverage data based web applications by NASA JPL and all other OPeNDAP users. Due to the desire for the new CoverageJSON response handler to be integrated into the OPeNDAP open-source project, it will need to be accompanied by extensive documentation and testing. This project will also include testing current NASA satellite data in OPeNDAP on the proposed response handler and creating a promotional poster for the American Geophysical Union.

1.2 Goals

- Work with the NASA JPL and OPenDAP team to obtain an initial understanding of what the OPeNDAP codebase looks like.
- Design and engineer a proposed solution for how an OPenDAP CovJSON response handler would work.
- Implement a solution including accompanying tests and documentation.
- Integrate the project into the OPeNDAP codebase.

1.3 Deliverables

- A CoverageJSON Response Handler for OPeNDAP project Github site.
- An engineered C++ solution for an OPeNDAP CovJSON response handler.
- Unit tests and documentation for the CovJSON response handler.
- A poster to the American Geophysical Union (AGU) that evangelizes the project.

2 PROJECT PROGRESS

This term of the project has been primarily focused on producing the following documents:

- Problem Statement
- Requirements Document
- Technology Review
- Design Document

Each will be summarized in the following sections.

2.1 Problem Statement

The problem statement document builds the working definition of the problem we are trying to solve through this project. It also describes the metrics with which the success of the project will be weighed on at the end.

2.2 Requirements Document

The requirements document outlines the "what" of the project, explaining what is to be done and what the client should expect by the end of the project. Since our project is about integrating a module into a larger project, much of what was said was redundant with the problem statement. However, it differs from the problem statement in that it provides a more specific timeline for the project using a Gantt chart, and it also explicitly states what is required in order for the project to be a success.

2.3 Technology Review

The technology review was created as a means for the developers to explore different technologies that already exist in the fields that are going to be developed. More specifically, the document explores the following:

- Coding Languages
- Hosts
- Servers
- Data Access Protocols
- Scientific Data Formats
- UI Data Models
- Testing Frameworks
- Web Application Framework
- UI Interaction

The strict requirements that were already in place prior to the technology review made it difficult to deviate from the technologies that were already predetermined for the project. However, the review did provide insight into how other technologies were implemented which could prove to be useful as a reference in the future.

2.4 Design Document

The design document was created to inform clients and future developers at a high-level how the technologies that are being developed will be implemented within OPeNDAP. There were in-depth discussions on how each component of the project was to be implemented, however, it is unfinished in its current state and will change as development progresses.

2.5 Project Status

At the current time, the project is ready to enter the coding and implementation phase. Much of this term was dedicated to the creation of documents rather than the creation of code, which seemed to drag the term out. In addition, many of the documents required specifications that did not directly apply to our project, which required us to go outside the box, so to speak. In the future, several documents will need to be revised in order to be relevant by the end of the project. The team expects to work during winter break as there will be more free time to work on the project.

3 Some Interesting Code

3.1 CoverageJSON Example

```
1 {
    "type" : "Coverage",
2
    "domain" : {
      "type": "Domain",
      "domainType": "Grid",
     "axes": {
        "x": { "start": -179.5, "stop": 179.5, "num": 360 },
        "y": { "start": -89.5, "stop": 89.5, "num": 180 },
        "t": { "values": ["2013-01-13T00:00:00Z"] }
10
      "referencing": [{
11
        "coordinates": ["x", "y"],
12
        "system": {
13
          "type": "GeographicCRS",
          "id": "http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/OGC/1.3/CRS84"
        }
17
      }, {
        "coordinates": ["t"],
18
        "system": {
19
          "type": "TemporalRS",
20
          "calendar": "Gregorian"
21
22
23
      } ]
    // Some lines omitted due to length
26 }
```

4 FALL TERM SUMMARY

4.1 Week 1 — September 25, 2017

4.1.1 Summary

The first week was the introductory week of class. The primary focus of the week was to go over the course syllabus and schedule, prepare individual professional biographies, and setup class OneNote logs. Project teams were not yet been established at this time.

4.1.2 Problems & Solutions

No problems or concerns to discuss for week one.

4.2 Week 2 — October 2, 2017

4.2.1 Summary

The CoverageJSON Response Handler for OPeNDAP Project team was established. The team made contact with Lewis John McGibbney, our NASA JPL client, and set up an icebreaker video conference. During the conference, we discussed

the project from a high-level point of view, and also what to expect working with each other on this project over the next couple of months. Lewis assigned tasks to help team members get acclimated to OPeNDAP and CoverageJSON. We also established biweekly project meetings to take place every other Tuesday. The team began work immediately on assigned tasks as well as the problem statement assignment.

4.2.2 Problems & Solutions

The only concerns for week two involve the individual schedules of the team members. All three team members are students, and we have jobs as well. This could potentially make scheduling future meetings and work sessions difficult. The solution to this concern was simply that we must communicate well and be flexible with each other, especially when it comes to deadlines. The expectation is and was that this concern will be a non-issue, but its worth acknowledging.

4.3 Week 3 — October 9, 2017

4.3.1 Summary

The problem statement individual drafts were due Monday, October 9th on TEACH. Each team member submitted their own problem statement document. During week three, the team collaborated to determine which problem statement draft we would revise and submit as our final draft. For the remainder of the week, writing the problem statement final draft and understanding the purpose of the project were the highest priority tasks. In order to write an effective problem statement, we needed to have a rudimentary understanding of the components involved with the project, namely OPeNDAP and CovJSON.

4.3.2 Problems & Solutions

No problems or concerns to discuss for week three.

4.4 Week 4 — October 16, 2017

4.4.1 Summary

The main focus of week four was to finish wrapping up some of the administrative work so that we could move towards starting requirements gathering, design, and implementation planning. We submitted the final problem statement document, and we also had a biweekly meeting with Lewis this week, and we all agreed that we were on track to ramp up on the project. Lewis has introduced the team to two more client's, Jim and Jon, who will be acting as OPeNDAP contacts for project development. One of the project deliverables, a project Github website which we will contribute to over the coming months, was established this week as well. The Github website will be where the team stores all of the assignments and documents for the project, as well as the project source code, unit-tests and results, and documentation. The team was also tasked with getting comfortable with using the PyCovJSON viewer and convert tools with different scientific data formats. This task required us to set up a Linux virtual machine with PyCovJSON. We also wrote a summary of the features of NASA JPL PO.DAAC website, and examined different scientific data formats such as HDF and NetCDF.

4.4.2 Problems & Solutions

No problems or concerns to discuss for week four.

4.5 Week 5 — October 23, 2017

4.5.1 Summary

The project requirements document was the top priority for week five. Much of our week consisted of writing and reviewing requirements. The draft requirements document was due the Tuesday the following week, and the final document only a few days later, so it was imperative that we treat this assignment as our highest priority task. At this point, we had still yet to set up a Linux virtual machine to begin using PyCovJSON. Setting up the virtual machine with the necessary dependencies and installing PyCovJSON will be a relatively time consuming task, so we decided to put the task on hold until the requirements document was finished.

4.5.2 Problems & Solutions

No problems or concerns to discuss for week five.

4.6 Week 6 — October 30, 2017

4.6.1 Summary

The team completed a review of the requirements document and performed a final proof-read before meeting with Lewis and submitting the document for review. Once Lewis approved our requirements document for submission, the approval email from Lewis was forwarded to the course instructors for verification of the approval. During the week, we were finally able to set up an Ubuntu virtual machine with all necessary Python, Anaconda, and PyCovJSON dependencies. Now that we had a running VM, we could begin using and analyzing PyCovJSON to see how it works.

4.6.2 Problems & Solutions

No problems or concerns to discuss for week six.

4.7 Week 7 — November 6, 2017

4.7.1 Summary

The new assignment to work on for week seven was the individual tech-review documents. Each team member was required to write and submit their own tech-review document. We established a general format to follow for the the individual documents, and then the remainder of the work was done solo.

4.7.2 Problems & Solutions

No problems or concerns to discuss for week seven.

4.8 Week 8 — November 13, 2017

4.8.1 Summary

Meeting with client and founder of OPeNDAP, James Gallagher. James presented an informative piece on the Hyrax Server. After this event the group began setup of the Hyrax server on the Amazon EC2 Red Hat instance that was created a few weeks prior.

4.8.2 Problems & Solutions

A few concerns were created with the hosting of the Hyrax Server. While there is the EC2 instance, it is limited in space and there has not been a discussion with the client about where other possible host locations may be. We have yet to come up with a solution for this concern.

4.9 Week 9 — November 20, 2017

4.9.1 Summary

Week nine focused on completing finalized tech-review documents due on November 21. The tech-review documents were to be used as foundations for the upcoming design document, which was the next major assignment. Our priority was to research different design views and approaches, and generate a high-level design approach for each different component of the project.

4.9.2 Problems & Solutions

No problems or concerns to discuss for week nine.

4.10 Week 10 — November 27, 2017

4.10.1 Summary

During week ten, the team continued researching and collaborating on the design document. Due to the length and scope of requirements of this particular document, the team was stretched thin in terms of time. However, the document was completed and submitted on time. The next phase of the project will be revising and finalizing the design document, and then preparing for the implementation phase, which should come next term in CS462.

4.10.2 Problems & Solutions

No problems or concerns to discuss for week ten.

5 FALL TERM RETROSPECTIVE

Positives	Deltas	Actions
There was good communication	Communication should be more	Project updates and information
throughout this first term of the	visible to all the project members.	that is relevant should be put into
project.		the email group.
The information we have gotten so	We will need more information as	We will be in communication with
far on the systems we will be work-	this project continues next term and	Lewis and other applicable mem-
ing with so far has been great.	we actually begin the implementa-	bers from OPeNDAP or the commu-
	tion.	nity to get access to the knowledge
		needed.
Scheduling conflicts during the	Project team members should aim	Team members will should notify
term were kept to an absolute mini-	to eliminated scheduling conflicts	the team immediately upon realiz-
mum.	entirely.	ing a conflict. This should allow for
		greater flexibility.
Moving to the implementation	Project team members should com-	Team members will commit to
phase next term should be more	mit a minimum amount of time per	spending a minimum of six hours
time consuming, engaging, and in-	week to ensure deadlines are met.	a week on coding and testing.
teresting.		
All assignments were submitted on	Some documents could have been	Team members will try to better
time.	better thought out.	manage their time and start assign-
		ments promptly.