

Questions & Answers

October 9, 2021

Q1: If i and j are recurrent communicating states, why $\sum_{1 \leq \nu < \infty} f_{ij}^{(\nu)} = 1$?

A Recall that $(f_{ij}^{(\nu)})_{1 \leq \nu < \infty}$ is the density of the random variable $T_j \mathbb{1}_{\{T_j < +\infty\}}$ where T_j is first return time to j starting from i , namely with respect to the probability measure \mathbb{P}_i , i.e.

$$T_j = \min \{ n \geq 1 \mid X_n = j \}, \quad f_{ij}^{(\nu)} = \mathbb{P}_i \{ T_j = \nu \}$$

(with the agreement $\min \emptyset = +\infty$). If $i = j$, then $\sum_{1 \leq \nu < \infty} f_{jj}^{(\nu)} = \mathbb{P}_j \{ T_j < +\infty \} = 1$ by definition of recurrent state. If $i \neq j$ then one can find integers $n, m > 0$ such that $p_{ij}^{(n)} = \mathbb{P}_i \{ X_n = j \} > 0$ and $p_{ji}^{(m)} = \mathbb{P}_j \{ X_m = i \} > 0$ because i and j communicate.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_j \{ T_j < +\infty \} &= \sum_{k \in I} \mathbb{P}_i \{ T_j < +\infty, X_n = k \} \\ &= \sum_{k \in I} \mathbb{P}_i \{ T_j < +\infty \mid X_n = k \} \mathbb{P}_i \{ X_n = k \} \\ &= \sum_{k \in I} \mathbb{P}_k \{ T_j < +\infty \} p_{ik}^{(n)} \\ &= \sum_{k, h \in I} \mathbb{P}_h \{ T_j < +\infty \} p_{ik}^{(n)} p_{kh}^{(m)} \\ &= \sum_{h \in I} \mathbb{P}_h \{ T_j < +\infty \} p_{ih}^{(n+m)} \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathbb{P}_i \{ T_j < +\infty \} < 1$ then, since $p_{ii}^{(n+m)} > 0$, we find

$$\mathbb{P}_j \{ T_j < +\infty \} < \sum_{h \in I} p_{ih}^{(n+m)} = 1$$

contradicting the assumption j recurrent.

Q2: How can one compute the density of the first return time T_0 to 0 of the symmetric random walk on \mathbb{Z} knowing the function $s \mapsto F_{00}(s)$?

A Recall that $F_{00} :]-1, 1[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$F_{00}(s) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{P}_0 \{ T_0 = n \} s^n \quad (1)$$

and we computed

$$F_{00}(s) = 1 - \sqrt{1 - s^2}.$$

However, in order to compute explicitly $\mathbb{P}_0 \{ T_0 = n \}$, rather than differentiating n times F_{00} , it is more convenient to consider the change of variables $t = s^2$ and note that

$$1 - \sqrt{1 - t} = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{2^{-2k}}{2k - 1} \binom{2k}{k} t^k, \quad |t| < 1 \quad (2)$$

Indeed

$$\frac{d}{dt} (1 - \sqrt{1 - t}) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - t)^{-1/2}$$

and, iterating for $k \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} (1 - \sqrt{1 - t}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdots \left(k - 1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) (1 - t)^{-(k-1/2)} \\ &= \frac{(2k - 3) \cdots 3 \cdot 1}{2^k} (1 - t)^{-(k-1/2)}, \end{aligned}$$

and, at $t = 0$, (recall that $(2k)!! = 2k(2k - 2) \cdots 2 = 2^k k!$)

$$\left. \frac{d^k}{dt^k} (1 - \sqrt{1 - t}) \right|_{t=0} = \frac{(2k - 2)!}{2^k (2k - 2)!!} = \frac{(2k)!}{2^k (2k - 1)(2k)!!} = \frac{2^{-2k} k!}{2k - 1} \binom{2k}{k}.$$

Recalling that

$$1 - \sqrt{1 - t} = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} (1 - \sqrt{1 - t}) \bigg|_{t=0} \frac{t^k}{k!}$$

equation (2) is proved. Turning back to F_{00}

$$F_{00}(s) = 1 - \sqrt{1 - s^2} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2^{-2n}}{2n - 1} \binom{2n}{n} s^{2n}, \quad |s| < 1$$

and so, comparing with (1), we find $\mathbb{P}_0 \{ T_0 = n \} = 0$ for n odd and for $n \geq 1$

$$\mathbb{P}_0 \{ T_0 = 2n \} = \frac{2^{-2n}}{2n - 1} \binom{2n}{n}.$$