UnbalancedClass Ex

Ross Jacobucci June 12, 2015

This is just a short example of how to use two different packages in R for dealing with highly unbalanced classes.

The first is using the ROSE package, which has a nice intro:

http://journal.r-project.org/archive/2014-1/menar di-lunar don-torelli.pdf

And publication outlining technique:

http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs10618-012-0295-5

```
library(ROSE)
```

```
## Loaded ROSE 0.0-3
```

```
library(TSLR)
library(ISLR)
data(Default)

table(Default$default)
```

```
## No Yes
## 9667 333
```

```
1-333/(9667+333) # what we would get if predicting everyone as not defaulting
```

```
## [1] 0.9667
```

Easy to see that there are highly unbalanced classes, and we are going to do very well according to accuracy, but our resulting model will not provide any information above and beyond what we could do by just guessing everyone will not default, which obviously is not helpful to banks...

ROSE

```
## Wo Yes ## 321 333
```

Now that we have created a balanced sample (note, it doesn't have to be 50% sampling of classes), we can use the ROSE.eval function to run trees with rpart, and determine how we are doing on a holdout sample. Note this is just an easier way to test the results on a test dataset, without having to explicitly create a separate dataset.

randomForest

```
# use randomForest to do classification with balanced classes
library(randomForest); library(caret)

## randomForest 4.6-10

## Type rfNews() to see new features/changes/bug fixes.

## Loading required package: lattice


## Loading required package: ggplot2

# look at "classwt" argument

rf.out <- randomForest(as.factor(default) ~ ., data=Default,classwt=c(0.5,0.5),importance=TRUE)

varImpPlot(rf.out)</pre>
```

rf.out



In this, class weights need to add to one Example weights No's as 0.5, Yes's as 0.5 By not setting weights, implicity setting to classwt=c(0.96,0.04)

```
pred1 <- predict(rf.out,newdata=Default)
confusionMatrix(pred1,Default$default)</pre>
```

```
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
## Prediction
                No Yes
##
          No 8471
                     32
          Yes 1196 301
##
##
##
                  Accuracy : 0.8772
##
                    95% CI : (0.8706, 0.8836)
##
       No Information Rate: 0.9667
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 1
##
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.2903
##
    Mcnemar's Test P-Value : <2e-16
##
##
               Sensitivity: 0.8763
##
               Specificity: 0.9039
            Pos Pred Value: 0.9962
##
##
            Neg Pred Value: 0.2011
##
                Prevalence: 0.9667
##
            Detection Rate: 0.8471
##
      Detection Prevalence: 0.8503
```


```
## Balanced Accuracy : 0.8901
##

"Positive' Class : No
##
```

Compare to just treating as unbalanced with no correction

```
rf.outUnbalanced <- randomForest(as.factor(default) ~ ., data=Default,importance=TRUE)
varImpPlot(rf.outUnbalanced)</pre>
```

rf.outUnbalanced



pred2 <- predict(rf.outUnbalanced,newdata=Default)
confusionMatrix(pred2,Default\$default)</pre>

```
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
                No
                   Yes
## Prediction
             9645
                    221
##
          No
##
          Yes
                22
                   112
##
##
                  Accuracy : 0.9757
##
                    95% CI: (0.9725, 0.9786)
##
       No Information Rate: 0.9667
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 8.77e-08
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.4695
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : < 2.2e-16
##
```

```
##
##
               Sensitivity: 0.9977
               Specificity: 0.3363
##
##
            Pos Pred Value : 0.9776
##
            Neg Pred Value : 0.8358
##
                Prevalence: 0.9667
##
            Detection Rate: 0.9645
      Detection Prevalence: 0.9866
##
##
         Balanced Accuracy: 0.6670
##
##
          'Positive' Class : No
##
```

By balancing classes, we do worse according to measures such as accuracy, however, we are able to glean some information that could actually be useful in predicting propensity to default on a loan.

caret

Another way to to create a new dataset is from the caret package

```
dat.up <- upSample(Default[,2:4], Default[,1], yname = "default")
table(dat.up$default)</pre>
```

```
## No Yes
## 9667 9667
```