

Scala IN DEPTH

Joshua D. Suereth

FOREWORD BY
Martin Odersky



MANNING



Scala in Depth

Scala in Depth

JOSHUA D. SUERETH



MANNING
SHELTER ISLAND

For online information and ordering of this and other Manning books, please visit www.manning.com. The publisher offers discounts on this book when ordered in quantity. For more information, please contact

Special Sales Department
Manning Publications Co.
20 Baldwin Road
PO Box 261
Shelter Island, NY 11964
Email: orders@manning.com

©2012 by Manning Publications Co. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publisher.

Many of the designations used by manufacturers and sellers to distinguish their products are claimed as trademarks. Where those designations appear in the book, and Manning Publications was aware of a trademark claim, the designations have been printed in initial caps or all caps.

- ⊗ Recognizing the importance of preserving what has been written, it is Manning's policy to have the books we publish printed on acid-free paper, and we exert our best efforts to that end. Recognizing also our responsibility to conserve the resources of our planet, Manning books are printed on paper that is at least 15 percent recycled and processed without the use of elemental chlorine.

 Manning Publications Co.
20 Baldwin Road
PO Box 261
Shelter Island, NY 11964

Development editor: Katharine Osborne
Technical proofreader: Justin Wick
Copyeditors: Linda Kern, Benjamin Berg
Proofreader: Elizabeth Martin
Typesetter: Dottie Marsico
Cover designer: Marija Tudor

ISBN 9781935182702
Printed in the United States of America
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 – MAL – 17 16 15 14 13 12

contents

*foreword xi
preface xiii
acknowledgments xiv
about this book xvi
about the cover illustration xix*

1	<i>Scala—a blended language 1</i>
1.1	Functional programming meets object orientation 2 <i>Discovering existing functional concepts 4 ■ Examining functional concepts in Google Collections 6</i>
1.2	Static typing and expressiveness 8 <i>Changing sides 8 ■ Type inference 9 ■ Dropping verbose syntax 9 ■ Implicits are an old concept 10 ■ Using Scala's implicit keyword 11</i>
1.3	Transparently working with the JVM 12 <i>Java in Scala 12 ■ Scala in Java 13 ■ The benefits of a JVM 14</i>
1.4	Summary 15

2 *The core rules* 16

- 2.1 Learn to use the Read Eval Print Loop (REPL) 16
 - Experiment-driven development* 18 ■ *Working around eager parsing* 19 ■ *Inexpressible language features* 20
- 2.2 Think in expressions 21
 - Don't use return* 22 ■ *Mutability* 24
- 2.3 Prefer immutability 26
 - Object equality* 27 ■ *Concurrency* 31
- 2.4 Use None instead of null 34
 - Advanced Option techniques* 35
- 2.5 Polymorphic equality 38
 - Example: A timeline library* 38 ■ *Polymorphic equals implementation* 40
- 2.6 Summary 42

3 *Modicum of style—coding conventions* 43

- 3.1 Avoid coding conventions from other languages 44
 - The block debacle* 45
- 3.2 Dangling operators and parenthetical expressions 48
- 3.3 Use meaningful variable names 49
 - Avoid \$ in names* 50 ■ *Working with named and default parameters* 53
- 3.4 Always mark overridden methods 55
- 3.5 Annotate for expected optimizations 60
 - Using the tablesaw optimization* 61 ■ *Using the tail recursion optimization* 64
- 3.6 Summary 66

4 *Utilizing object orientation* 68

- 4.1 Limit code inside an object or trait's body to initialization logic 69
 - Delayed construction* 69 ■ *And then there's multiple inheritance* 70
- 4.2 Provide empty implementations for abstract methods on traits 72

4.3	Composition can include inheritance	76
	<i>Member composition by inheritance</i>	78
	<i>a twist</i>	80
4.4	Promote abstract interface into its own trait	82
	<i>Interfaces you can talk to</i>	84
	<i>Learning from the past</i>	85
4.5	Provide return types in your public APIs	86
4.6	Summary	88

5 Using implicits to write expressive code 89

5.1	Introduction to implicits	90
	<i>Identifiers: A digression</i>	91
	<i>Scope and bindings</i>	92
	<i>Implicit resolution</i>	96
5.2	Enhancing existing classes with implicit views	101
5.3	Utilize implicit parameters with defaults	106
5.4	Limiting the scope of implicits	112
	<i>Creating implicits for import</i>	113
	<i>Implicits without the import tax</i>	115
5.5	Summary	119

6 The type system 120

6.1	Types	121
	<i>Types and paths</i>	122
	<i>The type keyword</i>	124
	<i>Structural types</i>	125
6.2	Type constraints	131
6.3	Type parameters and higher-kinded types	134
	<i>Type parameter constraints</i>	134
	<i>Higher-kinded types</i>	135
6.4	Variance	137
	<i>Advanced variance annotations</i>	141
6.5	Existential types	144
	<i>The formal syntax of existential types</i>	146
6.6	Summary	149

7 Using implicits and types together 150

7.1	Context bounds and view bounds	151
	<i>When to use implicit type constraints</i>	152

7.2	Capturing types with implicits	153
	<i>Manifests</i>	153
	<i>Using Manifests</i>	154
	<i>Capturing type constraints</i>	156
	<i>Specialized methods</i>	158
7.3	Use type classes	159
	<i>FileLike as a type class</i>	163
	<i>The benefits of type classes</i>	166
7.4	Conditional execution using the type system	167
	<i>Heterogeneous typed list</i>	169
	<i>IndexedView</i>	172
7.5	Summary	178

8 *Using the right collection* 179

8.1	Use the right collection	180
	<i>The collection hierarchy</i>	180
	<i>Traversable</i>	182
	<i>Iterable</i>	185
	<i>Seq</i>	187
	<i>LinearSeq</i>	187
	<i>IndexedSeq</i>	189
	<i>Set</i>	190
	<i>Map</i>	191
8.2	Immutable collections	192
	<i>Vector</i>	192
	<i>List</i>	194
	<i>Stream</i>	195
8.3	Mutable collections	198
	<i>ArrayBuffer</i>	198
	<i>Mixin mutation event publishing</i>	199
	<i>Mixin synchronization</i>	200
8.4	Changing evaluation with views and parallel collections	200
	<i>Views</i>	201
	<i>Parallel collections</i>	203
8.5	Writing methods to use with all collection types	205
	<i>Optimizing algorithms for each collections type</i>	209
8.6	Summary	211

9 *Actors* 212

9.1	Know when to use actors	213
	<i>Using actors to search</i>	213
9.2	Use typed, transparent references	216
	<i>Scatter-Gather with OutputChannel</i>	217
9.3	Limit failures to zones	221
	<i>Scatter-Gather failure zones</i>	221
	<i>General failure handling practices</i>	224
9.4	Limit overload using scheduler zones	225
	<i>Scheduling zones</i>	227

- 9.5 Dynamic actor topology 228
- 9.6 Summary 233

10 *Integrating Scala with Java 234*

- 10.1 The language mismatch between Scala and Java 235
 - Differences in primitive boxing 236 ▪ Differences in visibility 240 ▪ Inexpressible language features 241*
- 10.2 Be wary of implicit conversions 244
 - Object identity and equality 245 ▪ Chaining implicits 246*
- 10.3 Be wary of Java serialization 248
 - Serializing anonymous classes 250*
- 10.4 Annotate your annotations 252
 - Annotation targets 254 ▪ Scala and static fields 255*
- 10.5 Summary 256

11 *Patterns in functional programming 257*

- 11.1 Category theory for computer science 258
- 11.2 Functors and monads, and how they relate to categories 262
 - Monads 264*
- 11.3 Currying and applicative style 266
 - Currying 266 ▪ Applicative style 268*
- 11.4 Monads as workflows 272
- 11.5 Summary 276
 - index 277*

foreword

Joshua Suereth is one of the most complete programmers I know. Familiar with a whole gamut of programming languages and techniques, he is an expert in high-performance systems, build tools, type theory, and many other areas. He is also a gifted teacher, and all that combined is what makes *Scala in Depth* special.

This book provides in-depth coverage of several of the more intricate areas of Scala, including advanced aspects of its type system, implicits, composition techniques with traits, collections, actors, functional categories. But this is not a dry recollection of language and library concepts. The book is full of practical advice on how to apply these lesser known parts of Scala in useful ways, and what the best practices are. The explanations and examples demonstrate Joshua's great experience constructing large-scale systems in Scala.

Scala in Depth is not a beginner's introduction; it should primarily appeal to competent Scala programmers who want to become experts. The techniques that are taught are handy for constructing flexible and type-safe library abstractions. Many of these techniques were folklore until now; they have been, for the first time, written-up here.

I am particularly happy about one other thing: The book fills a gap in that it explains key parts of the formal Scala specification to programmers who are not language lawyers. Scala is one of few languages that actually has a specification. That specification consists mainly of definitions written in highly stylized prose and mathematical formulas; so it's not everybody's piece of cake. Joshua's book manages to be both authoritative and understandable as it explains these concepts.

MARTIN ODERSKY
CREATOR OF SCALA
HEAD OF PROGRAMMING
RESEARCH GROUP, EPFL

****preface****

In fall 2010 Michael Stephens from Manning contacted me about writing a Scala Book. I was working for a small virtualization/security startup where I had been learning Scala and applying it to our codebase. During that first conversation Michael and I discussed the Scala ecosystem and what kind of a book would best serve the community.

I believed Scala needed a “practical Scala” book to help guide those new to the language. Scala is a beautiful language, but it brings many new concepts to the table. I had watched as the community slowly discovered best practices and a code style that was wholly “Scala.” But I wasn’t sure whether I was the right person to write such a book. When it came down to it, I was passionate about the topic, had enough free time to do the research, and had the support of the magnates of the community to help achieve what you are reading today—so I decided to go ahead.

I’ve learned a lot during the writing process. One reason it took so long was the evolving nature of Scala and the emergence of new best practices. Another reason was that I realized my own knowledge was woefully inadequate in some areas of Scala. To all aspiring authors out there, I will tell you that writing a book makes you an expert. You may think you are one before you start, but true expertise grows from the blood, sweat, and tears of teaching, of trying to convey complex concepts to your readers with clarity.

Working on this book was a journey that I never could have completed without a very supportive and loving wife, a great publisher, and an amazing community of Scala developers and readers willing to read my manuscript in various stages, point out my typos and misspellings, and offer advice on how to make *Scala in Depth* a much better book than I could have achieved alone.

acknowledgments

Many people helped get this book off the ground and into print. While I'm going to try to list them all, I'm sure I'll miss a few as there were just too many for my tiny brain to remember. This book showed me that I have a lot of high quality friends, coworkers, and family.

The biggest thank you is for my wife and children, who had to deal with a husband/father who was constantly hiding in a corner, writing, when he should have been helping out. There's no way an author can write a book without the support of immediate family, and mine was no exception.

Next, I'd like to thank Manning Publications and all the work the staff did to ensure I became a real author. Not only did they review and lay out the book, they also helped improve my technical writing skills for clear communication. I can't give enough thanks to the whole team, but I'd especially like to thank Katherine Osborne for putting up with my missed deadlines, Pennsylvania-Dutch sentence structures, and overall poor spelling. Katherine was instrumental to the voice of this book, and those who've been reading the MEAPs will notice the improvement.

The next group that deserves thanks are the Scala experts and nonexperts who helped me improve my technical material and descriptions. Tim Perret was authoring *Lift in Action* for Manning around the same time I was writing *Scala in Depth*. Discussions with Tim were both encouraging and motivating. Unfortunately for me, he finished first. Justin Wick was a reviewer and collaborator on a lot of the content, and definitely helped me reach a wider audience than I had initially attempted to attract. He also reviewed the final manuscript and code one last time, just before the book went into production. Adriaan Moors, as usual, pointed out all my mistakes when

discussing the type system and implicit resolution and helped make the discussions both practical and correct. Eric Weinberg was an old coworker of mine who helped provide guidance for reaching non-Scala developers in the book. Viktor Klang reviewed the “Actors” chapter (and the whole book) and offered improvements. Thank you also to Martin Odersky for his endorsement and kind words on the final product that you will read in the foreword, Josh Cough for being a guy I can bounce ideas off when needed, and Peter Simanyi for an email with a very detailed, thorough, complete, and awesome review of the entire book.

Manning also contacted the following reviewers, who read the manuscript at various stages of its development, and I would like to thank them for their invaluable insights and comments: John C. Tyler, Orhan Alkan, Michael Nash, John Griffin, Jeroen Benckhuijsen, David Biesack, Lutz Hankewitz, Oleksandr Alesinskyy, Cheryl Jerozal, Edmon Begoli, Ramnivas Laddad, Marco Ughetti, Marcus Kazmierczak, Ted Neward, Eric Weinberg, Dave Pawson, Patrick Steger, Paul Stusiak, Mark Thomas, David Dossot, Tariq Ahmed, Ken McDonald, Mark Needham, and James Hatheway.

Finally, I’d like to thank all of the MEAP reviewers. I received great feedback from them and appreciate the support and good reviews this book received before it was even in print. You guys had to bear with lots of typos and errors and deserve credit for persevering through my rough initial cuts and making it to this final version.

about this book

Scala in Depth is a practical guide to using Scala with deep dives into necessary topics. This book, picking up where introductory books drop off, enables readers to write idiomatic Scala code and understand trade-offs when making use of advanced language features. In particular, this book covers Scala’s implicit and type systems in detail before discussing how these can be used to drastically simplify development. The book promotes the “blended style” of Scala, where paradigms are mixed to achieve something greater.

Who should read this book?

Scala in Depth is for new or intermediate Scala developers who wish to improve their skills with the language. While this book covers very advanced concepts in Scala, it attempts to pull along those new to Scala.

This book was written for readers who know Java or another object-oriented language. Prior experience with Scala is helpful but not required. It covers Scala 2.7.x through Scala 2.9.x.

Roadmap

Scala in Depth begins with a philosophical discussion of the “xen” of Scala—that Scala is a blending of concepts that achieve a greater whole when combined. In particular, three dichotomies are discussed: static typing versus expressiveness, functional programming versus object-oriented programming, and powerful language features versus dead simple Java integration.

Chapter 2 is a discussion of the core rules of Scala. These are the things that every Scala developer should be aware of and make use of in daily development. This chapter is for every Scala developer and covers the basics that make Scala a great language.

Chapter 3 is a digression in code style and associated issues. Scala brings a few new players to the table, and any Scala style guide should reflect that. Some common conventions from popular languages like Ruby and Java can actually be deterrents to good Scala code.

Chapter 4 covers new issues arising in object-oriented design due to Scala's mixin inheritance. One topic of interest to any Scala developer is the early initializer coverage, which gets little coverage in other books.

After object orientation, the book moves into the implicit system. In chapter 5, rather than simply discussing best practices, a deep dive is taken into the mechanics of implicits in Scala. This chapter is a must for all Scala developers who wish to write expressive libraries and code.

Chapter 6 is devoted to Scala's type system. The discussion covers all the ways types appear in Scala and how to utilize the type system to enforce constraints. The chapter moves into a discussion of higher-kinded types and finishes with a dive into existential types.

Chapter 7 discusses the most advanced usage patterns in the language, the intersection of types and implicits. This intersection is where a lot of interesting and powerful abstractions occur, the epitome of which is the type class pattern.

Having covered the most advanced aspects of Scala, in chapter 8 we move into a discussion of Scala's collection library. This includes the design and performance of Scala's collections as well as how to deal with the powerful type mechanisms.

Chapter 9 kicks off the discussion on actors in Scala. Actors are a concurrency mechanism that can provide great throughput and parallelism when used appropriately. The chapter dives into issues of designing actor-based systems and finishes with a demonstration of how the Akka actors library provides best practices by default.

Chapter 10 covers Java integration with Scala. While Scala is more compatible with Java than most other JVM languages, there's still a mismatch in features between the two. It's at these corners that issues arise in Scala-Java integration and this chapter provides a few simple rules that help avoid these issues.

Chapter 11 takes concepts from category theory and makes them practical. In pure functional programming, a lot of concepts from category theory have been applied to code. These are akin to object-oriented design patterns, but far more abstract. While they have terrible names, as is common in mathematics, these concepts are immensely useful in practice. No coverage of functional programming would be complete without a discussion of some of these abstractions, and Scala in Depth does its best to make these concepts real.

Code downloads and conventions

All source code in the book is in a fixed-width font like this, which sets it off from the surrounding text. In many listings, the code is annotated to point out the key

concepts. I have tried to format the code so that it fits within the available page space in the book by adding line breaks and using indentation carefully. Sometimes, however, very long lines include line-continuation markers.

Source code for all the working examples is available from www.manning.com/ScalainDepth and at <https://github.com/jsuereth/scala-in-depth-source>. To run the examples, readers should have Scala installed and, optionally, SBT (<http://scalasbt.org>).

Code examples appear throughout this book. Longer listings appear under clear listing headers; shorter listings appear between lines of text.

Author online

Purchase of *Scala in Depth* includes free access to a private web forum run by Manning Publications where you can make comments about the book, ask technical questions, and receive help from the authors and from other users. To access the forum and subscribe to it, point your web browser to www.manning.com/ScalainDepth. This page provides information on how to get on the forum once you're registered, what kind of help is available, and the rules of conduct on the forum.

Manning's commitment to our readers is to provide a venue where a meaningful dialog between individual readers and between readers and the authors can take place. It's not a commitment to any specific amount of participation on the part of the authors, whose contribution to the AO forum remains voluntary (and unpaid). We suggest you try asking the author some challenging questions lest his interest stray.

The Author Online forum and the archives of previous discussions will be accessible from the publisher's website as long as the book is in print.

About the author

Josh Suereth is a Senior Software Engineer at Typesafe Inc., the company behind Scala. He has been a Scala enthusiast since he came to know this beautiful language in 2007. He started his professional career as a software developer in 2004, cutting his teeth with C++, STL, and Boost. Around the same time, Java fever was spreading and his interest was migrating to web-hosted distributed Java-delivered solutions to aid health departments in the discovery of disease outbreaks.

He introduced Scala into his company code base in 2007, and soon after he was infected by Scala fever, contributing to the Scala IDE, maven-scala-plugin and Scala itself. Today, Josh is the author of several open source Scala projects, including the Scala automated resource management library and the PGP sbt plugin, as well as contributing to key components in the Scala ecosystem, like the maven-scala-plugin. His current work at Typesafe Inc., has him doing everything from building MSIs to profiling performance issues.

Josh regularly shares his expertise in articles and talks. He likes short walks on the beach and dark beer.

about the cover illustration

On the cover of *Scala in Depth* is a figure dressed in “An old Croatian folk costume.” The illustration is taken from a reproduction of an album of Croatian traditional costumes from the mid-nineteenth century by Nikola Arsenovic, published by the Ethnographic Museum in Split, Croatia, in 2003. The illustrations were obtained from a helpful librarian at the Ethnographic Museum in Split, itself situated in the Roman core of the medieval center of the town: the ruins of Emperor Diocletian’s retirement palace from around AD 304. The book includes finely colored illustrations of figures from different regions of Croatia, accompanied by descriptions of the costumes and of everyday life.

While the caption for the illustration on the cover does not tell us the town or region of origin, the blue woolen trousers and richly embroidered vest and jacket that the figure is wearing are typical for the mountainous regions of central Croatia. Dress codes and lifestyles have changed over the last 200 years, and the diversity by region, so rich at the time, has faded away. It’s now hard to tell apart the inhabitants of different continents, let alone of different hamlets or towns separated by only a few miles. Perhaps we have traded cultural diversity for a more varied personal life—certainly for a more varied and fast-paced technological life.

Manning celebrates the inventiveness and initiative of the computer business with book covers based on the rich diversity of regional life of two centuries ago, brought back to life by illustrations from old books and collections like this one.

1

Scala— *a blended language*

In this chapter

- Short introduction to Scala
- Insights into Scala's design

Scala was born from the mind of Martin Odersky, a man who had helped introduce generics into the Java programming language. Scala was an offshoot from the Funnel language, an attempt to combine functional programming and Petri nets. Scala was developed with the premise that you could mix together object orientation, functional programming, and a powerful type system and still keep elegant, succinct code. It was hoped that this blending of concepts would create something that real developers could use and that could be studied for new programming idioms. It was such a large success that industry has started adopting Scala as a viable and competitive language.

Understanding Scala requires understanding this mixture of concepts. Scala attempts to blend three dichotomies of thought into one language. These are:

- Functional programming and object-oriented programming
- Expressive syntax and static typing
- Advanced language features and rich Java integration

Functional programming is programming through the definition and composition of functions. Object-oriented programming is programming through the definition and composition of objects. In Scala, functions *are* objects. Programs can be constructed through both the definition and composition of objects or functions. This gives Scala the ability to focus on “nouns” or “verbs” in a program, depending on what is the most prominent.

Scala also blends expressive syntax with static typing. Mainstream statically typed languages tend to suffer from verbose type annotations and boilerplate syntax. Scala takes a few lessons from the ML programming language and offers static typing with a nice expressive syntax. Code written in Scala can look as expressive as dynamically typed languages, like Ruby, while retaining type safety.

Finally, Scala offers a lot of advanced language features that are not available in Java. But Scala runs on the Java virtual machine (JVM) and has tight integration with the Java language. This means that developers can make direct use of existing Java libraries and integrate Scala into their Java applications while also gaining the additional power of Scala. This integration makes Scala a practical choice for any JVM-based project.

Let’s take a deeper look at the blending of paradigms in Scala.

1.1

Functional programming meets object orientation

Functional programming and object-oriented programming are two different ways of looking at a problem. Functional programming puts special emphasis on the “verbs” of a program and ways to combine and manipulate them. Object-oriented programming puts special emphasis on “nouns” and attaches verbs to them. The two approaches are almost inverses of each other, with one being “top down” and the other “bottom up.”

Object-oriented programming is a top-down approach to code design. It approaches software by dividing code into nouns or objects. Each object has some form of identity (self/this), behavior (methods), and state (members). After identifying nouns and defining their behaviors, interactions between nouns are defined. The problem with implementing interactions is that the interactions need to live inside an object. Modern object-oriented designs tend to have *service classes*, which are a collection of methods that operate across several domain objects. Service classes, although objects, usually don’t have a notion of state or behavior independent of the objects on which they operate.

A good example is a program that implements the following story: “A cat catches a bird and eats it.” An object-oriented programmer would look at this sentence and see two nouns: cat and bird. The cat has two verbs associated with it: catch and eat. The following program is a more object-oriented approach:

```
class Bird
class Cat {
    def catch(b: Bird): Unit = ...
    def eat(): Unit = ...
```

```

}

val cat = new Cat
val bird = new Bird

cat.catch(bird)
cat.eat()

```

In the example, when a `Cat` catches a `Bird`, it converts the bird to a type of `Food`, which it can then eat. The code focuses on the nouns and their actions: `Cat.eat()`, `Cat.catch(...)`. In functional programming, the focus is on the verbs.

Functional programming approaches software as the combination and application of functions. It tends to decompose software into behaviors, or actions that need to be performed, usually in a bottom-up fashion. Functions are viewed in a mathematical sense, purely operations on their input. All variables are considered immutable. This immutability aids concurrent programming. Functional programming attempts to defer all side effects in a program as long as possible. Removing side effects makes reasoning through a program simpler, in a formal sense. It also provides much more power in how things can be abstracted and combined.

In the story “A cat catches a bird and eats it,” a functional program would see the two verbs *catch* and *eat*. A program would create these two functions and compose them to create the program. The following program is a more functional approach:

```

trait Cat
trait Bird
trait Catch
trait FullTummy

def catch(hunter: Cat, prey: Bird): Cat with Catch
def eat(consumer: Cat with Catch): Cat with FullTummy

val story = (catch _) andThen (eat _)
story(new Cat, new Bird)

```

In the example, the `catch` method takes a `Cat` and a `Bird` and returns a new value of type `Cat with Catch`. The `eat` method is defined as taking a `CatWithPrey` (a cat needs something to eat) and returns a `FullCat` (because it's no longer hungry). Functional programming makes more use of the type system to describe what a function is doing. The `catch` and `eat` methods use the type signatures to define the expected input and output states of the function. The `with` keyword is used to combine a type with another. In this example, the traits `Catch` and `FullTummy` are used to denote the current state of a `Cat`. The methods `eat` and `catch` return new instances of `Cat` attached to different state types. The `story` value is created by composing the functions `catch` and `eat`. This means that the `catch` method is called and the result is fed into the `eat` method. Finally, the `story` function is called with a `Cat` and a `Bird` and the result is the output of the `story`: a full cat.

Functional programming and object orientation offer unique views of software. It's these differences that make them useful to each other. Object orientation can deal with composing the nouns and functional programming can deal with composing

Table 1.1 Attributes commonly ascribed to object-oriented and functional programming

Object-oriented programming	Functional programming
Composition of objects (nouns)	Composition of functions (verbs)
Encapsulated stateful interaction	Deferred side effects
Iterative algorithms	Recursive algorithms and continuations
Imperative flow	Lazy evaluation
N/A	Pattern matching

verbs. In the example, the functional version was built by composing a set of functions that encompassed a story and then feeding the initial data into these functions. For the object-oriented version, a set of objects was created and their internal state was manipulated. Both approaches are useful in designing software. Object orientation can focus on the nouns of the system and functional programming can compose the verbs.

In fact, in recent years, many Java developers have started moving toward splitting nouns and verbs. The Enterprise JavaBeans (EJB) specification splits software into *Session beans*, which tend to contain behaviors, and *Entity beans*, which tend to model the nouns in the system. Stateless Session beans start looking more like collections of functional code (although missing most of the useful features of functional code).

This push of functional style has come along much further than the EJB specifications. The Spring Application Framework promotes a functional style with its Template classes, and the Google Collections library is very functional in design. Let's look at these common Java libraries and see how Scala's blend of functional programming with object orientation can enhance these Application Program Interfaces (APIs).

1.1.1 *Discovering existing functional concepts*

Many modern API designs have been incorporating functional ideas without ascribing them to functional programming. For Java, things such as Google Collections or the Spring Application Framework make popular functional concepts accessible to the Java developer. Scala takes this further and embeds them into the language. To illustrate, you'll do a simple translation of the methods on the popular Spring `JdbcTemplate` class and see what it starts to look like in Scala.

```
public interface JdbcTemplate {
    List query(PreparedStatementCreator psc,
               RowMapper rowMapper)
    ...
}
```

← **Query for list of objects**

Now for a simple translation into Scala, you'll convert the interface into a trait having the same method(s):

```
trait JdbcTemplate {
    def query(psc: PreparedStatementCreator,
              rowMapper: RowMapper): List[_]
}
```

The simple translation makes a lot of sense but it's still designed with a distinct Java flair. Let's start digging deeper into this design. Specifically, let's look at the `PreparedStatementCreator` and the `RowMapper` interfaces.

```
public interface PreparedStatementCreator {
    PreparedStatement createPreparedStatement(URLConnection con)
        throws SQLException;
}
```

The `PreparedStatementCreator` interface contains only one method: `createPreparedStatement`. This method takes a JDBC connection and returns a `PreparedStatement`. The `RowMapper` interface looks similar:

```
public interface RowMapper {
    Object mapRow(ResultSet rs, int rowNum)
        throws SQLException;
}
```

Scala provides first-class functions. This feature lets us change the `JdbcTemplate` query method so that it takes functions instead of interfaces. These functions should have the same signature as the sole method defined on the interface. In this case, the `PreparedStatementCreator` argument can be replaced by a function that takes a connection and returns a `PreparedStatement`. The `RowMapper` argument can be replaced by a function that takes a `ResultSet` and an integer and returns some type of object. The updated Scala version of the `JdbcTemplate` interface would look as follows:

```
trait JdbcTemplate {
    def query(psc: Connection => PreparedStatement,
              rowMapper: (ResultSet, Int) => AnyRef
            ): List[AnyRef]
}
```

← Use first-class
functions

The `query` method is now more functional. It's using a technique known as the *loancer pattern*. This technique involves some controlling entity (the `JdbcTemplate`) creating a resource and delegating the use of it to another function. In this case, there are two functions and three resources. Also, as the name implies, `JdbcTemplate` is part of a template method in which pieces of the behavior were deferred for the user to implement. In pure object-orientation, this is usually done via inheritance. In a more functional approach, these behavioral pieces become arguments to the controlling function. This provides more flexibility by allowing mixing/matching arguments without having to continually use subclasses.

You may be wondering why you're using `AnyRef` for the second argument's return value. `AnyRef` is equivalent in Scala to `java.lang.Object`. Because Scala has supported generics, even when compiling for 1.4 JVMs, we should modify this interface further to remove the `AnyRef` and allow users to return specific types.

```
trait JdbcTemplate {
    def query[ResultItem](psc: Connection => PreparedStatement,
                          rowMapper: (ResultSet, Int) => ResultItem
                        ): List[ResultItem]
}
```

← Typed
return list

With a few simple transformations, you've created an interface that works directly against functions. This is a more functional approach because Scala's function traits allow composition. By the time you're finished reading this book, you'll be able to approach the design of this interface completely differently.

Functional programming also shines when used in a collections library. The Ruby and Python programming languages support some functional aspects directly in their standard library collections. For Java users, the Google Collections library bring practices from functional programming.

1.1.2 Examining functional concepts in Google Collections

The Google Collections API adds a lot of power to the standard Java collections. Primarily it brings a nice set of efficient immutable data structures, and some functional ways of interacting with your collections, primarily the `Function` interface and the `Predicate` interface. These interfaces are used primarily from the `Iterables` and `Iterators` classes. Let's look at the `Predicate` interface and its uses.

```
interface Predicate<T> {
    public boolean apply(T input);
    public boolean equals(Object other);
}
```

The `Predicate` interface is simple. Besides equality, it contains an `apply` method that returns true or false against its argument. This is used in an `Iterators/Iterables`-filter method. The `filter` method takes a collection and a predicate. It returns a new collection containing only elements that pass the predicate `apply` method. Predicates are also used in the `find` method. The `find` method looks in a collection for the first element passing a `Predicate` and returns it. The `filter` and `find` method signatures are shown in the following code.

```
class Iterables {
    public static <T> Iterable<T> filter(Iterable<T> unfiltered,
        Predicate<? super T> predicate) {...}
    public static <T> T find(Iterable<T> iterable,
        Predicate<? super T> predicate) {...}
    ...
}
```

There also exists a `Predicates` class that contains static methods for combining predicates (ANDs/ORs) and standard predicates for use, such as "not null." This simple interface creates some powerful functionality through the potential combinations that can be achieved with terse code. Also, because the predicate itself is passed into the `filter` function, the function can determine the best way or time to execute the filter. The data structure may be amenable to lazily evaluating the predicate, making the iterable returned a "view" of the original collection. It might also determine that it could best optimize the creation of the new iterable through some form of parallelism. This has been abstracted away, so the library could improve over time with no code changes on our part.

The Predicate interface is rather interesting, because it looks like a simple function. This function takes some type T and returns a Boolean. In Scala this would be represented `T => Boolean`. Let's rewrite the filter/find methods in Scala and see what their signatures would look like:

```
object Iterables {
    def filter[T](unfiltered: Iterable[T],
                  predicate: T => Boolean): Iterable[T] = {...}
    def find[T](iterable: Iterable[T],
                predicate: T => Boolean): T = {...}
    ...
}
```

You'll immediately notice that in Scala we aren't using any explicit `? super T` type annotations. This is because Scala defines type variance at declaration time. For this example, that means that the variance annotation is defined on the `Function1` class rather than requiring it on every method that used the class.

What about combining predicates in Scala? We can accomplish a few of these quickly using some functional composition. Let's make a new `Predicates` module in Scala that takes in function predicates and provides commonly used function predicates. The input type of these combination functions should be `T => Boolean` and the output should also be `T => Boolean`. The predefined predicates should also have a type `T => Boolean`.

```
object Predicates {
    def or[T](f1: T => Boolean, f2: T => Boolean) =
        (t: T) => f1(t) || f2(t)
    def and[T](f1: T => Boolean, f2: T => Boolean) =
        (t: T) => f1(t) && f2(t)
    val notNull[T]: T => Boolean = _ != null
}
```

We've now started to delve into the realm of functional programming. We're defining first-class functions and combining them to perform new behaviors. You'll notice the `or` method takes two predicates, `f1` and `f2`. It then creates a new anonymous function that takes an argument `t` and ORs the results of `f1` and `f2`. Playing with functions also makes more extensive use of generics and the type system. Scala has put forth a lot of effort to reduce the overhead for generics in daily usage.

Functional programming is more than combining functions with other functions. The essence of functional programming is delaying side effects as long as possible. This predicate object defines a simple mechanism to combine predicates. The predicate isn't used to cause side effects until passed to the `Iterables` object. This distinction is important. Complex predicates can be built from simple predicates using the helper methods defined on the object predicates.

Functional programming grants the means to defer state manipulation in a program until a later time. It provides a mechanism to construct verbs that delay side effects. These verbs can be combined in a fashion that makes reasoning through a program simpler. Eventually the verbs are applied against the nouns of the system. In

traditional FP, side effects are delayed as long as possible. In blended OO-FP, the idioms merge.

1.2 Static typing and expressiveness

The Scala type system allows expressive code. A common misconception among developers is that static typing leads to verbose code. This myth exists because many of the languages derived from C, where types must be explicitly specified in many different places. As software has improved, along with compiler theory, this is no longer true. Scala uses some of these advances to reduce boilerplate in code and keep things concise.

Scala made a few simple design decisions that help make it expressive:

- Changing sides of type annotation
- Type inference
- Scalable syntax
- User-defined implicits

Let's look at how Scala changes the sides of type annotations.

1.2.1 Changing sides

Scala places type annotations on the right-hand side of variables. In some statically typed languages, like Java or C++, it's common to have to express the types of variables, return values, and arguments. When specifying variables or parameters, the convention, drawn from C, is to place type indicators on the left-hand side of the variable name. For method arguments and return values, this is acceptable, but causes some confusion when creating different styles of variables. C++ is the best example of this, as it has a rich set of variable styles, such as volatile, const, pointers, and references. Table 1.2 shows a comparison of C++ variables and Scala variables.

The more complicated a variable type, the more annotations are required directly on the type of the variable. In C++, this is maximized in the usage of a pointer, because a pointer can be constant. Scala defines three variable types on the left-hand side, like `var`, `val`, and `lazy val`. These leave the type of the variable clean. In all instances, the type of the name `x` is `Int`.

Table 1.2 Variable definition in C++ versus Scala

Variable type	C++	Java	Scala
Mutable integer variable	<code>int x</code>	<code>int x</code>	<code>var x: Int</code>
Immutable integer value	<code>const int x</code>	<code>final int x</code>	<code>val x: Int</code>
Constant pointer to a volatile integer	<code>volatile int * const x</code>	N/A	N/A
Lazily evaluated integer value	N/A	N/A	<code>lazy val x: Int</code>

In addition to separating the concerns of how a variable behaves from the variable type, the placement of types on the right allows type inference to determine the type of the variables.

1.2.2 Type inference

Scala performs type inference wherever possible. Type inference is when the compiler determines what the type annotation should be, rather than forcing the user to specify one. The user can always provide a type annotation, but has the option to let the compiler do the work.

```
val x: Int = 5
val y = 5
```

This feature can drastically reduce the clutter found in some other typed languages. Scala takes this even further to do some level of inference on arguments passed into methods, specifically with first-class functions.

```
def myMethod(functionLiteral: A => B): Unit
myMethod({ arg: A => new B })
myMethod({ arg => new B })
```

If a method is known to take a function argument, the compiler can infer the types used in a function are literal.

1.2.3 Dropping verbose syntax

Scala syntax takes the general approach that when the meaning of a line of code is straightforward, the verbose syntax can be dropped. This feature can confuse users first using Scala but can be rather powerful when used wisely. Let's show a simple refactoring from the full glory of Scala syntax into the simplistic code that's seen in idiomatic usage. Here is a function for Quicksort in Scala.

```
def qsort[T <% Ordered[T]](list:List[T]):List[T] = {    ← <% means "view"
  list match {
    case Nil => Nil;
    case x::xs =>
      val (before,after) = xs.partition({ i => i.<(x) });
      qsort(before).++(qsort(after):::(x));
  }
}
```

This code accepts a list whose type, T, is able to be implicitly converted into a variable of type `Ordered[T]` (`T <% Ordered[T]`). We'll discuss type parameters and constraints in great detail in chapter 6, so don't focus too much on these. We're requiring that the list contain elements that we have some notion of ordering for, specifically a less than function (`<`). We then examine the list. If it's empty, or `Nil`, then we return a `Nil` list. If it encounters a list, we extract the head (`x`) and tail (`xs`) of the list. We use the head element of the list to partition the tail into two lists. We then recursively call the Quicksort method on each partition. In the same line, we combine the sorted partitions and the head element into a complete list.

You may be thinking, “Wow, Scala looks ugly.” In this case you would be right. The code is cluttered and difficult to read. There’s a lot of syntactic noise preventing the meaning of the code from being clear. There’s also a lot of type information after `qsort`. Let’s pull out our surgical knife and start cutting out cruft. First we’ll start with Scala’s semicolon inference. The compiler will assume that the end of a line is the end of an expression, unless you leave some piece of syntax hanging, like the `.` before a method call.


But removing semicolons isn’t quite enough to reduce the clutter. We should also use an *operator notation*. This is the name Scala gives to its ability to treat methods as operators. A method of no arguments can be treated as a postfix operator. A method of one argument can be treated as an infix operator. There’s also the special rule for certain characters (for example, `:`) at the end of a method name that reverses the order of a method call. These rules are demonstrated as follows:

```
x.foo(); /*is the same as*/ x foo
x.foo(y); /*is the same as*/ x foo y
x.::(y); /*is the same as*/ y :: x
```

Scala also provides placeholder notation when defining anonymous functions (aka, lambdas). This syntax uses the `_` keyword as a placeholder for a function argument. If more than one placeholder is used, each consecutive placeholder refers to consecutive arguments to the function literal. This notation is usually reserved for simple functions, such as the less-than (`<`) comparison in our Quicksort.

We can apply this notation paired with operator notation to achieve the following on our quick sort algorithm:

```
def qsort[T <% Ordered[T]](list:List[T]):List[T] = list match {
    case Nil => Nil
    case x :: xs =>
        val (before, after) = xs partition (_ < x)
        qsort(before) ++ (x :: qsort(after));
}
```



Scala offers syntactic shortcuts for simple cases, and it provides a mechanism to bend the type system via implicits conversions and implicits arguments.

1.2.4 Implicits are an old concept

Scala implicits are a new take on an old concept. The first time I was ever introduced to the concept of implicit conversions was with primitive types in C++. C++ allows primitive types to be automatically converted as long as there is no loss of precision. For example, we can use an `int` literal when declaring a `long` value. The types `double`, `float`, `int`, and `long` are different to the compiler. It does try to be intelligent and “do the right thing” when mixing these values. Scala provides this same mechanism, but using a language feature that’s available for anyone.

The `scala.Predef` object is automatically imported into scope by Scala. This places its members available to all programs. It’s a handy mechanism for providing convenience functions to users, like directly writing `println` instead of `Console`

.println or System.out.println. Predef also provides what it calls *primitive widenings*. These are a set of implicit conversions that automatically migrate from lower-precision types to higher precision types. The following listing shows the set of methods defined for the Byte type.

Listing 1.1 Byte conversions in scala.Predef object

```
implicit def byte2short(x: Byte): Short = x.toShort
implicit def byte2int(x: Byte): Int = x.toInt
implicit def byte2long(x: Byte): Long = x.toLong
implicit def byte2float(x: Byte): Float = x.toFloat
implicit def byte2double(x: Byte): Double = x.toDouble
```

These methods are calls to the runtime-conversion methods. The implicit before the method means the compiler may attempt to apply this method to a type Byte, if it's required for correct compilation. This means if we attempt to pass a Byte to a method requiring a Short, it will use the implicit conversion defined as byte2short. Scala also takes this one step further and looks for methods via implicit conversions if the current type doesn't have the called method. This comes in handy for more than just primitive conversions.

Scala also uses the implicit conversion mechanism as a means of extending Java's base classes (Integer, String, Double, and so on). This allows Scala to make direct use of Java classes, for ease of integration, and provide richer methods that make use of Scala's more advanced features. Implicits are a powerful feature and are mistrusted by some. The key to implicits in Scala are knowing how and when to use them.

1.2.5 Using Scala's *implicit* keyword

Utilizing implicits is key to manipulating Scala's type system. They're primarily used to automatically convert from one type to another as needed, but can also be used to limited forms of compiler time metaprogramming. To use, implicits must be associated with a lexical scope. This can be done via companion objects or by explicitly importing them.

The *implicit* keyword is used in two different ways in Scala. First it's used to identify and create arguments that are automatically passed when found in the scope. This can be used to lexically scope certain features of an API. As implicits also have a lookup policy, the inheritance linearization, they can be used to change the return type of methods. This allows some advanced APIs and type-system tricks such as that used in the Scala collections API. These techniques are covered in detail in chapter 7.

The *implicit* keyword can also be used to convert from one type to another. This occurs in two places, the first when passing a parameter to a function. If Scala detects that a different type is needed, it will check the type hierarchy and then look for an implicit conversion to apply to the parameter. An implicit conversion is a method, marked *implicit*, that takes one argument and returns something. The second place where Scala will perform an implicit conversion is when a method is called against a particular type. If the compiler can't find the desired method, it will apply *implicit*

conversations against the variable until it either finds one that contains the method or it runs out of conversions. This is used in Scala’s “pimp my library” pattern, described in chapter 7.

These features combine an expressive syntax with Scala, despite its advanced type system. Creating expressive libraries requires a deep understanding of the type system, as well as thorough knowledge of implicit conversions. The type system will be covered more fully in chapter 6. The type system also interoperates well with Java, which is a critical design for Scala.

1.3 *Transparently working with the JVM*

One of Scala’s draws is its seamless integration with Java and the JVM. Scala provides a rich compatibility with Java, such that Java classes can be mapped directly to Scala classes. The tightness of this interaction makes migrating from Java to Scala rather simple, but caution should be used with some of Scala’s advanced feature sets. Scala has some advanced features not available in Java, and care was taken in the design so that seamless Java interaction can be achieved. For the most part, libraries written in Java can be imported into Scala as is.

1.3.1 *Java in Scala*

Using Java libraries from Scala is seamless because Java idioms map directly into Scala idioms. Java classes become Scala classes; Java interfaces become abstract Scala traits. Java static members get added to a pseudo-Scala object. This combined with Scala’s package import mechanism and method access make Java libraries feel like natural Scala libraries, albeit with more simplistic designs. In general, this kind of interaction just works. For example, the following listing shows a Java class that has a constructor, a method, and a static helper method.

Listing 1.2 Simple Java object

```
class SimpleJavaClass {
    private String name;
    public SimpleJavaClass(String name) {           ← Constructor
        this.name = name;
    }
    public String getName() {                      ← Class method
        return name;
    }
    public static SimpleJavaClass create(String name) { ← Static class helper
        return new SimpleJavaClass(name);
    }
}
```

Now, let’s use this in Scala.

```
val x = SimpleJavaClass.create("Test")          ← Calling Java static methods
x.getName()                                     ← Calling Java methods
val y = new SimpleJavaClass("Test")              ← Using Java constructor
```

This mapping is rather natural and makes using Java libraries a seamless part of using Scala. Even with the tight integration, Java libraries usually have a form of thin Scala wrapper that provides some of the more advanced features a Java API could not provide. These features are apparent when trying to use Scala libraries inside Java.

1.3.2 Scala in Java

Scala attempts to map its features to Java in the simplest possible fashion. For the most part, simple Scala features map almost one-to-one with Java features (for example, classes, abstract classes, methods). Scala has some rather advanced features that don't map easily into Java. These include things like objects, first-class functions, and implicits.

SCALA OBJECTS IN JAVA

Although Java statics map to Scala objects, Scala objects are instances of a singleton class. This class name is compiled as the name of the object with a \$ appended to the end. A MODULE\$ static field on this class is designed to be the sole instance. All methods and fields can be accessed via this MODULE\$ instance. Scala also provides *forwarding* static methods when it can; these exist on the companion class (that is, a class with the same name as the object). Although the static methods are unused in Scala, they provide a convenient syntax when called from Java.

```
object ScalaUtils {
    def log(msg : String) : Unit = Console.println(msg)           ← Simple Scala method
    val MAX_LOG_SIZE = 1056                                     ← Simple Scala field
}

ScalaUtils.log("Hello!");                                         ← Acts like static call
ScalaUtils$.MODULE$.log("Hello!");                                ← Use the singleton instance
System.out.println(ScalaUtils$.MODULE$.MAX_LOG_SIZE());          ← Variables become
System.out.println(ScalaUtils.MAX_LOG_SIZE());                   ← Static forwarder
```

SCALA FUNCTIONS IN JAVA

Scala promotes the use of function as object, or first-class functions. As of Java 1.6, there is no such concept in the Java language (or the JVM). Therefore, Scala creates the notion of *function traits*. These are a set of 23 traits that represent functions of arity 0 through 22. When the compiler encounters the need for passing a method as a function object, it creates an anonymous subclass of an appropriate function trait. As traits don't map into Java, the passing of first-class functions from Java into Scala is also inhibited but not impossible.

```
object FunctionUtil {
    def testFunction(f : Int => Int) : Int = f(5)
}

abstract class AbstractFunctionIntIntForJava extends
    (Int => Int) {                                              ← Special abstract
}                                                               class to use
                                                               from Java
```

We've created an abstract class in Scala that Java can implement more easily than a function trait. Although this eases the implementation in Java, it doesn't make things 100% simple. There's still a mismatch between Java's type system and Scala's encoding of types that requires us to coerce the type of the function when making the Scala call, as you can see in the following listing.

Listing 1.3 Implementing a first-class function in Java

```
class JavaFunction {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        System.out.println(FunctionUtil.testFunction(
            (scala.Function1<Integer, Integer>)
                new AbstractFunctionIntIntForJava() {
                    public Integer apply(Integer argument) {
                        return argument + 5;
                    }
                }));
    }
}
```

It's possible to use first-class functions and with them a more functional approach when combining Scala and Java. But other alternatives exist to make this work. A more detailed discussion of this tweak, along with other Java–Scala related issues can be found in chapter 10. As you can see, Scala can integrate well with existing Java programs and be used side by side with existing Java code. Java–Scala interaction isn't the only benefit of having Scala run inside the JVM; the JVM itself provides a huge benefit.

1.3.3 The benefits of a JVM

As alluded to earlier, the JVM provides many of the benefits associated with Java. Through bytecode, libraries become distributable to many differing platforms on an as is basis. The JVM has also been well tested in many environments and is used for large-scale enterprise deployments. It has also been a big focus on performance of the Java platform. The HotSpot compiler can perform various optimizations on code at runtime. This also enables users to upgrade their JVM and immediately see performance improvements, without patches or recompiling.

HOTSPOT-ING

The primary benefit of Scala running on the JVM is the HotSpot runtime optimizer. This allows runtime profiling of programs, with automatic optimizations applied against the JVM bytecode. Scala acquires these optimization "for free" by nature of running against the JVM. Every release of the JVM improves the HotSpot compiler, and this improves the performance of Scala. The HotSpot compiler does this through various techniques. Including the following:

- Method inlining
- On Stack Replacement (OSR)
- Escape Analysis
- Dynamic deoptimization

Method inlining is HotSpot's ability to determine when it can inline a small method directly at a call-spot. This was a favorite technique of mine in C++, and HotSpot will dynamically determine when this is optimal. *On Stack Replacement* refers to HotSpot's ability to determine that a variable could be allocated on the stack versus the heap. I remember in C++ the big question when declaring a variable was whether to place it on the stack or the heap. Now HotSpot can answer that for me. HotSpot performs *escape analysis* to determine if various things “escape” a certain scope. This is primarily used to reduce locking overhead when synchronized method calls are limited to some scope, but it can be applied to other situations. *Dynamic deoptimization* is the key feature of HotSpot. It's the ability to determine whether an optimization did *not* improve performance and undo that optimization, allowing others to be applied. These features combine into a pretty compelling picture of why new and old languages (for example, Ruby) desire to run on the JVM.

1.4 Summary

In this chapter, you've learned a bit about the philosophy of Scala. Scala was designed with the idea of blending various concepts from other languages. Scala blends functional and object-oriented programming, although this has been done in Java as well. Scala made choices about syntax that drastically reduced the verbosity of the language and enabled some powerful features to be elegantly expressed, such as type inference. Finally, Scala has tight integration with Java and runs on top of the Java virtual machine, which is perhaps the single most important aspect to make Scala relevant to us. It can be utilized in our day-to-day jobs with little cost.

As Scala blends various concepts, users of Scala will find themselves striking a balance among functional programming techniques, object orientation, integration with existing Java applications, expressive library APIs, and enforcing requirements through the type system. Often the best course of action is determined by the requirements at hand. It's the intersection of competing ideas where Scala thrives and also where the greatest care must be taken. This book will help guide when to use each of these techniques.

Let's start looking at a few key concepts every Scala developer needs to know when coding Scala.



The core rules

In this chapter

- Using the Scala Read Eval Print Loop
- Expression-oriented programming
- Immutability
- The Option class

This chapter covers a few topics that every newcomer to Scala needs to know. Not every topic is covered in depth, but we cover enough to allow you to explore the subject. You'll learn about the Read Eval Print Loop and how you can use this to rapidly prototype software. Next we'll learn about expression-oriented programming, and how to look at control flow in a different light. From this, we'll spring into immutability and why it can help to greatly simplify your programs, and help them run better concurrently.

2.1 Learn to use the Read Eval Print Loop (REPL)

Scala provides many materials to learn the core language. You can investigate many tutorials, examples, and projects online. But the single most important thing Scala provides is a *Read Eval Print Loop (REPL)*. The REPL is an interactive shell that

compiles Scala code and returns results/type immediately. The Scala REPL is instantiated by running `scala` on the command line, assuming you have Scala installed on your machine and your path is set correctly. The Scala REPL should output something like the following:

```
$ scala
Welcome to Scala version 2.8.0.r21454-b20100411185142
  (Java HotSpot(TM) 64-Bit Server VM, Java 1.6.0_15).
Type in expressions to have them evaluated.
Type :help for more information.

scala>
```

From now on, in code examples I'll use the `scala>` prompt to imply that these were entered into the REPL. The following line will be the output. Let's do a few quick samples in the REPL and see what it shows us.

```
scala> "Hello"
res0: java.lang.String = Hello

scala> "Hello".filter(_ != 'l')
res1: String = Heo

scala> "Hello".map(_.toInt + 4)
res2: scala.collection.immutable.IndexedSeq[Int] =
  Vector(76, 105, 112, 112, 115)

scala> "Hello".r
res3: scala.util.matching.Regex = Hello
```

You'll notice that after every statement we enter into the interpreter, it prints a line like `res0: java.lang.String = Hello` (see figure 2.1). The first part of this expression is a variable name for the expression. In the case of these examples, the REPL is defining a new variable for the result of each expression (`res0` through `res3`). The next part of the result expression (after the `:`) is the static type of the expression. The first example has a type of `java.lang.String`, whereas the last has a type of `scala.util.matching.Regex`. The last part of the result expression is the stringified value of the result. This normally comes from calling the `toString` method defined on all classes within the JVM.

As you can see, the REPL is a powerful way to test the Scala language and its type system. Most build tools also include a mechanism to start the REPL with the same classpath as your current working project.

This means libraries and compiled classes from your project are available within the REPL. You can make API calls and remote server hits inside the REPL. This can be a great way to test out a web service or REST API in a quick manner. This leads to what I refer to as *experiment-driven development*.




Figure 2.1 REPL return values

2.1.1 Experiment-driven development

Experiment-driven development is where you, the developer, first spend some time experimenting with a live interpreter or REPL before writing tests or production code. This gives you time to fully understand the external pieces of software you're interacting with and get a feel for the comings and goings of data within that API. It's a great way to learn about a new web service or RESTful API that has just been published, that latest Apache library, or even learn about something one of your coworkers have written. After determining the workings of the API, you can then better write your own code. If you also ascribe to test-driven development, this means that you would then write your tests.

Rule 1

Experiment in the REPL

Scala provides the REPL tool so every developer can toy around in the language before committing any final code. It's by far the most useful tool in the Scala ecosystem. Development should start inside the REPL in Scala.

There has been a big push for developers to embrace test-driven development (TDD). This is an approach to development where one writes the unit tests first, and then any implementation of those classes. You don't always know what your API should be before you write the tests. Part of TDD is defining the API through the tests. It allows you to see your code in context and get a feel for whether it's something you would want to use. Strongly typed languages can present more issues than dynamic languages with TDD because of expressiveness. Using the REPL, experiment-driven development brings this API definition phase before test generation, allowing a developer to ensure an API is possible in the type system.

Scala is a strongly typed language with flexible syntax, and as such sometimes requires some finagling with the type system to attain the API you desire. Because a lot of developers don't have strong type theory backgrounds, this often requires more experimentation. Experiment-driven development is about experimenting in the REPL with the type system to utilize types as effectively as possible in your API. Experiment-driven design is more about adding larger features or domains into your code, rather than new methods or bug fixes.

Experiment-driven design can also help drastically when defining domain-specific languages (DSLs). A DSL is a pseudo programming language that deals with a particular domain. This language is specific to the domain at hand—for example, querying for data from a database. A DSL may be either internal, as seen in many Scala libraries, or external like SQL. In Scala, it is popular among library developers to create DSLs covering the same domain as the library. For example, the Scala actors library defines a DSL for sending and receiving messages in a thread-safe manner.

One of the challenges when defining a DSL in Scala is to make effective use of the type system. A good type-safe DSL can be expressive and easy to read and can catch many programming errors at compiler time rather than runtime. Also having static knowledge of types can drastically improve performance. The REPL will let you exper-

iment with how to express a particular domain and make sure that expression will compile. When developing Scala, one finds himself adopting the following creative flow:

- Experiment in the REPL with API design
- Copy working API into project files
- Develop unit tests against API
- Adapt code until unit tests pass

When used effectively, experiment-driven development can drastically improve the quality of your API. It will also help you become more comfortable with Scala syntax as you progress. The biggest issue remaining is that not every possible API in Scala is expressible in the REPL. This is because the REPL is interpreted on the fly, and it eagerly parses input.

2.1.2 Working around eager parsing

The Scala REPL attempts to parse input as soon as it possibly can. This, and a few other limitations, means that there are some things that are hard to impossible to express with the REPL. One important function to express are *companion objects and classes*.

A companion object and class are a set of object and class definitions that use the same name. This is easy to accomplish when compiling files; declare the object and class like so:

```
class Foo
```

These statements will also evaluate in the REPL, but they won't function as companions of each other. To prove this, in the following listing let's do something that a companion object can do, that a regular object can't: access private variables on the class.

Listing 2.1 Companion objects in REPL

```
scala>class Foo {
|   private var x = 5
| }
defined class Foo

scala> object Foo {
|   def im_in_yr_foo(f: Foo) = f.x
| }
<console>:7: error: variable x cannot be accessed in Foo
          def im_in_yr_foo(f: Foo) = f.x
```

This would
compile normally

To fix this issue, we need to embed these objects in some other accessible scope within the interpreter. In the following listing, let's place them inside some scope so we can interpret/compile the class and companion object at the same time:

Listing 2.2 Correct companion object in REPL

```

scala> object holder {
|   class Foo {
|     private var x = 5
|   }
|   object Foo {
|     def im_in_yr_foo(f: Foo) = f.x
|   }
| }
defined module holder

scala> import holder.Foo
import holder.Foo

scala> val x = new Foo
x: holder.Foo = holder$Foo@45c18ff

scala> Foo.im_in_yr_foo(x)
res0: Int = 5

```

Annotations for Listing 2.2:

- A callout points to the inner object definition with the text "Provides accessible scope".
- A callout points to the entire module definition with the text "Entire holder object compiled at once".

What we've done is create a *holder object*. This gives us our accessible scope, and defers the REPL's compilation until the close of the holder object. We then have to import Foo from the holder object. This allows us to test/define companion objects within the REPL.

PASTE AND SCALA 2.9.X Starting in Scala 2.9.x, the REPL supports a :paste command, where all code copied into the prompt is compiled in the same run. This provides an alternative to using a container object.

2.1.3 Inexpressible language features

Even working around eager parsing, there are still some language features that the REPL can't reproduce. Most of these issues revolve around packages, package objects, and package visibility restrictions. In particular, you're unable to effectively create a package or package object in the REPL the same way you can within a source file. This also means that other language features dealing with packages, particularly visibility restrictions using the `private` keyword, are also inexpressible. Usually packages are used to namespace your code and separate it from other libraries you might use. This isn't normally needed inside the REPL, but there may be times when you're toying with some advanced feature of Scala—say, package objects and implicit resolution—and you would like to do some experiment-driven development. In this case, you can't express what you want solely in the REPL; see the following listing.

Listing 2.3 Inexpressible language features in the REPL

```

package foo
package object bar {
  private[foo] def baz(...) = ...
}

```

Annotations for Listing 2.3:

- A callout points to the first package definition with the text "Package definitions".
- A callout points to the package object definition with the text "Package objects".
- A callout points to the `private` keyword within the package object with the text "Package private".

Hope isn't lost. As stated before, most build utilities allow you to create a Scala REPL session against your current project. As a last resort you can toy with some concept in a Scala file, recompile, and restart your REPL session.

A tool known as JRebel (<http://mng.bz/8b4t>) can dynamically reload class files within a running JVM. The JRebel team has graciously provided free licenses when used with Scala. This tool, combined with some form of continuous compilation, available in most Scala build tools, will allow you to modify your project files and have the changed behavior be immediately available within your REPL session. For the maven-scala-plugin, the details for continuous compilation are located at <http://mng.bz/qG78>. The Simple Build Tool (<http://mng.bz/2f7Q>) provides the `cc` target for continuous compilation. Whatever build tool you use to start a REPL session must be integrated with a JRebel classloader so that dynamic class reloading can happen. This technique is a bit detailed and prone to change, so please check your build tool's documentation or the JRebel website for help.

The REPL will allow you to try out Scala code and get a real feel for what you're doing before attempting to create some large complicated system. It's often important in software development to get a slightly more than cursory knowledge of a system before tackling a new feature. The Scala REPL should allow you to do so with a minimal amount of time, allowing you to improve your development skills.

This entire book is enriched with examples of code from the REPL, as it's the best tool to teach and learn Scala. I often find myself running sample programs completely via the REPL before I even create some kind of "main" method, or a unit test, as is standard within Java development. To help encourage this, the book favors demonstrating concepts in the REPL using a few simple scripts. Please feel free to follow along with a REPL of your own.

USE THE REPL EVERYWHERE! No matter what build environment you use, the REPL can dramatically improve your development process. All the major IDEs have support for running the Scala REPL and most of the major build tools. Consult the documentation of the Scala integration for your IDE or build system for details on how to ensure a good REPL experience. For bonus points, use the REPL in combination with a graphical debugger.

The REPL is also a great way to begin learning how to use expressions rather than statements.

Rule
2

Use expressions not statements

In Scala, a lot of code can be written as small methods of one expression. This style is not only elegant, but helps in code maintenance.

2.2 Think in expressions

Expression-oriented programming is a term I use to refer to the use of expressions rather than statements in code. What's the difference between an expression and a statement? A statement is something that executes, but an expression is something that evaluates.

What does this mean in practice? Expressions return values. Statements execute code, but there's no value returned. In this section, we'll learn all about expression-oriented programming and how it can help simplify your programs. We'll also look at mutability of objects, and how it interacts with expression-oriented programming.

STATEMENT VERSUS EXPRESSION A statement is something that executes; an expression is something that evaluates to a value.

Expressions are blocks of code that evaluate to a value. In Scala, some control blocks are also expressions. This means that if the control were to branch, each of these branches must evaluate to a value as well. The `if` clause is a great example; this checks a conditional expression and returns one expression or another, depending on the value of the conditional expression. Let's look at a simple REPL session:

```
scala> if(true) "true string" else "false string"
res4: String = true string

scala> if(false) 5 else "hello"
res5: Any = hello
```

As you can see, in Scala an `if` block is an expression. Our first `if` block returns `"true string"`, the true expression. The second `if` block returns `hello`, the result of the false expression. To accomplish something similar in Java, you would use the `? :` syntax as shown in the following:

```
String x = true ? "true string" : "false string"
```

An `if` block in Java is therefore distinct from a `? :` expression in that it doesn't evaluate to a value. You can't assign the result of an `if` block in Java, but Scala has unified the concept of `? :` with its `if` blocks. Scala has no `? :` syntax; you merely use `if` blocks. This is the beginning of expression-oriented programming. In fact, Scala has few statements that do *not* return values from their last expression.

2.2.1 **Don't use `return`**

One of the keys to using expressions is realizing that there's no need for a `return` statement. An expression evaluates to a value, so there's no need to return.

While programming in Java, there was a common practice of having a single point of return for any method. This meant that if there was some kind of conditional logic, the developer would create a variable that contained the eventual return value. As the method flowed, this variable would be updated with what the method should return. The last line in every method would be a `return` statement. The following listing shows an example.

Listing 2.4 Java idiom: one `return` statement

```
def createErrorMessage(errorCode: Int) : String = {
    var result : String = _
    errorCode match {
        case 1 =>
            ←———— Initialized to default
```

```

    result = "Network Failure"           ← Directly assign result
  case 2 =>
    result = "I/O Failure"
  case _ =>
    result = "Unknown Error"
}
return result;
}

```

As you can see, the `result` variable is used to store the final result. The code falls through a pattern match, assigning error strings as appropriate, then returns the `result` variable. We can improve this code slightly by using the expression-oriented syntax that pattern matching allows. A pattern match returns a value. The type of the value is determined as a common super type from all case statement returns. Pattern matching also throws an exception if no pattern is matched, so we're guaranteed a return or error here. The following listing shows the code translated for an expression-oriented pattern match.

Listing 2.5 Updated `createErrorMessage` with expression-oriented pattern match

```

def createErrorMessage(errorCode : Int) : String = {
  val result = errorCode match {           ← Assigning pattern match
    case 1 => "Network Failure"
    case 2 => "I/O Failure"
    case 3 => "Unknown Error"
  }
  return result
}

```

Returns
expression

You'll notice two things. First, we changed the `result` variable to a `val` and let the type inferencer determine the type. This is because we no longer have to change the `val` after assignment; the pattern match should determine the unique value. Therefore, we reduced the size and complexity of the code, and we increased immutability in the program. *Immutability* refers to the unchanging state of an object or variable; it's the opposite of mutability. *Mutability* is the ability of an object or variable to change or mutate during its lifetime. We'll cover mutability and expression-oriented programming in the next section. You'll frequently find that expression-oriented programming and immutable objects work well together.

The second thing we've done is remove any kind of assignment from the case statements. The last expression in a case statement is the "result" of that case statement. We could have embedded further logic in each case statement if necessary, as long as we eventually had some kind of expression at the bottom. The compiler will also warn us if we accidentally forget to return, or somehow return the wrong type.

The code is looking a lot more concise, but we can still improve it somewhat. In Scala, most developers avoid return statements in their code; they prefer to have the last expression be the return value (similar to all the other expression-oriented styles). In fact, for the `createErrorMessage` method, we can remove the intermediate `result` variable altogether. The following listing shows the final transformation.

Listing 2.6 Final expression-oriented `createErrorMessage` method

```
def createErrorMessage(errorCode: Int) : String = errorCode match {
    case 1 => "Network Failure"
    case 2 => "I/O Failure"
    case _ => "Unknown Error"
}
```

Note how we haven't even opened up a code block for the method? The pattern match is the only statement in the method, and it returns an expression of type `String`. We've completely transformed the method into an expression-oriented syntax. Note how much more concise and expressive the code is. Also note that the compiler will warn us of any type infractions or unreachable case statements.

2.2.2 Mutability

Expression-oriented programming becomes slightly more interesting when mixed with mutability, or the ability to change an object's state during its lifetime. This is because code utilizing mutable objects tends to be written in an imperative style.

Imperative coding is a style that you're probably used to. Many early languages such as C, Fortran, and Pascal are imperative. Imperative code tends to be made of statements, not expressions. Objects are created which have state. Then statements are executed that "mutate" or change the state of an object. In the case of languages that don't have objects, the same mechanisms apply, except with variables and structures. The following listing shows an example of imperative code.

Listing 2.7 Example of imperative style code

```
val x = Vector2D(0.0,0.0)
x.magnify(2.0)
```

Note how a vector is constructed and then mutated via the `magnify` method. Expression-oriented code prefers having all statements return some expression or value, which would include the `move` method. In the case of object mutation, what value should be returned? One option is to return the object that was just mutated, as in the following listing.

Listing 2.8 Example mutable expression-oriented method

```
class Vector2D(var x: Double, var y: Double) {
    def magnify(amt: Double) : Vector2D = {
        x *= amt
        y *= amt
        this
    }
}
```

This may seem a great option but has some serious drawbacks. In particular, it can get confusing determining when an object is being mutated, especially when combined with immutable objects. See if you can determine what values should print at the end

of this block of code. Assume that the `-` method defined on `Vector2D` follows the mathematical definition. Now for the listing.

Listing 2.9 Mixing immutable and mutable objects with expression

```
scala> val x = new Vector2D(1.0, 1.0)
x : Vector2D = Vector2D(1.0,1.0)

scala> val y = new Vector2D(-1.0, 1.0)
y : Vector2D = Vector2D(1.0, 1.0)

scala> x.magnify(3.0) - (x - y).magnify(3.0)
res0 : mutable.Vector2D = ???
```

What is the result of the preceding expression, then? On first look, we would expect it to be the vector $(3.0,3.0)$ minus the vector $(6.0,0.0)$, which is $(-3.0,3.0)$. But each of these variables is mutable. This means that the operations are modifying the variables in the order they're used. Let's evaluate this as it's compiled. First the `x` vector, $(1.0,1.0)$ is magnified by 3 to become $(3.0,3.0)$. Next, we subtract `y` from `x` to give `x` the value $(2.0,4.0)$. Why? Because the right-hand side of the `-` method must be evaluated next, and $(x-y)$ is the first part of this expression. We then magnify `x` by 3.0 again, bringing the value to $(6.0,12.0)$. Finally we subtract `x` from itself, bringing the resulting value to $(0.0,0.0)$. That's right—`x` is subtracted from itself. Why? Because the expression on the left-hand side of the `-` and the right-hand side of the `minus` both start with the `x` variable. Because we're using mutability, this means that each expression returns `x` itself. So no matter what we do, we wind up calling `x - x` which results in the vector $(0.0, 0.0)$.

Because of this confusion, it's best to prefer immutability when using objects and expression-oriented programming. This is particularly the case with operator overloading, as with the previous example. Some examples can demonstrate where mutability works well with expression-oriented programming, particularly with pattern matching or `if` statements.

Code has a common task where you need to look up values on an object based on some value. These objects may be immutable or mutable. But expression-oriented programming comes in to simplify the lookup. Let's consider a simple example of looking up the action to perform based on a Menu button click. When we click the Menu button, we receive an event from our event system. This event is marked with the identifier of the button pressed. We want to perform some action and return a status. Let's check out the code in the following listing.

Listing 2.10 Mutable objects and expressions—the right way

```
def performActionForButton(buttonEvent: ButtonEvent,
                           form: Form) : Boolean =
  buttonEvent.getIdentifer match {
    case "SUBMIT" if form.isValid() =>
      try {
        form.submit()
```

```

    true
} catch {
  case t: FormSubmitError =>
    false
}
case "CLEAR" =>
  form.clear()
  true
case _ =>
  false
}

```

A vertical column of four arrows points upwards from the code blocks to the right, where the text 'Return values' is written.

Note how we're mutating the objects in place and then returning our result. Instead of an explicit return statement, we state the expression we wish to return. You can see the code here is more succinct than creating a variable to hold the result variable. You'll also notice that mixing mutation statements with our expressions has reduced some of the clarity of the code. This is one of the reasons why it's better to prefer immutable code—the topic of our next section.

Expression-oriented programming can reduce boilerplate and provide elegant code. It's accomplished through having all statements return meaningful values. You can now reduce clutter and increase expressiveness within your code.

Expression-oriented programming tends to pair favorably with immutable programming, but less so with mutable objects. Immutability is a term to denote that something doesn't change, in this case the state of an object, once constructed.

2.3 *Prefer immutability*

Immutability, in programming, refers to the unchanging state of objects after construction. This is one of the capstones of functional programming and a recommended practice for object-oriented design on the JVM. Scala is no exception here and prefers immutability in design, making it the default in many cases. This can be tricky. In this section, you'll learn how immutability can help when dealing with equality issues or concurrent programs.

Rule
3

Prefer Immutability

Creating immutable classes drastically reduces the number of potential runtime issues. When in doubt, it's safest to stay immutable.

The most important thing to realize in Scala is that there's a difference between an immutable object and an immutable reference. In Scala, all variables are references to objects. Defining a variable as a `val` means that it's an immutable *reference*. All method parameters are immutable references, and class arguments default to being immutable references. The only way to create a mutable variable is through the `var` syntax. The immutability of the reference doesn't affect whether the object referred to is immutable. You can have a mutable reference to an immutable object and vice versa. This means it's important to know whether the object itself is immutable or mutable.

Determining immutability constraints on objects isn't obvious. In general, it's safe to assume that if the documentation states an object is immutable, then it is;

otherwise, be careful. The Scala standard library helps make the delineation obvious in its collections classes by having parallel package hierarchies, one for immutable classes and one for mutable classes.

In Scala immutability is important because it can help programmers reason through their code. If an object's state doesn't change, then you can determine where objects are created to see where state changes. It can also simplify methods that are based on the state of an object. This benefit is particularly evident when defining equality or writing concurrent programs.

2.3.1 Object equality

One critical reason to prefer immutability is the simplification of object equality. If an object won't change state during its lifetime, one can create an equals implementation that is both deep and correct for any object of that type. This is also critical when creating a hash function for objects. A hash function is one that returns a simplified representation of an object, usually an integer, that can be used to quickly identify the object. A good hash function and equals method are usually paired, if not through code, then in logical definition. If state changes during the lifetime of an object, it can ruin any hash code that was generated for the object. This in turn can affect the equality tests of the object. The following listing shows a simple example of a two-dimensional geometric point class.

Listing 2.11 Mutable Point2 class

```
class Point2(var x: Int, var y: Int) {  
    def move(mx: Int, my: Int) : Unit = {  
        x = x + mx  
        y = y + my  
    }  
}
```

The Point2D class is simple. It consists of *x* and *y* values, corresponding to locations on the *x* and *y* axes. It also has a *move* method, which is used to move the point around the two-dimensional plane. Imagine we want to tie labels to particular points on this 2-D plane, where each label is only a string. To do so, we'd like to use a map of Point2D to string values. For efficient lookup, we're going to use a hashing function and a *HashMap*. Let's try the simplest possible thing, hashing with the *x* and *y* variables directly, in the following listing.

Listing 2.12 Mutable Point2 class with hashing function

```
class Point2(var x: Int, var y: Int) {  
    def move(mx: Int, my: Int) : Unit = {  
        x = x + mx  
        y = y + my  
    }  
    override def hashCode(): Int = y + (31*x)  
}
```

```

scala> val x = new Point2(1,1)
x: Point2 = Point2@20

scala> x.##
res1: Int = 32

scala> val y = new Point2(1,2)
y: Point2 = Point2@21

scala> import collection.immutable.HashMap
import collection.immutable.HashMap

scala> val map = HashMap(x -> "HAI", y -> "ZOMG")
map: scala.collection.immutable.HashMap[
    Point2,java.lang.String] =
Map((Point2@21,ZOMG), (Point2@20,HAI))

scala> map(x)
res4: java.lang.String = HAI

scala> val z = new Point2(1,1)
z: Point2 = Point2@20

scala> map(z)
java.util.NoSuchElementException: key not found: Point2@20
...

```

Things appear to be working exactly as we want—until we attempt to construct a new point object with the same values as point `x`. This point should hash into the same section of the map, but the equality check will fail because we haven’t created our own equality method. By default, Scala uses object location equality and hashing, but we’ve only overridden the hash code. Object location equality is using the address in memory for an object as the only factor to determine if two objects are equal. In our `Point2` case, object location equality can be a quick check for equality, but we can also make use of the `x` and `y` locations to check for equality.

You may have noticed that the `Point2` class overrides the `hashCode` method, but I’m calling the `##` method on the instance `x`. This is a convention in Scala. For compatibility with Java, Scala utilizes the same `equals` and `hashCode` methods defined on `java.lang.Object`. But Scala also abstracts primitives such that they appear as full objects. The compiler will box and unbox the primitives as needed for you. These primitive-like objects are all subtypes of `scala.AnyVal` whereas “standard” objects, those that would have extended `java.lang.Object`, are subtypes of `scala.AnyRef`. `scala.AnyRef` can be considered an alias for `java.lang.Object`. As the `hashCode` and `equals` methods are defined on `AnyRef`, Scala provides the methods `##` and `==` that you can use for both `AnyRef` and `AnyVal`.

HASHCODE AND EQUALS SHOULD ALWAYS BE PAIRED The `equals` and `hashCode` methods should always be implemented such that if `x == y` then `x.## == y.##`.

Let’s implement our own equality method in the following listing and see what the results are.

Listing 2.13 Mutable Point2 class with hashing and equality

```
class Point2(var x: Int, var y: Int) extends Equals {
    def move(mx: Int, my: Int) : Unit = {
        x = x + mx
        y = y + my
    }
    override def hashCode(): Int = y + (31*x)
    def canEqual(that: Any): Boolean = that match {
        case p: Point2 => true
        case _ => false
    }
    override def equals(that: Any): Boolean = {
        def strictEquals(other: Point2) =
            this.x == other.x && this.y == other.y
        that match {
            case a: AnyRef if this eq a => true
            case p: Point2 => (p canEqual this) && strictEquals(p)
            case _ => false
        }
    }
}

scala> val x = new Point2(1,1)
x: Point2 = Point2@20

scala> val y = new Point2(1,2)
y: Point2 = Point2@21

scala> val z = new Point2(1,1)
z: Point2 = Point2@20

scala> x == z
res6: Boolean = true

scala> x == y
res7: Boolean = false
```

The implementation of equals may look strange, but will be covered in more detail in section 2.5.2. For now, note that the strictEquals helper method compares the *x* and *y* values directly. This means that two points are considered equal if they are in the same location. We've now tied our equals and hashCode methods to the same criteria, the *x* and *y* values. Let's throw our *x* and *y* values into a `HashMap` again, only this time we're going to move the *x* value, and see what happens to the label attached to it.

Listing 2.14 Mutating Point2 with HashMap

```
scala> val map = HashMap(x -> "HAI", y -> "WORLD")
map: scala.collection.immutable.HashMap[Point2,java.lang.String] =
Map((Point2@21,WORLD), (Point2@20,HAI))

scala> x.move(1,1)

scala> map(y)
res9: java.lang.String = WORLD
```

```
scala> map(x)
java.util.NoSuchElementException: key not found: Point2@40
...
scala> map(z)
java.util.NoSuchElementException: key not found: Point2@20
...
```

What happened to the label attached to *x*? We placed it into the `HashMap` when *x* has a value of (1,1). This means it had a hash code of 32. We then move *x* to (2,2), changing its hash code to 64. Now when we try to look up the label in the map using *x*, it can't be found because *x* was encoding with the hash bucket of 32, and it's looking in the hash bucket for 64. Well, what if we try to look up the value using a new point, *z*, that still has a hash code of 32? It also fails, because *x* and *z* aren't equal according to our rules. You see, a `HashMap` uses the hash at the time of insertion to store values but doesn't update when an object's state mutates. This means we've lost our label for *x* when using hash-based lookup, but we can still retrieve the value when traversing the map or using traversal algorithms:

```
scala> map.find( _._1 == x)
res13: Option[(Point2, java.lang.String)] = Some((Point2@40,HAI))
```

As you can see, this behavior is rather confusing, and can cause no end of strife when debugging. As such, it's generally recommended to ensure the following constraints when implementing equality:

- If two objects are equal, they should have the same `hashCode`.
- A `hashCode` computed for an object won't change for the life of the object.
- When sending an object to another JVM, equality should be determined using attributes available in both JVMs.

As you can see, the second constraint implies that all criteria used in creating a `hashCode` should *not* change with the life of an object. The last statement, when applicable, means that an object's hash and `equals` method should be computed using its own internal state. Combine this with the first statement, and you find that the only way to satisfy these requirements is through the use of immutable objects. If the state of an object never changes, it's acceptable to use it in computing a hash code or when testing equality. You can also serialize the object to another JVM and continue to have a consistent hash code and equality.

You may be wondering, why do I care about sending objects to other JVMs? My software will never run on more than one JVM. In fact, my software runs on a mobile device, where resources are critical. The problem with that thinking is that serializing an object to another JVM need not be done in real time. I could save some program state to disk and read it back later. This is effectively the same as sending something to another JVM. Although you may not be directly sending it over the network, you're sending it through time, where the JVM of today is the writer of data, and the JVM started tomorrow is the user of the data. In these instances, having a hash code and `equals` implementation is critical.

The last constraint makes immutability a necessity. Remove this constraint, and there are only two simple ways to satisfy the first two constraints:

- Utilize only immutable object internal state in hashCode computation
- Use default concepts for equals and hashCode

As you can see, this means that *something* in the object must be immutable. Making the entire object immutable simplifies this whole process greatly.

2.3.2 Concurrency

Immutability doesn't merely simplify object equality; it can also simplify concurrent access of data. Programs are becoming increasingly parallelized, and processors are splitting into multiple cores. The need to run concurrent threads of control in programs is growing across all forms of computing. Traditionally, this meant using creative means to protect access to shared data across these various threads of control. Protected mutable data usually means some form of locking. Immutability can help share state while reducing the need for locking.

Locking entails a performance overhead. Threads that wish to read data can't do so unless the lock is available to obtain. Even using read-write locks can cause issues, because a writer may be slow in preventing readers from accessing the data they desire. On the JVM, there are optimizations in the JIT to attempt to avoid locks when they aren't necessary. In general, you want to have as few locks in your software as possible, but you want enough to encourage a high degree of parallelism. The more you can design your code to avoid locking the better. For instance, let's try to measure the effect of locking on an algorithm and see if we can design a new algorithm that reduces the amount of locking.

We'll create an index service that we can query to find particular items by their key. The service will also allow users to add new items into the index. We expect to have many users looking up values and a smaller amount of users adding additional content to the index. Here's the initial interface:

```
trait Service[Key,Value] {
    def lookUp(k: Key): Option[Value]
    def insert(k: Key, v: Value): Unit
}
```

The service is made up of two methods: `lookUp`, which will look up values in the index by the key, and `insert`, which will insert new values into the service. This service is like a map of key-to-value pairs. Let's implement this using a locking and a mutable `HashMap`.

```
import collection.mutable.{HashMap=>MutableHashMap}

class MutableService[Key, Value] extends Service[Key, Value] {
    val currentIndex = new MutableHashMap[Key, Value]
    def lookUp(k: Key): Option[Value] = synchronized(currentIndex.get(k))
    def insert(k: Key, v: Value): Unit = synchronized {
        currentIndex.put(k,v)
    }
}
```

This class contains three members. The first is the `currentIndex`, which is a reference to the mutable `HashMap` that we use to store values. The `lookUp` and `insert` methods are both surrounded by a `synchronized` block, which synchronizes against the `MutableService`. You'll notice that all operations on a `MutableService` require locking. But given what was stated about the usage of this service, the `lookUp` method will be called far more often than the `insert` method. A read-write lock could help in this situation, but let's look at using immutability instead.

We'll change the `currentIndex` to be an `ImmutableHashMap` that get overwritten when the `insert` method is called. The `lookUp` method can then be free of any locking, as shown in the following code:

```
class ImmutableService[Key, Value] extends Service[Key, Value] {
    var currentIndex = new ImmutableHashMap[Key, Value]
    def lookUp(k: Key): Option[Value] = currentIndex.get(k)
    def insert(k: Key, v: Value): Unit = synchronized {
        currentIndex = currentIndex + ((k, v))
    }
}
```

The first thing to notice is that the `currentIndex` is a mutable reference to an immutable variable. We update this reference every time there's an `insert` operation. The second thing to notice is that this service isn't completely immutable. All that's happened is the reduction of locking by utilizing an immutable `HashMap`. This simple change can cause a drastic improvement in running time.

I've set up a simple micro-performance benchmark suite for these two classes. The basics of the suite are simple. We construct a set of tasks that will write items into the service and a set of tasks that will attempt to read items from the index. We then interleave the two sets of tasks and submit them to a queue of two threads for execution. We time the speed that this entire process takes and record the results. Figure 2.2 shows some worst-case results.

The y-axis is the execution time of running a test. The x-axis corresponds to the number of `insert/lookUp` tasks submitted to the thread pools. You'll notice that the mutable service's execution time grows faster than the immutable service's execution time. This graph certainly shows that extra locking can severely impact performance. But note that the execution times of this test can greatly vary. Due to the uncertainty of parallelism, this graph could look anywhere from the one shown above to a graph where the immutable service and mutable service execution times track relatively the same. In general, the `MutableService` implementation was slower than the `ImmutableService`, but don't judge performance from one graph or on execution alone.

Figure 2.3 shows another graph where you can see, for one particular test, the `MutableService` had all of its stars align and ran with a drastically reduced locking overhead. You can see in the preceding run where a single test case had all its timing align so that the `MutableService` could outperform the `ImmutableService`. Though possible for this specific case, the general case involved the `ImmutableService` outperforming the `MutableService`. If the assumptions stated here hold true for a real-life program,




Figure 2.2
Immutable versus
mutable service
worst-case scenario

it appears that the `ImmutableService` will perform better in the general case and not suffer from random contention slowdowns.

The most important thing to realize is that immutable objects can be passed among many threads without fear of contention. The ability to remove locks, and all the potential bugs associated with them, can drastically improve the stability of a codebase. Combined with the improved reasoning one can get, as seen with the `equals` method, immutability is something to strive to maintain within a codebase.

Immutability can ease concurrent development by reducing the amount of protection a developer must use when interacting with immutable objects. Scala also




Figure 2.3
Immutable versus
mutable service
“one golden run”
scenario

provides a class called `Option` that allows developers to relax the amount of protection they need when dealing with `null`.

2.4 Use `None` instead of `null`

Scala does its best to discourage the use of `null` in general programming. It does this through the `scala.Option` class found in the standard library. An `Option` can be considered a container of something or nothing. This is done through the two subclasses of `Option`: `Some` and `None`. `Some` denotes a container of exactly one item. `None` denotes an empty container, a role similar to what `Nil` plays for `List`.

Rule
4

Use `None` instead of `null`

While it was habit in Java to initialize values to `null`, Scala provides an `Option` type for the same purpose. `Option` is self-documenting for developers and, used correctly, can prevent unintended null pointer exceptions when using Scala.

In Java, and other languages that allow `null`, `null` is often used as a placeholder to denote a nonfatal error as a return value or to denote that a variable isn't yet initialized. In Scala, one can denote this through the `None` subclass of `Option`. Conversely, one can denote an initialized, or nonfatal variable state through the `Some` subclass of `Option`. Let's look at the usage of these two classes in the following listing.

Listing 2.15 Simple usage of `Some` and `None`

```
scala> var x : Option[String] = None
x: Option[String] = None

scala> x.get
java.util.NoSuchElementException: None.get in

scala> x.getOrElse("default")
res0: String = default

scala> x = Some("Now Initialized")
x: Option[String] = Some(Now Initialized)

scala> x.get
res0: java.lang.String = Now Initialized

scala> x.getOrElse("default")
res1: java.lang.String = Now Initialized
```

An `Option` containing no value can be constructed via the `None` object. An `Option` that contains a value is created via the `Some` factory method. `Option` provides many differing ways of retrieving values from its inside. Of particular use are the `get` and `getOrElse` methods. The `get` method will attempt to access the value stored in an `Option` and will throw an exception if it's empty. This is similar to accessing nullable values within other languages. The `getOrElse` method will attempt to access the value stored in an `Option`, if one exists; otherwise it will return the value supplied to the method. You should always prefer `getOrElse` over using `get`.

Scala provides a factory method on the Option companion object that will convert from a Java style reference, where null implies an empty variable, into an Option where this is more explicit. Let's take a quick look in the following listing.

Listing 2.16 Usage of the Option factory

```
scala> var x : Option[String] = Option(null)
x: Option[String] = None
scala> x = Option("Initialized")           ← Option.apply("Initialized")
x: Option[String] = Some(Initialized)
```

The Option factory method will take a variable and create a None object if the input was null, or it will create a Some if the input was initialized. This makes it rather easy to take inputs from an untrusted source—that is, another JVM language—and wrap them into Options. You might be asking yourself why you would want to do this. Isn't checking for null just as simple in code? Option provides advanced features that make it far more ideal than using null and if checks.

2.4.1 Advanced Option techniques

The greatest feature of Option is that you can treat it as a collection. This means you can perform the standard map, flatMap, and foreach methods, as well as utilize it inside a for expression. This helps ensure a nice concise syntax, and it opens a variety of different methods to handling uninitialized values. Let's look at some common issues solved using null and their solutions using Option, starting with creating an object or returning a default.

CREATE AN OBJECT OR RETURN A DEFAULT

You'll have many times in code when you'll need to construct something if some other variable exists, or supply some sort of default. Let's pretend that we have an application that requires some kind of temporary file storage for its execution. The application is designed so that a user may optionally specify a directory to store temporary files on the command line. If the user doesn't specify a new file, if the argument provided by the user is not a real directory, or if they didn't provide a directory, then we want to return a sensible default temporary directory. The following listing shows a method that will return this temporary directory:

Listing 2.17 Creating an object or returning a default

```
def getTemporaryDirectory(tmpArg: Option[String]): java.io.File = {
    tmpArg.map(name => new java.io.File(name)).           ← Create if defined
        filter(_.isDirectory).                                ← Only directories
        getOrElse(new java.io.File(                            ← Specify default
            System.getProperty("java.io.tmpdir")))
}
```

The `getTemporaryDirectory` method takes the command-line parameter as an `Option` containing a `String` and returns a `File` object referencing the temporary directory we should use. The first thing we do is use the `map` method on `Option` to create a `java.io.File` if there was a parameter. Next, we make sure that this newly constructed file object is a directory. To do that, we use the `filter` method. This will check whether the value in an `Option` abides by some predicate, and if not, convert to a `None`. Finally, we check to see if we have a value in the `Option`; otherwise we return the default temporary directory.

This enables a powerful set of checks without resorting to nested `if` statements or blocks. Sometimes we would like a block, such as when we want to execute a block of code based on the availability of a particular parameter.

EXECUTE BLOCK OF CODE IF VARIABLE IS INITIALIZED

`Option` can be used to execute a block of code if the `Option` contains a value. This is done through the `foreach` method, which, as expected, iterates over all the elements in the `Option`. As an `Option` can only contain zero or one value, this means the block either executes or is ignored. This syntax works particularly well with `for` expressions. Let's take a look at the following listing.

Listing 2.18 Executing code if option is defined

```
val username: Option[String] = ...
for(uname <- username) {
    println("User: " + uname)
}
```

As you can see, this looks like a normal “iterate over a collection” control block. The syntax remains similar when we need to iterate over several variables. Let's look at the case where we have some kind of Java servlet framework, and we want to be able to authenticate users. If authentication is possible, we want to inject our security token into the `HttpSession` so that later filters and servlets can check access privileges for this user, as in the following listing.

Listing 2.19 Executing code if several options are defined

```
def authenticateSession(session: HttpSession,
                       username: Option[String],
                       password: Option[Array[Char]]) = {
    for(u <- username;
        p <- password;
        if canAuthenticate(u, p)) {
            val privileges = privilegesFor(u)
            injectPrivilegesIntoSession(session, privileges)
        }
}
```

A diagram consisting of two vertical columns. The left column contains the code from Listing 2.19. The right column contains two annotations: 'Conditional logic' with an arrow pointing to the 'if canAuthenticate(u, p)' line, and 'No need for Option' with an arrow pointing to the entire 'for' expression.

Note that you can embed conditional logic in a `for` expression. This helps keep less nested logical blocks within your program. Another important consideration is that all the helper methods do *not* need to use the `Option` class. `Option` works as a great

front-line defense for potentially uninitialized variables, but it doesn't need to pollute the rest of your code. In Scala, Option as an argument implies that something may not be initialized. The opposite should be true as well. If a method takes a value that is not labeled as an Option, you should not pass it null or uninitialized parameters.

Scala's for expression syntax is rather robust, even allowing you to produce values, rather than execute code blocks. This is handy when you have a set of potentially uninitialized parameters that you want to transform into something else.

USING POTENTIAL UNINITIALIZED VARIABLES TO CONSTRUCT ANOTHER VARIABLE

Sometimes we want to transform a set of potentially uninitialized values so that we have to deal with only one. To do this, we need to use a for expression again, but this time using a yield. The following listing shows a case where a user has input some database credentials, or we attempted to read them from an encrypted location, and we want to create a database connection using these parameters. We don't want to deal with failure in our function, as this is a utility function that won't have access to the user. In this case, we'd like to transform our database connection configuration parameters into a single option containing our database.

Listing 2.20 Merging options

```
def createConnection(conn_url: Option[String],
                     conn_user: Option[String],
                     conn_pw: Option[String]): Option[Connection] =
  for {
    url <- conn_url
    user <- conn_user
    pw <- conn_pw
  } yield DriverManager.getConnection(url, user, pw)
```

This function does exactly what we need it to. It does seem, though, that we're merely deferring all logic to `DriverManager.getConnection`. What if we wanted to abstract this such that we can take *any* function and create one that's option-friendly in the same manner? The following listing shows what we'll call the “lift” function.

Listing 2.21 Generically converting functions

```
scala> def lift3[A,B,C,D](f: Function3[A,B,C,D]): Function3[Option[A], Option[B],
| | | | Option[C], Option[D]] = {
| | | | (oa : Option[A], ob : Option[B], oc : Option[C]) =>
| | | |   for(a <- oa; b <- ob; c <- oc) yield f(a,b,c)
| | }
lift3: [A,B,C,D](f: (A, B, C) => D)(Option[A],
                                         Option[B],
                                         Option[C]) => Option[D]
```

Using lift3
directly

```
scala> lift3(DriverManager.getConnection)
res4: (Option[java.lang.String],
        Option[java.lang.String],
        Option[java.lang.String]) => Option[java.sql.Connection] =
<function3>
```

The `lift3` method looks somewhat like our earlier `createConnection` method, except that it takes a function as its sole parameter. The `Function3` trait represents a function that takes three arguments and returns a result. The `lift3` function takes a function of three arguments as input and outputs a new function of three arguments. As you can see from the REPL output, we can use this against existing functions to create option-friendly functions. We've directly taken the `DriverManager.getConnection` method and lifted it into something that's semantically equivalent to our earlier `createConnection` method. This technique works well when used with the "encapsulation" of uninitialized variables. You can write most of your code, even utility methods, assuming that everything is initialized, and then lift these functions into Option-friendly variants when needed.

One important thing to mention is that `Option` derives its equality and `hashCode` from what it contains. In Scala, understanding equality and `hashCode`, especially in a polymorphic setting, is very important.

2.5 **Polymorphic equality**

Let's discuss how to properly implement an `equals` and `hashCode` function in Scala. This can be tricky in a polymorphic language, but can be done by following some basic rules. In general, it's best to avoid having multiple concrete levels with classes that also need equality stronger than referential equality. In some cases, classes only need referential equality, the ability to differentiate two objects to determine if they're the same instance. But if the equality comparison needs to determine if two differing instances are equivalent *and* there are multiple concrete hierarchies, then things get a bit more tricky.

To understand this issue, we'll look at how to write a good equality method.

2.5.1 **Example: A timeline library**

We'd like to construct a time line, or calendar, widget. This widget needs to display dates, times, and time ranges as well as associated events with each day. The fundamental concept in this library is going to be an `InstantaneousTime`.

`InstantaneousTime` is a class that represents a particular discrete time within the time series. We could use the `java.util.Date` class, but we'd prefer something that's immutable, as we've just learned how this can help simplify writing good `equals` and `hashCode` methods. In an effort to keep things simple, let's have our underlying time storage be an integer of seconds since midnight, January 1, 1970, Greenwich Mean Time on a Gregorian calendar. We'll assume that all other times can be formatted into this representation and that time zones are an orthogonal concern to representation. We're also going to make the following common assumptions about our equality usage in the application:

- When `equals` is called and it will return `true`, it's because both objects are the same reference.
- Most calls to `equals` result in a return of `false`.

- Our implementation of hashCode is sufficiently sparse that for most equality comparisons, the hashCodes will be different.
- Computing a hashCode is more efficient than a deep equality comparison.
- Testing referential equality is more efficient than a deep equality comparison.

These assumptions are standard for most equality implementations. They might not always hold for your application. Let's take a first crack at the class and a simple equals and hashCode method pair in the following listing, and see what this looks like.

Listing 2.22 Simple InstantaneousTime class

```
trait InstantaneousTime {
    val repr: Int

    override def equals(other: Any) : Boolean = other match {
        case that: InstantaneousTime =>
            if(this eq that) {
                true
            } else {
                (that.## == this.##) &&
                (repr == that.repr)
            }
        case _ => false
    }
    override def hashCode() : Int = repr.##
}
```

The class contains only one member, `repr`, which is a number representing the seconds since midnight, January 1, 1970 Greenwich Mean Time. As this is the only data value in the class, and it's immutable, `equals` and `hashCode` will be based on this value. When implementing an `equals` method within the JVM, it's usually more performant to test referential equality before doing any sort of deep equality check. In the case of this class, it's not necessary. For a sufficiently complex class, it can drastically help performance, but this class doesn't need it. The next piece to a good `equals` method is usually using the `hashCode` for an early false check. Given a sufficiently sparse and easy to compute `hashCode`, this would be a good idea. Once again, in this class it's not necessary, but in a sufficiently complex class, this can be performant.

AND == VS. EQUALS AND HASHCODE In Scala, the `##` method is equivalent to the `hashCode` method in Java as the `==` method is equivalent to the `equals` method in Java. In Scala, when *calling* the `equals` or `hashCode` method it's better to use `##` and `==`. These methods provide additional support for value types. But the `equals` and `hashCode` method are used when *overriding* the behavior. This split provides better runtime consistency and still retains Java interoperability.

This class helps us illustrate two principles: the importance of a good equality method and always challenge the assumptions of your code. In this case, the “best practice” equality method, while great for a sufficiently complex class, provides little benefit for this simple class.

NOTE When implementing equality for your own classes, test the assumptions in the standard equality implementation to make sure they hold true.

Our implementation of equals suffers from yet another flaw, that of polymorphism.

2.5.2 Polymorphic equals implementation

In general, it's best to avoid polymorphism with types requiring deep equality. Scala no longer supports subclassing case classes for this very reason. But there are still times in code where this is useful or even necessary. To do so, we need to ensure that we've implemented our equality comparisons correctly, keeping polymorphism in mind and utilizing it in our solution.

Let's create a subclass of InstantaneousTime that also stores labels. This is the class we'll use to save events in our timeline, so we'll call it Event. We'll make the assumption that events on the same day will hash into the same bucket, and hence have the same hashCode, but equality will also include the name of the event. Let's take a crack at an implementation in the following listing.

Listing 2.23 Event subclass of InstantaneousTime

```
trait Event extends InstantaneousTime {
    val name: String
    override def equals(other: Any): Boolean = other match {
        case that: Event =>
            if(this eq that) {
                true
            } else {
                (repr == that.repr) &&
                (name == that.name)
            }
        case _ => false
    }
}
```

Annotations for Listing 2.23:

- A callout pointing to the line `case that: Event =>` is labeled "Quick referential check".
- A callout pointing to the line `(repr == that.repr) && (name == that.name)` is labeled "Deep equals using all".

We've dropped the hashCode early exit in our code, as checking the repr member is just as performant in our particular class. The other thing you'll notice is that we've changed the pattern match so that only two Event objects can be equal to each other. Let's try to use this in the REPL in the following listing.

Listing 2.24 Using Event and InstantaneousTime

```
scala> val x = new InstantaneousTime {
|   val repr = 2
| }
x: java.lang.Object with InstantaneousTime = $anon$1@2

scala> val y = new Event {
|   val name = "TestEvent"
|   val repr = 2
| }
y: java.lang.Object with Event = $anon$1@2

scala> y == x
```

Annotation for Listing 2.24:

A callout pointing to the line `y == x` is labeled "Subclass to original".

```
res8: Boolean = false
scala> x == y
res9: Boolean = true
```

← Original to subclass

Rule 5

Use `scala.Equals` for polymorphic equality

Polymorphic equality is easy to mess up. `scala.Equals` provides a template to make it easier to avoid mistakes.

What's happened? The old class is using the old implementation of the `equals` method, and therefore doesn't check for the new `name` field. We need to modify our original `equals` method in the base class to account for the fact that subclasses may wish to modify the meaning of equality. In Scala, there's a `scala.Equals` trait that can help us fix this issue. The `Equals` trait defines a `canEqual` method that's used in tandem with the standard `equals` method. The `canEqual` method allows subclasses to opt out of their parent classes' equality implementation. This is done by allowing the `other` parameter in the `equals` method an opportunity to cause an equality failure. To do so, we override `canEqual` in our subclass with whatever rejection criteria our overridden `equals` method has. Let's modify our classes to account for polymorphism using these two methods in the following listing.

Listing 2.25 Using `scala.Equals`

```
trait InstantaneousTime extends Equals {
    val repr: Int
    override def canEqual(other: Any) =
        other.isInstanceOf[InstantaneousTime]
    override def equals(other: Any): Boolean =
        other match {
            case that: InstantaneousTime =>
                if(this eq that) true else {
                    (that.## == this.##) &&
                    (that canEqual this) &&
                    (repr == that.repr)
                }
            case _ => false
        }
    override def hashCode(): Int = repr.hashCode
}

trait Event extends InstantaneousTime {
    val name: String
    override def canEqual(other: Any) =
        other.isInstanceOf[Event]
    override def equals(other: Any): Boolean = other match {
        case that: Event =>
            if(this eq that) {
                true
            } else {
                (that canEqual this) &&
                (repr == that.repr) &&
                (name == that.name)
            }
    }
}
```

The code listing includes several annotations:

- A callout arrow points from the `canEqual` method in the first trait to the text "Allows any subclass".
- A callout arrow points from the `canEqual` method in the second trait to the text "Subclass opt out of equality `canEqual`".
- A callout arrow points from the `canEqual` method in the second trait to the text "Call other object's `canEqual`".

```

        }
      case _ => false
    }
}

```

The first thing to do is implement `canEqual` on `InstantaneousTime` to return `true` if the other object is also an `InstantaneousTime`. Next let's account for the other object's `canEqual` result in the equality implementation. Finally, an overridden `canEqual` in the `Event` class will only allow equality with other `Events`.

WHEN OVERRIDING EQUALITY OF A PARENT CLASS, ALSO OVERRIDES CANEQUAL

The `canEqual` method is a lever, allowing subclasses to opt out of their parent class's equality implementation. This allows a subclass to do so without the usual dangers associated with a parent class `equals` method returning `true` while a subclass would return `false` for the same two objects.

Let's look at our earlier REPL session and see if the new `equals` methods behave better, as in the following listing.

Listing 2.26 Using new `equals` and `canEquals` methods

```

scala> val x = new InstantaneousTime {
|   val repr = 2
| }
x: java.lang.Object with InstantaneousTime = $anon$1@2

scala> val y = new Event {
|   val name = "TestEvent"
|   val repr = 2
| }
y: java.lang.Object with Event = $anon$1@2

scala> y == x
res10: Boolean = false

scala> x == y
res11: Boolean = false

```

← No longer returns true

We've succeeded in defining an appropriate equality method. We can now write a general `equals` method that performs well with general assumptions about our programs, and we can handle the case where our classes are also polymorphic.

2.6 Summary

In this chapter, we looked at the first crucial items for using Scala. Leveraging the REPL to do rapid prototyping is crucial to any successful Scala developer. Favoring expression-oriented programming and immutability helps simplify a program and improve the ability to reason through code. `Option` can also help improve readability of the code by clearly delineating where uninitialized values are accepted. Also, writing a good equality method in the presence of polymorphism can be difficult. All of these practices can help make the first steps in Scala successful. For continued success, let's look at code style and how to avoid running into issues with Scala's parser.

Modicum of style— coding conventions

In this chapter

- The dangers of dragging old coding conventions into Scala
- Working with end-of-line inference
- Avoiding dangerous names for variables
- Ensuring correct behavior with annotations

This chapter presents style suggestions that will help you avoid compiler or runtime errors. Style issues are usually a “holy war” among developers, who each has her own opinions. But there are certain things that Scala allows from a style perspective that can cause logic or runtime issues in your programs. This chapter doesn’t try to proselytize you into whether you should place spaces between parenthesis or what the best number of spaces for indentation is. This chapter merely presents a few styles that will cause real issues in Scala, and why you should modify your preferred style accordingly, if needed.

We discuss why placing opening braces for block expressions can convey different meanings to the compiler. Operator notation can cause issues if the compiler

can't tell where a line ends. Also, when naming variables in Scala, there are some names that are syntactically valid but will cause compiler or runtime errors. Finally, we discuss the benefits of compile-time warnings and how you can use annotations to increase the helpfulness of the Scala compiler. Let's start by looking at some common coding conventions.

3.1 **Avoid coding conventions from other languages**

I've found that my style when writing in a new language tends to borrow heavily from styles I use in other languages until I've learned the language well. Scala is no exception. A lot of users come from Java or Ruby languages and you can see this influence in the syntax. Over time, this style will change and adjust to accommodate the new language as certain guidelines are found to cause issues in the new language. As such, it's important to understand exactly where your style is coming from and whether that style makes sense in the new language. In fact, it's not just the language itself that dictates style. You must consider many human social interactions, especially if you work in a company with a large developer base.

One thing that always frustrated me when using C++ was coding conventions that were developed before the ready availability of cheap mature C++ IDEs. An IDE can negate the need for a lot of coding conventions by visually altering code based on a good semantic parse. IDEs can also allow developers to click-through method calls into method definitions or declarations to quickly get a feel for what's going on in code. A good modern IDE makes a lot of "standard practice" coding conventions unnecessary. But this doesn't erase the need for *any* coding conventions. Coding conventions do serve a few purposes, which can be boiled down into three categories: code discovery, uniformity, and error prevention.

Error prevention conventions are style rules that help avoid bugs in production code. This could be anything from marking method arguments as final in Java to marking all single argument constructors as explicit in C++. The goal of these style rules will be obvious to any experienced developer of that language.

Uniformity rules are about keeping the look of code the same across a project. These are a necessary evil in development workshops and the cause of style wars. Without them, version control history can become misaligned as developers fight to push their own personal style, or lack thereof. With them, moving between source files requires little "readability" mental adjustments. These rules are things like how many spaces to put between parentheses.

Code discovery rules are about enabling engineers to easily reason through code and figure out what another developer intended. These rules usually take the form of variable naming rules, such as placing `m_` in front of member variables or prefixing interfaces with a capital `I`. See table 3.1

Code discovery should align with the development environments that are expected in a team. If a team is using vanilla VI for editing, it will be more useful to add more code discovery guidelines than another project. If the team has a set of IDE

Table 3.1 Coding style examples

Error prevention rules	Uniformity rules	Code discovery rules
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ (C++) Don't use implicit conversions ■ (Java) Mark all arguments <code>final</code> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Indentations are three spaces ■ Place one space after opening parenthesis and one space before closing parenthesis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ (C++) Member variables prefixed with <code>m_</code> ■ (Eclipse) Interface names prefixed with <code>I</code>

power users, it would need less code discovery rules, as the IDE will provide many alternative means of improving discovery.

The way you should develop coding conventions for a team is to:

- 1 Start with error prevention rules. These will usually be copied from other projects in the same language, but you may need to create new rules.
- 2 Develop discovery related rules, such as how to name packages and where to place source files. These should match the development environments used by team members.
- 3 Follow up the rules defined above with any uniformity related rules required for the team. These rules vary from team to team and can be fun to agree upon. When creating uniformity guidelines, you should keep in mind automated tool support.

AUTOMATED STYLE TOOLING Many tools can automatically check style rules or refactor existing code into a given style. This can help new engineers on the project save time until they become accustomed to the style. For Scala, you should check out the Scalariform project <http://mng.bz/78G9>, which is a tool to automatically refactor Scala code given a set of style rules.

The issue nowadays is that most developers have a set of coding guidelines they prefer and pull them from project to project regardless of the language or the team. When starting a new project and developing new coding standards, make sure you don't just pull conventions from previous languages. Scala syntax isn't a direct C-clone; there are some pitfalls that certain coding styles will create in the language. We show an example with defining code blocks in Scala.

3.1.1 The block debacle

A common theme to C-style languages is code blocks, typically denoted with `{}`. Code blocks are sections of code that execute within loops, `if` statements, closures, or new variable namespaces. Coding standards tend to take two approaches with blocks: same line opening brace or next line opening brace.

```
if(test) {
    ...
}
```

This code shows a same line opening brace, which I prefer (go SLOBs!). In many languages, the choice between same line and next line opening brace doesn't matter. This is not the case in Scala, where semicolon inference can cause issues in a few key places. This makes the next line opening brace style error-prone. The easiest way to show the issue is with the definition of methods. Let's look at a common Scala method:

```
def triple(x: Int) =
{
  x * 3
}
```

The more idiomatic Scala convention for a function as simple as `triple` is to define it on one line with no code block. This is a toy example though, so we'll assume you have a good enough reason to use a code block, or your coding convention specifies you always having code blocks. In any case, the above function works perfectly fine. Now let's try to make a function that returns `Unit` using the convenience syntax:

```
def foo()
{
  println("foo was called")
}
```

This method will compile fine when used from the interpretive session, however it fails utterly when used inside a class, object, or trait definition in Scala 2.7.x and below. To reproduce the behavior in Scala 2.8, we add another line between the method name and the opening brace. In many C-style languages, including Java, this change is acceptable. In Scala, we see the issue, as shown in the following listing:

Listing 3.1 Next line opening brackets causing issues

```
class FooHolder
{
  def foo()
  {
    println("foo was called")
  }
}
```



Inside of the `FooHolder` class definition block, Scala sees the `def foo()` line of code as an abstract method. This is because it doesn't catch the opening brace on the next line, so it assumes `def foo()` is a complete line. When it encounters the block expression, it assumes it found a new anonymous code block that should be executed in the construction of the class.

A simple solution to this problem exists: Add a new style guideline that requires the `=` syntax for all method definitions. This should solve any issues you might experience with opening brackets on the next line. Let's try it out in the following listing:

Listing 3.2 Next line opening brackets compiling correctly

```
trait FooHolder2
{
    def foo(): Unit =
    {
        println("foo2 was called")
    }
}
```

Expect
upcoming block

The = added after the `def foo(): Unit` tells the compiler that you’re expecting an expression that contains the body of the `foo` function. The compile will then continue looking in the file for the code block. This solves the issue for method definitions. Other types of block expressions can still cause issues. In Scala, `if` statements don’t require code blocks at all. This means the same kind of behavior could occur on an `if` statement if not properly structured. Luckily in that case, the compiler will catch and flag an error. The issue comes with `else` statements. Let’s try the following:

```
if(true)
{
    println("true!")
}
else
{
    println("false!")
}
```

In an interpretive session, you can’t enter this code because it will compile at the end of the first code block (the `if` statement) because it assumes the statement is complete. In a class, this `should` function as desired.

SHOULD MY CODING STYLE ALLOW ME TO PASTE CODE INTO AN INTERPRETIVE SES-

SION TO TEST IT? The choice depends on your development environment.

Most good tools allow you to automatically start an interpretive session against a compiled instance of your project. This means you wouldn’t have to cut and paste code from your project into the session; however, in practice I find that sometimes my project isn’t compiling and I want to test out a feature. In this case, I have to edit the files before pasting into the interpretive session.

Make sure when you’re setting up a project, especially in a language you haven’t used extensively before, that you rethink your style guidelines and choose ones that fit the new language and the environment you will be developing in. Don’t merely pull what worked before in Language Foo and assume it will work well in Scala. Challenge your decisions!

A collaborative effort is in place to create a “good enough” style guide for Scala. This style guide should act as a good starting point and is currently located at <http://mng.bz/48C2>.

3.2 Dangling operators and parenthetical expressions

One style adjustment that can drastically help in Scala is to dangle operators at the end of lines. A dangling operator is an operator, such as + or - that's the last non-whitespace character in a line of code. Dangling operators will help the compiler determine the true end of a statement. Earlier, we described how this is important for block expressions. The concept works just as well with other types of expressions in Scala.

“Large string aggregation” is a great instance when dangling operators can help out the compiler or when you’re trying to create a large string such that the whole definition doesn’t fit on one line. Let’s look at an example in Java:

```
class Test {
    private int x = 5;
    public String foo() {
        return "HAI"
            +
            +
            "ZOMG"
            +
            "\n";
    }
}
```

The Test class has a foo method that’s attempting to create a large string. Rather than having dangling aggregation operators, the + operator is found on the next line. A simple translation of this to Scala will fail to compile. Let’s take a look:

```
object Test {
    val x = 5
    def foo = "HAI"
        +
        +
        "ZOMG"
        +
        "\n"
}
```

This will fail to compile with the error message “error: value unary_+ is not a member of java.lang.String”. Again, this is because the compiler is inferring the end of line before it should. To solve this issue, we have two options: dangling operators or parentheses. A dangling operator is an operator that ends a line, letting the compiler know there’s more to come, as shown in the following listing:

Listing 3.3 Using dangling operators

```
object Test {
    val x = 5
    def foo = "HAI" +
        x +
        "ZOMG" +
        "\n"
```

Dangling operators have the advantage of maintaining a minimal amount of syntax. This is the preferred style for the compiler itself.

An alternative to dangling operators is wrapping expressions in parentheses. You wrap any expression that spans multiple lines in parentheses. This has the advantage of allowing potentially arbitrary amount of whitespace between members of the expression. Let's take a look at the following listing:

Listing 3.4 Using parentheses

```
object Test {
    val x = 5
    def foo = ("HAI"
        + x
        + "ZOMG"
        + "\n")  
}
```

Whichever one of these style guidelines you choose is up to you and your development shop. I prefer dangling operators, but both options are valid Scala syntax and will help you avoid parsing issues.

Now that we've discussed working around inference in the compiler, let's discuss another way to avoid issues in the compiler: the naming of variables.

3.3 Use meaningful variable names

One of the most common adages in any programming language is to use meaningful argument or variable names. Code clarity is a commonly ascribed benefit of meaningful argument names. Meaningful names can help take an arcane piece of code and turn it into something a new developer can learn in moments.

Some variables exist for which it is hard to determine appropriate names. In my experience this usually comes when implementing some kind of mathematical algorithm, like fast Fourier transforms, where the domain has well-known variable names. In this case, it's far better to use the standard symbols rather than invent your own names. In the case of Fourier transforms, the equation is shown in figure 3.1.

When implementing a Fourier transform, using a variable named N to represent the size of the input data, n to represent the index of a summing operation and k to represent the index to an output array is acceptable, as it's the notation used in the function. In many of languages, you end up “spelling” symbols because the language doesn't support mathematical symbols directly. In Scala, we can directly write ? rather than PI if we desire.

In this section well look at “reserved” characters that you shouldn't use for variable names, as well as using named and default parameters effectively. Reserved characters are characters the compiler reserves for internal use, but it doesn't warn you if you use them. This can cause issues at compile time or, even worse, runtime. These issues could be anything from a warning message on code that's perfectly valid, or exceptions thrown at runtime.

Scala provides a flexible naming scheme for variables and methods.

$$X_k = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n e^{-i2\pi k \frac{n}{N}} \quad k = 0, \dots, N-1.$$

Figure 3.1 Fourier transform equation

You use extended characters, if you desire to code mathematical equations directly. This allows you to write functions that look like mathematical symbols if you’re writing some form of advanced mathematics library. My recommendation here is to ensure that whatever characters you use in your variable and method names, make sure that most developers in your shop know how to input them on their keyboards or ensure there’s a direct key for it. Nothing is worse than having to copy and paste special characters into a program because you desire to use them.

An example of Scala’s flexible naming is the duality of `=>` and `?` for defining closures and pattern matching. To even use the `?` character in this book, I had to look it up and paste it into my editor. The best example of unicode and non-unicode operators comes from the Scalaz library. Let’s look at one of the examples from the Scalaz source code:

```
val a, b, c, d = List(1)
...
a ? b ? c ? d apply {_ + _ + _ + _}
a |@| b |@| c |@| d apply {_ + _ + _ + _}
```

As you can see, Scalaz has provided both the `|@|` and the `?` methods on its “Applicative Builder.” We discuss applicative style application in detail in section 11.3. For now let’s focus on the method names.

One name used for the applicative builder is a funny-looking unicode character `(⊗)`, and the other is something someone could type without copy-paste or knowing what the correct keycode is ahead of time `(|@|)`. By providing both, Scalaz has appealed to average developers and to those situations when using unicode characters proves you’re the better nerd at the office. I would recommend following in Scalaz’s footsteps if you wish to provide unicode operator support.

Although random unicode characters can be frustrating for developers, there’s one character that’s easy to type that can cause real issues in code: the dollar sign `$`.

3.3.1 **Avoid \$ in names**

Scala allows naming to be so flexible, you can even interfere with its own name mangling scheme for higher level concepts on the JVM. *Name mangling* refers to the compiler altering, or mangling, the name of a class or method to translate it onto the underlying platform. This means that if I looked at the classfile binaries Scala generates, I may not find a class with the same name as what I use in my code. This was a common technique in C++ so that it could share a similar binary interface with C but allow for method overloading. For Scala, name mangling is used for nested classes and helper methods.

As an example, let’s create a simple trait and object pairing and look at how Scala names the underlying JVM classes and interfaces. When Scala has to generate anonymous function or classes, it uses a name containing the class it was defined in—the string `anonfun` and a number. These strings are all joined using the `$` character to create an entity. Let’s compile a sample and see what the directory looks like afterwards.

This sample will be a simple main method that computes the average of a list of numbers, as shown in the following listing:

Listing 3.5 Simple method to calculate an average

```
object Average {
    def avg(values: List[Double]) = {
        val sum = values.foldLeft(0.0) { _ + _ }
        sum / values.size.toDouble
    }
}
```

The class is rather simple. We define an `Average` object that contains a method: `avg`. In the `avg` method, we define a closure `{ _ + _ }` that will compile to an anonymous function class. Let's see the compiled files for this class:

```
$ ls *.class
Average$$anonfun$1.class  Average.class  Average$.class
```

Some interesting JVM classes are compiled here. The `Average` object gets compiled into the `Average$` class with the `Average` class having the static method forwarded to the `Average$` object. This is the mechanism Scala uses for “singleton objects” to ensure that they're true objects but look similar to static method invocations to Java. The anonymous closure we sent to `foldLeft` (`{ _ + _ }`) got compiled into the `Average$$anonfun$1` class. This is because it happens to be the first anonymous function defined in the `Average$` class. As you can see, the `$` character is used heavily when creating real JVM classes for advanced Scala features.


Let's play a game called “break Scala's closures.” This game will help outline the issues with using `$` in parameter names, something useful for those who are interested in adding plugin functionality to Scala, but not for general developers. Feel free to skip to section 3.3.2 if you're not interested in this.

What happens if we define our own class that has the same mangled name as the anonymous function? Either our class or the anonymous function class will be used at runtime. Let's create a new `Average.scala` file in the following listing and use the ``` syntax to create a new mischievous class and see what happens:

Listing 3.6 Average.scala file with mischievous class

```
object Average {
    def avg(values: List[Double]) = {
        val sum = values.foldLeft(0.0) { _ + _ }
        sum / values.size.toDouble
    }
}

class `Average$$anonfun$1` {
    println("O MY!")
}
```



The Average object is the same as defined in listing 3.6, but we've created our mischievous class called Average\$\$anonfun\$1. This compiles fine, so we know the compiler won't catch our mischievousness. Let's see what happens when we try to use it in an interactive interpreted session:

```
scala> Average.avg(List(0.0,1.0,0.5))
O MY!
java.lang.IncompatibleClassChangeError: vtable stub
  at ...LinearSeqLike$class.foldLeft(LinearSeqLike.scala:159)
  at scala.collection.immutable.List.foldLeft(List.scala:46)
  at Average$.avg(Average.scala:3)
```

The mischievous class is instantiated, as seen by the “O MY!” output. The mischievous class is even passed into the `foldLeft` method as seen in the stack trace. It isn't until the `foldLeft` function attempts to use the class instance that it realizes that this class isn't a closure. Well, what are the odds that someone would name a class the same kind of arcane string that occurs from name mangling? Probably low, but the \$ character still gives Scala some issues. When defining nested classes, Scala also uses the \$ character to mangle names, similar to Java inner classes. We can cause similar errors by defining mischievous inner classes, as shown in the following listing:

Listing 3.7 Average.scala with mischievous inner classes

```
object Average {
  def avg(values: List[Double]) = {
    val sum = values.foldLeft(0.0) { _ + _ }
    sum / values.size.toDouble
  }

  class `$anonfun` {
    class `1` {
      println("O MY!")
    }
  }
}
```

In general then, it's best to avoid the \$ character altogether in your naming schemes. It's also best to avoid making an inner class with the name `anonfun` or `$anonfun` that has its own numbered inner classes, although I have no idea why you would desire to do so. For completeness, it's best to totally avoid the mangling schemes of the compiler.

The compiler also uses name mangling for default parameters. In Scala default parameters are also encoded as a method with the name `default` and an ordinal representing the order the argument appears in the function. This is in the method namespace, not the classname namespace. To cause problems, we need to name a method something simple like `avg$default$1`.

```
object Average {
  def avg(values: List[Double] = List(0.0,1.0,0.5)) = {
    val sum = values.foldLeft(0.0) { _ + _ }
```

```

        sum / values.size.toDouble
    }

def `avg$default$1` = List(0.0,0.0,0.0)
}

```

Luckily in this case the compiler will warn that the method `avg$default$1` is a duplicate. This isn't the most obvious error message, but then again, the method name isn't exactly common. So, although it's possible to use \$ in method names and class names, it can get you into trouble. The examples I've posted are somewhat extreme, but illustrate that name mangling issues can be rather tricky to track down. Therefore you should avoid the \$ character entirely.

3.3.2 Working with named and default parameters

Scala 2.8.x brings with it the ability to use named parameters. This means that the names you give parameters of methods become part of the public API. Your parameter names become part of the API, and changing them can and will break clients. Also, Scala allows users to define different parameter names in subclasses. Let's look at the named and default parameter feature.

Rule
6

Use meaningful parameter names

In Scala, parameter names are part of the API and should follow all the coding conventions used for method and variable names.

Defining named parameters in Scala is easy, it's required syntax. Whatever name you declare for a parameter is the name you can use when calling it. Let's define a simple `Foo` class with a single method `foo`, but with several parameters. These parameters will be set with default values. The following listing shows the various types of usage:

Listing 3.8 Simple named parameter usage

```

class Foo {
  def foo(one: Int = 1,
          two: String = "two",
          three: Double = 2.5): String =
    two + one + three
}

scala> val x = new Foo
x: Foo = Foo@565902ca

scala> x.foo()
res0: String = two12.5

scala> x.foo(two = "not two")
res1: String = not two12.5

scala> x.foo(0, "zero", 0.1)
res2: String = zero00.1

scala> x.foo(4, three = 0.4)
res3: String = two40.4

```

Define default parameters

Using all defaults

Using named parameter

Argument placement

Mixed placement and named

First, notice that the `foo` method declares defaults for all of its parameters. This allows us to call the method without passing any arguments. Things are more interesting when we pass arguments using their names, like when we write `x.foo(two = "not two")`.

Scala still allows argument placement syntax, where the order of the parameters is the same in the definition site and the call site. This can be seen in the line `x.foo(0, "zero", 0.1)`. On this call, `0` is the first parameter and is referred to in the function as the argument one. This is a mixed mode usage.

Mixed mode is where you can use argument placement syntax for some arguments, and named parameters for the rest. This mode is obviously limited in that you can only use placement syntax for beginning arguments but is shown in the last line: `x.foo(4, three = 0.4)`. In this line, the first parameter, `4`, is passed as argument one and the argument `three` is passed as `0.4`.

So, why all the fuss over argument naming? Argument names become confusing with inheritance in the mix.

Scala uses the static type of a variable to bind parameter names, however the defaults are determined by the runtime type. Say it to yourself: *Names are static; values are runtime*. Let's look at a “simple” example of chaos ... er ... inheritance in the following listing:

Listing 3.9 Named parameters and inheritance

```
class Parent {
  def foo(bar: Int = 1, baz: Int = 2): Int =
    bar + baz
}
class Child extends Parent {
  override def foo(baz: Int = 3, bar: Int = 4): Int =
    super.foo(baz, bar)
}

scala> val p = new Parent
p: Parent = Parent@271a2576

scala> p.foo()
res0: Int = 3

scala> val x = new Child
x: Child = Child@3191394e

scala> x.foo()
res1: Int = 7

scala> val y: Parent = new Child
y: Parent = Child@6c5bdfae

scala> y.foo()
res2: Int = 7

scala> x.foo(bar = 1)
res3: Int = 4

scala> y.foo(bar = 1)
res4: Int = 5
```

The diagram illustrates the state of variables and method definitions at each step of the code execution:

- Initial method definition:** Points to the `foo` method in the `Parent` class definition.
- Overridden with poor names:** Points to the `override def foo` method in the `Child` class definition, highlighting the use of poor names (`baz` and `bar`) for parameters.
- Default arguments on parent:** Points to the first `foo` call (`p.foo()`), indicating that the default arguments come from the parent class.
- Default arguments on child:** Points to the second `foo` call (`x.foo()`), indicating that the default arguments come from the child class.
- Static type determines name:** Points to the third `foo` call (`y.foo()`), indicating that the static type of the variable (`y`) determines the method name.

Parent is a parent class that defined method `foo`. Child extends the `foo` method, but notice the naming difference. We've purposely reused the same names in differing orders to be confusing, but we've left the implementation of the method the same. If we instantiate a Parent class and execute `foo`, we see the value 3. When we instantiate a Child class, and execute `foo` we see 7 (*default values are runtime!*). The interesting part comes when we instantiate the Child class with the static type of Parent. When we call `foo(bar = 1)` on a Child instance with a static type of Child, we see the value 4. If we call `foo(bar=1)` on a Child instance with static type of Parent we see the value of 5.

What happened? In the Child class, we defined the argument names in the reverse order of the Parent class. The unfortunate circumstance of named parameters in Scala is that they use the static type to determine ordering. Remember our earlier mantra: *values are runtime; names are static*.

Renaming arguments in a child class isn't a warning in the compiler. As of Scala 2.8.0, there's no way to make this warning without writing your own compiler plugin. This naming issue may not be a huge deal when you're the author of an entire type hierarchy, but it might be when working on a larger team where others are consuming classes from others and are unhappy with parameter naming schemes from other developers.

DEPRECATING PARAMETER NAMES In Scala 2.8.1, there will most likely be a mechanism for deprecating parameter names. This is to be done with an annotation on the parameter itself, declaring the old name. Clients of your library can then use both names, albeit the one will issue a warning. As the specifics may change, please follow the Scala mailing list and check the release notes of 2.8.1 for the mechanics of this.

For some shops, particularly ones I've worked in, developers were allowed to disagree on method naming conventions because they never mattered before. As of Scala 2.8.0, they do. Ensure that your developers are aware of naming in general, and of this particular surprising change (at least surprising when coming from a language without named parameters).

Remember that naming variables, classes, *and* parameters are all important in Scala. Misnaming can wind up in anything from a compile-time error to a subtle and hard-to-fix bug. This is one area where the compiler can't offer much assistance besides helpful error messages.

3.4 Always mark overridden methods

Scala did the world a great service when it introduced the `override` keyword. This keyword is used to demarcate when a method is intended to override vs. overload a method. If you neglect the keyword and the compiler finds you're overriding a superclass method, it will emit an error. If you add the `override` keyword and no superclass has the defined method, the compiler will warn you. Thankfully, this is mostly enforced by the compiler. One scenario remains where `override` isn't required but can cause issues: purely abstract methods. Scala has no abstract modifier: A purely abstract method is one that has no implementation.

Rule
7**Always mark overridden methods**

In Scala, while the `override` keyword is optional in some situations, it's safe to always mark methods with `override`.

Let's take a look at example `override` usage. We want to define a business service for an application. This service will be for users. We'll allow them to log in, change their password and log out as well as validate that someone is still logged in. We're going to make an abstract interface for users of our service. It should look like the following:

```
trait UserService {
    def login(credentials: Credentials): UserSession
    def logout(session: UserSession): Unit
    def isLoggedIn(session: UserSession): Boolean
    def changePassword(session: UserSession,
                       credentials: Credentials): Boolean
}
```

The service is rather simple. We define a `login` method that takes the user's credentials and returns a new session for that user. We also define a `logout` method that takes a `UserSession` object and invalidates it and performs any cleanup that may be needed. Finally, we define two methods against the session. The `isLoggedIn` method will check to see if a `UserSession` is valid, meaning the user is logged in. The `changePassword` method will change the user's password but only if the new password is legal and the `UserSession` is valid. Now let's make a simple implementation that assumes any credentials are okay for any user and that all users are valid.

```
class UserServiceImpl extends UserService {
    def login(credentials: Credentials): UserSession =
        new UserSession {}
    def logout(session: UserSession): Unit
    def isLoggedIn(session: UserSession): Boolean = true
    def changePassword(session: UserSession,
                       credentials: Credentials): Boolean = true
}
```

But wait, we forgot to add the `override` keyword. The method still compiles, so that means the `override` keyword wasn't needed. Why? Scala doesn't require the `override` keyword if your class is the first to define an abstract method. It also comes into play when using multiple inheritances, but we'll look into this in a moment. For now, let's see what happens in the following listing if we change the method signature in the parent class:

Listing 3.10 Changing the underlying method

```
trait UserService {
    def login(credentials: Credentials): UserSession
    def logout(session: UserSession): Unit
    def isLoggedIn(session: UserSession) : Boolean
    def changePassword(new_credentials: Credentials,
                      old_credentials: Credentials): Boolean
```


Modified definition

```

}

class UserServiceImpl extends UserService {
    def login(credentials: Credentials): UserSession =
        new UserSession {}
    def logout(session: UserSession): Unit
    def isLoggedIn(session: UserSession): Boolean = true
    def changePassword(session: UserSession,
                       credentials: Credentials): Boolean = true
}

```



Notice we've changed the `changePassword` method in the `UserService` trait. The new method compiles fine, but the `UserServiceImpl` class won't compile. Because it's concrete, the compiler will catch the fact that `changePassword` defined in the `UserService` isn't implemented. What happens if instead of an implementation, we're providing a library with partial functionality? Let's change `UserServiceImpl` to a trait, as shown in the following listing:

Listing 3.11 Traits won't cause compile errors

```

trait UserService {
    def login(credentials: Credentials): UserSession
    def logout(session: UserSession): Unit
    def isLoggedIn(session: UserSession) : Boolean
    def changePassword(new_credentials: Credentials,
                      old_credentials: Credentials): Boolean
}

trait UserServiceImpl extends UserService {
    def login(credentials: Credentials): UserSession =
        new UserSession {}
    def logout(session: UserSession): Unit
    def isLoggedIn(session: UserSession): Boolean = true
    def changePassword(session: UserSession,
                       credentials: Credentials): Boolean = true
}

```





When we migrate `UserServiceImpl` to a trait, compilation now succeeds. This is an issue primarily when providing a library with no concrete implementations, or some form of DSL that's expected to be extended. Therefore, only users of the library will notice this easy-to-prevent issue. All that's required is to use the `override` modifier before any overridden method, as shown in the following listing:

Listing 3.12 Traits will cause compile errors

```

trait UserServiceImpl extends UserService {
    override def login(credentials: Credentials): UserSession =
        new UserSession {}
    override def logout(session: UserSession): Unit
    override def isLoggedIn(session: UserSession): Boolean = true
    override def changePassword(session: UserSession,
                               credentials: Credentials): Boolean = true
}

```



Because this is such an easy error for the compiler to catch, there's no reason to run into the issue. What about the multiple inheritance we mentioned earlier? It's time to look into how `override` interacts with multiple inheritance.

Scala doesn't require the `override` keyword when implementing abstract methods. This was done to help multiple inheritance. Let's look at the classic "deadly diamond" inheritance problem. A deadly diamond occurs by creating a class that has two parent classes. Both of the parent classes must also be subclasses of the same parent-parent class. If you were to draw a picture of the inheritance relationship, you would see a diamond.

Let's start our own diamond by creating two traits, `Cat` and `Dog`, that extend a common base trait `Animal`. The `Animal` trait defines a method `talk` that's also defined in `Cat` and `Dog`. Now imagine some mad scientist is attempting to combine cats and dogs to create some new species, the `KittyDoggy`. How well could they do this using the `override` keyword? Let's define our three classes in the following listing and find out:

Listing 3.13 Animal hierarchy with `override`

```
trait Animal {
    def talk: String
}

trait Cat extends Animal {
    override def talk: String = "Meow"
}

trait Dog extends Animal {
    override def talk: String = "Woof"
}
```

We define the `talk` method on the `Animal` trait to return a `String`. We then create the `Cat` and `Dog` traits with their own implementation of the `talk` method. Let's pop open the REPL and try to construct our `KittyDoggy` experiment. Remember to cackle when typing, as shown in the following listing:

Listing 3.14 Multiple inheritance and `override`

```
scala> val kittydoggy = new Cat with Dog
kittydoggy: java.lang.Object with Cat with Dog = $anon$1@631d75b9

scala> kittydoggy.talk
res1: String = Woof

scala> val kittydoggy2 = new Dog with Cat
kittydoggy2: java.lang.Object with Dog with Cat = $anon$1@18e3f02a

scala> kittydoggy2.talk
res2: String = Meow
```

First we attempt to combine `Cat` with `Dog`. This results in the `talk` operation picking up the `Dog` behavior and ignoring the `Cat` behavior. That's not quite what our mad-scientist experiment wants to accomplish, so instead we try to combine a `Dog` with a `Cat`.

This ends up pulling in the `Cat` behavior and ignoring the `Dog` behavior! In Scala, the last trait “wins” when it comes to class linearization and method delegation, so this isn’t unexpected.

Class linearization refers to the order in which parent calls occur for a particular class. In the preceding example, for the type `Cat` with `Dog`, the parent calls would first try the `Dog` trait, then `Cat` and then `Animal`. Class linearization will be covered in more detail in the section 4.2.

What happens now if we remove the `override` keyword from the `Cat` and `Dog` traits? Let’s find out in the following listing:

Listing 3.15 Animal hierarchy without `override`

```
trait Animal {  
    def talk: String  
}  
  
trait Cat extends Animal {  
    def talk: String = "Meow"  
}  
  
trait Dog extends Animal {  
    def talk: String = "Woof"  
}
```

The definitions of `Animal`, `Cat`, and `Dog` are the same as before except that no `override` keyword is used. Let’s put on our evil lab coat again and see if we can combine our cats and dogs in the following listing:

Listing 3.16 Multiple inheritance without `override`

```
scala> val kittydoggy = new Cat with Dog  
<console>:8: error: overriding method talk in  
      trait Cat of type => String;  
      method talk in trait Dog of type => String  
      needs `override' modifier  
      val kittydoggy = new Cat with Dog  
                           ^  
  
scala> val kittydoggy2 = new Dog with Cat  
<console>:8: error: overriding method talk in  
      trait Dog of type => String;  
      method talk in trait Cat of type => String  
      needs `override' modifier  
      val kittydoggy2 = new Dog with Cat
```

When we attempt to construct our `Cat with Dog`, the compiler issues an error that we’re trying to override a method without the `override` keyword. The compiler is preventing us from combining two different concrete methods that aren’t explicitly annotated with `override`. This means if I want to prevent mixing overrides of behavior, from mad scientist programmers, then I must not use the `override` modifier. This feature makes more sense when the traits don’t share a common ancestor, as it requires the mad scientist to manually override the conflicting behaviors of two classes and

unify them. But in the presence of the base class, things can get strange. Let's see what happens in the following listing if `Cat` defines its `talk` method with `override`, but `Dog` does not.

Listing 3.17 Multiple inheritance with mixed override

```
scala> val kittydoggy = new Cat with Dog
<console>:8: error: overriding method talk in
      trait Cat of type =>
          java.lang.String;
method talk in trait Dog of type => String needs `override' modifier
      val kittydoggy = new Cat with Dog
                           ^
scala> val kittydoggy2 = new Dog with Cat
kittydoggy2: java.lang.Object with Dog with Cat = $anon$1@5a347448
```

Mixing a `Cat` with a `Dog` is still bad, because `Dog` doesn't mark `talk` method as being able to override. But extending a `Dog` with a `Cat` is acceptable because the `Cat`'s `talk` can override. We can't use the compiler to force users to pick a `talk` implementation every time they inherit from `Dog` and another `Animal`. In the case where any of the `Animals` defines a `talk` method with an `override`, we lose our error message. This reduces the utility of the feature, specifically for the inheritance case.

Multiple inheritance

Scala traits are linearized. For the purposes of overriding methods, a parent can be mixed in where we instantiate an object, rather than requiring the definition of a new class.

```
trait Animal { def talk: String }
trait Mammal extends Animal
trait Cat { def talk = "Meow" }
scala> val x = new Mammal with Cat
x: java.lang.Object with Mammal with Cat = $anon$1@488d12e4
scala> x.talk
res3: java.lang.String = Meow
```

In practice, the utility of not using the `override` keyword for subclass method overrides is far outweighed by the benefits of doing so. As such, you should annotate your objects with the `override` keyword. When it comes to multiple inheritance and overridden methods, you must understand the inheritance linearization and its consequences. We discuss traits and linearization in detail in section 4.2.

Another area where the compiler can drastically help us out is with error messages for missed optimizations.

3.5 Annotate for expected optimizations

The Scala compiler provides several optimizations of functional style code into performant runtime bytecodes. The compiler will optimize tail recursion to execute as a looping construct at runtime, rather than a recursive function call. Tail recursion is

when a method calls itself as the last statement, or its tail. Tail recursion can cause the stack to grow substantially if not optimized. Tail call optimization isn't as much about improving speed as preventing stack overflow errors.

The compiler can also optimize a pattern match that looks like a Java switch statement to act like a switch statement at runtime. The compiler can figure out if it's more efficient and still correct to use a branch lookup table. The compiler will then emit a `tableswitch` bytecode for this pattern match. The `tableswitch` bytecode is a branching statement that can be more efficient than multiple comparison branch statements.

The switch and tail recursion optimizations come with optional annotations. The annotations will ensure the optimization is applied where expected or an error is issued.

3.5.1 Using the `tableswitch` optimization

The first optimization we'll look at is treating pattern matching as a `switch` statement. What this optimization does is try to compile a pattern match into a branch table rather than a decision tree. This means that instead of performing many different comparisons against the value in the pattern match, the value is used to look up a label in the branch table. The JVM can then jump directly to the appropriate code. This whole process is done in a single bytecode, the `tableswitch` operation. In Java, the `switch` statement can be compiled into a `tableswitch` operation. In Scala, the compiler can optimize a pattern match into a single `tableswitch` operation if all the stars align, or at least the right conditions apply.

For Scala to apply the `tableswitch` optimization, the following has to hold true:

- The matched value must be a known integer.
- Every match expression must be “simple.” It can’t contain any type checks, `if` statements or extractors. The expression must also have its value available at compile time: The value of the expression must not be computed at runtime but instead always be the same value.
- There should be more than two case statements, otherwise the optimization is unneeded.

Let's take a quick look at some successfully optimized code and what operations will break it. First let's start off with a simple switch on an integer. We're going to `switch` on an integer to handle three cases: the integer is one, the integer is two, and all other possible integer values.

```
def unannotated(x: Int) = x match {
    case 1 => "One"
    case 2 => "Two!"
    case z => z + "?"
}
```

This is a `match` statement with three cases. As previously stated, we're explicitly looking at the case when an integer is either one or two. The compiler is able to optimize this to a `tableswitch`, as you can see in the bytecode. Here's the Java output:

```
public java.lang.String unannotated(int);
Code:
 0: iload_1
 1: tableswitch{ //1 to 2
    1: 51;
    2: 46;
    default: 24 }
...

```

What you're seeing here are the bytecode instructions for the unannotated method. The first instruction at label 0: shows us loading the first argument as an integer (iload_1). The next instruction is our `tableswitch`. The `tableswitch` instruction is made up of mappings of integer values to bytecode instruction labels (or line numbers). Now the rules for the optimization become more apparent. If the compiler is going to create this `tableswitch` instruction, it needs to know the values of each case statement expression in order to do the right thing. It's fairly easy to mess this up. Let's look at a few ways to do this.

First, we can include a type check in the pattern match. This can be surprising, as you might expect the type check would be superfluous, and not change the compiled code. Let's take our original function and add a type check for `Int` on one of the `case` statements.

```
def notOptimised(x: Int) = x match {
  case 1 => "One"
  case 2 => "Two!"
  case i: Int => "Other"
}
```

The difference between this example and the previous one is the type check on the third case statement: `i : Int`. Although the type of the variable is already known to be an `Int`, the compiler will still create a type check in the pattern match and this will prevent it from using a `tableswitch` bytecode. Let's look at the bytecode (shortened to fit here):

```
public java.lang.String notOptimised(int);
Code:
 0: iload_1
 1: iconst_1
 2: if_icmpne 10
...
10: iload_1
11: iconst_2
12: if_icmpne 20
...
20: iload_1
21: invokestatic #43;
    //Method scala/runtime/BoxesRunTime.boxToInteger:
    //  (I)Ljava/lang/Integer;
24: instanceof #85; //class java/lang/Integer
27: ifeq 33
30: ldc #87; //String Other
```

**Runtime
type
check**

```

32: areturn
33: new #89; //class scala/MatchError
...

```

Non-match
exception throwing

The first thing you'll notice is that there's an `if_icmpne` comparison bytecode instead of the `tableswitch`. Truncating the output, you'll see that the method is compiled as a sequence of such comparison bytecodes. We also find that there's a `MatchError` constructed on line 33. The truncated section is the remaining bytecode to throw the error. The compiler has inferred that our match was not complete, so it created a default case that will result in a runtime error.

ON PRIMITIVES AND TYPE CHECKING In the preceding example on lines 21 and 24, you may have noticed that our argument, an `Int`, is boxed to perform an `instanceof` bytecode. The `instanceof` bytecode is how the JVM performs typechecks for classes, traits, and objects. But an integer is a primitive on the JVM, so to perform a type check, Scala must “box” the integer primitive into an object form of that integer. This is Scala's standard mechanism for checking the type of any primitive on the JVM; therefore, try not to let any extraneous type checks in your code.

As you can see, it was fairly easy to construct a case where we thought the compiler would optimize our code, and yet it could not. A simple solution to this problem is to annotate your expressions so the compiler will warn you if it can't make an optimization.

As of Scala 2.8.0, the compiler currently provides two annotations that can be used to prevent compilation if an optimization isn't applied. These are the `@tailrec` and `@switch` annotations, which you can apply to the expression you want optimized. Let's look at the following listing to see how the `switch` annotation could have helped us earlier:

Listing 3.18 Using the `@switch` annotation

```

import annotation.switch
def notOptimised3(x: Int) =
  (x: @switch) match {
    case 1 => "One"
    case 2 => "Two!"
    case i: Int => "Other"
  }

<console>:6: error: could not emit switch for @switch annotated match
      def notOptimised3(x : Int) = (x : @switch) match {

```

Annotated
match
expression

The first thing you'll notice is the funny `(x : @switch)` syntax. Scala allows annotations to be ascribed to type expressions. This tells the compiler to know that we expect the `switch` optimization to be performed, among other things. You could also fully ascribe the type by writing `(x: Int @switch)`; however, adding the annotation is fine.

The compiler has given us the warning statement we desired. We’re unable to compile because our pattern match can’t be optimized. The merits of using a `tableswitch` are debatable, and not nearly as universal as the next annotation.

3.5.2 Using the tail recursion optimization

The `@tailrec` annotation is used to ensure that tail call optimization (usually abbreviated TCO) can be applied to a method. Tail call optimization is the conversion of a recursive function that calls itself as the last statement into something that won’t absorb stack space but rather execute similarly to a traditional `while` or `for` loop. The JVM doesn’t support TCO natively, so tail recursive methods will need to rely on the Scala compiler performing the optimization.

Rule
8

Annotate tail recursion

Tail recursion is easy to mix up in Scala. By annotating tail recursive methods, we can guarantee expected runtime performance.

To optimize tail calls, the Scala compiler requires the following:

- The method must be final or private: It can’t be polymorphic.
- The method must have its return type annotated.
- The method must call itself as the “end” of one of its branches.

Let’s see if we can create a good tail recursive method. My first tail recursive function was working with a tree structure, so let’s do the same: Implementing a breadth first search algorithm using tail recursion.

The breadth first search algorithm is a way to inspect a graph or tree such that you inspect the top-level elements, then the nearest neighbors of those elements, and then the nearest neighbors of the nearest neighbors, and so on, until you find the node you’re looking for. Let’s first decide what the function should look like:

```
case class Node(name: String, edges: List[Node] = Nil)

def search(start: Node, predicate: Node => Boolean): Option[Node] = {
    error("write me")
}
```

The first thing we do is define a `Node` class that allows us to construct a graph or tree. This class is pretty simple and doesn’t allow the creation cycles, but it’s a good starting point for defining the algorithm. Next is the definition of the search method. It takes in a starting point, a `Node`, and a predicate that will return `true` when the correct node is found. The algorithm itself is fairly simple and involves maintaining a queue of nodes to inspect a mechanism to determine if a node has already been seen.

Let’s create a helper function that will use tail recursion and search for the node. This function should take the queue of nodes to inspect and a set of nodes that have already been visited. The search method can then call this helper function, passing in `List(start)` for the initial queue of nodes and an empty set for the list of visited nodes.

```

def search(start: Node, p: Node => Boolean) = {
  def loop(nodeQueue: List[Node], visited: Set[Node]): Option[Node] =
    nodeQueue match {
      case head :: tail if p(head) =>
        Some(head)
      case head :: tail if !visited.contains(head) =>
        loop(tail ++ head.edges, visited + head)
      case head :: tail =>
        loop(tail, visited)
      case Nil =>
        None
    }
  loop(List(start), Set())
}

```

The help method, called `loop`, is implemented with a pattern match. The first case pops the first element from the queue of nodes to inspect. It then checks to see if this is the node we are looking for and returns it. The next case statement also pops the first element from the work queue and checks to see if it hasn't already been visited. If it hasn't, the node is added to the list of visited nodes and its edges are added to the end of the work queue of nodes. The next case statement is hit when a node has already been visited. This case will continue the algorithm with the rest of the nodes in the queue. The last case statement is hit if the queue is empty. In that case, `None` is returned indicating no `Node` was found.

The interesting part of this algorithm is what the compiler does to it. Let's look at the bytecode for the `loop` helper method for any sort of function call. We find none, so the tail call optimization must have kicked in for this method. Let's check for any kind of branching in the method to see what happened. Three `goto` bytecodes and one `return` bytecode exist:

```

private final scala.Option loop$1(scala.collection.immutable.List,
  scala.collection.immutable.Set, scala.Function1);
Code:
  0:  aload_1
  ...
 61:  invokespecial #97;
    //Method scala/Some."<init>":(Ljava/lang/Object;)V
 64:  goto   221
  ...
150:  astore_2
151:  astore_1
152:  goto  0
  ...
186:  astore_1
187:  goto  0
  ...
218:  getstatic #158; //Field scala/None$.MODULE$:Lscala/None$;
221:  areturn
  ...

```

Line 61 shows the byte code constructing a new `Some` object and then jumping to line 221. Line 221 is our `return` bytecode for the method. Immediately before line 221 is a

getstatic operation that retrieves a reference to the `None` object. Finally, lines 152 and 187 both have goto instructions that return to line 0. These lines are the final bytecodes in each of our `case` statements. Lines 61, 64, and 221 correspond to the `Some(head)` call in our first `case` statement. Lines 218 and 221 correspond to returning `None` in which case our work queue is empty. Lines 150, 151, and 152 correspond to updating the current work queue and visited lists, using the `astore` bytecodes, and then jumping back into the algorithm. Finally, lines 186 and 187 correspond to updating the `workQueue` and jumping back to the start of the algorithm. The compiler has converted the tail recursion into a `while` loop.

This technique of converting a tail recursive function into a `while` loop can help prevent stack overflow issues at runtime for many recursive algorithms. It's perhaps the most important optimization to require of the compiler when writing code. No one wants an unexpected stack overflow in production code! So, once again requiring the optimization is as simple as annotating the tail recursive method with `@tailrec`. Let's take a look:

```
def search(start: Node, p: Node => Boolean) = {
  @tailrec
  def loop(nodeQueue: List[Node], visited: Set[Node]): Option[Node] =
    nodeQueue match {
      case head :: tail if p(head) =>
        Some(head)
      case head :: tail if !visited.contains(head) =>
        loop(tail ++ head.edges, visited + head)
      case head :: tail =>
        loop(tail, visited)
      case Nil =>
        None
    }
  loop(List(start), Set())
}
```

Great! Now you can ensure that expected optimizations appear in programs when needed. Remember that these annotations aren't asking the compiler to provide an optimization, but rather requiring that the compiler do so or issue a warning.

In the `switch` example, if the compiler had been unable to provide a `tableswitch` instruction, the code would still have failed to compile. This doesn't indicate that the code would have performed slowly. In fact, with only two `case` statements, it would possibly be slower to use a `tableswitch` bytecode. Therefore, make sure you use these annotations only when you require an optimization.

Unlike the `switch` optimization, it's always a good idea to annotate tail recursion.

3.6 Summary

In this chapter, you've learned or refreshed your memory on coding conventions, their utility, and why you should look at them with fresh eyes when coming to Scala. This is a modern programming language, with an interesting twist to C style languages. As such, Scala requires adjustments to syntax and coding styles. Users of Scala should make sure to:

- Keep opening braces on the same line
- Dangle operators or use parentheses
- Use meaningful names
- Consistently name parameters
- Always mark methods with override

These rules should help you avoid simple syntax-related programming errors and be productive in your efforts.

With Scala, the syntax was designed in a “scalable” way. This means that if you attempt to write concise code and run into issues, try to use the less concise, more formal syntax until you resolve the issue. This graceful degradation is helpful in practice as it lets users “grow” into their understanding of the syntax rules. Syntax is something that shouldn’t get in the way of development but instead become a vehicle for programmers to encode their thoughts into programs. Therefore, know the syntax and how to avoid compilation or runtime problems from poor use of the language.

Now that we’ve looked at how to use Scala syntax, it’s time to dig into some of its more advanced features, starting with its object orientation.



Utilizing object orientation

In this chapter

- Object initialization
- Abstract methods
- Composition and Inheritance
- Abstract interfaces
- Type inference and public APIs

Scala is a rich object-oriented language. In Scala, every value is an object. Even operators are method calls against the class of an object. Scala offers mixin inheritance through the use of traits. Objects are core to everything in Scala, and understanding the details of how they work is important for using Scala.

Object, class, and traits are used to define public APIs for libraries. The initialization, comparison, and composition of objects are the bread and butter of Scala development. Initialization is important because of mixin inheritance and the way objects get instantiated in various locations. Comparing two objects for equality is critical and can be made trickier when inheritance gets in the mix. Finally, composition of functionality is how code reuse is accomplished, and Scala provides a few new ways to compose objects.

4.1 Limit code inside an object or trait's body to initialization logic

In Scala, the code inside an object, trait or class's body is the constructor.

A common starting point for most developers learning Scala is the standard “Hello, World” program. You’ll see many examples on the internet with the following code:

Listing 4.1 Poor Hello World! example in Scala

```
object Test extends Application {
    println("Hello, World!")
}
```

Although elegant, this code sample is misleading in its simplicity. The Application trait uses a nifty trick to simplify creating a new application but comes with a price. Let’s look at a simplified version of the Application trait in the following listing.

Listing 4.2 Application trait

```
trait Application {
    def main(args: Array[String]): Unit = {}
}
```

That’s it. That one empty method is all that’s needed for the Application trait. Why does this work? Let’s dig into the bytecode.

When compiling traits, Scala creates an interface/implementation pair of classes. The interface is for JVM interoperability and the implementation is a set of static methods that can be used by classes implementing the trait. When compiling the Test object, a main method is created that forwards to the Application implementation class. Although this method is empty, the logic inside the Test object is placed in the Test object’s constructor. Next, Scala creates “static forwarders” for the object. One of these static forwarder methods will be the main method, in the signature the JVM expects. The static forwarder will call the method on the singleton instance of the Test object. This instance is constructed in a static initialization block. And finally, we get to the issue. Code inside a static initialization block isn’t eligible for HotSpot optimization. In fact, in older versions of the JVM, methods called from a static initialization block wouldn’t be optimized either. In the most recent benchmarks, this has been corrected, such that only the static block itself isn’t optimized.

Scala 2.9 provides a better solution: the `DelayedInit` trait.

4.1.1 Delayed construction

Scala 2.9 provides a new mechanism for dealing with constructors. `DelayedInit` is a marker trait for the compiler. When implementing a class that extends `DelayedInit`, the entire constructor is wrapped into a function and passed to the `delayedInit` method. Let’s a look at the `DelayedInit` trait.

```
trait DelayedInit {
    def delayedInit(x: => Unit): Unit
}
```

The trait has one method, `delayedInit`. As stated before, this method has a function object passed to it. This function contains all the regular constructor logic, which provides a clean solution to the `Application` trait. Let's implement your own to demonstrate the `DelayedInit` behavior.

```
trait App extends DelayedInit {
    var x: Option[Function0[Unit]] = None
    override def delayedInit(cons: => Unit) {
        x = Some(() => cons)
    }
    def main(args: Array[String]): Unit =
        x.foreach(_())
}
```

The new `App` trait extends `DelayedInit`. It defines an `Option` `x` containing the constructor behavior. The `delayedInit` method is overridden to store the constructor logic in the `x` variable. The `main` method is defined so that it will execute the constructor logic stored in the `x` variable. Now that the trait is created, let's try it in the REPL.

```
scala> val x = new App { println("Now I'm initialized") }
x: java.lang.Object with App = $anon$1@2013b9fb
scala> x.main(Array())
Now I'm initialized
```

The first line creates a new anonymous subclass of the `App` trait. This subclass prints the string "Now I'm initialized" in its constructor. The string isn't printed to the console during construction. The next line calls the `main` method on the `App` trait. This calls the `delayedInit` constructor, and the string "Now I'm initialized" is printed.

The `DelayedInit` trait can be dangerous because it delays the construction of the object until a later time; methods that expect a fully initialized object may fail subtly at runtime. The `DelayedInit` trait is ideal for situations where object construction and initialization are delayed. For example, in the Spring bean container, objects are constructed and then properties are injected before the object is considered complete. The `DelayedInit` trait could be used to delay the full construction of an object until after all properties have been injected. A similar mechanism could be used for objects created in Android.

The `DelayedInit` trait solves the problem where construction and initialization of objects are required, due to external constraints, to happen at different times. This separation isn't recommended in practice but sometimes is necessary. Another initialization problem exists in Scala, and this occurs with multiple inheritance.

4.1.2 And then there's multiple inheritance

Scala traits provide the means to declare abstract values and define concrete values that rely on the abstract. For example, let's create a trait that stores property values from a config file.

```
trait Property {
    val name: String
```

```
override val toString = "Property(" + name + ")"
}
```

The `Property` trait defines an abstract member `name` which stores the current name of the `Property`. The `toString` method is overridden to create a string using the `name` member. Let's instantiate an instance of this trait.

```
scala> val x = new Property { override val name = "HI" }
x: java.lang.Object with Property = Property(null)
```

Rule
9

Avoid abstract val in traits

Using abstract values in traits requires special care with object initialization. While early initializer blocks can solve this, `lazy val` can be a simpler solution. Even better is to avoid these dependencies by using constructor parameters and abstract classes.

The `val x` is defined as an anonymous subclass of the `Property` trait. The `name` is overridden to be the string `"HI"`. But when the REPL prints the value of `toString`, it shows the value `null` for `name`. This is due to order of initialization. The base trait, `Property`, is initialized first during construction. When the `toString` method looks for the value of `name`, it hasn't been initialized yet, so it finds the value `null`. After this, the anonymous subclass is constructed and the `name` property is initialized.

Two ways to solve the problem exist. The first is to define the `toString` method as `lazy`. Although this delays when the `toString` method will look for the value of the `name` property, it doesn't guarantee that the initialization order is correct. The better solution is to use early member definitions.

Scala 2.8.0 reworked the initialization order of traits. Part of this was the creation of early member definitions. This is done by creating what looks like an anonymous class definition before mixing in a trait. Here's an example:

```
scala> class X extends { val name = "HI" } with Property
defined class X

scala> new X
res2: X = Property(HI)
```

The class `X` is defined such that it extends the `Property` trait. But before the `Property` trait is an anonymous block containing the early member definition. This is a block containing a definition of the `val name`. When constructing the class `X`, the `toString` method correctly displays the name `HI`. A second way to declare early initializers exists.

```
scala> new { val name = "HI2" } with Property
res3: java.lang.Object with Property{
    val name: java.lang.String("HI2") } = Property(HI2)
```

The next line constructs a new anonymous `Property`. The anonymous block after the `new` keyword is the early member definition. This defines the members that should be initialized before the `Property` trait's constructor is initialized. The REPL prints the correct `toString` from the `Property` value.

Early member definitions solve issues that occur when a trait defines an abstract value and relies on it in other concrete values. We usually avoid this situation due to

issues from previous versions of Scala. For any complicated trait hierarchies, early member initializations provide a more elegant solution to the problem. Because the members that need early initialization can be buried behind several layers of inheritance, it's important to document these throughout a type hierarchy.

Scala makes multiple inheritance simpler and provides mechanisms for dealing with the complicated situation. Early member definitions are one such way. Some things can be done to help prevent issues in the future such as providing empty implementations for abstract methods.

4.2 Provide empty implementations for abstract methods on traits

One of the first things I tried to do when first toying with the Scala language was use traits for a kind of “mixin inheritance.” The problem I was trying to solve involved modeling a real-world situation. I needed to be able to create managed objects, including physical servers, network switches, and so on. The system needed to emulate the real world and create realistic-looking data that would be fed through our application’s processing stream. We used this simulation shown in the following figure 4.1 to test “maximum throughput” of the software.

We want this system to model real-world entities as best as possible. We also want the ability to mix in different behaviors to our entities, where certain base traits could provide default behavior. Starting out, we want to model network switches and network servers, including Windows and Linux servers, along with some form of agent that runs on these services and provides additional functionality. Let's create a simple base class for a `SimulationEntity`.

Listing 4.3 `SimulationEntity` class

```
trait SimulationEntity {
    def handleMessage(msg: SimulationMessage,
                     ctx: SimulationContext): Unit
}
```

This is a simple trait that contains a `handleMessage` method. This method takes in a message and a context and performs some behavior. The design of the simulation is such that each entity will communicate through a simulation context via messages.





Figure 4.1 Simulation class hierarchy

When an entity receives a message, it updates its current state and sends messages appropriate to that state. The context can also be used to schedule behavior for later in the simulation. We're off to a great start. Let's define a simple `NetworkEntity` trait with simple `NetworkEntity` behavior. Remember that in a chain-of-command pattern, we want to define a base set of functionality and defer the rest to a parent class.

Listing 4.4 `NetworkEntity` trait

```
trait NetworkEntity {
    def getMacAddress(ip: String) : String
    def hasIpAddress(addr: String) : Boolean

    def handleMessage(msg: SimulationMessage, ctx: Simulation): Unit =
        msg match {
            case PingRequest(ip, sender) if hasIpAddress(ip) =>
                ctx respond (sender, PingResponse(getMacAddress(ip)))
            case _ =>
                super.handleMessage(msg)
        }
}
```



**Message may or
may not point to an
implementation function.**

Scala traits have a handy property of not defining their super class until after they have been mixed in and initialized. This means that an implementer of a trait doesn't necessarily know which type `super` will be until a process called linearization occurs.

Class linearization

Linearization is the process of specifying a linear ordering to the superclasses of a given class. In Scala, this ordering changes for each subclass and is reconstructed for classes in the hierarchy. This means that two subclasses of some common parent could have different linearizations and therefore different behaviors.

Because of linearization, the `NetworkEntity` trait could be using `super` correctly, or it might not, as the compilation output implies:

```
simulation.scala:21: error: method handleMessage in trait
SimulationEntity is accessed from super.
It may not be abstract unless it's
overridden by a member declared `abstract' and `override'
    case _ => super.handleMessage(msg, ctx)
               ^
one error found
```

To make this work properly, the Scala compiler must know that no matter what, we can safely call `super.handleMessage`. This means we have to do one of two things: define a self-type or make the abstract method have a default "do nothing" implementation that would get called. The self-type approach could work, but it limits how your trait could be mixed in. We would be defining an alternative "base" that the trait had to be mixed into. This base would then need to have some kind of implementation for `handleMessage`. This provides too much restriction for the aims of the application.

The right way to approach this is to implement the method in the `SimulationEntity` trait. This gives all our mixed-in traits the ability to delegate to super, which is a common theme when using traits as mixins. You must select some point in an object hierarchy where traits may start being mixed in. In our simulation, we desire to start directly at the top with `SimulationEntity`. But if you're attempting to use traits with a Java hierarchy, this might not be the case. You may desire to start mixing into some lower-level abstraction. In the case of Java Swing, you could start your trait mixin classes with a `javax.swing.JComponent` rather than something lower, like a `java.awt.Component`. The point is that you need to select the right location to ensure that your mixin-delegate behavior will work correctly.

Sometimes with real-life libraries you can't find default behaviors to delegate into. In this case, you might think that you could provide your own "empty implementation" trait. Let's see if we can do that on your network simulation example. Let's define your classes like so:

Listing 4.5 Empty implementation trait attempt

```
trait MixableParent extends SimulationEntity {
    override def handleMessage(msg : SimulationMessage,
        ctx: SimulationContext): Unit = {}
}

trait NetworkEntity extends MixableParent {
    def getMacAddress(ip: String): String
    def hasIpAddress(addr: String): Boolean

    override def handleMessage(msg: SimulationMessage,
        ctx: SimulationContext): Unit = msg match {
        case PingRequest(ip, sender) if hasIpAddress(ip) =>
            ctx respond (sender, PingResponse(getMacAddress(ip), this))
        case _ =>
            super.handleMessage(msg, ctx)
    }
}

class Router extends SimulationEntity {
    override def handleMessage(msg: SimulationMessage,
        ctx: SimulationContext): Unit = msg match {
        case Test(x) => println("YAY! " + x)
        case _ =>
    }
}
```

Annotations for Listing 4.5:

- A callout points to the `MixableParent` trait with the text: **Trait that should enable mixin behavior**.
- A callout points to the `NetworkEntity` trait with the text: **Mixable behavior for network devices**.
- A callout points to the `Router` class with the text: **Sample entity used for testing**.

This code looks like a perfectly reasonable class hierarchy and compiles correctly, but it doesn't work in practice. The reason is that the linearization of a concrete entity (`Router` in this case) doesn't work with the `MixableParent` trait; things aren't ordered as we'd like. The issue arises when we try to create a `Router` with `NetworkEntity` class. This class compiles fine but fails to handle the `Test` message at runtime, because this is how the linearization works. The following figure 4.2 shows the class hierarchy for a `Router` with `NetworkEntity` class and numbering classes/traits in their linearization

order. This order determines what super means for each trait in the hierarchy.

As you can see, the MixableParent class is being called directly after NetworkEntity but before Router. This means that the behavior in NetworkEntity is never called because the MixableParent doesn't call its super! Therefore, we have to find a way of getting MixableParent earlier in the linearization. Because things linearize right to left in Scala, we want to try creating a MixableParent with Router with NetworkEntity. That first requires turning the Router class into a trait. This might not be feasible in real life, but let's continue the exercise. We'll see what this looks like in a Scala REPL session:




Figure 4.2 Linearization of Router with NetworkEntity

Rule 10

Provide empty implementations for abstract methods on composable traits

In Scala, trait linearization means that super calls within a trait may be different depending on how an object is linearized. To provide full flexibility, each composable trait should be able to call a super method, even in that super method doesn't do anything.

Listing 4.6 REPL session with simulation classes

```

$ scala -cp .
...
scala> val rtr = new MixableParent with Router with
|   DummyNetworkEntity
rtr: java.lang.Object with MixableParent with Router with
|   DummyNetworkEntity = $anon$1@169a1c5
scala> rtr.handleMessage(Test(5), null)
YAY! 5

scala> val ctx = new SimulationContext {
|   override def respond(entity: SimulationEntity,
|                         msg: SimulationMessage) : Unit = {
|     println("Sending " + msg + " to " + entity)
|   }
| }
ctx: java.lang.Object with SimulationContext = $anon$1@13306ad

scala> rtr.handleMessage(PingRequest("HAI", rtr), ctx)
Sending PingResponse(HAI, line2$object$$iw$$iw$$anon$1@169a1c5) to
line2$object$$iw$$iw$$anon$1@169a1c5
  
```

rtr defined with correct linearization of behavior

Behavior defined in Router shows up correctly

Behavior defined in NetworkEntity shows up correctly

As you can see, the behavior is now correct, but it isn't quite intuitive that you have to use the MixableParent first in every entity creation. Also, the Router trait suffers from the same issues as MixableParent. It doesn't delegate to its parent class! This is okay because Router is an entity that other behavior is mixed into, but in some cases

this would be unacceptable. Also, there are cases where you can't convert your classes into traits.

When creating a hierarchy of mixable behaviors via trait, you need to ensure the following:

- You have a mixin point that traits can assume as a parent.
- Your mixable traits delegate to their parent in meaningful ways
- You provide default implementations for chain-of-command style methods at your mixin point.

4.3 Composition can include inheritance

An aphorism among the Java community is “favor composition over inheritance.” This simple advice means that it’s usually best in object-oriented Java to create new classes that “contain” other classes, rather than inherit from them. This allows the new class to use features/functionality of several other classes, whereas inheritance is limited to one class. This advice also has other benefits, including creating more self-contained “do one thing well” classes. Interestingly, Scala blurs this aphorism with its addition of traits.

Scala traits are composable in flexible ways. You can decide the ordering of polymorphic behavior by adjusting the order trait inheritance is declared. Multiple traits can also be inherited. These features combine to make traits a viable mechanism of composing functionality. Trait composability isn’t all roses; there are still some issues that aren’t addressed. Let’s look at the issues associated with composing behavior via inheritance in Java in table 4.1. Let’s look at the complaints associated with composing behavior via inheritance in Java and see how they stack up against Scala. I refer to composing behavior via inheritance as “inheritance-composition” and classes/traits that can do this as “inheritance-composable.” Composition that’s done via members of an object I’ll refer to as “member-composition” and classes/traits that can do this as “member-composable.”

Scala traits immediately solve the problem of having to reimplement behavior in subclasses. They also use a clever trick to support multiple-inheritance on the JVM, making them “inheritance-composable” with more than one parent’s behavior. Scala traits still suffer from two major issues, breaking encapsulation and needing access to a constructor. Let’s look at how critical breaking encapsulation is.

Table 4.1 Issues with inheritance versus object composition

Issue	Java interfaces	Java abstract classes	Scala traits
Reimplement behavior in subclasses	X		
Can only compose with parent behavior		X	
Breaks encapsulation	X	X	
Need to call a constructor to compose	X	X	X


Scala traits break encapsulation when used for composable behaviors. Let's see what the impact of this would be. Suppose we have a class that represents a data access service in the system. This class has a set of query-like methods that look for data and return it. Suppose also that we want to provide a logging ability so we can do postmortem analysis on a system if it runs into an issue. Let's see how this would look with classic composition techniques:

Listing 4.7 Composition of Logger and DataAccess classes

```
trait Logger {
    def log(category: String, msg: String): Unit = {
        println(msg)
    }
}

trait DataAccess {
    val logger = new Logger

    def query[A](in: String): A = {
        logger.log("QUERY", in)
        ...
    }
}
```



Notice how the `DataAccess` class uses the `Logger` class. The current method of composition means that the `DataAccess` class must be able to instantiate the `Logger` class. An alternative would be to pass a logger into the constructor of the `DataAccess` class. In either case, the `DataAccess` trait contains all logging behavior. One point about the preceding implementation is that the logging behavior is nested in your `DataAccess` class. If we instead wanted to also have the ability to use `DataAccess` with *no* `Logger`, then we need to create a third entity that composes behavior from the first two. It would look something like this:

Listing 4.8 Composition of Logger and DataAccess into third class

```
trait Logger {
    def log(category: String, msg: String): Unit = {
        println(msg)
    }
}

trait DataAccess {
    def query[A](in: String): A = {
        ...
    }
}

trait LoggedDataAccess {
    val logger = new Logger
    val dao = new DataAccess

    def query[A](in: String): A = {
        logger.log("QUERY", in)
        ...
    }
}
```

```

        dao.query(in)
    }
}

```

Now we have standalone classes `Logger` and `DataAccess` that are minimal in implementation. We've composed their behavior into the third `LoggedDataAccess` class. This implementation has all the benefits of `DataAccess` and `Logger` being encapsulated and doing only one thing. The `LoggedDataAccess` class aggregates the two, providing mixed behavior. The issue here is that `LoggedDataAccess` doesn't implement the `DataAccess` interface. These two types can't be used interchangeably in client code via polymorphism. Let's see what this would look like with pure inheritance:

Listing 4.9 Inheritance-based composition of `Logger` and `DataAccess`

```

trait Logger {
    def log(category: String, msg: String) : Unit = {
        println(msg)
    }
}

trait DataAccess {
    def query[A](in: String): A = {
        ...
    }
}

trait LoggedDataAccess extends DataAccess with Logger {
    def query[A](in: String): A = {
        log("QUERY", in)
        super.query(in)
    }
}

```

Notice how the `LoggedDataAccess` class is now polymorphic on `DataAccess` *and* `Logger`. This means you could use the new class where you would expect to find a `DataAccess` or `Logger` class, so this class is better for later composition. Something is still strange here: `LoggedDataAccess` is also a `Logger`. This seems an odd dichotomy to have for a `DataAccess` class. In this simple example, it seems `Logger` would be an ideal candidate for member-composition into the `LoggedDataAccess` class.

4.3.1 Member composition by inheritance

Another way to design these two classes (outlined in “Scalable Component Abstractions” by Oderksy and colleagues) involves inheritance-composition and member-composition. To start, let's create a `Logger` trait hierarchy. The hierarchy will have three logger types, one for local logging, one for remote logging, and one that performs no logging.

Listing 4.10 Logger hierarchy

```
trait Logger {
    def log(category: String, msg: String): Unit = {
        println(msg)
    }
}

trait RemoteLogger extends Logger {
    val socket = ...
    def log(category: String, msg: String): Unit = {
        //Send over socket
    }
}

trait NullLogger extends Logger {
    def log(category: String, msg: String): Unit = {}
}
```

The next thing we do is create what I'll call an abstract member-composition class. This abstract class defines an overridable member. We can then create subclasses matching all the existing Logger subclasses.

Listing 4.11 Abstract member-composition trait HasLogger

```
trait HasLogger {
    val logger: Logger = new Logger
}

trait HasRemoteLogger extends HasLogger {
    override val logger: Logger = new RemoteLogger {}
}

trait HasNullLogger extends HasLogger {
    override val logger: Logger = new NullLogger {}
}
```

The HasLogger trait does one thing: contains a logger member. This class can be subclassed by other classes who want to use a Logger. It gives a real "is-a" relationship to make inheritance worthwhile to Logger users. "Why the indirection?" you may be asking yourself. The answer comes with the ability to override members as you would methods in Scala. This allows you to create classes that extend HasLogger and then mixin the other HasLogger traits later for different behavior. In the following listing, let's look at using the HasLogger trait to implement our DataAccess class.

Listing 4.12 DataAccess class with HasLogger trait

```
trait DataAccess extends HasLogger {

    def query[A](in: String) : A = {
        logger.log("QUERY", in)
        ...
    }
}
```

Now for the real fun. Let's write a unit test for the `DataAccess` class. In the unit test, we don't want to be logging output; we want to test the behavior of the function. To do so, we want to use the `NullLogger` implementation. Let's look at a specification test for `DataAccess`:

Listing 4.13 Specification test for `DataAccess`

```
object DataAccessSpec extends Specification {
    "A DataAccess Service" should {
        "return queried data" in {
            val service = new DataAccess with HasNullLogger
                service.query("find mah datah!") must notBe(null)
        }
    }
}
```

Mixing new logger behavior to `DataAccess`

We now have the ability to change the composition of the `DataAccess` class when we instantiate it. As you can see, we gain the benefits of member-composition and inheritance composition at the cost of more legwork. Let's see if Scala has something that could reduce this legwork.

NOTE A trait containing multiple abstract members is sometimes called an *environment*. This is because the trait contains the environment needed for another class to function.

4.3.2 Classic constructors with a twist

In the case of classic Java-like inheritance, we can try to compose using constructor arguments. This reduces the number of parent classes to one, as only abstract/concrete classes can have arguments, and they can only be singly inherited. But Scala has two features that will help you out:

- Named and default parameters
- Promote constructor arguments to members

In the following listing, let's recreate the `DataAccess` class, but this time as a full up class where the logger is a constructor argument. Let's also define a default argument for logger. We'll promote this argument to be an immutable member on the `DataAccess` class.

Listing 4.14 `DataAccess` as a class with default arguments

```
class DataAccess(val logger: Logger = new Logger {}) {
    def query[A](in: String) : A = {
        logger.log("QUERY", in)
        ...
    }
}
```

This class is simple. It defaults to a particular logger at instantiation time and lets you supply your own (via constructor) if desired. The real fun comes when we want to

extend this class, provide users with a mechanism to supply a logger to the subclass and use the same default as the `DataAccess` class. To do so, we'll have to understand how the compiler collects default arguments.

When a method has default arguments, the compiler generates a static method for obtaining the default. Then when user code calls a method, if it doesn't supply an argument, the compiler calls the static method for the default and supplies the argument. In the case of a constructor, these arguments get placed on the companion object for the class. If there's no companion object, one will be generated. The companion object will have methods for generating each argument. These argument-generating methods use a form of name mangling so the compiler can deterministically call the correct one. The mangling format is method name followed by argument number, all separated with \$. Let's look at what a subclass of `DataAccess` would have to look like for our requirements:

Listing 4.15 Inheritance with default arguments

```
class DoubleDataAccess(
    logger: Logger = DataAccess.`init$default$1`)
  extends DataAccess(logger) {
  ...
}
```

You'll notice two things in this code. First, the constructor is pickled with a method name of `init`. This is because in the JVM bytecode, constructors are called `<init>`. The second is the use of the backtick (`) operator. In Scala, this method is used to denote "I'm going to use an identifier here with potentially nonstandard characters that could cause parsing issues." This is handy when calling methods defined in other languages that have different reserved words and identifiers.

We've finally created a method of simplifying composition using constructor arguments. The method certainly suffers from ugliness when trying to also include inheritance in your classes. Let's look at the pros and cons of each compositional method in the following table:

Table 4.2 Pros/Cons of compositional methods

Method	Pros	Cons
Member composition	■ Standard Java practice	■ No polymorphism ■ Inflexible
Inheritance composition	■ Polymorphism	■ Violation of encapsulation
Abstract member composition	■ Most flexible	■ Code bloat—especially setting up parallel class hierarchies
Composition using constructor with default arguments	■ Reduction in code size	■ Doesn't work well with inheritance

Many “new” methods of doing object composition are possible within Scala. I recommend picking something you’re comfortable with. When it comes to inheritance, I prefer “is-a” or “acts-as-a” relationships for parents. If there’s no “is-a” or “acts-as-a” relationship and you still need to use inheritance-composition, use the abstract member composition pattern. If you have single-class hierarchies and no “is-a” relationships, your best option is composition using constructors with default arguments. Scala provides the tools you need to solve the problem you have at hand. Make sure you understand it fully before deciding on an object-composition strategy.

In section 11.3.2, we show an alternative means of composing objects using a functional approach. Although the concepts behind this approach are advanced, the approach offers a good middle ground between using constructors with default arguments and abstract member composition.

4.4 Promote abstract interface into its own trait

Rule
II

Put the abstract interface into its own trait

It’s possible to mix implementation and interface with traits, but it is still a good idea to provide a pure abstract interface. This can be used by either Scala or Java libraries. It can then be extended by a trait which fills in the implementation details.

Modern object-oriented design promotes the use of abstract types to declare interfaces. In Java, these use the interface keywords and can’t include implementation. In C++ the same could be accomplished by using all pure virtual functions. A common pitfall among new Scala developers was also an issue with C++: With the new power of traits, it can be tempting to put method implementations into traits. Be careful when doing so! Scala’s traits do the most to impact binary compatibility of libraries. In the following listing, let’s look at a simple Scala trait and a class that uses this trait to see how it compiles:

Listing 4.16 Simple Scala trait and implementation class

```
trait Foo {
    def someMethod(): Int = 5
}
class Main() extends Foo{}
```

The following listing shows the javap output for the Main class:

Listing 4.17 javap disassembly of Main class

```
public class Main extends java.lang.Object
    implements Foo, scala.ScalaObject{
public Main();
    Code:
        0: aload_0
        1: invokespecial #10; //Method java/lang/Object."<init>":()V
        4: aload_0
```

```

5: invokestatic #16; //Method Foo$class.$init$: (LFoo;)V ← Delegating
6: return construction
7:           initializer

public int $tag()    throws java.rmi.RemoteException;
Code:
 0: aload_0
 1: invokestatic #23;
   //Method scala/ScalaObject$class.$tag: (Lscala/ScalaObject;)I
 4: ireturn

public int someMethod();
Code:
 0: aload_0
 1: invokestatic #30; //
   Method Foo$class.someMethod: (LFoo;)I ← Delegate method
 4: ireturn
               calls static
               implementation

}

```

As you can see, with some adjustment to reading JVM bytecode, the `Main` class is given a delegate class from the compiler. One obvious issue with binary compatibility is that if the `Foo` trait is given another method, the `Main` class won't be given a delegate method without recompiling it. The JVM does something funny, though. It will allow you to link (think binary compatibility) even if a class doesn't fully implement an interface. It errors out only when someone tries to use a method on the interface that's unimplemented. Let's take it for a test toast in the following listing. We'll change the `Foo` trait without modifying the `Main` class.

Listing 4.18 Modified Foo trait

```

trait Foo {
  def someMethod(): Int = 5
  def newMethod() = "HAI"
}

```

As you can see, we've added the `newMethod` method. We should still be able to use the compiled `Main` to instantiate a `Foo` at runtime. Here's what it looks like:

Listing 4.19 ScalaMain testing class

```

object ScalaMain {
  def main(args : Array[String]) {
    val foo: Foo = new Main();
    println(foo.someMethod());
    println(foo.newMethod());
  }
}

```

You'll notice we're making a new `Main` object and coercing its type to be a `Foo`. The most interesting piece of this class is that it compiles and runs. Let's look at its output.

```

java -cp /usr/share/java/scala-library.jar:. ScalaMain
5
Exception in thread "main" java.lang.AbstractMethodError:

```

```
Main.newMethod()Ljava/lang/String;
at ScalaMain$.main(ScalaMain.scala:7)
at ScalaMain.main(ScalaMain.scala)
```

Notice that the classes link fine; it even runs the first method call! The issue comes when calling the new method from the `Foo` trait. This finally causes an `AbstractMethodError` to be thrown, the closest we get to a linking error. The confusing part to a Scala newcomer is that the trait provides a default implementation! Well, if we want to call the default implementation, we can do so at runtime. Let's look at the modified `ScalaMain` in the following listing:

Listing 4.20 Modified `ScalaMain` testing class

```
object ScalaMain {
    def main(args: Array[String]) {
        val foo: Foo = new Main()
        println(foo.someMethod())

        val clazz = java.lang.Class.forName("Foo$class")
        val method = clazz.getMethod("newMethod", Array(classOf[foo]): _*)
        println(method.invoke(null, foo));
    }
}
```

You'll see we're looking up and using the new method via reflection. Here's the runtime output:

```
java -cp /usr/share/java/scala-library.jar:. ScalaMain
5
HAI
```

This points out an interesting side of the JVM/Scala's design; methods added to traits can cause unexpected runtime behavior. Therefore it's usually safe to recompile all downstream users, to be on the safe side. The implementation details of traits can throw off new users, who expect new methods with implementations to automatically link with precompiled classes. Not only that, but adding new methods to traits will also not break binary compatibility unless someone calls the new method!

4.4.1 Interfaces you can talk to

When creating two different “parts” of a software program, it's helpful to create a completely abstract interface between them that they can use to talk to each other. See figure 4.3. This middle piece should be relatively stable, compared to the others, and have as few dependencies as possible. One thing you may have noticed from earlier is that Scala's traits compile with a dependency on the `ScalaObject` trait. It's possible to remove this dependency, something that's handy if the two pieces of your software wanted to use differing Scala-library versions.




Figure 4.3 Abstract interface between software modules.

The key to this interaction is that each module depends on the common interface code and no artifacts from each other. This strategy is most effective when there are different developers on module A and module B, such that they evolve at different rates. Preventing any kind of dependencies between the modules allows the new module systems, such as OSGi, to dynamically reload module B without reloading module A so long as the appropriate framework hooks are in place and all communications between the modules A and B happen via the core-api module.

To create a trait that compiles to a pure abstract interface, similar to a Java interface don't define any methods. Look at the PureAbstract trait in the following listing:

Listing 4.21 PureAbstract trait

```
trait PureAbstract {  
    def myAbstractMethod(): Unit  
}
```

Now let's look at the javap disassembled code:

```
javap -c PureAbstract  
Compiled from "PureAbstract.scala"  
public interface PureAbstract{  
    public abstract void myAbstractMethod();  
}
```

You'll notice the PureAbstract trait doesn't have a dependency on `ScalaObject`. This is a handy method of creating abstract interfaces when needed; it becomes important when used with module systems like OSGi. In fact, this situation is similar to the one faced when interfacing two C++ libraries using C interfaces.

4.4.2 Learning from the past

Although this rule may seem contradictory to the “Provide empty implementations for abstract methods,” the two are used to solve differing problems. Use this rule when trying to create separation between modules, and provide implementations for abstract methods when creating a library of traits you intend users to extend via mixins. Pure abstract traits also help explicitly identify a minimum interface. A dichotomy of thought exists here. Some designers prefer “rich” APIs, and others prefer “thin,” where a thin API would be the minimum necessary to implement a piece of functionality, and a rich API would contain a lot of extra helper methods to ease usage.

Scala traits bring the power to add lots of helper methods, something lacking in Java's interfaces. This kind of power was common in C++, which also suffered many more issues with binary compatibility. In C++, binary compatibility issues forced the creation of a pure “C” integration layer for libraries. This layer wrapped a rich C++ hierarchy inside the library. Clients of the library would then implement wrappers around the C layer, converting back from classless world to OO and providing the “rich” API. In my experience, these classes usually were thin wrappers around the C layer and mostly lived in header files, such that users of the library could gain binary compatibility without having to write their own wrapper.

In Scala, we can provide our rich interface via a simple delegate trait and some mixins. The “thin” interface should be something that we can reasonably expect someone to implement completely. This way the users of the “abstract interface” can grow their rich interface as needed for their project, assuming the “thin” interface is complete.

When you have two pieces of software that will be interacting but were developed by diverse or disparate teams, you should promote abstract interfaces into their own traits and lock those traits down as best as possible for the life of that project. When the abstract interface needs to be modified, all dependent modules should be upgraded against the changed traits to ensure proper runtime linkage.

4.5 Provide return types in your public APIs

Rule
12

Provide return types for public APIs

Scala can infer return types to methods. However, for a human reading a nontrivial method implementation, inferring the return type can be troubling. In addition, letting Scala infer the return type can allow implementation details to slip past an interface. It’s best to explicitly document and enforce return types in public APIs.

Imagine you’re developing a messaging library. This library contains a `MessageDispatcher` interface that users of your library can use to send messages. A Factory method also takes various configuration parameters and returns a `MessageDispatcher`. As a library designer, we decide that we want to rework existing implementation to create different `MessageDispatcher` implementations based on the parameters to the Factory method. Let’s start with a `MessageDispatcher` trait in the following listing:

Listing 4.22 `MessageDispatcher` trait

```
trait MessageDispatcher[-T] {
    def sendMessage(msg: T) : Unit
}
```

The trait is rather simple; it provides a mechanism to send messages. Now let’s create the factory and an implementation class:

Listing 4.23 `MessageDispatcher` factory and implementation class

```
class ActorDispatcher[-T, U <: OutputChannel[T]](receiver: U)
extends MessageDispatcher[T] {
    override def sendMessage(msg: T) {
        receiver ! msg
    }
}

object MyFactory {
    def createDispatcher(a: OutputChannel[Any]) =
        new ActorDispatcher(actor)
}
```

The code is pretty standard. The actor dispatcher will transmit messages to an actor in the Scala actors library. We'll discuss that library in depth later. For now, we'll focus on the `createDispatcher` factory method. This method looks standard but has one issue: The return type isn't a `MessageDispatcher` but an `ActorDispatcher`. This means we've leaked our abstraction. See the javap output for proof:

```
public final class MyFactory$ extends java.lang.Object
    implements scala.ScalaObject{
    public static final MyFactory$ MODULE$;
    public static {};
    public ActorDispatcher createDispatcher(java.lang.Object);
}
```

We've leaked the `ActorDispatcher` class in the public API. This may be okay in a small project, but it lends itself to issues if others rely on receiving `ActorDispatcher` instances from this method instead of a `MessageDispatcher`. We can easily change this by refactoring your API slightly to return more than one type. Let's create a `NullDispatcher` that doesn't send messages. We also change the `createDispatcher` method to take in any type of object and return appropriate dispatchers for each. If we don't have a useful dispatcher, we'll use the `NullDispatcher`.

Listing 4.24 MessageDispatcher factory with two implementation classes

```
object NullDispatcher
    extends MessageDispatcher[Any] {
    override def sendMessage(msg: Any) : Unit = {}
}

object MyFactory {
    def createDispatcher(a: Any) = {
        a match {
            case actor: OutputChannel[Any] => new ActorDispatcher(actor)
            case _ => NullDispatcher
        }
    }
}
```

This slight change has made the compiler reinfer a different return type. We can see proof of this in the new javap output:

```
public final class MyFactory$ extends java.lang.Object
    implements scala.ScalaObject{
    public static final MyFactory$ MODULE$;
    public static {};
    public MessageDispatcher createDispatcher(java.lang.Object);
}
```

The resulting API has inferred `MessageDispatcher` as the return type. This could silently break code that was relying on receiving an `ActorDispatcher`. It's easy enough to annotate the return type for a public API. Modify the `createDispatcher` method as follows:

```
object MyFactory {
    def createDispatcher(a: Any): MessageDispatcher[Any] = {
        a match {
            case actor: OutputChannel[Any] => new ActorDispatcher(actor)
            case _ => NullDispatcher
        }
    }
}
```

Now the return type is locked to `MessageDispatcher[Any]` and anything that violates this will cause a compiler warning, rather than breaking client code.

To help avoid confusion or leaking implementation details, it's best to provide explicit return types on public methods in your API. This can also help speed compilation slightly, as the type inferences don't need to figure out a return type, and it gives a chance for your implicit conversions to kick in, coercing things to the desired type. The only time it would be okay to not specify return types is in the case of sealed single-class hierarchy, a private method, or when overriding a method in a parent that explicitly declares a return type. Ironically, when coding in a functional style, you find that you tend not to use inheritance as much as you would think. I find this rule generally applies to my domain model and perhaps my UI library, but not the more functional aspects of my code.

4.6 Summary

Scala's object system is powerful and elegant. The body of code in a class definition defines the constructor of a class. For top-level objects, this means that code in the body should avoid expensive operations and other non-construction behavior. Scala also allows mixin inheritance. But when defining methods, only methods marked with `override` can override an existing implementation in the hierarchy. Adding `override` can help ease mixin inheritance and avoid method typos. Mixin inheritance also provides a new way to compose software. Mixins can mark objects as *having* a value and allow new values to be mixed in via inheritance. This technique provides the most flexibility when *pure abstract interfaces* are used for the API systems. Finally, type inference can change an API as the object model expands. For public methods, it's best to explicitly annotate return types on critical interfaces. This leads to the best use of objects in Scala.

Using implicits to write expressive code

In this chapter

- Introduction to implicits
- Mechanics of the implicit resolution system
- Using implicits to enhance classes
- Using implicits to enforce scope rules

The implicit system in Scala allows the compiler to adjust code using a well-defined lookup mechanism. A programmer in Scala can leave out information that the compiler will attempt to infer at compile time. The Scala compiler can infer one of two situations:

- A method call or constructor with a missing parameter.
- Missing conversion from one type to another type. This also applies to method calls on an object that would require a conversion.

In both of these situations, the compiler follows a set of rules to resolve missing data and allow the code to compile. When the programmer leaves out parameters, it's incredibly useful and is done in advanced Scala libraries. When the compiler

converts types to ensure that an expression compiles is more dangerous and is the cause of controversy.

The implicit system is one of the greatest assets of the Scala programming language. Using it wisely and conservatively can drastically reduce the size of your code base. It can also be used to elegantly enforce design considerations. Let's look at implicit parameters in Scala.

5.1 *Introduction to implicits*

Scala provides an `implicit` keyword that can be used in two ways: method or variable definitions, and method parameter lists. If this keyword is used on method or variable definitions, it tells the compiler that those methods or variable definitions can be used during implicit resolution. Implicit resolution is when the compiler determines that a piece of information is missing in code, and it must be looked up. The `implicit` keyword can also be used at the beginning of a method parameter list. This tells the compiler that the parameter list might be missing, in which case the compiler should resolve the parameters via implicit resolution.

Let's look at using the implicit resolution mechanism to resolve a missing parameter list:

```
scala> def findAnInt(implicit x : Int) = x
findAnInt: (implicit x: Int)Int
```

The `findAnInt` method declares a single parameter `x` of type `Int`. This function will return any value that's passed into it. The parameter list is marked with `implicit`, which means that we don't need to use it. If it's left off, the compiler will look for a variable of type `Int` in the implicit scope. Let's look at the following example:

```
scala> findAnInt
<console>:7: error: could not find implicit value for parameter x: Int
      findAnInt
           ^
```

The `findAnInt` method is called without specifying any argument list. The compiler complains that it can't find an implicit value for the `x` parameter. We'll provide one, as follows:

```
scala> implicit val test = 5
test: Int = 5
```

The `test` value is defined with the `implicit` keyword. This marks it as available for implicit resolution. Since this is in the REPL, the `test` value will be available in the implicit scope for the rest of the REPL session. Here's what happens when we can `findAnInt`:

```
scala> findAnInt
res3: Int = 5
```

The call to `findAnInt` succeeds and returns the value of the `test` value. The compiler was able to successfully complete the function call. We can still provide the parameter if desired.

```
scala> findAnInt(2)
res4: Int = 2
```

This method call passes a parameter with a value of 2. Because the method call is complete, the compiler doesn't need to look up a value using implicits. Remember this, as implicit method parameters can still be explicitly provided. This utility will be discussed further in section 5.6.

To understand how the compiler determines if a variable is available for implicit resolution, it's important to dig into how the compiler deals with identifiers and scope.

5.1.1 Identifiers: A digression

Before delving into the implicit resolution mechanism, it's important to understand how the compiler resolves identifiers within a particular scope. This section references chapter 2 of the Scala Language Specification (SLS), I highly recommend reading through the SLS after you have an understanding of the basics. Identifiers play a crucial role in the selection of implicits, so let's dig into the nuts and bolts of identifiers in Scala.

Scala defines the term *entity* to mean types, values, methods, or classes. These are the things we use to build our programs. We refer to them using identifiers, or names. In Scala this is called a *binding*. For example, in the following code:

```
class Foo {
  def val x = 5
}
```

the `Foo` class itself is an entity, a class containing an `x` method. But we've given this class the name `Foo`, which is the binding. If we declare this class locally within the REPL, we can instantiate it using the name `Foo` because it's locally bound.

```
scala> val y = new Foo
y: Foo = Foo@33262bf4
```

Here we can construct a new variable, named `y`, of type `Foo` using the name `Foo`. Again, this is because the class `Foo` was defined locally within the REPL and the name `Foo` was bound locally. Let's complicate things by placing `Foo` in a package.

```
package test;

class Foo {
  val x = 5
}
```

The `Foo` class is now a member of the package `test`. If we try to access it with the name `Foo`, it will fail on the REPL:

```
scala> new Foo
<console>:7: error: not found: type Foo
          new Foo
```

Trying to call `new Foo` fails because the name `Foo` isn't bound in our scope. The `Foo` class is now in the `test` package. To access it, we must either use the name `test.Foo`

or create a binding of the name `Foo` to the `test.Foo` class in the current scope. For the latter, Scala provides the `import` keyword:

```
scala> import test.Foo
import test.Foo

scala> new Foo
res3: test.Foo = test.Foo@60e1e567
```

The `import` statement takes `test.Foo` entity and binds it in the local scope with the name `Foo`. This allows us to construct a new `test.Foo` instance by calling `new Foo`. This concept should be familiar from Java's `import` statement or C++'s `using` statement. In Scala, things are a bit more flexible.

The `import` statement can be used anywhere in the source file and it will only create a binding in the local scope. This feature allows us to control where imported names are used within our file. This feature can also be used to limit the scope of implicits views or variables. We'll cover this aspect in more detail in section 5.4.

Scala is also more flexible in binding entities with arbitrary names. In Java or C#, one can only bring the name bound in some other scope, or package, into the current one. For example, the `test.Foo` class could only be imported locally with the name `Foo`. The Scala `import` statement can give arbitrary names to imported entities using the `{OriginalBinding=>NewBinding}` syntax. Let's import our `test.Foo` entity with a different name:

```
scala> import test.{Foo=>Bar}
import test.{Foo=>Bar}

scala> new Bar
res1: test.Foo = test.Foo@596b753
```

The first `import` statement binds the `test.Foo` class to the current scope using the name `Bar`. The next line constructs a new instance of `test.Foo` by calling `new Bar`. You can use this renaming to avoid conflicts in classes imported from different packages. A good example is with `java.util.List` and `scala.List`. To avoid confusion within Scala, it's common to see `import java.util.{List=>JList}` in code that interacts with Java.

RENAMING PACKAGES Scala's `import` statement can also be used to alter the names of packages. This can be handy when interacting with Java libraries. For example, when using the `java.io` package, I frequently do the following:

```
import java.{io=>jio}
def someMethod( input : jio.InputStream ) = ...
```

Binding entities allows us to name them within a particular scope. But it's important to understand what constitutes a scope and what bindings are found in a scope.

5.1.2 Scope and bindings

A scope is a lexical boundary in which bindings are available. A scope could be anything from the body of a class to the body of a method to an anonymous block. As a general rule, anytime you use the `{}` characters you're creating a new scope.

In Scala, scopes can be nested. This means I can construct a new scope inside another scope. When creating a new scope, the bindings from the outer scope are still available. This allows us to do the following:

```
class Foo(x : Int) {
  def tmp = {
    x
  }
}
```

The `Foo` class is defined with the constructor parameter `x`. We then define the `tmp` method with a nested scope. We can still access the constructor parameter inside this scope with the name `x`. This nested scope has access to bindings in its parent scope, however we can create new bindings that shadow the parent. In this case, the `tmp` method can create a new binding called `x` that does not refer to the constructor parameter `x`. Let's take a look:

```
scala> class Foo(x : Int) {
|   def tmp = {
|     val x = 2
|     x
|   }
| }
defined class Foo
```

The `Foo` class is defined the same as before, but the `tmp` method defines a variable named `x` in the nested scope. This binding *shadows* the constructor parameter `x`. Shadowing means that the local binding is visible and the constructor parameter is no longer accessible, at least using the name `x`. In Scala, bindings of higher precedence shadow bindings of lower precedence within the same scope. Also, bindings of higher or the same precedence shadow bindings in an outer scope.

Scala defines the following precedence on bindings:

- 1** Definitions and declarations that are local, inherited, or made available by a package clause in the same source file where the definition occurs have highest precedence.
- 2** Explicit imports have next highest precedence.
- 3** Wildcard imports (`import foo._`) have next highest precedence.
- 4** Definitions made available by a package clause not in the source file where the definition occurs have lowest precedence.

Let's look at an example of this precedence. First, let's define a test package and an

Bindings and Shadowing

In Scala, a binding shadows bindings of lower precedence within the same scope. A binding shadows bindings of the same or lower precedence in an outer scope. This is what allows us to write:

```
class Foo(x : Int) {
  def tmp = {
    val x = 2
    x
  }
}
```

And have calls to `tmp` return the value 2.

object x within it in a source file called `externalbindings.scala`, as shown in the following listing:

Listing 5.1 externalbindings.scala

```
package test;

object x {
    override def toString = "Externally bound x object in package test"
}
```

This file defines a package `test` with the `x` object inside it. The `x` object overrides the `toString` method so we can easily call `toString` on it. This means that for the purposes of our test, the `x` object should have the lowest binding precedence with binding rules. Now, let's create a file that will test the binding rules:

Listing 5.2 Implicit binding test file

```
package test;

object Test {
    def main(args : Array[String]) : Unit = {
        testSamePackage()
        testWildcardImport()
        testExplicitImport()
        testInlineDefinition()
    }
    ...
}
```

First, we declare the contents of the file to be in the same test package as our earlier definition. Next, we define a `main` method that will call four testing methods, one for each binding precedence rule. Let's fill the first one in now:

```
def testSamePackage() {
    println(x)
}
```

This method calls `println` on an entity called `x`. Because the `Test` object is defined within the `test` package, the `x` object created earlier is available and used for this method. To prove this, look at the output of this method:

```
scala> test.Test.testSamePackage()
Externally bound x object in package test
```

Calling the `testSamePackage` method produces the string we defined for the object `x`. Now let's see what happens if we add a Wildcard import:

Listing 5.3 wildcard imports

```
object Wildcard {
    def x = "Wildcard Import x"
}

def testWildcardImport() {
```

```

import Wildcard._
println(x)
}

```

The Wildcard object is a nested object used to contain the x entity so that it can later be imported. The entity x is defined as a method that returns the string "Wildcard Import x". The testWildcardImport method first calls import Wildcard._. This is a wildcard import that will bind all the names/entities from the Wildcard object into the current scope. Because wildcard imports have higher precedence than resources made available from the same package but in a different source file, the Wildcard.x entity will be used instead of the test.x entity. We see this when we run the testWildcardImport function:

```

scala> test.Test.testWildcardImport()
Wildcard Import x

```

When calling the testWildcardImport method, the string Wildcard Import x is returned—exactly what we expect from the binding precedence. Things get more interesting when we add explicit imports.

Listing 5.4 Explicit imports

```

object Explicit {
  def x = "Explicit Import x"
}

def testExplicitImport() {
  import Explicit.x
  import Wildcard._
  println(x)
}

```

Once again, the Explicit object is used to create a new namespace for another x entity. The testExplicitImport method first imports this entity directly and then uses the wildcard import against the Wildcard object. Although the wildcard import is after the explicit import, the binding precedence rules kick in and the method will use the x binding from the Explicit object. Let's take a look:

```

scala> test.Test.testExplicitImport()
Explicit Import x

```

As expected, the returned string is the one from Explicit.x. This precedence rule is important when dealing with implicit resolution, but we'll get to that in section 5.1.3.

The final precedence rule to test is for local declarations. Let's modify the testExplicitImport method to define a local binding for the name x:

Listing 5.5 Inline definitions

```

def testInlineDefinition() {
  val x = "Inline definition x"
  import Explicit.x
  import Wildcard._
  println(x)
}

```

The first line in the `testInlineDefinition` method declares a local variable named `x`. The next two lines explicitly import and implicitly import `x` bindings from the `Explicit` and `Wildcard` objects, as we saw earlier. Finally, we call `println(x)` and see which binding is selected.

```
scala> test.Test.testInlineDefinition()
Inline definition x
```

Again, even though the import statements come after the `val x` statement, the local variable is chosen based on the binding priorities.

Non-shadowing bindings

It's possible to have two bindings available for the same name. In this case, the compiler will warn you that the name is ambiguous. Here's an example directly from the Scala Language Specification:

```
scala> {
| val x = 1;
| {
| import test.x;
| x
| }
| }
<console>:11: error: reference to x is ambiguous; it is both defined in
                  value res7 and imported subsequently by import test.x
      x
      ^
```

In this example, the name `x` is bound in an outer scope. The name `x` is also imported from the `test` package in a nested scope. Neither of these bindings shadows the other. The value `x` from the outer scope isn't eligible to shadow within the nested scope, and the imported value `x` doesn't have high enough precedence to shadow.

Why all the emphasis on name resolution within the compiler? Implicit resolution is intimately tied to name resolution, so these intricate rules become important when using implicits. Let's look at the compiler's implicit resolution scheme.

5.1.3 *Implicit resolution*

The Scala Language Specification declares two rules for looking up entities marked as `implicit`:

- The `implicit` entity binding is available at the lookup site with no prefix—that is, not as `foo.x` but only `x`.
- If there are no available entities from this rule, then all `implicit` members on objects belong to the `implicit` scope of an `implicit` parameter's type.

The first rule is intimately tied to the binding rules of the previous section. The second rule is a bit more complex and we'll look into it in section 5.1.4.

First, let's look at our earlier example of implicit resolution:

```
scala> def findAnInt(implicit x : Int) = x
findAnInt: (implicit x: Int)Int

scala> implicit val test = 5
test: Int = 5
```

The `findAnInt` method is declared with an implicit parameter list of a single integer. The next line defines a `val test` with the `implicit` marker. This makes the identifier, `test`, available on the local scope with no prefix. If we were to write `test` in the REPL, it would return the value 5. When we write this method call, `findAnInt`, the compiler will rewrite it as `findAnInt(test)`. This lookup uses the binding rules we examined earlier.

The second rule for implicit lookup is used when the compiler can't find any available implicits using the first rule. In this case, the compiler will look for implicits defined within any object in the *implicit scope* of the type it's looking for. The implicit scope of a type is defined as all companion modules that are associated with that type. This means that if the compiler is looking for a parameter to the method `def foo(implicit param : Foo)`, that parameter will need to conform to the type `Foo`. If no value of type `Foo` is found using the first rule, then the compiler will use the *implicit scope* of `Foo`. The implicit scope of `Foo` would consist of the companion object to `Foo`.

Let's look at the following listing:

Listing 5.6 Companion object and implicit lookup

```
scala> object holder {
|   trait Foo
|   object Foo {
|     implicit val x = new Foo {
|       override def toString = "Companion Foo"
|     }
|   }
| }
defined module holder

scala> import holder.Foo
import holder.Foo

scala> def method(implicit foo : Foo) = println(foo)
method: (implicit foo: holder.Foo)Unit

scala> method
Companion Foo
```

The `holder` object is used so we can define a trait and companion object within the REPL, as described in section 2.1.2. Inside, we define a trait `Foo` and companion object `Foo`. The companion object `Foo` defines a member `x` of type `Foo` that's available for implicit resolution. Next we import the `Foo` type from the `holder` object into the current scope. This step isn't necessary, it's done to simplify the method definition. Next is the definition of `method`. The method takes an implicit parameter of type `Foo`.

When called with no argument lists, the compiler will use the `implicit val x` defined on the companion.

Because the implicit scope is looked at second, we can use the implicit scope to store default implicits while allowing users to import their own overrides as necessary. We'll investigate this a bit further in section 7.2.

As stated previously, the implicit scope of a type `T` is the set of companion objects for all types associated with the type `T`—that is, there's a set of types that are associated with `T`. All of the companion objects for these types are searched during implicit resolution. The Scala Language Specification defines association as any class that's a base class of some *part* of type `T`. The parts of type `T` are:

- The subtypes of `T` are all parts of `T`. If type `T` is defined as `A` with `B` with `C`, then `A`, `B`, and `C` are all parts of the type `T` and their companion objects will be searched during implicit resolution for type `T`.
- If `T` is parameterized, then all type parameters and their parts are included in the parts of type `T`. For example, an implicit search for the type `List[String]` would look in `List`'s companion object and `String`'s companion object.
- If `T` is a singleton type `T`, then the parts of the type `p` are included in the parts of type `T`. This means that if the type `T` lives inside an object, then the object itself is inspected for implicits. Singleton types are covered in more detail in section 6.1.1.
- If `T` is a type projection `S#T`, then the parts of `S` are included in the parts of type `T`. This means that if type `T` lives in a class or trait, then the class or trait's companion objects are inspected for implicits. Type projections are covered in more detail in section 6.1.1.

The implicit scope of a type includes many different locations and grants a lot of flexibility in providing handy implicit resolution.

Let's look at a few of the more interesting cases of implicit scope.

IMPLICIT SCOPE VIA TYPE PARAMETERS

The Scala language defines the implicit scope of a type to include the companion objects of all types or subtypes included in the type's parameters. This means, for example, that we can provide an implicit value for `List[Foo]` by including it in the type `Foo`'s companion object. Here's an example:

```
scala> object holder {
|   trait Foo
|   object Foo {
|     implicit val list = List(new Foo{})
|   }
| }
defined module holder

scala> implicitly[List[holder.Foo]]
res0: List[holder.Foo] = List(holder$Foo$$anon$1@2ed4a1d3)
```

The holder object is used, again, to create companion objects in the REPL. The holder object contains a trait Foo and its companion object. The companion object contains an implicit definition of a List[`Foo`] type. The next line calls Scala's `implicitly` function. We can use this function to look up a type using the current implicit scope. The `implicitly` function is defined as `def implicitly[T] (implicit arg : T) = arg`. It uses the type parameter T to allow us to reuse it for every type we're looking for. We'll cover type parameters in more detail in section 6.2. The call to `implicitly` for the type List[`holder.Foo`] returns the implicit list defined within Foo's companion object.

This mechanism is used to implement *type traits* sometimes called *type classes*. Type traits describe generic interfaces using type parameters such that implementations can be created for any type. For example, we can define a `BinaryFormat[T]` type trait. This trait can be implemented for a given type to describe how it should be serialized into a binary format. Here's an example interface:

```
trait BinaryFormat[T] {
    def asBinary(entity: T) : Array[Byte]
}
```

The `BinaryFormat` trait defines one method, `asBinary`. This method takes in an instance of the type parameter and returns an array of bytes representing that parameter. Code that needs to serialize objects to disk can now attempt to find a `BinaryFormat` type trait via implicits. We can provide an implementation for our type `Foo` by providing an `implicit` in `Foo`'s companion object, as follows:

```
trait Foo {}
object Foo {
    implicit lazy val binaryFormat = new BinaryFormat[foo] {
        def asBinary(entity: Foo) = "serializedFoo".toBytes
    }
}
```

The `Foo` trait is defined as an empty trait. Its companion object is defined with an `implicit val` that holds the implementation of the `BinaryFormat`. Now, when code that requires a `BinaryFormat` sees the type `Foo`, it will be able to find the `BinaryFormat` implicitly. The details of this mechanism and design techniques are discussed in detail in section 7.2.

Implicit lookup from type parameters enables elegant type trait programming. Nested types provides another great means to supply implicit arguments.

IMPLICIT SCOPE VIA NESTING

Implicit scope also includes companion objects from outer scopes if a type is defined in an inner scope. This allows us to provide a set of handy implicits for a type in the outer scope. Let's look at an example.

```
scala> object Foo {
    | trait Bar
    | implicit def newBar = new Bar {
    |     override def toString = "Implicit Bar"
```

```

| }
| }
defined module Foo

scala> implicitly[Bar]
res0: Bar = Implicit Bar

```

The object `Foo` is the outer type. Inside is defined the trait `Bar`. The `Foo` object also defines an implicit method that creates an instance of the `Bar` trait. When calling `implicitly[Bar]`, the implicit value is found from a search of the `Foo` outer class. This technique is similar to placing implicits directly in a companion object. Defining implicits for nested types is convenient when the outer scope contains several subtypes. We can use this technique in situations where we can't create an implicit on a companion object.

Scala objects can't have companion objects for implicits. Because of this, implicits associated with the object's type, that are desired on the implicit scope of that object's type, must be provided from an outer scope. Here's an example:

```

scala> object Foo {
|   object Bar { override def toString = "Bar" }
|   implicit def b : Bar.type = Bar
| }
defined module Foo

scala> implicitly[Bar.type]
res1: Bar.type = Bar

```

The object `Bar` is nested inside the object `Foo`. The object `Foo` also defines an implicit that returns `Bar.type`. Now, when calling `implicitly[Bar.type]`, the object `Bar` is returned. This mechanism allows defining an implicit for objects.

An additional case of nesting that may surprise those not used to it is the case of package objects. As of Scala 2.8, objects can be defined as package objects. A package object is an object defined using the `package` keyword. It's convention in Scala to locate all package objects in a file called `package.scala` in a directory corresponding to the package name.

Any class that's defined within a package is nested inside the package. Any implicits defined on a package object will be on the implicit scope for all types defined inside the package. This provides a handy location to store implicits rather than defining companion objects for every type in a package, as shown in the following example:

```

package object foo {
  implicit def foo = new Foo
}

package foo {
  class Foo {
    override def toString = "FOO!"
  }
}

```

The package object `foo` is declared with a single implicit that returns a new instance of the `Foo` class. Next, the class `Foo` is defined within the package `foo`. In Scala, packages can be defined in multiple files and the types defined in each source file is aggregated to create the complete package. There can only be one package object defined in all source files for any given package. The `Foo` class has an overridden `toString` method that will print the string "Foo!". Let's compile the `foo` package and use it in the REPL, as follows:

```
scala> implicitly[foo.Foo]
res0: foo.Foo = FOO!
```

Without importing the package object or its members, the compiler can find the implicit for the `foo.Foo` object. It's common in Scala to find a set of implicit definitions within the package object for a library. Usually this package object also contains implicit views, a mechanism for converting between types.

5.2 Enhancing existing classes with implicit views

An implicit view is an automatic conversion of one type to another to satisfy an expression. An implicit view definition takes the general form: `implicit def <myConversionName>(<argumentName> : OriginalType) : ViewType`. The previous conversion would implicitly convert a value of `OriginalType` to a value of `ViewType` if available on the implicit scope.

Let's look at a simple example attempting to convert an integer to a string:

```
scala> def foo(msg : String) = println(msg)
foo: (msg: String)Unit

scala> foo(5)
<console>:7: error: type mismatch;
 found   : Int(5)
 required: String
      foo(5)
```

The `foo` method is defined to take a `String` and print it to the console. The call to `foo` using the value 5 fails, as there's a type mismatch. An implicit view can make this succeed. Let's define one:

```
scala> implicit def intToString(x : Int) = x.toString
intToString: (x: Int)java.lang.String

scala> foo(5)
5
```

The method `intToString` is defined using the `implicit` keyword. It takes a single value of type `Int` and returns a `String`. This method is the implicit view, and is commonly referred to as the view `Int => String`. Now, when calling the `foo` method with the value 5, it prints the string 5. The compiler detected that the types did not conform and that there was a single implicit view that could correct the situation.

Implicit views are used in two situations:

- If an expression doesn't meet the type expected by the compiler, the compiler will look for an implicit view that would make it meet the expected type. An example of this would be passing a value of type `Int` to a function that expects a `String` would require an implicit view of `String => Int` in scope.
- Given a selection `e.t`, where selection means a member access, such that `e`'s type doesn't have a member `t`, the compiler will look for an implicit view that will apply to `e` and whose resulting type contains a member `t`. If we try to call method `foo` on a `String`, then the compiler will look for an implicit view from `String` that can be used to make the expression compile. The expression `"foo".foo()` would require an implicit view like the following: `implicit def stringToFoo(x : String) = new { def foo() : Unit = println("foo") }`.

The implicit scope used for implicit views is the same as for implicit parameters. But when the compiler is looking for type associations, it uses the type it's attempting to convert from, not the type it's attempting to convert to. Let's look at an example:

```
scala> object test {
|   trait Foo
|   trait Bar
|   object Foo {
|     implicit def fooToBar(foo : Foo) = new Bar {}
|   }
| }
defined module test

scala> import test._
import test._
```

The `test` object is a scoping object used so we can create a companion object in the REPL. This contains the `Foo` and `Bar` traits as well as a companion object to `Foo`. The companion object to `Foo` contains an implicit view from `Foo` to `Bar`. Remember that when the compiler is looking for implicit views, the type it's converting *from* defines the implicit scope. This means the implicit views defined in `Foo`'s companion object will be inspected only when attempting to convert an expression of type `Foo` to some other expression. Let's try this out by defining a method that expects the type `Bar`.

```
scala> def bar(x : Bar) = println("bar")
bar: (x: test.Bar)Unit
```

The `bar` method takes a `bar` and prints the string `bar`. Let's try to call it with a value of `foo` and see what happens:

```
scala> val x = new Foo {}
x: java.lang.Object with test.Foo = $anon$1@15e565bd

scala> bar(x)
bar
```

The `x` value is of type `Foo`. The expression `bar(x)` triggers the compiler to look for an implicit view. Because the type of `x` is `Foo`, the compiler look in associated types of `Foo`

for implicit views. Finding the `fooToBar` view, the compiler inserts the necessary transformation and the method compiles successfully.

This style of implicits allows us to adapt libraries to other libraries, or add our own convenience methods to types. It's a common practice in Scala to adapt Java libraries so that they work well with the Scala standard library. For example, the standard library defines a `scala.collection.JavaConversions` module that helps the Java collections library interoperate with the Scala collections library. This module is a set of implicit views that can be imported into the current scope to allow automatic conversion between Java collections and Scala collections and to "add" methods to the Java collections. Adapting Java libraries, or third party libraries, into your project using implicit views is a common idiom in Scala. Let's look at an example.

We'd like to write a wrapper around the `java.security` package for easier usage from Scala. Specifically, we want to simplify the task of running privileged code using `java.security.AccessController`. The `AccessController` class provides the static method `doPrivileged`, which allows us to run code in a privileged permission state. The `doPrivileged` method has two variants, one that grants the current context's permissions to the privileged code and one that takes an `AccessControlContext` containing the privileges to grant the privileged code. The `doPrivileged` method takes an argument of type `PrivilegedExceptionAction` which is a trait that defines one method: `run`. The trait is similar to Scala's `Function0` trait, and we'd like to be able to use an anonymous function when calling the `doPrivileged` method.

Let's create an implicit view from a `Function0` type to a `doPrivileged` method:

```
object ScalaSecurityImplicits {
    implicit def functionToPrivilegedAction[A](func : Function0[A]) =
        new PrivilegedAction[A] {
            override def run() = func()
        }
}
```

This defines an object `ScalaSecurityImplicits` which contains the implicit view. The implicit view `functionToPrivilegedAction` takes a `Function0` and returns a new `PrivilegedAction` object such that the `run` method calls the function. Let's use this implicit:

```
scala> import ScalaSecurityImplicits._
import ScalaSecurityImplicits._

scala> AccessController.doPrivileged( () =>
    | println("This is privileged"))
This is privileged
```

The first statement imports the implicit view into scope. Next, the call to `doPrivileged` passed the anonymous function `() => println("this is privileged")`. Again, the compiler sees that the anonymous function doesn't match the expected type. The compiler then looks and finds the implicit view defined and imported from `ScalaSecurityImplicits`. This technique can also be used when wrapping Java objects with Scala objects

It's common to write a wrapper class for existing Java libraries that add more advanced Scala idioms. Scala implicits can be used to convert from the original type into the wrapped type and vice versa. For example, let's look at adding some convenience methods onto the `java.io.File` class.

We'd like to provide a convenience notation for `java.io.File` so that the `/` operator can be used to create new file objects. Let's create the wrapper class that will provide the `/` operator:

```
class FileWrapper(val file: java.io.File) {
    def /(next : String) = new FileWrapper(new java.io.File(file, next))
    override def toString = file.getCanonicalPath
}
```

The class `FileWrapper` takes a `java.io.File` in its constructor. It provides one new method `/` that takes a string and returns a new `FileWrapper` object. The newly returned `FileWrapper` object points to a file with the name specified to the `/` method inside the directory of the original file. For example, if the original `FileWrapper`, called `file`, pointed at the `/tmp` directory, then expression `file / "mylog.txt"` will return a `FileWrapper` object that points at the `/tmp/mylog.txt` file. We'd like to use implicits to automatically convert between `java.io.File` and `FileWrapper`, so let's add an implicit view to `FileWrapper`'s companion object:

```
object FileWrapper {
    implicit def wrap(file : java.io.File) = new FileWrapper(file)
}
```

The `FileWrapper` companion object defines one method, `wrap`, which takes a `java.io.File` and returns a new `FileWrapper`. Let's look at an example usage in the REPL:

```
scala> import FileWrapper.wrap
import FileWrapper.wrap

scala> val cur = new java.io.File(".")
cur: java.io.File = .

scala> cur / "temp.txt"
res0: FileWrapper = .../temp.txt
```

The first line imports the implicit view into scope. The next line creates a new `java.io.File` object with the string `".."`. This string denotes that the file object should point to the current directory. The last line calls the `/` method against a `java.io.File`. The compiler doesn't find this method on a standard `java.io.File` and looks for an implicit view that would enable this line to compile. Finding the `wrap` method in scope, the compiler wraps the `java.io.File` into a `FileWrapper` and calls the `/` method. The resulting `FileWrapper` object is returned.

This mechanism is a great way to append methods onto existing Java classes, or any library. We have the performance overhead of the wrapper object instantiation, but the HotSpot optimizer may mitigate this. I say "may" here because there's no guarantee that the HotSpot optimizer will remove the wrapper allocation, but in some

microbenchmarks this will occur. Again, it's best to profile an application to determine critical regions rather than assuming HotSpot will take care of allocations.

One issue with the `FileWrapper` is that calling its `/` method will return another `FileWrapper` object. This means we can't pass the result directly into a method that expects a vanilla `java.io.File`. The `/` method could change to instead return a `java.io.File` object, but Scala also provides another solution. When passing a `FileWrapper` to a method that expects a `java.io.File` type, the compiler will begin a search for a valid implicit view. As stated earlier, this search will include the companion object for the `FileWrapper` type itself. Let's add an `unwrap` implicit view to the companion object and see if this works:

```
object FileWrapper {  
    implicit def wrap(file : java.io.File) = new FileWrapper(file)  
    implicit def unwrap(wrapper : FileWrapper) = wrapper.file  
}
```

The `FileWrapper` companion object now contains two methods: `wrap` and `unwrap`. The `unwrap` method takes an instance of `FileWrapper` and returns the wrapped `java.io.File` type. We'll test this out in the REPL:

```
scala> import test.FileWrapper.wrap  
import test.FileWrapper.wrap  
  
scala> val cur = new java.io.File(".")  
cur: java.io.File = .  
  
scala> def useFile(file : java.io.File) = println(file.getCanonicalPath)  
useFile: (file: java.io.File)Unit  
  
scala> useFile(cur / "temp.txt")  
/home/jsuereth/projects/book/scala-in-depth/chapter5/wrappers/temp.txt
```

The first line imports the `wrap` implicit view. The next line constructs a `java.io.File` object pointing to the current directory. The third line defines a `useFile` method. This method expects an input of type `java.io.File` and will print the path to the file. The last line calls the `useFile` method with the expression: `cur / "temp.txt"`. Again, the compiler sees the `/` method call and looks for an implicit view to resolve the expression. The resulting type of the expression is a `FileWrapper`, but the `useFile` method requires a `java.io.File`. The compiler performs another implicit lookup using the type `Function1[java.io.File, FileWrapper]`. This search finds the `unwrap` implicit view on `FileWrapper`'s companion object. The types are now satisfied and the compiler has completed the expression. The runtime evaluation yields the correct string.

Notice that utilizing the `unwrap` implicit view doesn't require an import, as needed for the `wrap` method. This is because the `wrap` implicit view was used when the compiler did not know the required type to satisfy the `cur / "temp.txt"` expression; therefore it looked for only local implicits, as `java.io.File` has no companion object. This feature allows us to provide a wrapper object with additional functionality and near-invisible conversions to and from the wrapper.

Take care when providing additional functionality to existing classes using implicit views. This mechanism makes it much harder to determine if there's a name conflict across differing implicit views of a type. It also has a performance penalty that may not be mitigated by the HotSpot optimizer. Finally, for folks not using a modern Scala IDE, it can be difficult to determine which implicit views are providing methods used in a block of code.

Rule
13

Avoid implicit views

Implicit views are the most abused feature in Scala. While they seem like a good idea in a lot of situations, Scala provides better alternatives in most cases. Using too many implicit views can greatly increase the ramp-up time of new developers on a code base. While useful, they should be limited to situations where they are the right solution.

Scala implicit views provide users with the flexibility to adapt an API to their needs. Using wrappers and companion object implicit views can drastically ease the pain of integrating libraries with varied but similar interfaces or can allow developers to add functionality to older libraries. Implicit views are a key component in writing expressive Scala code, and should be handled with care.

Implicits also have an interesting interaction with another Scala feature—default parameters.

5.3 Utilize implicit parameters with defaults

Implicit arguments provide a great mechanism to ensure that users don't have to specify redundant arguments. They also work well with default parameters. In the event that no parameter is specified and no implicit value is found using implicit resolution, the default parameter is used. This allows us to create default parameters that remove redundant ones while still allowing users to provide different parameters.

For example, let's implement a set of methods designed to perform matrix calculations. These methods will utilize threads to parallelize work when performing calculations on matrices. But as a library designer, we don't know where these methods will be called. They may be operating within a context where threading isn't allowed, or they may already have their own work queue set up. We want to allow users to tell us how to use threads in their context but provide a default for everyone else.

Let's start by defining the `Matrix` class:

Listing 5.7 Simple Matrix class

```
class Matrix(private val repr : Array[Array[Double]]) {
    def row(idx : Int) : Seq[Double] = {
        repr(idx)
    }
    def col(idx : Int) : Seq[Double] = {
        repr.foldLeft(ArrayBuffer[Double]()) {
            (buffer, currentRow) =>
                buffer.append(currentRow(idx))
                buffer
        }. toArray
    }
}
```

```

    }

lazy val rowRank = repr.size
lazy val colRank = if(rowRank > 0) repr(0).size else 0
override def toString = "Matrix" + repr.foldLeft("") {
  (msg, row) => msg + row.mkString("\n|", " | ", "|")
}
}
}

```

The `Matrix` class takes an array of double values and provides two similar methods: `row` and `col`. These methods take an index and return an array of the values for a given matrix row or column respectively. The `Matrix` class also provides `rowRank` and `colRank` values which return the number of rows and columns in the matrix respectively. Finally the `toString` method is overridden to create a prettier output of the matrix.

The `Matrix` class is complete and ready for a parallel multiplication algorithm. Let's start by creating an interface we can use in our library for threading:

```

trait ThreadStrategy {
  def execute[A] (func : Function0[A]) : Function0[A]
}

```

The `ThreadStrategy` interface defines one method, `execute`. This method takes a function that returns a value of type `A`. It also returns a function that returns a value of type `A`. The returned function should return the same value as the passed-in function, but could block the current thread until the function is calculated on its desired thread. Let's implement our matrix calculation service using this `ThreadStrategy` interface:

```

object MatrixUtils {
  def multiply(a: Matrix,
              b: Matrix)(
    implicit threading: ThreadStrategy): MatrixN = {
  ...
}
}

```

The `MatrixUtils` object contains the method `multiply`. The method takes two `Matrix` classes, assumed to have the correct dimensions, and will return a new matrix that's the multiplication of the passed-in matrices. `Matrix` multiplication involves multiplying the elements in `Matrix a`'s rows by the elements in `Matrix b`'s columns and adding the results. This multiplication and summation is done for every element in the resulting matrix. A simple way to parallelize this is to compute each element of the result matrix on a separate thread. The algorithm for the `MatrixUtils.multiply` method is simple:

- Create a buffer to hold results.
- Create a closure that will compute a single value for a row/column pair and place it in the buffer.
- Send the closures created to the `ThreadStrategy` provided.

- Call the functions returned from ThreadStrategy to ensure they have completed.
- Wrap the buffer in a Matrix class and return it.

Let's start with creating the buffer:

```
def multiply(a: Matrix,
            b: Matrix) (
    implicit threading : ThreadStrategy): Matrix = {
  assert(a.colRank == b.rowRank)
  val buffer = new Array[Array[Double]](a.rowRank)
  for ( i <- 0 until a.rowRank ) {
    buffer(i) = new Array[Double](b.colRank)
  }
  ...
}
```

The initial assert statement is used to ensure that the `Matrix` objects passed in are compatible for multiplication. By definition, the number of columns in `Matrix a` must equal the number of rows in `Matrix b`. We then construct an array of arrays to use as the buffer. The resulting matrix will have the same number of rows as `Matrix a` and the same number of columns as `Matrix b`. Now that the buffer is ready, let's create a set of closures in the following listing that will compute the values and place them in the buffer:

Listing 5.8 Matrix multiplication

```
def multiply(a: Matrix,
            b: Matrix) (
    implicit threading : ThreadStrategy) : Matrix = {
  ...
  def computeValue(row : Int, col : Int) : Unit = {
    val pairwiseElements =
      a.row(row).zip(b.col(col))
    val products =
      for((x,y) <- pairwiseElements)
        yield x*y
    val result = products.sum
    buffer(row)(col) = result
  }
  ...
}
```

The `computeValue` helper method takes a row and a column attribute and computes the value in the buffer at that row and column. The first step is matching the elements of the row of `a` with the elements of the column of `b` in a pairwise fashion. Scala provides the `zip` function which, given two collections, will match their elements. Next, the paired elements are multiplied to create a list of the products of each element. The final calculation takes a sum of all the products. This final value is placed into the correct row and column in the buffer. The next step is to take this method and construct a function for every row and column in the resulting matrix and pass these functions to the threading strategy, as follows:

```
val computations = for {
    i <- 0 until a.rowRank
    j <- 0 until b.colRank
} yield threading.execute { () => computeValue(i,j) }
```

This for expression loops every row and column in the resulting matrix and passes a function into the ThreadStrategy parameter threading. The `() =>` syntax is used when creating anonymous function objects that take no arguments, required by the type `Function0`. After farming out the work to threads, the multiply method must ensure that all work is complete before returning results. We do this by calling each method returned from the ThreadStrategy.

```
def multiply(a: Matrix,
            b: Matrix) (
    implicit threading : ThreadStrategy) : Matrix = {
    ...
    computations.foreach(_())
    new Matrix(buffer)
}
```

The last portion of the multiple method ensures all work is completed and returns the result `Matrix` built from the buffer object. Let's test this in the REPL, but first we need to implement the `ThreadStrategy` interface. Let's create a simple version that executes all work on the current thread:

```
object SameThreadStrategy extends ThreadStrategy {
    def execute[A](func : Function0[A]) = func
}
```

The `SameThreadStrategy` ensures that all passed-in work operates on the calling thread by returning the original function. Let's test out the `multiply` method in the REPL, as follows:

```
scala> implicit val ts = sameThreadStrategy
ts: ThreadStrategy.sameThreadStrategy.type = ...
scala> val x = new Matrix(Array(Array(1,2,3), Array(4,5,6)))
x: library.Matrix =
Matrix
|1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0|
|4.0 | 5.0 | 6.0|
scala> val y = new Matrix(Array(Array(1), Array(1), Array(1)))
y: library.Matrix =
Matrix
|1.0|
|1.0|
|1.0|
scala> MatrixService.multiply(x,y)
res0: library.Matrix =
Matrix
|6.0|
|15.0|
```

The first line is creating an implicit ThreadStrategy that will be used for all remaining calculations. We then construct two matrices and multiply the results. The 2×3 matrix is multiplied by a 3×1 matrix to produce a 2×1 matrix, as expected. Everything appears to be working correctly with a single thread, so let's create a multi-threaded service, as in the following listing:

Listing 5.9 Concurrent strategy

```
import java.util.concurrent.{Callable, Executors}

object ThreadPoolStrategy extends ThreadStrategy {
    val pool = Executors.newFixedThreadPool(
        java.lang.Runtime.getRuntime.availableProcessors)
    def execute[A](func : Function0[A]) = {
        val future = pool.submit(new Callable[A] {
            def call() : A = {
                Console.println("Executing function on thread: " +
                    Thread.currentThread.getName)
                func()
            }
        })
        () => future.get()
    }
}
```

The first thing the ThreadPoolStrategy implementation does is create a pool of threads using Java's `java.util.concurrent.Executors` library. The thread pool is constructed with the number of threads equal to the number of available processors. The `execute` method takes the passed-in function and creates an anonymous `Callable` instance. The `Callable` interface is used in Java's concurrent library to pass work into the thread pool. This returns a `Future` that can be used to determine when the passed-in work is completed. The last line of `execute` returns an anonymous closure that will call `get` on `future`. This call blocks until the original function executes and returns the value returned by the function. Also, every time a function is executed inside the `Callable`, it will print a message informing which thread it's executing on. Let's try this out in the REPL:

```
scala> implicit val ts = ThreadPoolStrategy
ts: ThreadStrategy.ThreadPoolStrategy.type = ...
scala> val x = new Matrix(Array(Array(1,2,3), Array(4,5,6)))
x: library.Matrix =
Matrix
|1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0|
|4.0 | 5.0 | 6.0|
scala> val y = new Matrix(Array(Array(1), Array(1), Array(1)))
y: library.Matrix =
Matrix
|1.0|
|1.0|
|1.0|
scala> MatrixUtils.multiply(x,y)
```

```

Executing function on thread: pool-2-thread-1
Executing function on thread: pool-2-thread-2
res0: library.Matrix =
Matrix
|6.0|
|15.0|

```

The first line creates an implicit ThreadPoolStrategy that will be used for all remaining calculations within the REPL session. Again, the x and y variables are created as 2 x 3 and 3 x 1 matrices, respectively. But the MatrixService.multiply now outputs two lines indicating that the calculations for the result matrix are occurring on different threads. The resulting matrix displays the correct values, as before.

Now what if we wanted to provide a default threading strategy for users of the library, and still allow them to override if desired? We can use the default parameter mechanism to provide a default. This will be used if no value is available in the implicit scope, meaning that our users can override the default in a scope by importing or creating their own implicit ThreadStrategy. Users can also override the behavior for a single method call by explicitly passing the ThreadStrategy. Let's modify the signature of MatrixService.multiply:

```

def multiply(a: Matrix, b: Matrix)(
    implicit threading: ThreadStrategy = SameThreadStrategy
) : Matrix = {
    ...
}

```

The multiply method now defines the SameThreadStrategy as the default strategy. Now when we use this library, we don't have to provide our own implicit ThreadStrategy:

```

scala> val x = new Matrix(Array(Array(1,2,3), Array(4,5,6)))
x: library.Matrix =
Matrix
|1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0|
|4.0 | 5.0 | 6.0|

scala> val y = new Matrix(Array(Array(1), Array(1), Array(1)))
y: library.Matrix =
Matrix
|1.0|
|1.0|
|1.0|

scala> MatrixService.multiply(x,y)
res0: library.Matrix =
Matrix
|6.0|
|15.0|

```

Unlike normal default parameters, an implicit parameter list with defaults doesn't need to be specified in the method call with an additional (). This means we get the elegance of implicit parameters with the utility of default parameters. We can still utilize implicits as normal:

```
scala> implicit val ts = ThreadPoolStrategy
ts: ThreadStrategy.ThreadPoolStrategy.type = ...
scala> MatrixUtils.multiply(x,y)
Executing function on thread: pool-2-thread-1
Executing function on thread: pool-2-thread-2
res1: library.Matrix =
Matrix
|6.0|
|15.0|
```

The first line creates an implicitly available thread strategy. Now when calling the `MatrixService.multiply` call, the method is using the `ThreadPoolStrategy`. This allows users of the `MatrixService` to decide when to parallelize computations performed with the library. They can do this for a particular scope by providing an implicit or for a single method call by explicitly passing the `ThreadStrategy`.

This technique of creating an implicit value for a scope of computations is a powerful, flexible means of using the strategy pattern. The *strategy pattern* is an idiom where a piece of code needs to perform some operation, but certain behaviors, or execution “strategy,” can be swapped into the method. The `ThreadPoolStrategy` is such a behavior that we’re passing into our `MatrixUtils` library methods. This same `ThreadPoolStrategy` could be used across different subsections of components in our system. It provides an alternative means of composing behavior than using inheritance, as discussed in section 4.3.

Another good example of implicits with default parameters is reading the lines of a file. In the general case, users don’t care if the line endings are `\r`, `\n`, or `\r\n`. However, a complete library would handle all situations. This can be done by providing an implicit argument for the line ending strategy and providing a default value of “don’t care.”

Implicits provide a great way to reduce boilerplate in code, such as repeated parameters. The most important thing to remember when using them is be careful, which is the topic of the next section.

5.4 Limiting the scope of implicits

The most important aspect of dealing with implicits is ensuring that programmers can understand what’s happening in a block of code. Programmers can do this by limiting the places they must check to discover available implicits. Let’s look at the possible locations of implicits:

- The companion objects of any associated types, including package objects
- The `scala.Predef` object
- Any imports that are in scope.

As seen in section 1.1.3, Scala will look in the companion objects of associated types for implicits. This behavior is core to the Scala language. Companion and package objects should be considered part of the API of a class. When investigating how to use a new library, check the companion and package objects for implicit conversions that you may use.

Rule 14**Limit the scope of implicits**

Because implicit conflicts require explicit passing of arguments and conversions, it's best to avoid them. This can be accomplished by limiting the number of implicits that are in scope and providing implicits in a way that they can be overridden or hidden.

At the beginning of every compiled Scala file there's an implicit import `scala.Predef._`. The `Predef` object contains many useful transformations, in particular the implicits used to add methods to the `java.lang.String` type so that it can support the methods required by the Scala Language Specification. It also contains implicits that will convert between Java's boxed types and Scala's unified types for primitives. For example, there's an implicit conversion in `scala.Predef` for `java.lang.Integer => scala.Int`. When coding in Scala, it's a good idea to know the implicits are available in the `scala.Predef` object.

The last possible location for implicits are explicit import statements within the source code. Imported implicits can be difficult to track down. They're also hard to document when designing a library. Because these are the only form of implicits that require an explicit import statement in every source file they're used, they require the most amount of care.

5.4.1 Creating implicits for import

When defining a new implicit view or parameter that's intended to be explicitly imported, you should ensure the following:

- The implicit view or parameter doesn't conflict with any other implicit.
- The implicit view or parameter's name doesn't conflict with anything in the `scala.Predef` object.
- The implicit view or parameter is *discoverable*, which means that users of the library or module should be able to find the location of the implicit and determine its use.

Because Scala uses scope resolution to look up implicits, if there's a naming conflict between two implicit definitions it can cause issues. These conflicts are hard to detect because implicit views and parameters can be defined in any scope and imported. The `scala.Predef` object has its contents implicitly imported into every Scala file so that conflicts become immediately apparent. Let's look at what happens when there's a conflict:

```
object Time {  
    case class TimeRange(start : Long, end : Long)  
    implicit def longWrapper(start : Long) = new {  
        def to(end : Long) = TimeRange(start, end)  
    }  
}
```

This defines a `Time` object that contains a `TimeRange` class. An implicit conversion on `Long` provides a `to` method. You can use this method to construct time range objects.

This implicit conflicts with `scala.Predef.longWrapper` which, among other things, provides an implicit view that also has a `to` method. This `to` method returns a `Range` object that can be used in `for` expressions. Imagine a scenario where someone is using this `TimeRange` implicit to construct time ranges, and then desires the original implicit defined in `Predef` for a `for` expression. One way to solve this is to import the `Predef` implicit at a higher precedence level in a lower scope where it's needed. This can be confusing, as shown in the following example:

Listing 5.10 Scoped precedence

```
object Test {
    println(1L to 10L)
    import Time._
    println(1L to 10L)
    def x() = {
        import scala.Predef.longWrapper
        println(1L to 10L)
        def y() = {
            import Time.longWrapper
            println(1L to 10L)
        }
        y()
    }
    x()
}
```

The `Test` object is defined and immediately prints the expression `(1L to 10L)`. The `Time` implicits are imported and the expression is again printed. Next, in a lower scope, the `Predef longWrapper` is imported and the expression is printed. Finally, in yet a lower scope, the `Time longWrapper` is imported and the expression is again printed. The result of this objects construction is:

```
scala> Test
NumericRange(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10)
TimeRange(1,10)
NumericRange(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10)
TimeRange(1,10)
res0: Test.type = Test$@2d34ab9b
```

The first `NumericRange` result is the expression `(1L to 10L)` before any import statements. The second `TimeRange` result is after the `Time` implicit conversion is imported. The next `NumericRange` result is from the nested scope in method `x()` and the final `TimeRange` result is the result of the statement in the deeply nested `y()` method. If the `Test` object contained a lot of code such that all these scopes were not visible within a single window, it would be hard to figure out what the result of the expression `(1L to 10L)` would return at any particular point. Avoid this kind of confusion. The best way is to avoid conflicts across implicit views, but sometimes this is difficult. In those cases, it's better to pick one conversion to be implicit and use the other explicitly.

Making implicits discoverable also helps make code readable, as it helps a new developer determine what is and should be happening in a block of code. Making

implicits discoverable is important when working on a team. Within the Scala community, it's common practice to limit importable implicits into one of two places:

- Package objects
- Singleton objects that have the postfix `Implicits`

Package objects make a great place to store implicits because they're already on the implicit scope for types defined within the package. Users need to investigate the package object for implicits relating to the package. Placing implicit definitions that need explicit import on the package object means that there's a greater chance a user will find the implicits and be aware of them. When providing implicits via package object, make sure to document if they require explicit imports for usage.

A better option to documenting explicit import of implicits is to avoid import statements altogether.

5.4.2 **Implicits without the import tax**

Implicits work well without requiring any sort of import. Their secondary lookup rules, which inspect companion objects of associated types, allow the definition of implicit conversions and values that don't require explicit `import` statements for these implicit values. With some creative definitions, expressive libraries can be defined that make the full use of implicits without requiring any imports. Let's look at an example of this: a library for expressing complex numbers.

Complex numbers are numbers that have a rational and imaginary part to them. The imaginary part is the part multiplied by the square root of -1, also known as i (or j for electrical engineers). This is simple to model using a case class in Scala:

```
package complexmath
case class ComplexNumber(real : Double, imaginary : Double)
```

The `ComplexNumber` class defines a real component of type `Double` called `real`. The `ComplexNumber` class also defines an imaginary component of type `Double` called `imaginary`. This class represents complex numbers using floating point arithmetic for the component parts. Complex numbers allow addition and multiplication. Let's take a look at those methods:

Listing 5.11 ComplexNumber class

```
package complexmath

case class ComplexNumber(real : Double, imaginary : Double) {
    def *(other : ComplexNumber) =
        ComplexNumber( (real*other.real) + (imaginary * other.imaginary),
                      (real*other.imaginary) + (imaginary * other.real) )
    def +(other : ComplexNumber) =
        ComplexNumber( real + other.real, imaginary + other.imaginary )
}
```

Addition, `+`, is defined such that the real/imaginary component of the sum of two complex numbers is the sum of the real/imaginary components of two numbers. Multiplication, `*`, is more complicated and defined as follows:

- The real component of the product of two complex numbers is the product of their real components added to the product of their imaginary components: `(real*other.real) + (imaginary * other.imaginary)`.
- The imaginary component of the product of two complex numbers is the sum of the product of the real component of one number with the imaginary component of the other number: `(real*other.imaginary) + (imaginary * other.real)`.

The complex number class now supports addition and multiplication. Let's look at the class in action:

```
scala> ComplexNumber(1,0) * ComplexNumber(0,1)
res0: imath.ComplexNumber = ComplexNumber(0.0,1.0)

scala> ComplexNumber(1,0) + ComplexNumber(0,1)
res1: imath.ComplexNumber = ComplexNumber(1.0,1.0)
```

The first line multiplies a real component by an imaginary component and the resulting complex number is imaginary. The second line adds a real component to an imaginary component, resulting in a complex number with both real and imaginary parts. The operators `*` and `+` work as desired, but calling the `ComplexNumber` factory method is a bit verbose. This can be simplified using a new notation for complex numbers.

In mathematics, complex numbers are usually represented as a sum of the real and imaginary parts. An example representation of `ComplexNumber(1.0,1.0)` would be `1.0 + 1.0*i`, where `i` is the symbol for the imaginary number, the square root of `-1`. This notation would make an ideal syntax for the complex number library. Let's define the symbol `i` to refer to the square root of `-1`.

```
package object complexmath {
    val i = ComplexNumber(0.0,1.0)
}
```

This defines the `val i` on the package object for `complexmath`. This places the name `i` available within the `complexmath` package and allows it to be imported directly. This name can be used to construct complex numbers from their component parts. But a piece is missing, as shown in the following REPL session:

```
scala> i * 1.0
<console>:9: error: type mismatch;
       found   : Double(1.0)
       required: ComplexNumber
              i * 1.0
```

Attempting to multiply the imaginary number `i` by a `Double` fails because the `ComplexNumber` type only defines multiplication on `ComplexNumber` types. In mathematics, real numbers can be multiplied by complex numbers because a real number can be

considered a complex number that has no imaginary component. This property can be emulated in Scala using an implicit conversion from Double to ComplexNumber:

```
package object complexmath {
    implicit def realToComplex(r : Double) = new ComplexNumber(r, 0.0)
    val i = ComplexNumber(0.0, 1.0)
}
```

The complexmath package object now contains the definition for the value i as well as an implicit conversion from Double to ComplexNumber called realToComplex. We'd like to limit the usage of this implicit conversion so that it's only used when absolutely needed. Let's try using the complexmath package without explicitly importing any implicit conversions:

```
scala> import complexmath.i
import complexmath.i

scala> val x = i*5.0 + 1.0
x: complexmath.ComplexNumber = ComplexNumber(1.0,5.0)
```

The val x is declared using the expression i*5 + 1 and has the type ComplexNumber with a real component of 1.0 and an imaginary component of 5.0. The important thing to note here is that only the name i is imported from complexmath. The rest of the implicit conversions are all triggered from the i object when the compiler first sees the expression i*5. The value i is known to be a ComplexNumber and defines a * method that takes another ComplexNumber. The literal 5.0 isn't of the type ComplexNumber, but Double. The compiler issues an implicit search for the type Double => complexmath.ComplexNumber. This search finds the realToComplex conversion on the package object and applies it. Next the compiler sees the expression (... : ComplexNumber) + 1.0. The compiler finds a + method defined on ComplexNumber that accepts a ComplexNumber. The value 1.0 is of type Double, not ComplexNumber so the compiler issues another implicit search for the type Double => ComplexNumber. Again this is found and applied, resulting in the final value for the expression of ComplexNumber(1.0, 5.0).

Notice how the value i is used to trigger complex arithmetic. Once a complex number is seen, the compiler can accurately find implicits to ensure that expressions are compiled. The syntax is elegant and concise, and no implicit conversions were needed to make this syntax work. The downside is that the value i must be used to begin a ComplexNumber expression. Let's look at what happens when i appears at the end of the expression:

```
scala> val x = 1.0 + 5.0*i
<console>:6: error: overloaded method value * with alternatives:
  (Double)Double <and>
  (Float)Float <and>
  (Long)Long <and>
  (Int)Int <and>
  (Char)Int <and>
  (Short)Int <and>
```

```
(Byte)Int
cannot be applied to (complexmath.ComplexNumber)
val x = 1 + 5*i
```

The compiler complains about the expression because it can't find a `+` method defined for the type `Double` that takes a `ComplexNumber`. This issue could be solved by importing the implicit view of `Double => ComplexNumber` into scope:

```
scala> import complexmath.realToComplex
import complexmath.realToComplex

scala> val x = 1.0 + 5.0*i
x: complexmath.ComplexNumber = ComplexNumber(1.0,5.0)
```

The `realToComplex` implicit view is imported first. Now the expression `1 + 5*i` evaluates correctly to a `ComplexNumber(1.0, 5.0)`. The downside is that there's now an additional implicit view in scope for the type `Double`. This can cause issues if other implicit views are defined that provide similar methods to `ComplexNumber`. Let's define a new implicit conversion that adds an `imaginary` method to `Double`.

```
scala> implicit def doubleToReal(x : Double) = new {
|   def real = "For Reals(" + x + ")"
| }
doubleToReal: (x: Double)java.lang.Object{def real: java.lang.String}

scala> 5.0 real
<console>:10: error: type mismatch;
 found   : Double
 required: ?{val real: ?}
Note that implicit conversions are not applicable
because they are ambiguous:
both method doubleToReal in object $iw of type
  (x: Double)java.lang.Object{def real: java.lang.String}
and method realToComplex in package complexmath of type
  (r: Double)complexmath.ComplexNumber
are possible conversion functions from
  Double to ?{val real: ?}
      5.0 real
```

The first statement defines an implicit view on the `Double` type that adds a new type containing a `real` method. The `real` method returns a string version of the `Double`. The next statement attempts to call the `real` method and is unable to do so. The compiler complains about finding ambiguous implicit conversions. The issue here is the `ComplexNumber` type also defines a method `real`, and so the implicit conversion from `Double => ComplexNumber` is getting in the way of our `doubleToReal` implicit conversion. This conflict can be avoided by not importing the `Double => ComplexNumber` conversion:

```
scala> import complexmath.i
import complexmath.i

scala> implicit def doubleToReal(x : Double) = new {
|   def real = "For Reals(" + x + ")"
| }
```

```
doubleToReal: (x: Double)java.lang.Object{def real: java.lang.String}  
scala> 5.0 real  
res0: java.lang.String = For Reals(5.0)
```

The example starts a new REPL session that only imports `complexmath.i`. The next statement redefines the `doubleToReal` conversion. Now the expression `5.0 real` successfully compiles because there's no conflict.

You can use this idiom to successfully create expressive code without all the dangers of implicit conflicts. The pattern takes the following form:

- Define the core abstractions for a library, such as the `ComplexNumber` class.
- Define the implicit conversions needed for expressive code in one of the associated types of the conversion. The `Double => ComplexNumber` conversion was created in the `complexmath` package object which is associated with the `ComplexNumber` type and therefore discovered in any implicit lookup involving the `ComplexNumber` type.
- Define an *entry point* into the library such that implicit conversions are disambiguated after the entry point. In the `complexmath` library, the value `i` is the entry point.
- Some situations require an explicit import. In the `complexmath` library, the entry point `i` allows certain types of expressions but not others that intuition would suggest should be there. For example, `(i * 5.0 + 1.0)` is accepted and `(1.0 + 5.0*i)` is rejected. In this situation, it's acceptable to provide implicit conversions that can be imported from a well-known location. In `complexmath`, this location is the package object.

Following these guidelines helps create expressive APIs that are also discoverable.

5.5 Summary

In this chapter, we discussed the implicit lookup mechanism of Scala. Scala supports two types of implicits: implicit value and implicit views. Implicit values can be used to provide arguments to method calls. Implicit views can be used to convert between types or to allow method calls against a type to succeed. Both implicit values and implicit views use the same implicit resolution mechanism. Implicit resolution uses a two stage process. The first stage looks for implicits that have no prefix in the current scope. The second stage looks in companion objects of associated types. Implicits provide a powerful way to enhance existing classes. They can also be used with default parameters to reduce the noise for method calls and tie behavior to the scope of an implicit value.

Most importantly, implicits provide a lot of power and should be used responsibly. Limiting the scope of implicits and defining them in well-known or easily discoverable locations is key to success. You can do this by providing unambiguous entry points into implicit conversions and expressive APIs. Implicits also interact with Scala's type system in interesting ways. We'll discuss these in chapter 7, but first let's look at Scala's type system.



The type system

In this chapter

- Structural types
- Using type constraints
- Type parameters and higher-kinded types
- Existential types

The type system is an important component of the Scala language. It enables lots of rich optimizations and constraints to be used during compilation, which helps runtime speed and prevents programming errors. The type system allows us to create all sorts of interesting walls around ourselves, known as types. These walls help prevent us from accidentally writing improper code. This is done through the compiler tracking information about variables, methods, and classes. The more you know about Scala's type system, the more information you can give the compiler, and the type walls become less restrictive while still providing the same protection.

When using a type system, it's best to think of it as an overprotective father. It will constantly warn you of problems or prevent you from doing things altogether. The better you communicate with the type system, the less restrictive it becomes. But if you attempt to do something deemed inappropriate, the compiler will warn

you. The compiler can be a great means of detecting errors if you give it enough information.

In his book *Imperfect C++*, Matthew Wilson uses an analogy of comparing the compiler to a batman. This batman isn't a caped crusader but is instead a good friend who offers advice and supports the programmer. In this chapter, you'll learn the basics of the type system so you can begin to rely on it to catch common programming errors. The next chapter will cover more advanced type system concepts, as well as utilizing implicits with the type system.

This chapter will cover the basics of the type system, touching on definitions and theory. The next chapter covers more practical applications of the type system and the best practices to use when defining constraints. Feel free to skip this information if you're already comfortable with Scala's type system.

Understanding Scala's type system begins in first understanding what a type is and how to create it.

6.1 Types

A type is a set of information the compiler knows. This could be anything from "what class was used to instantiate this variable" to "what collection of methods are known to exist on this variable." The user can explicitly provide this information, or the compiler can infer it through inspection of other code. When passing or manipulating variables, this information can be expanded or reduced, depending on how you've written your methods. To begin, let's look at how types are defined in Scala.

WHAT IS A TYPE? A good example is the `String` type. This type includes a method `substring`, among other methods. If the user called a `substring` on a variable of type `String` the compiler would allow the call, because it knows that it would succeed at runtime (move above when passing or manipulating variables).

In Scala, types can be defined in two ways:

- Defining a class, trait or object.
- Directly defining a type using the `type` keyword.

Defining a class, trait, or object automatically creates an associated type for the class, trait, or object. This type can be referred to using the same name as the class or trait. For objects we refer to the type slightly differently due to the potential of classes or traits having the same name as an object. Let's look at defining a few types and referring to them in method arguments:

Listing 6.1 Defining types from class, trait, or object keywords

```
scala> class ClassName
defined class ClassName

scala> trait TraitName
defined trait TraitName
```

```

scala> object ObjectName
defined module ObjectName

scala> def foo(x: ClassName) = x
foo: (x: ClassName)ClassName

scala> def bar(x: TraitName) = x
bar: (x: TraitName)TraitName

scala> def baz(x: ObjectName.type) = x
baz: (x: ObjectName.type)object ObjectName

```

← Simple type

← Refers to a trait

← Refers to object's type

As seen in the example, class and trait names can be referenced directly when annotating types within Scala. When referring to an object's type, you need to use the `type` member of the object. This syntax isn't normally seen in Scala, because if you know an object's type, you can just as easily access the object directly, rather than ask for it in a parameter.

Using objects as parameters

Using objects as parameters can greatly help when defining domain specific languages, as you can embed words as objects that become parameters. For example, we could define a simulation DSL as follows:

```

object Now
object simulate {
  def once(behavior : () => Unit) = new {
    def right(now : Now.type) : Unit = ...
  }
}
simulate once { () => someAction() } right Now

```

6.1.1 Types and paths

Types within Scala are referenced relative to a binding or path. As discussed in chapter 5, a binding is the name used to refer to an entity. This name could be imported from another scope. A path isn't a type; it's a location of sorts where the compiler can find types. A path could be one of the following:

- An empty path. When a type name is used directly, there's an implicit empty path preceding it.
- The path `C.this` where `C` refers to a class. Using the `this` keyword directly in a class `C` is shorthand for the full path `C.this`. This path type is useful for referring to identifiers defined on outer classes.
- The path `p.x` where `p` is a path and `x` is a stable identifier of `x`. A stable identifier is an identifier that the compiler knows for certain will always be accessible from the path `p`. For example, the path `scala.Option` refers to the `Option` singleton defined on the package `scala`. It's always known to exist. The formal definition of stable members are packages, objects, or value definitions introduced on nonvolatile types. A volatile type is a type where the compiler can't be certain its members won't change. An example would be an abstract type

definition on an abstract class. The type definition could change depending on the subclass and the compiler doesn't have enough information to compute a stable identifier from this volatile type.

- The path `C.super` or `C.super[P]` where `C` refers to a class and `P` refers to a parent type of class `C`. Using the `super` keyword directly is shorthand for `C.super`. Use this path to disambiguate between identifiers defined on a class and a parent class.

Types within Scala are referred to via two mechanisms: the hash (#) and dot (.) operators. The dot operator can be thought of doing the same for types as it does for members of an object. It refers to a type found on a specific object instance. This is known as a path-dependent type. When a method is defined using the dot operator to a particular type, that type is bound to a specific instance of the object. This means that you can't use a type from a different object, of the same class, to satisfy any type constraints made using the dot operator. The best way to think of this is that there's a path of specific object instances connected by the dot operator. For a variable to match your type, it must follow the same object instance path. You can see an example of this later.

The hash operator (#) is a looser restriction than the dot operator. It's known as a type projection, which is a means of referring to a nested type without requiring a path of object instances. This means that you can reference a nested type as if it weren't nested. You can see an example usage later.

Listing 6.2 Path-dependent types and type projection examples

```
class Outer {
    trait Inner
    def y = new Inner {}
    def foo(x : this.Inner) = null
    def bar(x : X#Inner) = null
}

scala> val x = new Outer
x: Outer = Outer@58804a77

scala> val y = new Outer
y: Outer = Outer@20e1ed5b

scala> x.y
res0: java.lang.Object with x.Inner = Outer$$anon$1@5faecf45

scala> x.foo(x.y)
res1: Null = null

scala> x.foo(y.y)
<console>:9: error: type mismatch;
           found   : java.lang.Object with y.Inner
           required: x.Inner
                  x.foo(y.y)

scala> x.bar(y.y)
res2: Null = null
```

The code listing includes several annotations with arrows pointing to specific parts of the code:

- An annotation labeled "Defines nested type via trait" points to the line `trait Inner`.
- An annotation labeled "Type displays as x.Y not X.Y or X#Y" points to the line `x.y`.
- An annotation labeled "Same instance type-check succeeds" points to the line `x.foo(x.y)`.
- An annotation labeled "Different instance fails" points to the line `x.foo(y.y)`.
- An annotation labeled "Hash type succeeds" points to the line `x.bar(y.y)`.

In the preceding example, the `Outer` class defines a nested trait `Inner` along with two methods that use the `Inner` type. Method `foo` uses a path dependent type and method `bar` uses a type projection. Variables `x` and `y` are constructed as two different instances of the `Outer` class. The reference to the `y` member of an instance of `Outer` displays its type, `java.lang.Object` with `x.Y` when we type this into the REPL. This type displays with the *variable instance* of `Outer`, which is `x`. This is what we meant earlier by our path. To access the correct type `Y`, you must travel the path through the `x` variable. If we call the `foo` method on `x` using the `Inner` instance from the same `x` variable, then the call is successful. But using the `Inner` instance from the `y` variable causes the compiler to complain with a type error. The type error explicitly states that it's expecting the `Inner` type to come from the same instance as the method call—the `x` instance.

The `bar` method was defined using a type projection. The instance restriction isn't in place as it was for the `foo` method. When calling the `bar` method on the `x` instance using the `inner` type from the `y` instance, the call succeeds. This shows that although path-dependent types (`foo.Bar`) require the `Bar` instances to be generated from the same `foo` instance, type projections (`Foo#Bar`) match any `Bar` instances generated from any `Foo` instances. Both path-dependent and type projection rules apply to all nested types, including those created using the `type` keyword.

PATH-DEPENDENT TYPES VERSUS TYPE PROJECTS All path-dependent types are type projections. A path-dependent type `foo.Bar` is rewritten as `foo.type#Bar` by the compiler. The expression `foo.type` refers to the singleton type of `Foo`. This singleton type can only be satisfied by the entity referenced by the name `foo`. The path-dependent type (`foo.Bar`) requires the `Bar` instances to be generated from the same `foo` instance, while a type projection `Foo#Bar` would match any `Bar` instances generated from any `Foo` instances, not necessarily the entity referred to by the name `Foo`.

In Scala, all type references can be written as projects against named entities. The type `scala.String` is shorthand for `scala.type#String` where the name `scala` refers to the package `scala` and the type `String` is defined by the `String` class on the `scala` package.

There can be some confusion when using path-dependent types for classes that have companion objects. For example, if the trait `bar.Foo` has a companion object `bar.Foo`, then the type `bar.Foo` (`bar.type#Foo`) would refer to the trait's type and the type `bar.Foo.type` would refer to the companion object's type.

6.1.2 *The type keyword*

Scala also allows types to be constructed using the `type` keyword. This can be used to create both concrete and abstract types. Concrete types are created by referring to existing types, or through structural types which we'll discuss later. Abstract types are created as place holders that you can later refine in a subclass. This allows a significant level of abstraction and type safety within programs. We'll discuss this more later, but for now let's create our own types.

The `type` keyword can only define types within some sort of context, specifically within a class, trait, or object, or within subcontext of one of these. The syntax of the `type` keyword is simple. It consists of the keyword itself, an identifier, and, optionally, a definition or constraint for the type. If a definition is provided, the type is concrete. If no constraints or assignments are provided, the type is considered abstract. We'll get into type constraints a little later; for now let's look at the syntax for the `type` keyword:

```
type AbstractType
type ConcreteType = SomeFooType
type ConcreteType2 = SomeFooType with SomeBarType
```

Notice that concrete types can be defined through combining other types. This new type is referred to as a *compound type*. The new type is satisfied only if an instance meets all the requirements of both original types. The compiler will ensure that these types are compatible before allowing the combination.

As an analogy, think of the initial two types as a bucket of toys. Each toy in a given bucket is equivalent to a member on the original type. When you create a compound type of two types using the `with` keyword, you're taking two buckets, from two of your friends, and placing all their toys into one larger, compound bucket. When you're combining the buckets, you notice that one friend may have a cooler version of a particular toy, such as the latest Ninja Turtle action figure, while the other friend, not as wealthy, has a ninja turtle that's bright yellow and has teeth marks. In this case, you pick the coolest toy and leave it in the bucket. Given a sufficient definition of cool, this is how type unions work in Scala. For Scala, cool refers to type refinement. A type is more refined if Scala knows more about it. You may also have situations where you discover that both friends have broken or incomplete toys. In this case, you would take pieces from each toy and attempt to construct the full toy. For the most part, this analogy holds for compound types. It's a simple combination of all the members from the original types, with various override rules. Type unions are even easier to understand when looking at them through the lens of structural types.

6.1.3 Structural types

In Scala, a structural type is created using the `type` keyword and defining what method signatures and variable signatures you expect on the desired type. This allows a developer to define an abstract interface without requiring users to extend some trait or class to meet this interface. One common usage of structural typing is in the use of resource management code.

Rule
15

Avoid structural types

Structural types are usually implemented with reflection. Reflection isn't always available on every platform and it can lead to performance issues. It's best to provide named interfaces rather than use structural types in the general case. However for nonperformance sensitive situations, they can be very useful.

Some of the most annoying bugs, in my experience, are resource-related. We must always ensure that something acquired is released, and something created is eventually destroyed. As such, there's a lot of boilerplate code common when using resources. I would love to avoid boilerplate code in Scala, so let's see if structural types can come to the rescue. Let's define a simple function that will ensure that a resource is closed after some block of code is executed. There's no formal definition for what a resource is, so we'll try to define it as anything that has a `close` method.

Listing 6.3 Resource handling utility

```
object Resources {
    type Resource = {
        def close() : Unit
    }
    def closeResource(r : Resource) = r.close()
}

scala> Resources.close(System.in)
Exception in thread "main" java.io.IOException:
    Stream is Closed
```

Annotations for Listing 6.3:

- Define type**: Points to the line `type Resource = {`.
- Require close method**: Points to the line `def close() : Unit`.
- Using method on type**: Points to the line `r.close()`.
- System.in closed!**: Points to the exception message `Stream is Closed`.

The first thing we do is define a structural type for resources. We define a type of the name `Resource` and assign it to an anonymous, or structural, resource definition. The resource definition is a block that encloses a bunch of abstract methods or members. In this case, we define the `Resource` type to have one member, named `close`. Finally, in the `closeResource` method, you can see that we can accept a method parameter using the structural type and call the `close` member we defined in definition. Then we attempt to use our method against `System.in`, which has a `close` method. You can tell the call succeeds by the exception that's thrown. In general, you shouldn't close the master input or output streams when running inside the interpreter! But it does show that structural types have the nice feature of working against any object. This is nice for dealing with libraries or classes we don't directly control.

Structural typing also works within nested types and with nested types. We can nest types within the anonymous structural block. Let's try implementing a simple nested abstract type and see if we can create a method that uses this type.

Listing 6.4 Nested structural typing

```
scala> type T = {
    |   type X = Int
    |   def x : X
    |   type Y
    |   def y : Y
    | }
defined type alias T
scala> object Foo {
    |   type X = Int
    |   def x : X = 5
    |   type Y = String
    |   def y : Y = "Hello, World!"
```

Annotations for Listing 6.4:

- Nested type alias**: Points to the line `type X = Int`.
- Nested abstract type**: Points to the line `type Y`.
- Concrete type**: Points to the line `def y : Y = "Hello, World!"`.

```

| }
defined module Foo

scala> def test(t : T) = t.x
<console>:7: error: illegal dependent method type
      def test(t : T) = t.x
                  ^

```

← Unstable type

We start by declaring a structural type `T`. This type contains two nested types: `X` and `Y`. `X` is defined to be equivalent to `Int`, while `Y` is left abstract. We then implement a real object `Foo` that meets this structural type. Now we try to create a test method that should return the result of calling the `x` method on an instance of type `T`. We expect this to return an integer, as the `x` method on `T` returns the `X` type, and this is aliased to `Int`. But the definition of the call fails. Why? Scala doesn't allow a method to be defined such that the types used are path-dependent on other arguments to the method. In this case, the return value of `test` would be dependent on the argument to `test`. We can prove this by writing out the expected return type explicitly:

```

scala> def test(t : T) : t.X = t.x
<console>:7: error: illegal dependent

```

Therefore, the compiler inferred the path-dependent type here. If instead of using a path-dependent type, we wanted the type project against `X` we can modify our code. The compiler won't automatically infer this for us, because the inference engine tries to find the most specific type it can. In this case, `t.X` is inferred, which is illegal. `T#X`, on the other hand, is valid in this context, and it's also known to be an `Int` by the compiler. Let's see what signature the compiler creates for something returning the type `T#X`.

```

scala> def test(t : T) : T#X = t.x
test: (t: T)Int

scala> test(Foo)
res2: Int = 5

```

As you can see, the method is defined to return an `Int`, and works correctly against our `Foo` object. What does this code look like if we have it use the abstract type `Y` instead? The compiler can make no assumptions about the type `Y`, so it only allows you to treat it as the absolute minimum type, or `Any`. Let's create a method that returns a `T#Y` type to see what it looks like:

```

scala> def test2(t : T) : T#Y = t.y
test2: (t: T)AnyRef{
    type X = Int;
    def x: this.X;
    type Y;
    def y: this.Y}#Y

```

The return type of the `test2` method is `AnyRef{type X = Int; def x: this.X; typeY; def y: this.Y}#Y`. The rather verbose signature shows you how far the compiler goes into enforcing the type of the return. Because `T#Y` isn't easily equivalent to another type, the compiler must drag all the information about `T` around with the type `T#Y`. Because a type projection isn't tied to a particular instance, the compiler can be sure

that two type projections are compatible. As a quick aside, notice the types of the `x` and `y` methods.

The `x` and `y` methods have return values that are path-dependent on this. When we defined the `x` method, we specified only a type of `X`, and yet the compiler turned the type into `this.X`. Because the `X` type is defined within the structural type `T`, you can refer to it via the identifier `x`; it refers to the path-dependent type `this.X`. Understanding when you've created a path-dependent type and when it's acceptable to refer to these types is important.

When you reference one type defined inside another, you have a path-dependent type. Using a path-dependent type inside a block of code is perfectly acceptable. The compiler can ensure that the nested types refer to the exact object instance through examining the code. But to escape a path-dependent type outside this original scope, the compiler needs some way of ensuring the path is the same instance. This can sometimes boil down to using `objects` and `vals` instead of `classes` and `defs`.

Listing 6.5 Path-dependent and structural types

```
object Foo {
    type T = {
        type U
        def bar : U
    }
    val baz : T = new {
        type U = String
        def bar : U = "Hello World!"
    }
}

scala> def test(f : Foo.baz.U) = f
test: (f: Foo.baz.U)Foo.baz.U

scala> test(Foo.baz.bar)
res0: Foo.baz.U = Hello World!
```

Annotations for Listing 6.5:

- A callout arrow points from the `type T = { ... }` block to the text **Nested type definitions**.
- A callout arrow points from the `val baz : T = new { ... }` block to the text **Stable reference to T instance**.
- A callout arrow points from the `def test(f : Foo.baz.U) = f` line to the text **Argument type is stable**.

First we set up the nested types `T` and `U`. These are nested on the singleton `Foo`. We then create an instance of type `Foo.T` labeled `baz`. Being a `val` member, the compiler knows that this instance is unchanging throughout the lifetime of the program and is therefore stable. Finally, we create a method that takes the type `Foo.baz.U` as an argument. We accept this because the path-dependent type `U` is defined on a path known to be stable: `Foo.baz`. When running into path-dependent type issues, we can fix things by finding a way for the compiler to know that a type is stable—that the type will also be well defined. This can usually be accomplished by utilizing some stable reference path.

Let's look at a more in-depth example of path-dependent types by designing an `Observable` trait that you can use as a generic mechanism to watch for changes or notify others of a change. The `Observable` trait should provide two public methods: one that allows observers to subscribe and another that unsubscribes an observer. Observers should be able to subscribe to an `Observable` instance by providing a simple function callback. The `subscription` method should return a handle so that

an observer can unsubscribe from change events on the observer at a future date. With path-dependent types, we can enforce that this handle is valid only with the originating Observable instance. Let's look at the public interface on Observable:

```
trait Observable {
    type Handle
    def observe(callback: this.type => Unit): Handle = {
        val handle = createHandle(callback)
        callbacks += (handle -> callback)
        handle
    }
    def unobserve(handle: Handle) : Unit = {
        callbacks -= handle
    }
    protected def createHandle(callback: this.type => Unit): Handle
    protected def notifyListeners() : Unit =
        for(callback <- callbacks.values) callback(this)
}
```

The first thing to notice is the abstract Handle type. We'll use this type to refer to registered observer callback functions. The observe method is defined to take a function of type this.type => Unit and return a handle. Let's look at the callback type. The callback is a function that takes something of this.type and returns a Unit. The type this.type is a mechanism in Scala to refer to the type of the current object. This is similar to calling Foo.type for a Scala object with one major difference. Unlike directly referencing the current type of the object, this.type changes with inheritance. In a later example, we'll show how a subclass of Observable will require callbacks to take their specific type as their parameter.

The unobserve function takes in a handle that was previously assigned to a callback and removes that observer. This handle type is path-dependent and must come from the current object. This means even if the same callback is registered to different Observable instances, their handles can't be interchanged.

The next thing to notice is that we use a function here that isn't yet defined: createHandle. This method should be able to construct handles to callbacks when they're registered in an observe method. I've purposely left this abstract so that implementers of the observable pattern can determine their own mechanism for differentiating callbacks with handles. Let's try to implement a default implementation for handles.

```
trait DefaultHandles extends Observable {
    type Handle = (this.type => Unit)
    protected def createHandle(callback: this.type => Unit): Handle =
        callback
}
```

The DefaultHandles trait extends Observable and provides a simple implementation of Handle: It defines the Handle type to be the same type as the callbacks. This means that whatever equality and hashing are defined on the callback objects themselves will

be used in the `Observable` trait to store and look up observers. In the case of Scala's `Function` object equality and hash code are instance-based, as is the default for any user-defined object. Now that there's an implementation for the handles, let's define an observable object.

Let's create a `IntHolder` class that will hold an integer. The `IntHolder` will notify observers every time its internal value changes. The `IntHolder` class should also allow a mechanism to get the currently held integer and set the integer:

```
class IntStore(private var value: Int)
    extends Observable with DefaultHandles {
    def get : Int = value
    def set(newValue : Int) : Unit = {
        value = newValue
        notifyListeners()
    }
    override def toString : String = "IntStore(" + value + ")"
}
```

The `IntStore` class extends the `Observable` trait from the previous lines of code and mixes in the `DefaultHandles` implementation for handles. The `get` method returns the value stored in the `IntStore`. The `set` method assigns the new value and then notifies observers of the change. The `toString` method has also been overridden to provide a nicer printed form. Let's take a look at this class in action:

```
scala> val x = new IntStore(5)
x: IntStore = IntStore(5)

scala> val handle = x.observe(println)
handle: (x.type) => Unit = <function1>

scala> x.set(2)
IntStore(2)

scala> x.unobserve(handle)

scala> x.set(4)
```

The `x` variable is constructed as an `IntStore` with an initial value of 5. Next an observer is registered that will print the `IntStore` to the console on changes. The handle to this observer is saved in the `handle` variable. Notice that the type of the handle uses a path-dependent `x.type`. Next, the value stored in `x` is changed to 2. The observer is notified and `IntStore(2)` is printed on the console. Next the `handle` variable is used to remove the observer. Now, when the value stored in `x` is changed to 4, the new value isn't printed to the console. The observer functionality is working as desired.

What happens if we construct multiple `IntStore` instances and attempt to register the same callback to both? If it's the same callback, using the `DefaultHandles` trait means that the two handles should be equal. Let's try to do this in the REPL:

```
scala> val x = new IntStore(5)
x: IntStore = IntStore(5)

scala> val y = new IntStore(2)
y: IntStore[Int] = IntStore(2)
```

```
scala> y.unobserve(handle1)
<console>:10: error: type mismatch;
  found   : (x.type)  => Unit
  required: (y.type)  => Unit
          y.unobserve(handle1)
                  ^
```

First we create separate instances, `x` and `y` of `IntStore`. Next we need to create a callback we can use on both observers. Let's use the same `println` method as before:

```
scala> val callback = println(_ : Any)
callback: (Any)  => Unit = <function1>
```

Now let's register the callback on both the `x` and `y` variable instances and check to see if the handles are equal:

```
scala> val handle1 = x.observe(callback)
handle1: (x.type)  => Unit = <function1>

scala> val handle2 = y.observe(callback)
handle2: (y.type)  => Unit = <function1>

scala> handle1 == handle2
res3: Boolean = true
```

The result is that the handle objects are exactly the same. Note that the `==` method does a runtime check of equality and works on any two types. This means that theoretically the handle from `y` could be used to remove the observer on `x`. Let's look at what happens when attempting this on the REPL:

```
scala> y.unobserve(handle1)
<console>:10: error: type mismatch;
  found   : (x.type)  => Unit
  required: (y.type)  => Unit
          y.unobserve(handle1)
                  ^
```

The compiler won't allow this usage. The path-dependent typing restricts our handles from being generated from the same method. Even though the handles are equal at runtime, the type system has prevented us from using the wrong handle to unregister an observer. This is important because the type of the `Handle` could change in the future. If we implemented the `Handle` type differently in the future, then code that relied on handles being interchangeable between `IntStores` would be broken. Luckily the compiler enforces the correct behavior here.

Path-dependent types have other uses, but this should give you a good idea of their use and utility. What if, in the `Observable` example, we had wanted to include some kind of restriction on the `Handle` type? This is where we can use type constraints.

6.2 Type constraints

Type constraints are rules associated with a type that must be met for a variable to match the given type. A type can be defined with multiple constraints at once. Each of these constraints must be satisfied when the compiler is type checking expressions. Type constraints take the following two forms:

- Lower bounds (subtype restrictions)
- Upper bounds (supertype restrictions, also known as Conformance relations)

Lower bound restrictions can be thought of as super-restrictions. This is where the type selected must be equal to or a supertype of the lower bound restriction. Let's look at an example using Scala's collection hierarchy.

Listing 6.6 Lower bounds on types

```
class A {
  type B >: List[Int]
  def foo(a : B) = a
}

scala> val x = new A { type B = Traversable[Int] }
x: A{type B = Traversable[Int]} = $anon$1@650b5efb

scala> x.foo(Set(1))
res8: x.B = Set(1)

scala> val y = new A { type B = Set[Int] }
<console>:6: error: overriding type B in class A with
  bounds >: List[Int] <: Any;
  type B has incompatible type
    val y = new A { type B = Set[Int] }
```

Annotations for Listing 6.6:

- A callout points to the line `type B >: List[Int]` with the text "Define lower bound restriction".
- A callout points to the line `x: A{type B = Traversable[Int]} = $anon$1@650b5efb` with the text "Refine type A".
- A callout points to the line `Set(1)` with the text "Set is of type traversable".
- A callout points to the line `val y = new A { type B = Set[Int] }` with the text "Set violates type constraint".

The first thing we do is define type `B` inside class `A` to have a lower bound of `List[Int]`. Then we instantiate a variable `x` as an anonymous subclass of `A`, such that type `B` is stabilized at `Traversable[Int]`. This doesn't issue any warning, because `Traversable` is a parent class of `List`. The interesting piece here is that we can call our `foo` method with a `Set` class. A `Set` isn't a supertype of the `List` class; it's a subtype of `Traversable`! Just because the type restriction on type `B` requires it to be a superclass of `List` doesn't mean that arguments matching against type `B` need to be within `List`'s hierarchy. They only need to match against the concrete form of type `B`, which is `Traversable`. What we can't do is create a subclass of `A` where the type `B` is assigned as a `Set[Int]`; a `Set` could be polymorphically referred to as `Iterable` or `Traversable`.

Because Scala is a polymorphic object-oriented language, it's important to understand the difference between the compile-time type constraints and runtime type constraints. In this instance, we are enforcing that type `B`'s compile-time type information must come from a superclass of `List` or `List` itself. Polymorphism means that an object of class `Set`, which subclasses `Traversable`, can be used when the compile-time type requires a `Traversable`. When doing so, we aren't throwing away any behavior of the object; we're merely dropping some of our compile-time knowledge of the type. It's important to remember this when using with lower bound constraints.

Upper bound restrictions are far more common in Scala. An upper bound restriction states that any type selected must be equal to or a lower than the upper bound type. In the case of a class or trait, this means that any selected type must subclass from the class or trait upper bound. In the case of structural types, it means that whatever

type is selected must meet the structural type, but can have more information. Let's define an upper bound restriction.

Listing 6.7 Upper bounds on types

```
class A {
    type B <: Traversable[Int]
    def count(b : B) = b.foldLeft(0) (_+_)
}

scala> val x = new A { type B = List[Int] }
x: A{type B = List[Int]} = $anon$1@371c1463

scala> x.count(List(1,2))
res11: Int = 3

scala> x.count(Set(1,2))
<console>:8: error: type mismatch;
 found   : scala.collection.immutable.Set[Int]
 required: x.B
         x.count(Set(1,2))
                     ^

scala> val y = new A { type B = Set[Int] }
y: A{type B = Set[Int]} = $anon$1@402fbcd59

scala> y.count(Set(1,2))
res13: Int = 3
```

First we create a type `B` that has a lower bound of `Traversable[Int]`. When we use an unrefined type `B`, we can use any method defined in `Traversable[Int]` because we know that any type satisfying `B`'s type restriction needs to extend `Traversable[Int]`. Later we can refine type `B` to be a `List[Int]`, and everything works great. Once refined, we can't pass other subtypes of `Traversable[Int]`, such as a `Set[Int]`. The parameters to the `count` method must satisfy the refined type `B`. We can also create another refinement of type `B` that's a `Set[Int]`, and this will accept `Set[Int]` arguments. As you can see, upper bounds work the opposite way from lower bounds. Another nice aspect of upper bounds is that you can utilize methods on the upper bound without knowing the full type refinement.

MAXIMUM UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDS In Scala, all types have a maximum upper bound of `Any` and lower bound of `Nothing`. If the compiler ever warns about incompatible type signatures that include `Nothing` or `Any`, without your code referring to them, it's a good bet that you have an unbounded type somewhere the compiler is trying to infer.

An interesting side note about Scala is that all types have an upper bound of `Any` and a lower bound of `Nothing`. This is because all types in Scala descend from `Any`, while all types are extended by `Nothing`. If the compiler ever warns about incompatible type signatures that include `Nothing` or `Any`, without you having specified them, it's a good bet that you have an unbounded type somewhere the compiler is trying to infer. The

usual cause of this is trying to combine incompatible types, or you have a missing upper or lower bound on a generic type.

Rule
16

AVOID USELESS <: CONSTRAINTS

In Scala, expressions are polymorphic. If a method accepts an argument of type `Any`, it can be passed an expression of type `Int`. When enforcing type constraints on method parameters it may not be necessary to use a type constraint but instead accept the subtype. For example:

```
def sum[T <: List[Int]](t: T) = t.foldLeft(0)(_+_)
```

The `sum` method has a redundant type constraint. Because the type `T` doesn't occur in the resulting value, the method could be written as

```
def sum(t: List[Int]) = t.foldLeft(0)(_+_)
```

without any changes to the meaning.

Bounded types are immensely useful in Scala. They help us define generic methods that can retain whatever specialized types they're called with. They help design generic classes that can interoperate with all sorts of code. The standard collection library uses them extensively to enable all sorts of powerful combinations of methods. The collections, and other higher-kinded types, benefit greatly from using both upper and lower bounds in code. To understand how and when to use them, we must first delve into type parameters and higher-kinded types.

6.3 Type parameters and higher-kinded types

Type parameters and higher-kinded types are the bread and butter of the type system. A type parameter is a type definition that's taken in as a parameter when calling a method, constructing a type, or extending a type. Higher-kinded types are those that accept other types and construct a new type. Just as parameters are key to constructing and combining methods, type parameters are the key to constructing and combining types.

6.3.1 Type parameter constraints

Type parameters are defined within brackets `([])` before any normal parameters are defined. Normal parameters can then use the types named as parameters. Let's look at a simple method defined using type parameters in figure 6.1:

This is the definition of a `randomElement`

method. The method takes a type parameter `A`. This type parameter is then used in the method parameter list. The `randomElement` method takes a `List` of some element type, named `A`, and returns an instance of that element type. When calling the method, we can specify the type parameter as we wish:

```
scala> randomElement[Int](List(1,2,3))
res0: Int = 3

scala> randomElement[Int](List("1", "2", "3"))
<console>:7: error: type mismatch;
         found   : java.lang.String("1")
```




Figure 6.1 Defining type parameters on a method

```

required: Int
    randomElement[Int](List("1", "2", "3"))
    ^
    ^

scala> randomElement[String](List("1", "2", "3"))
res1: String = 2

```

You can see that when we specify `Int` for the type parameter, the method will accept lists of integers but not lists of strings. But we can specify `String` as a type parameter and allow lists of strings but not lists of integers. In the case of methods, we can even leave off the type parameter, and the compiler will infer one for us if it can:

```

scala> randomElement[String](List("1", "2", "3"))
res1: String = 2

```

This inference is pretty powerful. If there are several arguments to a function, the compiler will attempt to infer a type parameter that matches all the arguments. Scala's `List.apply` is a parameterized method. Let's look at this type inference in action.

```

scala> List(1.0, "String")
res7: List[Any] = List(1.0, String)

scala> List("String", Seq(1))
res8: List[java.lang.Object] = List(String, List(1))

```

When passed an integer and a string, the parameter to `List` is inferred as `Any`. This is the lowest possible type that both parameters will conform to. `Any` also happens to be the top type, the one that all values conform to. If we choose different parameters, say a `String` and a `Seq`, the compiler infers a lower type, that of `java.lang.Object`, otherwise known in Scala as `AnyRef`.

The compiler's goal, and yours as well, is to preserve as much type information as possible. This is easier to accomplish using type constraints on type parameters.

It's possible to specify constraints in line with type parameters. These constraints ensure that any type used to satisfy the parameter needs to abide by the constraints. Specifying lower bound constraints also allows you to utilize members defined on the lower bound. Upper bound constraints don't imply what members might be on a type but are useful when combining several parameterized types.

Type parameters are like method parameters except that they parameterize things at compilation time. It's important to remember that all type programming is enforced during compilation and all type information must be known at compile time to be useful.

Type parameters also make possible the creation of higher-kinded types.

6.3.2 Higher-kinded types

Higher-kinded types are those that use other types to construct a new type. This is similar to how higher-order functions are those that take other functions as parameters. A higher-kinded type can have one or more other types as parameters. In Scala, you can do this using the `type` keyword. Here's an example of a higher-kinded type.

```
type Callback[T] = Function1[T, Unit]
```

The type definition declares a higher-kinded type called `Callback`. The `Callback` type takes a type parameter and constructs a new `Function1` type. The type `Callback` isn't a complete type until it's parameterized.

TYPE CONSTRUCTORS Higher-kinded types are also called *type constructors* because they're used to construct types. Higher-kinded types can be used to make a complex type—for example, `M[N[T, X], Y]` look like a simpler type, such as `F[X]`.

The `Callback` type can be used to simplify the signature for functions that take a single parameter and return no value. Let's look at an example:

```
scala> val x : Callback[Int] = y => println(y + 2)
x: (Int) => Unit = <function1>
scala> x(1)
3
```

This first statement constructs a `Callback[Int]` named `x` that takes an integer, adds it with the value 2 and prints the result. The type `Callback[Int]` is converted by the compiler into the full type `(Int) => Unit`. The next statement calls the function defined by `x` with the value 1.

Higher-kinded types are used to simplify type signatures for complex types. They can also be used to make complex types fit the simpler type signature on a method. Here's an example:

```
scala> def foo[M[_]](f : M[Int]) = f
foo: [M[_]](f: M[Int])M[Int]
scala> foo[Callback](x)
res4: Function1[Int, Unit] = <function1>
```

The `foo` method is defined as taking a type `M` that's parameterized by an unknown type. The `_` keyword is used as a placeholder for an unknown, existential type. Existential types are covered in more detail in section 6.5. The next statement calls the method `foo` with a type parameter of `Callback` and an argument of `x`, defined in the earlier example. This would not work with the `Function1` type directly.

```
scala> foo[Function1](x)
<console>:9: error: Function1 takes two type parameters, expected: one
          foo[Function1](x)
```

The `foo` method can't be called directly with the `Function1` type because `Function1` takes two type parameters and the `foo` method expects a type with only one type parameter.

Higher-kinded types are used to simplify type definitions or to make complex types conform to simple type parameters. Variance is an additional complication to parameterized types and higher-kinded types.

Type lambdas

Scala supports a limited version of *type lambdas*. A type lambda, similar to a function lambda, is a notation where you can define a higher-kinded type directly within the parameter of a function. For the `foo` method, we can use a type lambda rather than define the `Callback` type. Here's an example:

```
scala> foo[({type X[Y] = Function1[Y, Unit]})#X]( (x : Int) => println(x) )
res7: (Int) => Unit = <function1>
```

The type lambda is the expression `({type X[Y] = Function1[Y, Unit]})#X`. The type `X` is defined inside parentheses and braces. This constructs an anonymous path containing the type `X`. It then uses type projection (#) to access the type from the anonymous path. The type `x` remains hidden behind the anonymous path, and the expression is a valid type parameter, as it refers to a type.

Type lambdas were discovered and popularized by Jason Zaugg, one of the core contributors to the Scalaz framework.

6.4 Variance

Variance refers to the ability of type parameters to change or vary on higher-kinded types, like `T[A]`. Variance is a way of declaring how type parameters can be changed to create conformant types. A higher-kinded type `T[A]` is said to conform to `T[B]` if you can assign `T[B]` to `T[A]` without causing any errors. The rules of variance govern the type conformance of types with parameters. Variance takes three forms: invariance, covariance, and contravariance.

Rule
17

Mutable classes must be invariant

It's impossible, and unsafe, to define them otherwise. If we want to make use of covariance or contravariance, stick to immutable classes, or expose your mutable class in an immutable interface.

Invariance refers to the unchanging nature of a higher-kinded type parameter. A higher-kinded type that's invariant implies that for any types `T`, `A`, and `B` if `T[A]` conforms to `T[B]` then `A` must be the equivalent type of `B`. You can't change the type parameter of `T`. Invariance is the default for any higher-kinded type parameter.

Covariance refers to the ability to substitute a type parameter with its parent type: For any types `T`, `A` and `B` if `T[A]` conforms to `T[B]` then `A <: B`. Figure 6.2 demonstrates a covariant relationship. The arrows in the diagram represent type conformance. The `Mammal` and `Cat` relationship is such that the `Cat` type conforms to the `Mammal` type; if a method requires something of type `Mammal`, a value of type `Cat` could be used. If a type `T` were defined as covariant, then the type `T[Cat]` would conform to the type `T[Mammal]`: A method requiring a `T[Mammal]` would accept a value of type `T[Cat]`.




Figure 6.2 Covariance

Notice that the direction of conformance arrows is the same. The conformance of T is the same (co-) as the conformance of its type parameters.

The easiest example of this is a list, which is higher-kinded on the type of its elements. You could have a list of strings or a list of integers. Because `Any` is a supertype of `String`, we can use a list of strings where a list of `Any` is expected.

Creating a Covariant parameter is as easy as adding a `+` symbol before the type parameter. Let's create a covariant type in the REPL and try out the type conformance it creates.

Listing 6.8 Covariance example

```
scala> class T[+A] {}
defined class T

scala> val x = new T[AnyRef]
x: T[AnyRef] = T@11e55d39

scala> val y : T[Any] = x
y: T[Any] = T@11e55d39

scala> val z : T[String] = x
<console>:7: error: type mismatch;
 found   : T[AnyRef]
 required: T[String]
 val z : T[String] = x
```

Annotations for covariance example:

- Type-parameter A is covariant**: An annotation pointing to the `+A` part of the class definition.
- Upcast AnyRef to Any**: An annotation pointing to the assignment `y : T[Any] = x`.
- Downcast AnyRef to String**: An annotation pointing to the assignment `val z : T[String] = x`.

First we construct a higher-kinded class T that takes a covariant parameter A . Next we create a new value with the type parameter bound to `AnyRef`. Now, if we try to assign our $T[\text{AnyRef}]$ to a variable of type $T[\text{Any}]$, the call succeeds. This is because `Any` is the parent type of `AnyRef`, and our covariant constraint is satisfied. But when we attempt to assign a value of type $T[\text{AnyRef}]$ to a variable of type $T[\text{String}]$, the assignment will fail.

The compiler has checks in place to ensure that a covariant annotation doesn't violate a few key rules. In particular, the compiler tracks the usage of a higher-kinded type and ensures that if it's covariant, that it occurs only in covariant positions in the compiler. The same is true for contravariance. We'll cover the rules for determining variance positions soon, but for now, we'll look at what happens if we violate one of our variance positions:

```
scala> trait T[+A] {
|   def thisWillNotWork(a : A) = a
| }
<console>:6: error: covariant type A occurs in
contravariant position in type A of value a
      def thisWillNotWork(a : A) = a
```

As you can see, the compiler gives us a nice message that we've used our type parameter A in a position that's contravariant, when the type parameter is covariant. We'll cover the rules shortly, but for now it's important to know that full knowledge of the rules isn't needed to utilize variance correctly if you have a basic understanding of the

concept. You can reason in your head whether a type should be covariant or contravariant and then let the compiler tell you when you've misplaced your types. You can use tricks to avoid placing types in contravariant positions when you require covariance, but first let's look at contravariance.

Contravariance is the opposite of covariance. For any types T , A and B , if $T[A]$ conforms to $T[B]$ then $A >: B$. Figure 6.3 shows the same conformance relationship between `Mammal` and `Cat` types. If the type T is defined as contravariant, then a method expecting a type of $T[Cat]$ would accept a value of type $T[Mammal]$. Notice that the direction of the conformance relationship is opposite (contra-) that of the `Mammal`-`Cat` relationship.

Contravariance can be harder to reason through but makes sense in the context of a `Function` object. A `Function` object is covariant on the return type and contravariant on the argument type. Intuitively this makes sense. You can take the return value of a function and cast it to any supertype of that return value. As for arguments, you can pass any subtype of the argument type. You should be able to take a function of `Any => String` and cast it to a `String => Any` but not vice versa. Let's look at performing this cast using raw methods:

Listing 6.9 Implicit variance of methods

```
scala> def foo(x : Any) : String = "Hello, I received a " + x
foo: (x: Any)String

scala> def bar(x : String) : Any = foo(x)
bar: (x: String)Any

scala> bar("test")
res0: Any = Hello, I received a test

scala> foo("test")
res1: String = Hello, I received a test
```

First we create a `foo` method of type `Any => String`. Next we define `bar` a method of type `String => Any`. As you can see, we can implement `bar` in terms of `foo`, and both calls to `bar` and `foo` with strings return the same value, as the functions are implemented the same. They do require differing types. We can't pass an `Int` variable to the `bar` method, as it will fail to compile, but we can pass that `Int` variable to the `foo` method. Now if we want to construct an object that represents a function, we'd like this same behavior—that is, we'd like to be able to cast the `function object` as flexibly as possible. Let's begin by defining our `function object`.

Listing 6.10 First attempt at defining a function object

```
scala> trait Function[Arg,Return]
defined trait Function

scala> val x = new Function[Any, String] {}
x: java.lang.Object with Function[Any, String] = $anon$1@39fba2af
```




Figure 6.3 Contravariance

```
scala> val y : Function[String,Any] = x
<console>:7: error: type mismatch;
 found   : java.lang.Object with Function[Any,String]
 required: Function[String,Any]
      val y : Function[String,Any] = x
                           ^

scala> val y : Function[Any,Any] = x
<console>:7: error: type mismatch;
 found   : java.lang.Object with Function[Any,String]
 required: Function[Any,Any]
      val y : Function[Any,Any] = x
```

First we create our `Function` trait. The first type parameter is for the argument to the function and the second is for the return type. We then construct a new value with an argument of type `Any` and a return value of type `String`. If we attempt to cast this to a `Function[String,Any]` (a function that takes a `String` and returns an `Any`), the call fails. This happens because we haven't defined any variance annotations. Let's first declare our return value as covariant. Return values are actual values, and we know that we can always take a variable and cast it to a supertype.

Listing 6.11 Function object with only covariance

```
scala> trait Function[Arg,+Return]
defined trait Function

scala> val x = new Function[Any,String] {}
x: java.lang.Object with Function[Any,String] = $anon$1@3c56b64c

scala> val y : Function[String,Any] = x
<console>:7: error: type mismatch;
 found   : java.lang.Object with Function[Any,String]
 required: Function[String,Any]
      val y : Function[String,Any] = x
                           ^

scala> val y : Function[Any,Any] = x
y: Function[Any,Any] = $anon$1@3c56b64c
```

Once again, we declare our `Function` trait; but this time we declare the return value type covariant. We construct a new value of the `Function` trait, and again attempt to cast it. The cast still fails due to type mismatch. But we're able to cast our return value type from `String` to `Any`. Let's use contravariance on the argument value:

Listing 6.12 Function with covariance and contravariance

```
scala> trait Function[-Arg,+Return]
defined trait Function

scala> val x = new Function[Any,String] {}
x: java.lang.Object with Function[Any,String] = $anon$1@69adff28

scala> val y : Function[String,Any] = x
y: Function[String,Any] = $anon$1@69adff28
```

Once again we construct a Function trait, only this time the argument type is contravariant and the return type is covariant. We instantiate an instance of the trait and attempt our cast, which succeeds! Let's extend our trait to include a real implementation and ensure things work appropriately:

Listing 6.13 Complete function example

```
scala> trait Function[-Arg,+Return] {  
|   def apply(arg : Arg) : Return  
| }  
defined trait Function  
  
scala> val foo = new Function[Any,String] {  
|   override def apply(arg : Any) : String =  
|     "Hello, I received a " + arg  
| }  
foo: java.lang.Object with Function[Any,String] = $anon$1@38f0b51d  
  
scala> val bar : Function[String,Any] = foo  
bar: Function[String,Any] = $anon$1@38f0b51d  
  
scala> bar("test")  
res2: Any = Hello, I received a test
```

We create our Function trait, but this time it has an abstract apply method that will hold the logic of the function object. Now we construct a new function object `foo` with the same logic as the `foo` method we had earlier. We attempt to construct a `bar` Function object using the `foo` object directly. Notice that no new object is created; we're merely assigning one type to another similar to polymorphically assigning a value of a child class to a reference of the parent class. Now we can call our `bar` function and receive the expected output.

Congratulations! You're now an initiate of variance annotations. But there are some situations you may encounter when you need to tweak your code for appropriate variance annotations.

6.4.1 Advanced variance annotations

When designing a higher-kinded type, at some point you'll wish it to have a particular variance, and the compiler won't let you do this. When the compiler restricts variance but you know it shouldn't, there's usually a simple transform that can fix your code to compile and keep the type system happy. The easiest example of this is in the collections library.

The Scala collections library provides a mechanism for combining two collections types. It does this through a method called `++`. In the actual collections library, the method signature is pretty complicated due to the library's advanced features, so for this example we'll use a simplified version of the `++` signature. Let's attempt to define an abstract `List` type that can be combined with other lists. We'd like to be able to convert, for example, a list of strings to a list of `Any`, so we're going to annotate the `ItemType` parameter as covariant. We'll define our `++` method such that it takes

another list of the same `ItemType` and returns a new list that's the combination of the two lists. Let's take a look at what happens:

Listing 6.14 First attempt at a list interface

```
scala> trait List[+ItemType] {
|   def ++(other : List[ItemType]): List[ItemType]
| }
<console>:6: error: covariant type ItemType occurs in
contravariant position in type List[ItemType] of value other
def ++(other : List[ItemType]): List[ItemType]
```

The compiler is complaining that we're using the `ItemType` parameter in a contravariant position! This statement is true, but we know that it should be safe to combine two lists of the same type and still be able to cast them up the `ItemType` hierarchy. Is the compiler too restrictive when it comes to variance? Perhaps, but let's see if we can work around this.

We're going to make the `++` method take a type parameter. We can use this new type parameter in the argument to avoid having `ItemType` in a contravariant position. The new type parameter should capture the `ItemType` of the other `List`. Let's naively use another type parameter

Listing 6.15 Naive attempt to work around variance

```
scala> trait List[+ItemType] {
|   def ++[OtherItemType](other: List[OtherItemType]): List[ItemType]
| }
defined trait List

scala> class EmptyList[ItemType] extends List[ItemType] {
|   def ++[OtherItemType](other: List[OtherItemType]) = other
| }
<console>:7: error: type mismatch;
 found   : List[OtherItemType]
 required: List[ItemType]
def ++[OtherItemType](other: List[OtherItemType]) = other
```

Adding the `OtherItemType` lets the creation of the `List` trait succeed. Great! Let's see if we can use it. We implement an `EmptyList` class that's an efficient implementation of a `List` of no elements. The combination method, `++`, should return whatever is passed to it, because it's empty. When we define the method, we get a type mismatch. The issue is that `OtherItemType` and `ItemType` aren't compatible types! We've enforced nothing about `OtherItemType` in our `++` method and therefore made it impossible to implement. Well, we know that we need to enforce some kind of type constraint on `OtherItemType` and that we're combining two lists. We'd like `OtherItemType` to be some type that combines well with our list. Because `ItemType` is covariant, we know that we can cast our current list up the `ItemType` hierarchy. As such, let's use `ItemType` as the upper bound constraint on `OtherItemType`. We'll also need to change the return type of the `++` method to return the `OtherItemType`, as `OtherItemType` may be higher up the hierarchy than `ItemType` is. Let's take a look:

Listing 6.16 Appropriately working with variance

```
scala> trait List[+ItemType] {
|   def ++[OtherItemType >: ItemType](
|     other: List[OtherItemType]): List[OtherItemType]
|   }
defined trait List

scala> class EmptyList[ItemType] extends List[ItemType] {
|   def ++[OtherItemType >: ItemType](
|     other: List[OtherItemType]) = other
|   }
defined class EmptyList
```

Our new definition of empty list succeeds. Let's take it for a test drive through the REPL and ensure that combining empty lists of various types returns the types we desire.

Listing 6.17 Ensuring the correct type changes

```
scala> val strings = new EmptyList[String]
strings: EmptyList[String] = EmptyList@2cfa930d

scala> val ints = new EmptyList[Int]
ints: EmptyList[Int] = EmptyList@58e5ebd

scala> val anys = new EmptyList[Any]
any: EmptyList[Any] = EmptyList@65685e30

scala> val anyrefs = new EmptyList[AnyRef]
anyrefs: EmptyList[AnyRef] = EmptyList@1d8806f7

scala> strings ++ ints
res3: List[Any] = EmptyList@58e5ebd

scala> strings ++ anys
res4: List[Any] = EmptyList@65685e30

scala> strings ++ anyrefs
res5: List[AnyRef] = EmptyList@1d8806f7

scala> strings ++ strings
res6: List[String] = EmptyList@2cfa930d
```

First we declare our variables. These are lists of String, Int, Any, and AnyRef. Now let's combine lists and see what happens. First we try to combine our list of Strings and Ints. You can see that the compiler infers Any as a common superclass to String and Int and gives you a list of Any. This is exactly what we wanted! Next we combine our list of strings with a list of anys and we get another list of anys. Once again, this is as we desired. If we combine the list of strings with a list of AnyRefs, the compiler infers AnyRef as the lowest possible type, and we retain a small amount of type information. If we combine the list of strings with another list of strings, we'll retain the type of a List[String]. We now have a list interface that's very powerful and type-safe.

VARIANCE IS HARD In Scala, the choice of making something variant or not is important. The variance annotation can affect a lot of the mechanics in Scala, including type inference. The safest bet when working with variance is to start with everything invariant and mark variance as needed.

In general, when running into covariance or contravariance issues in class methods, it's usually the case of introducing a new type parameter and using that for the signature of the method. In fact, the final `++` method definition is far more flexible, and still type-safe; therefore, when you face issues with variance annotations, step back and try to introduce a few new type parameters.

6.5 *Existential types*

Existential types are a means of constructing types where portions of the type signature are existential, where *existential* means that although some real type meets that portion of a type signature, we don't care about the specific type. Existential types were introduced into Scala as a means to interoperate with Java's generic types, so we'll start by looking at a common idiom found in Java programs.

In Java, generic types were added to the language later and done so with backward compatibility in mind. As such, the Java collections API was enhanced to utilize generic types but still supports code that's written without generic types. This was done using a combination of erasure and a subdued form of existential types. Scala, seeking to interoperate as closely as possible with Java, also supports existential types for the same reason. Scala's existential types are far more powerful and expressive than Java's, as illustrated next.

Let's look at Java's `List` interface:

```
interface List<E> extends Collection<E> {
    E get(int idx);
    ...
}
```

The interface has a type parameter `E` which is used to specify the type of elements in the list. The `get` method is defined using this type parameter for its return value. This setup should be familiar from the earlier discussion of type parameters. The strangeness begins when we look at the backward compatibility. The older `List` interface in Java was designed without generic types. Code written for this old interface is still compatible with Java Generics. For example:

```
List foo = ...
System.out.println(foo.get(0));
```

The generic parameter for the `List` interface is never specified. Although an experienced Java developer would know that the type returned by the `get` method is `java.lang.Object`, they might not fully understand what's going on. In this example, Java is using existential types when type parameters aren't specified. This means that the only information known about the missing type parameter is that it must be a subtype of or equivalent to `java.lang.Object` because all type parameters in Java are

a subtype of or equivalent to `java.lang.Object`. This allows the older code, where `List` had no generic type parameter and the `get` method returned the type `Object`, to compile directly against the new collections library.

Is creating a list without any type parameters equivalent to creating a `List<Object>?` The answer is no; they have a subtle difference. When compiling the following code, you'll see unchecked warnings from the compiler:

```
import java.util.*;

class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        List foo = new ArrayList();
        List<Object> bar = foo;
    }
}
```



When passing the `-Xlint` flag to `javac`, the following warning is displayed:

```
es.java:7: warning: [unchecked] unchecked conversion
found   : java.util.List
required: java.util.List<java.lang.Object>
    List<Object> bar = foo;
                           ^
1 warning
```

Java doesn't consider `List` and `List<Object>` the same type, but it will automatically convert between the two. This is done because the practical difference between these two types, in Java, is minimal. In Scala, existential types take on a slightly different flavor. Let's create an existential type in a Java class and see what it looks like in the Scala REPL. First, the Java class:

```
public class Test {
    public static List makeList() {
        return new ArrayList();
    }
}
```

The `Test` class provides a single `makeList` method that returns a `List` with existential type signature. Let's start up the Scala REPL and call this method:

```
scala> Test.makeList()
res0: java.util.List[_] = []
```

The type returned in the REPL is `java.util.List[_]`. Scala provides a convenience syntax for creating existential types that uses the underscore in the place of a type parameter. We'll cover the full syntax shortly; but this shorthand is more commonly found in production code. This `_` can be considered a place holder for a single valid type. The `_` is different from closure syntax because it isn't a placeholder for a type argument, but rather is a hole in the type. The compiler isn't sure what the specific type parameter is, but it knows that there is one. You can't substitute a type parameter later; the hole remains.

DEVIATION FROM JAVA We can't add things to a `List[_]` unless the compiler can determine that they're of the same type as the list. This means we can't add new values to the list without some form of casting. In Java, this operation would compile and an `Unchecked` warning would be issued.

Existential types can also have upper and lower bounds in Scala. This can be done by treating the `_` as if it were a type parameter. Let's take a look:

```
scala> def foo(x : List[_ >: Int]) = x
foo: (x: List[_ >: Int])List[Any]
```

The `foo` method is defined to accept a `List` of some parameter that has a lower bound of `Int`. This parameter could have any type; the compiler doesn't care which type, as long as it's `Int` or one of its super types. We can call this method with a value of type `String`, because `Int` and `String` share a parent, `Any`. Let's look.

```
scala> foo(List("Hi"))
res9: List[Any] = List(Hi)
```

When calling the `foo` method with a `List("Hi")` the call succeeds, as expected.

6.5.1 *The formal syntax of existential types*

Scala's formal syntax for existential types uses the `forSome` keyword. Here's the excerpt explaining the syntax from the Scala Language Specification:

SCALA LANGUAGE SPECIFICATION—EXCERPT FROM SECTION 3.2.10 An existential type has the form `T forSome {Q}` where `Q` is a sequence of type declarations.

In the preceding definition, the `Q` block is a set of type declarations. Type declarations, in the Scala Language Specification could be abstract type statements or abstract `val` statements. The declarations in the `Q` block are existential. The compiler knows that there's some type that meets these definitions, but doesn't remember what that type is specifically. The type declared in the `T` section can then use these existential identifiers directly. This is easiest to see by converting the convenient syntax into the formal syntax. Let's take a look:

```
scala> val y: List[_] = List()
y: List[_] = List()

scala> val x: List[X forSome { type X }] = y
x: List[X forSome { type X }] = List()
```

The `y` value is constructed having the type `List[_]`. The `x` value is constructed to have the type `List[X forSome { type X }]`. In `x` value, the type `X` is existential and acts the same as the `_` in the `y`'s type. A more complicated scenario occurs when the existential type has a lower or upper bound restriction. In that case, the entire lower bound or upper bound is translated into the `forSome` block:

```
scala> val y: List[_ <: AnyRef] = List()
y: List[_ <: AnyRef] = List()
```

```
scala> val x: List[X forSome { type X <: AnyRef }] = y
x: List[X forSome { type X <: AnyRef }] = List()
```

The first value, `y`, has the type `List[_ <: AnyRef]`. The existential `_ <: AnyRef` is translated to `type X <: AnyRef` in the `forSome` section. Remember that all type declarations in the `forSome` blocks are treated as existential that can be used in the left hand side type. In this case, the left-hand side type is `X`. The `forSome` block could be used for any kind of type declaration, including values or other existential types.

And now it's time for a more complex example involving existential types. Remember the `Observable` trait from the dependent type section? Let's take another look at the interface for the `Observable` trait:

```
trait Observable {
    type Handle

    def observe(callback: this.type => Unit) : Handle = ...
    def unobserve(handle: Handle) : Unit = ...
    ...
}
```

Imagine we wanted some generic way to interact with the `Handle` types that are returned from this object. You can declare a type that can represent any `Handle` using existential types. Let's look at this type:

```
type Ref = x.Handle forSome { val x: Observable }
```

The type is declared with the name `Ref`. The `forSome` block contains a `val` definition. This means that the value `x` is existential: the compiler doesn't care *which* `Observable` value, only that there is one. On the left-hand side of the type declaration is the path-dependent type `x.Handle`. Notice that it isn't possible to create this existential type using the convenience syntax, as the `_` can only stand in for a type declaration.

Let's create a trait that will track all the handles from `Observables` using the `Ref` type. We'd like this trait to maintain a list of handles such that we can appropriately clean up the class when needed. This cleanup involves unregistering all observers. Let's look at the trait:

```
trait Dependencies {
    type Ref = x.Handle forSome { val x : Observable }

    var handles = List[Ref]()

    protected def addHandle(handle: Ref) : Unit = {
        handles ::= handle
    }

    protected def removeDependencies() {
        for(h <- handles) h.remove()
        handles = List()
    }

    protected def observe[T <: Observable](
        obj : T)(handler : T => Unit) : Ref = {
```

```

    val ref = obj.observe(handler)
    addHandle(ref)
    ref
}
}

```

The trait `Dependencies` redefines the type `Ref` as before. The `handles` member is defined as a `List` of `Ref` types. An `addHandle` method is defined, which takes a handle, the `Ref` type, and adds it to the list of handles. The `removeDependencies` method loops over all registered handles and calls their `remove` method. Finally, the `observe` method is defined such that it registers a handler with an observable and then registers the `Handle` returned using the `addHandle` method.

You may be wondering how we're able to call `remove` on the `Handle` type. This is invalid code using the `Observable` trait as defined earlier. The code will work this minor addition to the `Observable` trait:

```

trait Observable {
  type Handle <: {
    def remove() : Unit
  }
  ...
}

```

The `Observable` trait is now defined such that the `Handle` type requires a method `remove() : Unit`. Now you can use the `Dependencies` trait to track handlers registered on observables. Let's look at a REPL session demonstrating this:

```

scala> val x = new VariableStore(12)
x: VariableStore[Int] = VariableStore(12)

scala> val d = new Dependencies {}
d: java.lang.Object with Dependencies = $anon$1@153e6f83

scala> val t = x.observe(println)
t: x.Handle = DefaultHandles$HandleClass@662fe032

scala> d.addHandle(t)

scala> x.set(1)
VariableStore(1)

scala> d.removeDependencies()

scala> x.set(2)

```

The first line creates a new `VariableStore` which is a subclass of `Observable`. The next statement constructs a `Dependencies` object, `d`, to track registered observable handles. The next two lines register the function `println` with the `Observable` and add the handle to the `Dependencies` object `d`. Next, the value inside the `VariableStore` is changed. This invokes the observers with the current value and the registered observer `println` is called to print `VariableStore(1)` on the console. After this, the `removeDependencies` method is used on the object `d` to remove all tracked observers.

The next line again changes the value in the `VariableStore` and no values are output on the console.

Existential types provide a convenient syntax to represent these abstract types and interact with them. Although the formal syntax isn't frequently used, this uncommon situation is the most common case where it's needed. It's a great tool to understand and use when running into nested types that seem inexpressible, but it shouldn't be needed in most situations.

6.6 **Summary**

In this chapter, you learned the basic rules governing Scala's type system. We learned how to define types and combine them. We looked at structural typing and how you can use it to emulate duck typing in dynamic languages. We learned how to create generic types using type parameters and how to enforce upper and lower bounds on types. We looked at higher-kinded types and type lambdas and how you can use them to simplify complex types. We also looked into variance and how to create flexible parameterized classes. Finally we explored existential types and how to create truly abstract methods. These basic building blocks of the Scala type system are used to construct advanced models of behavior and interactions within a program. In the next chapter, we use these fundamentals to implement implicit resolution and advanced type system features.



Using implicits and types together

In this chapter

- Introduction to implicit type bounds
- Type classes and their applications
- Type level programming and compile time execution

The type system and the implicit resolution mechanism provide the tools required to write expressive, type-safe software. Implicits can encode types into runtime objects and can enable the creation of type classes that abstract behavior from classes. Implicits can be used to directly encode type constraints and to construct types recursively. Combined with some type constructors and type bounds, implicits and the type system can help you encode complex problems directly into the type system. Most importantly, you can use implicits to preserve type information and delegate behavior to type-specific implementations while preserving an abstract interface. The ultimate goal is the ability to write classes and methods that can be reused anytime they're needed.

To start, let's look at some type bounds that we didn't cover in chapter 6.

7.1 Context bounds and view bounds

Scala supports two types of type constraint operators that aren't type constraints but are implicit lookups—context bounds and view bounds. These operators allow us to define an implicit parameter list as type constraints on generic types. This syntax can reduce typing in situations where implicit definitions must be available for lookup but don't need to be directly accessed.

View bounds are used to require an available implicit view for converting one type into another type. Implicit views look like the following:

```
def foo[A <% B](x: A) = x
```

The `foo` method defines a constraint `A <% B`. This constraint means that the parameter `x` is of type `A` and there must be an implicit conversion function `A => B` available at any call site. The preceding code can be rewritten as the following:

```
def foo[A](x: A)(implicit $ev0: A => B) = x
```

This `foo` method is also defined with a type parameter `A` that has no constraints. Two parameter lists exist: one that accepts an `A` type and one that requires the implicit conversion function. Although this second form requires more typing, it places a user-defined label on the implicit conversion function.

To use implicit type constraints or not

When should you choose to use view/context bounds vs. directly writing an implicit parameter list? A simple convention is to use view/context bounds in these two scenarios:

- The code within the method doesn't need to access the implicit parameter directly, but relies on the implicit resolution mechanism. In this situation, we must require an implicit parameter be available to call another function that requires that implicit parameter, or the implicit is automatically used. This is most common when using view bounds.
- The meaning conveyed by the type parameter is more clear using context/view bounds than an implicit parameter list. See section 7.3 on type classes for examples of this scenario.

Context bounds, similar to view bounds, declare that there must be an implicit value available with a given type. Context bounds look like the following:

```
def foo[A : B](x: A) = x
```

The `foo` method defines a constraint `A : B`. This constraint means that the parameter `x` is of type `A` and there must be an implicit value `B[A]` available when calling method `foo`. Context bounds can be rewritten as follows:

```
def foo[A](x: A)(implicit $ev0: B[A]) = x
```

This `foo` method defines two parameter lists, with the implicit parameter list accepting a value of type `B[A]`. The key difference between the two `foo` versions is that this one gives an explicit label to the `B[A]` parameter that can be used within the function.

Context bounds are extremely useful in helping provide implicit values in companion objects. This naturally leads to type classes, shown in section 7.3. Type classes are a means of encoding behavior into a *wrapper* or *accessor* for another type. They are the most common use of context bound constraints.

7.1.1 When to use implicit type constraints

Scala's implicit views are often used to enrich existing types, where *enrich* means adding additional behavior that doesn't exist on the raw type (see Odersky's paper "Pimp My Library": <http://mng.bz/86Qh>). Implicit type constraints are used when we want to enrich an existing type while preserving that type in the type system. For example, let's write a method that will return the first element of a list and the list itself:

```
scala> def first[T](x : Traversable[T]) =
|   (x.head, x)
first: [T](x: Traversable[T])(T, Traversable[T])

scala> first(Array(1,2))
res0: (Int, Traversable[Int]) = (1,WrappedArray(1, 2))
```

The method defines a type parameter `T` for the element of the collection. It expects a variable of type `Traversable[T]`. It then returns the head of the traversable and the traversable itself. When calling this method with an array, the resulting type is a `Traversable[Int]` and the *runtime* type is `WrappedArray`. This method has lost the original type information about the array.

Context bounds and view bounds allow developers to enforce complex type constraints in a simple fashion. They are best applied when we do not need to access the captured implicit by name, but the method requires the implicit to be available in its scope.

A better polymorphism

One of the key tenants of object-oriented programming is polymorphism: the ability of a complex type to act as a simple type. In the absence of generic types and bounds, polymorphism usually results in a loss of type information. For example, in Java's `java.util.Collections` class, there are two methods: `List synchronizedList(List)` and `Collection synchronizedCollection(Collection)`. In Scala, utilizing some advanced implicit concepts, we can accomplish the same feat with one method: `def synchronizedCollection[A, CC <: Traversable[A] : Manifest](col : CC) : CC`.

One of the biggest benefits of using Scala is the ability to preserve specific types across generic methods. The entire collections API is designed such that methods defined in the lower level types preserve the original types of the collections as much as possible.

For example, a method that works with types that could be serialized but doesn't serialize them might look something like this:

```
def sendMsgToEach[A : Serializable](receivers : Seq[Receiver[A]] ,  
                                     a : A) = {  
    receivers foreach (_.send(a))  
}
```

The `sendMsgToEach` accepts any type that has a serializable implicit context (that is, the compiler can find the type `Serializable[A]` on the implicit scope—and a sequence of receivers of type `a`. The method implementation calls `send` on each receiver, passing the message to each. But the implementation of the `send` method on the `Receiver` type would need to use the `Serializable` value, so it's better to explicitly specify the implicit argument list in that method.

Context bound and view bound constraints are used to clarify the intent of an implicit argument. Implicit arguments can be used to capture a relationship from the type system.

7.2 Capturing types with implicits

Scala 2.8 formalized the ability to encode type information into implicit parameters. It does this through two mechanisms: `Manifests` and implicit type constraints.

A `Manifest` for a type is generated by the compiler, when needed, with all the known information for that type at that time. `Manifests` were added specifically to handle arrays and were generalized to be useful in other situations where the type must be available at runtime.

Implicit type constraints are direct encoding of supertype and equivalence relationships between types. These can be useful to restrict a generic type further within a method. These constraints are static—that is, they happen at compile time.

The runtime counterpart of a type is the `Manifest`.

7.2.1 Manifests

As stated earlier, `Manifests` were first introduced into Scala to help deal with arrays. They capture types for runtime evaluation. In Scala, an array is a class with a parameter. An array of integers has the type `Array[Int]`. On the JVM, however, there are different types of arrays for every primitive type and one for objects. Examples include `int[]`, `double[]`, and `Object[]`. The Java language distinguishes between these types and requires programs to do so as well. Scala allows code to be written for generic `Array[T]` types. But because the underlying implementation must know whether the original array was an `int[]`, a `double[]`, or one of the other array types, Scala needed a way to attach this information to the type so that generic array implementations would know how to treat the array, and so the birth of `Manifests`.

Rule
18

Prefer `ClassManifest`

`ClassManifest` provides the smallest runtime overhead for the greatest benefit. While `Manifests` are extremely useful, any advanced type checking should still be performed by the compiler and not at runtime. Usually `ClassManifest` is good enough when reified types are required.

You can use Manifests to pass along more specific type information with a generic type parameter. In Scala, all methods involving Arrays require an appropriate Manifest for the array's type parameter. This was done because although Scala treats Arrays as generic classes, they are encoded differently by type on the JVM. Rather than encode these differently in Scala, for example `int[]` and `double[]`, Scala chose to hide the runtime behavior behind the `Array[T]` class and associated methods. Because different bytecode must be emitted for `Array[Int]` and `Array[Double]`, Scala uses Manifests to carry around the type information about arrays. This is done through Manifests.

Scala provides several types of Manifests:

- *Manifest* This Manifest stores a reflective instance of the class associated with a type `T` as well as Manifest values for each of `T`'s type parameters. A reflective instance of a class is a reference to the `java.lang.Class` object for that class. This allows reflective invocations of methods defined on that class.
A good example is the type `List[Int]`. A `Manifest[List[Int]]` allows access to the `java.lang.Class` for the `scala.List` type. It also contains a `Manifest[Int]` that would allow access to the `java.lang.Class` for the `scala.Int` type.
- *OptManifest* Require an `OptManifest` for a type makes the `Manifest` requirement optional. If there's one available, then the `OptManifest` instance will be the `Manifest` subclass. If none can be provided, then the instance will be the `NoManifest` class.
- *ClassManifest* This class is similar to `Manifest` except that it only stores the erased class of a given type. This erased class of a type is associated with the type without any type parameters. For example, the type `List[Int]` would have the erased class `List`.

The `Manifest` class can be expensive to store/compute, as it must have access to the `java.lang.Class` object for every type and type parameter. For a type with a lot of nesting, this can be quite deep, and methods on the `Manifest` may traverse the entire depth. The `ClassManifest` is designed for scenarios where type parameters don't need to be captured. The `OptManifest` is designed for situations where a `Manifest` isn't needed for runtime behavior, but can be used for runtime improvements if it's available.

7.2.2 Using Manifests

Manifests are useful in Scala to create abstract methods whose implementations diverge based on the types they work on, but the resulting outputs don't. A good example of this is any method using generic arrays. Because Scala must use different bytecode instructions depending on the runtime array type, it requires a `ClassManifest` on the `Array` element.

```
scala> def first[A](x : Array[A]) = Array(x(0))
<console>:7: error: could not find implicit value for
```

```
evidence parameter of type scala.reflect.ClassManifest[A]
def first[A](x : Array[A]) = Array(x(0))
```

The first method is defined as taking a generic `Array` of type `A`. It attempts to construct a new array containing only the first element of the old array. But because we haven't captured a `Manifest`, the compiler can't figure out which runtime type the resulting `Array` should have.

```
scala> def first[A : ClassManifest](x : Array[A]) =
|   Array(x(0))
first: [A](x: Array[A])(implicit evidence$1: ClassManifest[A])Array[A]

scala> first(Array(1,2))
res1: Array[Int] = Array(1)
```

Now the `A` type parameter also captures the implicit `ClassManifest`. When called with an `Array[Int]`, the compiler constructs a `ClassManifest` for the type `Int`, and this is used to construct a runtime array of the appropriate type.

CLASSMANIFEST AND ARRAYS The `ClassManifest` class directly contains a method to construct new arrays of the type it's captured. This could be used directly instead of delegating to Scala's generic `Array` factory method.

Using `Manifests` requires capturing the `Manifest` when a specific type is known before passing to a generic method. If the type of an array were "lost," the array couldn't be passed into the `first` method.

```
scala> val x : Array[_] = Array(1,2)
x: Array[_] = Array(1, 2)

scala> first(x)
<console>:10: error: could not find implicit value for
  evidence parameter of type ClassManifest[_$1]
        first(x)
```

The value `x` is constructed as an `Array` with existential type. The `first` method can't be called with the value `x` because a `ClassManifest` can't be found for the array's type. Although this example is contrived, the situation itself occurs when working with `Arrays` in nested generic code. We solve this by attaching `Manifests` to types all the way down the generic call stack.

RUNTIME VERSUS COMPILE TIME `Manifests` are captured at compile time and encode the type known at *the time of capture*. This type can then be inspected and used at runtime, but the `Manifest` can only capture the type available when the `Manifest` is looked for on the implicit scope.

`Manifests` are useful tools for capturing runtime types, but they can become *viral* in code, needing to be specified in many different methods. Use them with caution and in situations where they're required. Don't use them to enforce type constraints when the type is known by the compiler; instead these can be captured with another implicit at compile time.

7.2.3 Capturing type constraints

The intersection of type inference and type constraints sometimes causes issues where you need to use reified type constraints. What are reified type constraints? These are objects whose implicit existence verifies that some type constraint holds true. For example, there's a type called `<:<[A, B]` that, if the compiler can find it on the implicit scope, then it must be true that `A <: B`. The `<:<` class is the reification of the upper bound constraint.

WHAT DOES REIFICATION MEAN? Think of *reification* as the process of converting some concept in a programming language to a class or object that can be inspected and used at runtime. A Function object is a reification of a method. It's an object that you can call methods on at runtime. In this same vein, other things can be *reified*.

Why do we need reified type constraints? Sometimes it can help the type inferencer automatically determine types for a method call. One of the neat aspects of the type inferencing algorithm is that implicits can defer the resolution of types until later in the algorithm. Scala's type inferencer works in a left-to-right fashion across parameter lists. This allows the types inferred from one parameter list to affect the types inferred in the next parameter list.

A great example of this left-to-right inference is with anonymous functions using collections. Let's take a look:

```
scala> def foo[A](col: List[A])(f: A => Boolean) = null
foo: [A](col: List[A])(f: (A) => Boolean)Null

scala> foo(List("String"))(_.isEmpty)
res1: Null = null
```

The `foo` method defines two parameter lists with one type parameter: one that takes a list of the unknown parameter and another that takes a function using the unknown parameter. When calling `foo` without a type parameter, the call succeeds because the compiler is able to infer the type parameter `A` as equal to `String`. This type is then used for the second parameter list, where the compiler knows that the `_` should be of type `String`. This doesn't work when we combine the parameter lists into one parameter list.

```
scala> def foo[A](col: List[A], f: A => Boolean) = null
foo: [A](col: List[A],f: (A) => Boolean)Null

scala> foo(List("String"), _.isEmpty)
<console>:10: error: missing parameter type for expanded
function ((x$1) => x$1.isEmpty)
          foo(List("String"), _.isEmpty)
```

In this case, the compiler complains about the anonymous function `_.isEmpty` because it has no type. The compiler has not inferred that `A = String` yet, so it can't provide a type for the anonymous function.

This same situation can occur with type parameters. The compiler is unable to infer all the parameters in one parameter list, so the implicit parameter list is used to help the type inferencer.

Let's create a method peek that will return a tuple containing a collection and its first element. This method should be able to handle any collection from the Scala library, and it should retain the original type of the method passed in:

```
scala> def peek[A, C <: Traversable[A]](col : C) =
|   (col.head, col)
foo: [A,C <: Traversable[A]](col: C)(A, C)
```

The method will have two type parameters: one to capture the specific type of the collection, called C, and another to capture the type of elements in the collection, called A. The type parameter C has a constraint that it must be a subtype of Traversable[A], where Traversable is the base type of all collection in Scala. The method returns types A and C, so specific types are preserved. But the type inferencer can't detect the correct types without annotations.

```
scala> peek(List(1,2,3))
<console>:7: error: inferred type arguments [Nothing,List[Int]] do
not conform to method peek's type parameter
  bounds [A,C <: Traversable[A]]
    peek(List(1,2,3))
```

The call to peek with a List[Int] type fails because the type inferencer is unable to find both types C and A using only one parameter. To solve this, let's make a new implementation of peek that defers some type inference for the second parameter list:

```
scala> def peek[C, A](col: C)(implicit ev: C <:< Traversable[A]) =
|   (col.head, col)
foo: [C,A](col: C)(implicit ev: <:<[C,Traversable[A]])(A, C)
```

This peek method also has two type parameters but no type constraints on the C parameter. The first argument list is the same as before, but the second argument list takes an implicit value of type C <:< Traversable[A]. This type is taking advantage of Scala's operator notation. Just as methods named after operators can be used in operator notation, so can types with type parameters. The type C <:< Traversable[A] is a shorthand notation for the type <:< [C, Traversable[A]]. The <:< type provides default implicit values in `scala.Predef` for any two types A and B that have the relationship A <: B. Let's look at the code for the <:< type found in `scala.Predef`:

Listing 7.1 The <:< type

```
sealed abstract class <:<[-From, +To] extends
  (From => To) with Serializable
implicit def conforms[A]: A <:< A = new (A <:< A) {
  def apply(x: A) = x
}
```

The first line declares the `<:<` class. It extends from `Function1` and `Serializable`. This means that `<:<` can be used in any context where Java serialization may be used. Next, the `conforms` method takes a type parameter `A` and returns a new `<:<` type such that it converts from type `A` to `A`. The trick behind `<:<` is the variance annotations. Because `From` is contravariant, if `B <: A` then `<:<[A, A]` conforms to the type `<:<[B, A]` and the compiler will use the implicit value `<:<[A, A]` to satisfy a lookup for type `<:<[B, A]`.

Now when using the `peek` method without type parameters, the type inferencer succeeds:

```
scala> peek(List(1, 2, 3))
res0: (Int, List[Int]) = (1, List(1, 2, 3))
```

Calling the `peek` method with a `List[Int]` correctly returns an `Int` and a `List[Int]` type. Capturing type relationships can also be used to restrict an existing type parameter at a later time.

7.2.4 Specialized methods

Sometimes a parameterized class allows methods if the parameter supports a set of features, or extends a class. I call these *specialized methods*—that is, the method is for a specialized subset of a generic class. These methods use the implicit resolution system to enforce the subset of the generic class for which they’re defined. For example, the Scala collections have a specialized method `sum` that works only for numerical types.

Listing 7.2 TraversableOnce.sum method

```
def sum[B >: A](implicit num: Numeric[B]): B =
  foldLeft(num.zero)(num.plus)
```

The `sum` method, defined in `TraversableOnce.scala`, takes a single type parameter `B`. This type is any supertype of the type of elements in the collection. The parameter `num` is an implicit lookup for the `Numeric` type class. This type class provides the implementation of `zero` and `plus`, as well as other methods, for a given type. The `sum` method uses the methods defined on `numeric` to fold across the collection and “plus” all the elements together.

This method can be called on any collection whose type supports the `Numeric` type class. This means that if we desired, we could provide our own type class for types that aren’t normally considered numeric. For example, let’s use `sum` on a collection of strings:

```
scala> implicit object stringNumeric extends Numeric[String] {
|   override def plus(x : String, y : String) = x + y
|   override def zero = ""
|   ...
|   ... elided other methods ...
| }
defined module stringNumeric

scala> List("One", "Two", "Three").sum
res2: java.lang.String = OneTwoThree
```

The first REPL line constructs an implicitly available `Numeric[String]` class. The `zero` method is defined to return an empty string and the `plus` method is defined to aggregate two strings together. Next, when calling `sum` on a `List` of strings, the result is that the strings are all appended together. The `sum` method used the `Numeric` type class we provided for the `String` type.

Methods can also be specialized using the `<:<` and `=:=` classes. For example, a method that compressed a `Set`, if that set was of integers, could be written like this:

```
trait Set[+T] {
    ...
    def compress(implicit ev : T =:= Int) =
        new CompressedIntSet(this)
}
```

The `Set` trait is defined with a type parameter representing the elements contained in the set. The `compress` function will take the current set and return a compressed version of it. But the only implementation of a compressed set available is the `CompressedIntSet`, is a `Set[Int]` that optimizes storage space using compression techniques. The implicit `ev` parameter is used to ensure that the type of the original set is exactly `Set[Int]` such that a `CompressedIntSet` can be created.

Specialized methods are a great way to provide a rich API and enforce type safety. They help smooth out some rough edges between generalized classes and specific use cases for those generalized classes. In the Scala core library, they're used to support numerical operations within the collection framework. They pair well with type classes, which provide the most flexibility for users of a class.

7.3 Use type classes

A type class is a mechanism of ensuring one type conforms to some abstract interface. The type class pattern became popular as a language feature within the Haskell programming language. In Scala, the type class idiom manifests itself through higher-kinded types and implicit resolution. We'll cover the details of defining your own type class, but initially let's look at a motivating example for why you should use type classes.

Let's design a system that synchronizes files and directories between various locations. These files could be local, remote, or located in some kind of version control system. We'd like to design some form of abstraction that can handle synchronizing between all the different location possibilities. Using our object-oriented hats, we start with trying to define an abstract interface that we can use of. Let's call our interface `FileLike`, and define what methods we need for synchronization.

To start, we know that we need a method of determining whether a `FileLike` object is an aggregate of other `FileLike` objects. We'll call this method `isDirectory`. When the `FileLike` object is a directory, we need a mechanism to retrieve the `FileLike` objects it contains. We'll call this method `children`. We need some way of determining if a directory contains a file we see in another directory.

To do this, we'll provide a child method that attempts to discover a `FileObject` contained in the current `FileLike` object with a given relative filename. If there's no object, we'll provide a `null` object. That is, a `FileLike` object that is a placeholder for a real file. We can use the null object to write data into new files. We'd like a mechanism to check whether the `FileLike` object exists, so we'll make a method called `exists`. Finally we need mechanisms to generate content. In the case of directories, we add the `makedirs` method to create a directory at the path defined by a null `FileLike` object. Next we supply the `content` and `writeContent` methods as a mechanism to retrieve content from `FileLike` objects and to write content to `FileLike` objects. For now, we'll assume that we always write file contents from one side to another, and there's no optimizations for files that might exist on both sides and be equivalent. Let's look at our interface:

Listing 7.3 Initial `FileLike` interface

```
trait FileLike {
  def name : String
  def exists : Boolean
  def isDirectory : Boolean
  def children : Seq[FileLike]
  def child(name : String) : FileLike
  def makedirs() : Unit
  def content : InputStream
  def writeContent(otherContent : InputStream) : Unit
}
```

The `FileLike` interface is defined with the methods described earlier. The method `name` returns the relative name of the `FileLike` object. The method `exists` returns whether or not the file has been created on the filesystem. The method `isDirectory` returns whether the class is an aggregate of other files. The `children` method returns a sequence containing all the `FileLike` objects that are contained in the current `FileLike` object, if it is a directory. The `child` method returns a new `FileLike` object for a child file below the current file. This method should throw an exception if the current file isn't a directory. The `makedirs` method creates directories required to ensure the current file is a directory. The `content` method returns an `InputStream` containing the contents of the file. Finally, the `writeContent` method accepts an `InputStream` and writes the contents to the file.

Now, let's write the synchronizing code.

Listing 7.4 File synchronization using `FileLike`

```
// Utility to synchronize files
object SynchUtil {

  def synchronize(from : FileLike
                 to : FileLike) : Unit = {

    def synchronizeFile(file1 : FileLike,
                       file2 : FileLike) : Unit = {
```

```

        file2.writeContent(file1.content)
    }

    def synchronizeDirectory(dir1 : FileLike,
                            dir2 : FileLike) : Unit = {
        def findFile(file : FileLike,
                    directory : FileLike) : Option[FileLike] =
            (for { file2 <- directory.children
                   if file.name == file2.name
               } yield file2).headOption

        for(file1 <- dir1.children) {
            val file2 = findFile(file1, dir2).
                getOrElse(dir2.child(file1.name))
            if(file1.isDirectory) {
                file2.mkdirs()
            }
            synchronize(file2, file1)           ←———— Synchronize From type
        }
    }

    if(from.isDirectory) {
        synchronizeDirectory(from,to)
    } else {
        synchronizeFile(from,to)
    }
}
}
}

```

The synchronize function contains two helper methods, one for directory like objects and another for `FileLike` objects. The synchronize method then delegates to these two helper functions appropriately. There's only one problem: a subtle bug is in the code! In the `synchronizeDirectory` helper method, the argument ordering is mixed up when recursively calling the synchronize method! This is the kind of error you can avoid by using the type system more. Let's try to capture the `fromFileLike` type separate from the `toFileLike` type. These can ensure the method arguments have the correct order. Let's try it out:

Listing 7.5 Enforcing To/From types with type arguments

```

def synchronize[F <: FileLike,
                T <: FileLike](
                from : F,
                to : T) : Unit = {

    def synchronizeFile(file1 : F,           ←———— Captures To type
                        file2 : T) : Unit = {
        file2.writeContent(file1.content)
    }

    def synchronizeDirectory(dir1 : F,       ←———— Captures From type
                            dir2 : T) : Unit = {
        def findFile(file : FileLike,
                    directory : FileLike) : Option[FileLike] =
            (for { file2 <- directory.children
                   if file.name == file2.name
               } yield file2).headOption

        for(file1 <- dir1.children) {
            val file2 = findFile(file1, dir2).
                getOrElse(dir2.child(file1.name))
            if(file1.isDirectory) {
                file2.mkdirs()
            }
            synchronize(file2, file1)           ←———— Enforce type ordering
        }
    }

    if(from.isDirectory) {
        synchronizeDirectory(from,to)
    } else {
        synchronizeFile(from,to)
    }
}
}
}

```

```

        if file.name == file2.name
    } yield file2).headOption

    for(file1 <- dir1.children) {
        val file2 = findFile(file1, dir2).
            getOrElse(dir2.child(file1.name))
        if(file1.isDirectory) {
            file2.mkdirs()
        }
        synchronize[F,T](file2, file1)           ← Compilation failure
    }
}

if(from.isDirectory) {
    synchronizeDirectory(from,to)
} else {
    synchronizeFile(from,to)
}
}

```

The synchronize method now captures the from type in the type parameter F and the to type in the type parameter T. Great! Now there's a compilation failure on the synchronize call. But the exception isn't quite what's desired. In fact, if the arguments are reordered, the exception remains.

```

synchronize.scala:47: error: type mismatch;
 found   : file1.type (with underlying type FileLike)
 required: F
     synchronize[F,T](file1, file2)
               ^
synchronize.scala:47: error: type mismatch;
 found   : file2.type (with underlying type FileLike)
 required: T
     synchronize[F,T](file1, file2)

```

The compiler is complaining that the types returned from the FileLike.children method are not the captured type F. The FileLike interface doesn't preserve the original type when getting children! One fix would be to modify the FileLike interface to be higher-kinded, and use the type parameter to enforce the static checks. Let's modify the original FileLike interface to take a type parameter:

Listing 7.6 Higher-kinded FileLike

```

trait FileLike[T <: FileLike[T]] {                                ← Capture subclass type
    def name : String
    def isDirectory : Boolean
    def children : Seq[T]
        def child(name : String) : T           ← Returns subclass type
    def mkdirs() : Unit
    def content : InputStream
    def writeContent(otherContent : InputStream) : Unit
}

```

This new definition of FileLike uses a recursive type constraint in its type parameter. The captured type T must be a subtype of FileLike. This type T is now returned by the

child and children methods. This interface works great for the synchronization method, except it suffers from one problem: the need to create FileLike wrappers for every FileLike object passed to the method. When synchronizing java.io.File and java.net.URL instances, a wrapper must be provided. There's an alternative. Instead of defining the type FileLike[T <: FileLike[T]], we can define FileLike[T]. This new trait would allow interacting with any T as if it were a file and doesn't require any inheritance relationship. This style of trait is called a *type class*.

7.3.1 FileLike as a type class

The type class idiom, as it exists in Scala, takes this form: (1) a type class trait that acts as the accessor or utility library for a given type; (2) an object with the same name as the trait (this object contains all default implementations of the type class trait for various types); and (3) methods with context bounds where the type trait need to be used. Let's look at the type class trait for our file synchronization library:

Listing 7.7 FileLike type class trait

```
trait FileLike[T] {
    def name(file : T) : String
    def isDirectory(file : T) : Boolean
    def children(directory : T) : Seq[T]
    def child(parent : T, name : String) : T
    def mkdirs(file : T) : Unit
    def content(file : T) : InputStream
    def writeContent(file : T, otherContent : InputStream) : Unit
}
```

The FileLike type class trait looks similar to the higher-kinded FileLike trait, except for two key points. First, it doesn't have any restriction on type T. The FileLike type class works for a particular type T and against it. This brings us to the second difference: All the methods take a parameter of type T. The FileLike type class isn't expected to be a wrapper around another class, but instead it's an *accessor* of data or state from another class. It allows us to keep a specific type, while treating it generically. Let's look at what the synchronization method becomes using the FileLike type class trait.

Listing 7.8 Synchronize method using type class

```
def synchronize[F : FileLike,           ←———— Use context bounds
                  T : FileLike] (
    from : F,
    to : T) : Unit = {
    val fromHelper =
        implicitly[FileLike[F]]           ←———— Look up FileLike helpers
    val toHelper =
        implicitly[FileLike[T]]
    def synchronizeFile(file1 : F, file2 : T) : Unit = {
        toHelper.writeContent(file2,
```

**Use methods
from type class**

```

        fromHelper.content(file1))
    }

def synchronizeDirectory(dir1 : F,
                        dir2 : T) : Unit = {
  def findFile(file : F,
              directory : T) : Option[T] =
    (for { file2 <- toHelper.children(directory)
           if fromHelper.name(file) == toHelper.name(file2)
     } yield file2).headOption

  for(file1 <- fromHelper.children(dir1)) {
    val file2 = findFile(file1, dir2).
      getOrElse(toHelper.child(dir2,
                                fromHelper.name(file1)))
    if(fromHelper.isDirectory(file1)) {
      toHelper.mkdirs(file2)
    }
    synchronize[T,F](file1, file2)
  }
}

if(fromHelper.isDirectory(from)) {
  synchronizeDirectory(from,to)
} else {
  synchronizeFile(from,to)
}
}
}

```

Notice the use of the context bounds syntax for `FileLike`. As described in section 7.1, this is equivalent to defining an implicit parameter for the `FileLike` on a given type. The next thing to notice is the `implicitly` method lookup of the `FileLike` parameters. Finally, every call made that utilizes type `F` or `T` uses the `FileLike` type class. The `synchronize` method can now work across many different types. Let's see what happens when we use it on two `java.io.File` objects.

```

scala> synchronize(
|   new java.io.File("tmp1"),
|   new java.io.File("tmp2"))
<console>:12: error: could not find implicit value for
evidence parameter of type FileLike[java.io.File]
      synchronize(new java.io.File("tmp1"), new java.io.File("tmp2"))

```

The compiler now complains that there's no implicit value for `FileLike[java.io.File]`. This is the error message provided if we attempt to use a type that doesn't have a corresponding type trait in the implicit scope. The error message isn't quite what we want, and may be improved later, but it's important to understand what this message means.

The `synchronize` method requires a type trait implementation for `java.io.File`. The conventional way to provide default implicit values for a set of types is through a companion object to the type class trait. Let's look at the following listing:

Implicit lookup error messages

As of Scala 2.8.1, type classes may be annotated to provide different error messages if the implicit lookup fails. Here's an example for a `Serializable` type class:

```
scala> @annotation.implicitNotFound(msg =
| "Cannot find Serializable type class for ${T}")
| trait Serializable[T]
defined trait Serializable
scala> def foo[X : Serializable](x : X) = x
foo: [X](x: X)(implicit evidence$1: Serializable[X])X
scala> foo(5)
<console>:11: error: Cannot find Serializable type class for Int foo(5) ^
```

Listing 7.9 Creating default type class implementation for `java.io.File`

```
import java.io.File

object FileLike {
    implicit val ioFileLike = new FileLike[File] {
        override def name(file: File) = file.getName() ← Automatic lookup path
        override def isDirectory(file: File) = file.isDirectory()
        override def parent(file: File) = file.getParentFile()
        override def children(directory: File) = directory.listFiles() ← Returns raw file
        override def child(parent: File, name: String) = new java.io.File(parent, name)
        override def mkdirs(file: File) : Unit = file.mkdirs()
        override def content(file: File) = new FileInputStream(file)
        override def writeContent(file: File, otherContent: InputStream) =
            ...
    }
}
```

Notice that this implementation of `FileLike` is simple. Most methods delegate directly to the underlying implementation. The `writeClient` method is more complex, so you can find the implementation in the source for this book. Now that the implicit `FileLike[java.io.File]` value is in the `FileLike` companion object, anytime the compiler needs to find an implicit value of type `FileLike[java.io.File]`, it'll find one. Remember that the companion object is one of the last places checked for implicit values. This means a user can override the default implementation of `Filelike[java.io.File]` with their own by importing/defining it at the correct location. The type class pattern also provides many benefits.

7.3.2 The benefits of type classes

Type classes provide you with four primary benefits:

- *Separation of abstractions*—Type classes create new abstractions and allow other types to adapt, or be adapted, to the abstraction. This is helpful when creating an abstraction that works with preexisting types, and those types can't be changed.
- *Composability*—You can use the context bound syntax to specify multiple types. This means you can easily require the existence of several type classes when writing your methods. This is far more flexible than expected for some abstract interface, or a combination of abstract interfaces. Type classes can also use inheritance to compose two type classes together into one implicit variable that provides both. Sometimes this may make sense, but in general type classes retain the most flexibility by avoiding inheritance.
- *Overridable*—Type classes allow you to override a default implementation through the implicit system. By putting an implicit value higher in the lookup chain, you can completely replace how a type class is implemented. This can be helpful when providing several type classes with various behaviors because the user can select a nondefault type class when needed.
- *Type safety*—You could use several mechanisms, such as reflection, instead of type classes. The primary reason to prefer type classes over these methods is the guaranteed type safety. When requiring a specific behavior using a type class, the compiler will warn if that behavior isn't found, or isn't yet implemented. Although reflection could be used to find methods on any class and call them, its failure occurs at runtime and isn't guaranteed to occur during testing.

Type classes are a powerful design tool and can greatly improve the composability and reusability of methods and abstractions. These abstractions can also compose into higher-level type classes that are combinations of the lower-level ones.

Here's an example:

```
trait Serializable[T] { ... }
object Serializable {
    implicit def tuple2[T,V](implicit t : Serializable[T],
                             v : Serializable[V]) =
        new Serializable[(T,V)] { ... }
}
```

The `Serializable` type class is defined such that it can serialize a given type `T`. A `Serializable` type class for `Tuple2` values can be constructed using the `Serializable` type class against the types of the `Tuple2`. The method `Tuple2` accepts two type parameters, `T` and `V`, as well as implicit `Serializable` type classes associated with these parameters. The `Tuple2` method returns a `Serializable` type class for `(T,V)` tuples. Now any `Tuple2` of types that support the `Serializable` type class also supports the `Serializable` class.

Type classes start to show some of the power and complex constraints that can be encoded into the type system. This can be further extended to encode significantly complex type dependent algorithms and type level programming.

7.4 Conditional execution using the type system

There comes a time in an algorithm's life when it needs to do something rather clever. This clever behavior encodes portions of the algorithm into the type system so that it can execute at compile time. An example of this could be a sort algorithm. The sort algorithm can be written against the raw `Iterator` interface. But if I call `sort` against a `vector`, then I'd like to be able to utilize `vector`'s natural array separation in my sorting algorithm. Traditionally this has been solved with two mechanisms: overloading and overriding.

Using overloading, the `sort` method is implemented in terms of `Iterable` and another is implemented in terms of `Vector`. The downside to overloading is that it prevents you from using named/default parameters, and it can suffer at compile time due to type erasure.

TYPE ERASURE *Type erasure* refers to the runtime encoding of parameterized classes in Scala. The types used in parameters are erased at runtime into a lower type. This means that functions that operate on parameterized types can erase to the same bytecode on the JVM causing conflict. For example:

```
def sum(x : List[Int]) : Unit
and
def sum(x : List[Double]) : Unit
```

have the same runtime encoding `def sum(x : List[_]) : Unit`. The compiler will complain that the overloading isn't allowed. This is one of the reasons to avoid overloading in Scala.

Using overriding, the `sort` method is implemented against a base class. Each subclass that wishes to specialize the `sort` method should override the base class implementation with its own custom `sort` mechanism. In the case of `Iterable` and `Vector`, both would need to define the same `sort` method. The downside to overriding is that the type signatures must be the same and there must be an inheritance relationship between the classes owning a method.

Overriding seems like a better option than overloading but imposes some strict limitations, especially the inheritance relationship. The inheritance restriction prevents external methods from using overriding behavior, limiting them to overloading and its drawbacks.

The solution is to use the implicit system to associate a type class with the external types. For the `sort` method, it can be modified to accept an implicit parameter of type `Sorter`, where the `Sorter` class contains all the `sort` logic, as follows:

```
trait Sorter[A,B] {
  def sort(a : A) : B
```

```

    }
def sort[A,B](col: A)(implicit val sorter: Sorter[A,B]) =
  sorter.sort(col)

```

The Sorter class is defined with a single method `sort`. The `sort` method accepts a value of type `A` and returns type `B`. It's assumed that `A` and `B` are collections types. The `sort` method is constructed such that it accepts a collection of type `A` and an implicit Sorter object and sorts the collection.

The sort algorithm selection has been turned into a type system problem. Each algorithm has been converted into a type and the selection has been encoded into the implicit system. This premise can be generalized to encode other types of problems into the type system.

It's simple to encode conditional logic into the type system. This can be done by encoding Boolean types into the type system.

```

sealed trait TBool {
  type If[TrueType <: Up, FalseType <: Up, Up] <: Up
}

```

The `TBool` trait is defined having one type constructor `If`. This type constructor can be considered a method working inside the type system with types as its arguments and types as its results. The `If` type constructor takes three arguments: the type to return if the `TBool` is true, the type to return if the `TBool` is false, and an upper bound for the return values. Now let's encode the true and false types into the type system.

```

class TTrue extends TBool {
  type If[TrueType <: Up, FalseType <: Up, Up] = TrueType
}

class TFalse extends TBool {
  type If[TrueType <: Up, FalseType <: Up, Up] = FalseType
}

```

The `TTrue` type represents true in the type system. Its `If` type constructor is overridden to return the first type passed in. The `TFalse` type represents false in the system. Its `If` type constructor is overridden to return the second type passed in. Let's use these types:

```

scala> type X[T <: TBool] = T#If[String, Int, Any]
defined type alias X

scala> val x : X[TTrue] = 5
<console>:11: error: type mismatch;
           found   : Int(5)
           required: X[booleans.TTrue]
                  val x : X[TTrue] = 5
                                         ^

scala> val x : X[TTrue] = "Hi"
x: X[booleans.TTrue] = Hi

```

The `X` type constructor is created to accept an encoding Boolean type and return either the type `String` or the type `Int`. In the next line, the value `x` is defined with a

type of `X[TTrue]`, but because the `X` type constructor is designed to return the type `String` when passed the `TTrue` type, compilation fails because the value is of type `Int`. The next definition of `x` succeeds because the `X` type constructor evaluates to `String` and the value is of type `String`.

This mechanism of encoding logic into the type system can be useful at times. One example is heterogeneous lists.

7.4.1 Heterogeneous typed list

One feature that's lacking in the Scala standard library but that's available in the Meta-Scala library is a heterogeneous typed list—that is, a type-safe list of values with unbounded size. This is similar to Scala's `TupleN` classes, except that a heterogeneous typed list supports append operations to grow the list with additional types. The key to a type-safe list is encoding all the types of the list into the type system and preserving them throughout the usage of the list.

Here's an example of a heterogeneous list instantiation:

```
scala> val x = "Hello" :: 5 :: false :: HNil
x: HCons[java.lang.String,HCons[Int,HCons[Boolean,HNil]]] =
Hello :: 5 :: false :: Nil
```

The preceding line constructs a heterogeneous list comprising of a string, an integer, and a Boolean value. `HNil` is considered the terminating point of the list, similar to `Nil` for `scala.immutable.List`. The return type is interesting. It contains each of the types in the list embedded within `HCons` types, ending with `HNil`. The structure of the heterogeneous list is shown in the type. It's a linked list of `cons` cells, holding a single value type and the rest of the list. There's a special list called `HNil`, which represents the termination of a list or an empty list.

Figure 7.1 is of the heterogeneous list `"Hello" :: 5 :: false :: Nil`. The `HCons` rectangles represent each instance of `HCons`. The `HCons` cells are links in the linked list. They also carry around the current type of the head and the remaining type of the list. `HCons` is a linked list both in physical memory and in the type system. The `HNil` type represents the termination of the list and is similar to using `Nil` to terminate reference/pointer based linked lists. `HNil` will also represent empty in the type system.

TYPE LEVEL PROGRAMMING The key to writing programs that partially execute within the type system is to encode all the required information into the type system. This is the same for creating `if/else` type constructs or heterogeneous lists.




Figure 7.1 Sample heterogeneous list

Let's look at the implementation:

Listing 7.10 Basic `HList` implementation

```

sealed trait HList {}

final case class HCons[H, T <: HList](head : H,           ← Link type
                                         tail : T)
                                         extends HList {
    def ::[T](v : T) = HCons(v, this)
    override def toString = head + " :: " + tail
}

final class HNil extends HList {                         ← Empty list
    def ::[T](v : T) = HCons(v, this)
    override def toString = "Nil"
}

object HList {
    type ::[H, T <: HList] = HCons[H, T]           | Convenience
    val :: = HCons                                | alias for HCons
    val HNil = new HNil
}

```

This `HList` trait is a marker trait for constructing `HLists`. The `HCons` type encodes a link in a linked list. The value for the head is parameterized and can be any type. The tail is another `HList` but is parameterized as `T`. This is how the type system can capture the complete types of the heterogeneous list. The types are encoded in a linked list of `HCons` types as the values are stored in a linked list of `HCons` values. The `HNil` class also extends `HList` and represents an empty list or the end of list. Finally, the object `HList` is used to provide convenience aliases for the `HCons` and `HNil` types.

WHY THE DUPLICATED `::` METHOD? You may be wondering why, in the simple `HList` implementation, the `::` method is defined in both the `HCons` and `HNil` classes with the same definition. The answer is the full type of the list is required when constructing a new `HCons` cell. If you placed this definition on `HList`, the captured type `T` in any new `HCons` cell would always be only `HList`. This negates the desired effect of preserving the type information in the list. The source code we include in this book, and describe later, has a solution to the problem by using a secondary trait, `HListLike[FullListType]`, that captures the complete type of the current list and defines the `::` method using this type.

The `::` and `HNil` types are encoded as a class with corresponding value because they must be used in type signatures *and* expressions. The class types allow them to be directly referenced in type signatures, and the values allow them to be used as expressions. Let's look at an example:

```

scala> val x : ( String :: Int :: Boolean :: HNil) =
        "Hi" :: 5 :: false :: HNil
x: HList:::[String,HList:::[Int,HList:::[Boolean,HNil]]] =
        Hi :: 5 :: false :: Nil

```

The `val x` is defined with type `String :: Int :: Boolean :: HNil` and the expression `"Hi" :: 5 :: false :: HNil`. If we made `HNil` an object, the type would instead be `String :: Int :: Boolean :: HNil.type`.

The `HCons` class was defined as a case class. Combined with the `HNil` value, this enables us to extract typed values from a list using pattern matching. Let's pull the values out of the `x` list constructed earlier:

```
scala> val one :: two :: three :: HNil = x
one: java.lang.String = Hi
two: Int = 5
three: Boolean = false
```

The first line is a pattern match value assignment from list `x`. The resulting types of `one`, `two`, and `three` are `String`, `Int`, and `Boolean` respectively, and the values are extracted correctly. You can also use this extraction to pull out portions of the list; for example, let's pull the first two elements from the `x` list:

```
scala> val first :: second :: rest = x
first: String = Hi
second: Int = 5
rest: HList.:[Boolean,HNil] = false :: Nil
```

This line extracts the first and second value into variables called `first` and `second`. The rest of the list is placed into a variable called `rest`. Notice the types of each: `first` and `second` have the correct types from the portion of the list, and the `rest` variable is of type `:::[Boolean,HNil]` or `Boolean :: HNil`. This mechanism of extracting typed values from the list is handy, but it'd be nice to have an indexing operation.

The indexing operation can be encoded directly into the type system using functions. Let's take a look at the following listing:

```
scala> def indexAt2of3[A,B,C]( x : (A :: B :: C :: HNil) ) =
|   x match {
|     case a :: b :: c :: HNil => b
|   }
indexAt2of3: [A,B,C](x: HList.:[A,HList.:[B,HList.:[C,HNil]]])B

scala> indexAt2of3( 1 :: false :: "Hi" :: HNil )
res5: Boolean = false
```

The `indexAt2of3` method takes a heterogeneous list of three elements and returns the second element. The next call shows that the method works and will infer the types from the heterogeneous list.

This direct encoding of indexing operations is less than ideal. An explosion of methods is required to index elements into lists of various sizes. The heterogeneous list would also have support methods like `insert` into `index` and `remove` from `index`. These operations would have to be duplicated if we used this style of direct encoding. Instead, let's construct a general solution to the problem.

7.4.2 IndexedView

Let's construct a type that looks at a particular index and can perform various operations like adding, retrieving, or removing the element at the index. This type is called an `IndexedView` as it represents a view of the heterogeneous list at a given index into the list. To be able to append or remove elements from the list, the view must have access to the types preceding the current index and the types after the current index. The basic trait looks like this:

Listing 7.11 `IndexedView`

```
sealed trait IndexedView {
  type Before <: HList
  type After <: HList
  type At
  def fold[R](f : (Before, At, After) => R) : R
  def get = fold( _, value, _ ) => value
}
```

The `IndexedView` trait defines three abstract types. `Before` is the types of all the elements in the list before the current index. `After` is the types of all elements in the list after the current index. `At` is the type at the current index of the list. The `IndexedView` trait defines two operations: `fold` and `get`. `Fold` is used to look at the entire list and return a given value. `Fold` takes a function that will look at the before, at and after portions of the list. This allows us to use `fold` to perform operations centered at this current index.

The `get` method is implemented in terms of `fold` to return the value at the current index.

Figure 7.2 shows the `IndexedView` at the third index of heterogeneous list `"Hello" :: 5 :: false :: Nil`. At this index, the `Before` type would be `String :: Int :: HNil`. Notice that the `Before` type isn't exactly the same as the previous `HCons` cell, because it's terminated with `HNil` after the previous two types. The important aspect of the `IndexedView` is that it gives us direct access to the type of the current value—that is, we can name the current type using the type parameter `At`. It also preserves the types preceding and following the current type such that we can use them with aggregate functions.

Constructing an `IndexedView` at an index in the list is done recursively. Let's start with the base case of defining an `IndexedView` at the first index of a list.

```
class HListView0[H, T <: HList](val list : H :: T)
  extends IndexedView {
  type Before = HNil
  type After = T
  type At = H
  def fold[R](f : (Before, At, After) => R) : R =
    f(HNil, list.head, list.tail)
}
```




Figure 7.2 IndexedView

IndexedView folds

We can use the fold operation on `IndexedView` to implement many methods that need an index, including `remove`, `append`, and `split`. These methods require a join operation to join two heterogeneous lists. If this join method was called `::::`, similar to normal list joins, we could implement these methods on `IndexedView` as:

```
def remove = fold {
  (before, _, after) => before :::: after
}

def insertBefore[B](x : B) = fold {
  (before, current, after) =>
  before :::: (x :: current :: after)
}

def replace[B](x : B) = fold {
  (before, _, after) => before :::: (x :: after)
}

def insertAfter[B](x : B) = fold {
  (before, current, after) => before :::: (current :: x :: after)
}
```

The `::::` method isn't covered in this book and left as an exercise for the reader. For implementation help, see the Meta-Scala library at <http://mng.bz/Zw9w>.

The class `HListView0` accepts a list of head type `H` and a tail type of `T`. The `Before` type is an empty list, as there are no elements before for the first index. The `After` type is the same as the captured type of the list's tail, `T`. The `At` type is the type of the current head of the list, `H`. The `fold` method is implemented such that it calls the function `f` with an empty list, the head and the tail of the list.

The next case of `IndexedView` is the recursive case. Let's create an instance of `IndexedView` that delegates to another `IndexedView`. The idea is that for index `N`, there are `N-1` classes that deconstruct the `HList`'s type to the point where the `HListView0` class can be used. Let's call this recursive class `HListViewN`.

```
final class HListViewN[H, NextIdxView <: IndexedView] (
    h : H, next : NextIdxView) extends IndexedView {
  type Before = H :: NextIdxView#Before
  type At = NextIdxView#At
  type After = NextIdxView#After
  def fold[R](f : (Before, At, After) => R) : R =
    next.fold( (before, at, after) =>
      f(HCons(h, before), at, after) )
}
```

The `HListViewN` class has two type parameters: `H` and `NextIdxView`. `H` is the type at the current head of the list. `NextIdxView` is the type of the next `IndexedView` class used to construct an `IndexedView`. The `Before` type is the current type parameter `H` appended to the next indexer's `HList`. The `At` type is deferred to the next indexer. The `After` type is also deferred to the next indexer. The side effect of this is that the `At` and `After` types will be determined by an `HListView0` and carried down the recursive chain by the `HListViewN` classes. Finally, the `fold` operation calls `fold` on the next `IndexedView` and wraps the before list with the current value. The `HListViewN` expands the previous types of an `IndexedView`.

Figure 7.3 shows the recursive nature of `HListViewN`. To construct an `IndexedView` at the third element of an `HList` requires two `HListViewN` classes linked to an `HListView0` class. The `HListView0` class points directly at the `cons` cell, which holds the third element of the `HList`. Each instance of the `HListViewN` class appends one of the previous types of the list to the original `HListView0` class. The outer `HListViewN` class holds the correct types for an `IndexedView` of the original list at element 2.

One important piece to mention about the `HListViewN` classes is that they retain references to the elements of the list and recursively rebuild portions of the list in




Figure 7.3 Recursive `HListViewN`

their `fold` method. You can see this in the diagram with the arrows labeled “h”. The runtime performance implications are that the farther down a list an index goes, the more recursion required to perform operations.

Now that there’s a mechanism to construct `IndexedView` classes at arbitrary depths of the `HList`, there must be a method of constructing these classes. Let’s split this process into two. The first will be a mechanism that takes a list and builds a type for the view at index N of that list. The second mechanism is a way of constructing the recursive `IndexedView` types if the final type is known.

For the first mechanism, let’s add a type to the `HList` class that will construct an `IndexedView` at a given index value for the current list.

```
sealed trait HList {
    type ViewAt[Idx <: Nat] <: IndexedView
}
```

The `ViewAt` type constructor is defined as constructing a subclass of `IndexedView`. The full value will be assigned in the `HCons` and `HNil` classes respectively. The `ViewAt` type constructor takes a parameter of type `Nat`. `Nat` is a type that we create for this purpose representing natural numbers encoded into the type system. `Nat` is constructed the same way naturally numbers are constructed in mathematical proofs, by building from a starting point.

Listing 7.12 Natural numbers encoded into types

```
sealed trait Nat
object Nat {
    sealed trait _0 extends Nat
    sealed trait Succ[Prev <: Nat] extends Nat
    type _1 = Succ[_0]
    type _2 = Succ[_1]
    ...
    type _22 = Succ[_21]
}
```

The trait `Nat` is used to mark natural number types. The trait `_0` is used to denote the starting point for all natural numbers, zero. The `Succ` trait isn’t directly referenced but is used to construct the rest of the natural number set (or at least as many as we wish to type). The types `_1` through `_22` are then defined using the `Succ` trait applied to the previously defined type.

The `Nat` types `_0` through `_22` can now be used to denote indexes into an `HList`. The next step is to use these index values to construct the `IndexedView` type for an `HList` at that index. To do so, let’s construct a mechanism to pass type lambdas into natural numbers and build complete types.

```
sealed trait Nat {
    type Expand[NonZero[N <: Nat] <: Up, IfZero <: Up, Up] <: Up
}
```


The `Nat` trait is given a new type called `Expand`. `Expand` takes three type parameters. The first is a type lambda that’s applied against the previous natural number if the `Nat`

isn't `_0`. The second is the type returned if the natural number is `_0`. The third type is an upper bound for the first two types to avoid compilation type inference issues. Let's implement this type on the `_0` and `Succ` traits:

```
sealed trait _0 extends Nat {
    type Expand[NonZero[N <: Nat] <: Ret, IfZero <: Ret, Ret] =
        IfZero
}
sealed trait Succ[Prev <: Nat] extends Nat {
    type Expand[NonZero[N <: Nat] <: Ret, IfZero <: Ret, Ret] =
        NonZero[Prev]
}
```

The `_0` trait defines its `Expand` type to be exactly the second parameter. This is similar to a method call that returns its second parameter. The `Succ` trait defines its `expand` method to call the type constructor passed into the first parameter against the previous `Nat` type. This can be used to recursively build a type by providing a type that uses itself in the `NonZero` type attribute. Let's use this trick and define the `ViewAt` type on `HList`.

```
final case class HCons[H, T <: HList](head: H,
                                         tail: T) extends HList {
    ...
    type ViewAt[N <: Nat] = N#Expand[
        ({ type Z[P <: Nat] = HListViewN[H, T#ViewAt[P]] })#Z,
        HListView0[H, T],
        IndexedView]
}
```



The `ViewAt` type is defined as an expansion against the natural number parameter `N`. The first type parameter to `Expand` is the recursive type constructor. This type constructor is defined as `HListViewN[H, T#ViewAt[P]]`. Deconstructing, the type is an `HListViewN` comprised of the current head type and the tail's `ViewAt` type applied to the previous natural number (or `N-1`). Eventually, there will be a `ViewAt` called for `_0` that will return the second parameter, `HListView0[H, T]`. If a `Nat` index is passed into the `ViewAt` type that goes beyond the size of the list, it will fail at compile time with the following message:

```
scala> val x = 5 :: "Hi" :: true :: HNil
x: HCons[Int,HCons[java.lang.String,HCons[Boolean,HNil]]] =
      5 :: Hi :: true :: HNil

scala> type X = x.ViewAt[Nat._11]
<console>:11: error: illegal cyclic reference involving type ViewAt
          type X = x.ViewAt[Nat._11]
```

The compiler will issue an illegal cyclic reference in this instance. Although not exactly the error message desired in this situation, the compiler prevents the invalid index operation.

Now that the indexed type can be constructed for a given index and a given `HList`, let's encode the construction of the `IndexedView` into the implicit system. We can do this with a recursive implicit lookup against the constructed `IndexedView` type.

```

object IndexedView {
    implicit def index0[H, T <: HList](list : H :: T) : HListView0[H,T] =
        new HListView0[H,T](list)
    implicit def indexN[H, T <: HList, Prev <: IndexedView](
        list: (H :: T))(
            implicit indexTail: T => Prev): HListViewN[H,Prev] =
                new HListViewN[H, Prev](list.head, indexTail(list.tail))
}

```

The `IndexedView` companion object is given two implicit functions: `index0` and `indexN`. The function `index0` takes an `HList` and constructs an indexed view of `index_0` on that list. The function `indexN` takes an `HList` and an implicit conversion of the tail of the `HList` into an `IndexedView` and returns a new `IndexedView` of the complete list. The type parameters on `indexN` preserve the types of the head and tail of the list as well as the full type of the `IndexedView` used against the tail of the list.

Now when the compiler looks for a type `Function1[Int :: Boolean :: Nil, HListViewN[Int, HListView0[Boolean, HNil]]]`, the `indexN` function will be called with `H = Int` and `T = Boolean :: HNil` and `Prev = ?`. The compiler will then look for an implicit `Function1[Boolean :: Nil, ? <: IndexedView]`. This is satisfied by the `index0` implicit, and the `Prev` type is filled in as `HListView0[Boolean, HNil]`. The full implicit value is found, and a constructor of an `IndexedView` from a `HList` is available. Now let's write the indexing method itself:

```

trait HCons[H, T <: HList] extends HList {
    type FullType = HCons[H,T]
    def viewAt[Idx <: Nat](
        implicit in: FullType => FullType#ViewAt[Idx]) =
            in(this.asInstanceOf[FullType])
    ...
}

```

The `viewAt` method is defined as taking a type parameter of the `Nat` index and an implicit function that constructs the `IndexedView` from the current list. Now the heterogeneous lists support indexing.

```

scala> val x = 5 :: "Hi" :: true :: HNil
x: HCons[Int,HCons[java.lang.String,HCons[Boolean,HNil]]] =
      5 :: Hi :: true :: HNil

scala> x.viewAt[Nat._1].get
res3: java.lang.String = Hi

```

The first line in the example constructs a heterogeneous list and the second shows how to use a natural number to index into the list (assuming `_0` is the first element of the list).

The heterogeneous list demonstrates the power of Scala's type system. It encodes an arbitrary sequence of types and allows type-safe indexing of this sequence. Most type-level programming problems within Scala can be handled using the mechanisms seen with heterogeneous lists, in particular:

- Divide and Conquer: Use recursion to loop over types
- Encode Boolean and integer logic into types
- Use implicit lookup to construct recursive types or return types

This type-level programming is the most advanced usage of the Scala type system that may be required for general development. The simple build tool (SBT) is used to build Scala code that utilizes a different form of the `HList` presented earlier. Although `HLists` are complicated, the SBT tool introduces them in a way that's simple and elegant for the user. It's worth going to <http://mng.bz/Cdgl> and reading how they're used.

7.5 **Summary**

In this chapter, you learned the advanced techniques for utilizing Scala's type system. Implicits allow the capturing of runtime and compile time type constraints. You can use type classes as a general purpose abstraction to associate types with functionality. They are one of the most powerful forms of abstraction within Scala. Finally, we explored in depth the conditional execution and type level programming. This advanced technique tends to be used in core libraries and not as much in user code.

The main theme in all of these sections is that Scala allows developers to preserve type information while writing low-level generic functions. The more type information that can be preserved, the more errors the compiler can catch. For example, the `synchronize` method defined in section 7.3 was able to prevent accidental argument reversal by capturing the `from` and `to` types. The `HList` class allows developers to create arbitrarily long typed lists of elements that can be modified directly rather than passing around a `List[Any]` and having to determine the types of each element at runtime. This also prevents users from placing the wrong type at a given index.

Writing low-level generic functions is also important. The less a method or class assumes about its arguments or types, the more flexible it is and the more often it can be reused. In section 7.2, the implicit available of the `<:<` class was used to add a convenience method directly on the `Set` class.

The next chapter covers the Scala collections library, and we make heavy use of the concepts defined in this chapter. In particular, the collections library attempts to return the most specific collection type possible after any method call. This has some interesting consequences, as you'll see.



Using the right collection

In this chapter

- Determining the appropriate collection for an algorithm
- Descriptions of immutable collection types
- Descriptions of mutable collection types
- Changing the execution semantics of a collection from strict to lazy and back
- Changing the execution semantics of a collection from sequential to parallel and back
- Writing methods for all collection types

The Scala collections library is the single most impressive library in the Scala ecosystem. It's used in every project and provides myriad utility functions. The Scala collections provide many ways of storing and manipulating data, which can be overwhelming. Because most of the methods defined on Scala collections are available on every collection, it's important to know what the collection types imply in terms of performance and usage patterns.

Scala's collections also split into three dichotomies:

- Immutable and mutable collections
- Eager and delayed evaluation
- Sequential and parallel evaluation

Each of these six categories can be useful. Sometimes parallel execution can drastically improve throughput, and sometimes delaying the evaluation of a method can improve performance. The Scala collections library provides the means for developers to choose the attributes their collections should have. We'll discuss these in sections 8.2 through 8.4.

The biggest difficulty with all the new power from the collections library is working generically across collections. We discuss a technique to handle this in section 8.5.

Let's look at the key concepts in the Scala collection library and when to use each.

8.1 Use the right collection

With all the new choices in the Scala collections library, choosing the right collection is important. Each collection has different runtime characteristics and is suited for different styles of algorithms. For example, Scala's `List` collection is a single linked-list and is suited for recursive algorithms that operate by splitting the head off the rest of the collection. In contrast, Scala's `Vector` class is implemented as a set of nested arrays that's efficient at splitting and joining. The key to utilizing the Scala collections library is knowing what the types convey.

In Scala, there are two places to worry about collection types: creating generic methods that work against multiple collections and choosing a collection for a datatype.

Creating generic methods that work across collection types is all about selecting the lowest possible collection type that keeps the generic method performant, but isn't so high up the collections hierarchy that it can't be used for lots of different collections. In fact, the type-system tricks we discuss in section 7.3 can allow you to use type-specialized optimizations generically. We'll show this technique in section 8.5.

Choosing a collection for a datatype is done by instantiating the right collection type for the use case of the data. For example, the `scala.collection.immutable.List` class is ideal for recursive algorithms that split collections by head and tail. The `scala.collection.immutable.Vector` collection is suited toward most general purpose algorithms, due to its efficient indexing and its ability to share much of its internal structure when using methods like `:+` and `++`. We'll show this technique in section 8.3.

The core abstractions in the collections library illustrate different styles of collections.

8.1.1 The collection hierarchy

The Scala collection hierarchy is rich in depth. Each level in the hierarchy represents a new set of abstract functions that can be implemented to define a new collection or add performance goals onto the parent class. The collections hierarchy starts with the `Traversable` abstraction and works its way toward `Map`, `Set`, and `IndexedSequence` abstractions. Let's look at the abstract hierarchy of the collections library.

Let's look at the collections hierarchy in figure 8.1.

The collections hierarchy starts with the trait `TraversableOnce`. This trait represents a collection that can be traversed at least once. This trait abstracts between

A rich set of collections

The Scala collections library is rich in choices. It provides a core set of abstractions for collections. This set is branched into several dichotomies:

- Sequential versus parallel
- Eager evaluation versus lazy evaluation
- Immutable versus mutable collections

The core set of abstractions has variants that allow one or more of these differentiators to be true.

Traversable and **Iterator**. An **Iterator** is a stream of incoming items where advancing to the next item consumes the current item. A **Traversable** represents a collection that defines a mechanism to traverse the entire collection but can be traversed repeatedly. The **Iterable** trait is similar to **Traversable** but allows the repeated creation of an **Iterator**. The hierarchy branches out into sequences, maps (also known as *dictionaries*), and sets.

THE GEN* TRAITS In reality, the collection hierarchy has a duplicate generic variant. Every trait in the hierarchy has a **Gen*** trait that it inherits from, such as **GenTraversableOnce**, **GenIterator**, and **GenSeq**. The generic variants of collections offer no guarantees on serial or parallel execution, while the traits discussed here enforce sequential execution. The principles behind each collection are the same, but traversal ordering isn't guaranteed for parallel collections. We discuss parallel collections in detail in section 8.4.2.

Let's look at when to use each of the collection types.




Figure 8.1 Generic collections hierarchy

8.1.2 Traversable

The `Traversable` trait is defined in terms of the `foreach` method. This method is an internal iterator—that is, the `foreach` method takes a function that operates on a single element of the collection and applies it to every element of the collection. `Traversable` collections don't provide any way to stop traversing inside the `foreach`. To make certain operations efficient, the library uses preinitialized exceptions to break out of the iteration early and prevent wasted cycles. This technique is somewhat efficient on the JVM, but some simple algorithms will suffer greatly. The `index` operation, for example, has complexity $O(n)$ for `Traversable`.

Internal versus external iterators

Iterators can either be internal or external. An internal iterator is one where the collection or owner of the iterator is responsible for walking it through the collection. An external iterator is one where the client code can decide when and how to iterate.

Scala supports both types of iterators with the `Traversable` and `Iterable` types. The `Traversable` trait provides the `foreach` method for iteration, where a client will pass a function for the collection to use when iterating. The `Iterable` trait provides an iterator method, where a client can obtain an iterator and use it to walk through the collection.

Scala also defines `Iterable` as a subclass of `Traversable`. The downside is that any collections that only support internal iterators must extend `Traversable` and nothing else.

When using `Traversables`, it's best to utilize operations that traverse the entire collection, such as `filter`, `map`, and `flatMap`. `Traversables` aren't often seen in day-to-day development, but when they are, it's common to convert them into another sort of collection for processing. For example, we'll define a `Traversable` that opens a file and reads its lines for every traversal.

```
class FileLineTraversable(file: File) extends Traversable[String] {
    override def foreach[U](f: String => U) : Unit = {
        val input = new BufferedReader(new FileReader(file))
        try {
            var line = input.readLine
            while(line != null) {
                f(line)
                line = input.readLine
            }
        } finally {
            input.close()
        }
    }
    override def toString =
        "Lines of " + file.getAbsolutePath + "}"
}
```

The `FileLineTraversable` class takes a file in its constructor and extends the `Traversable` trait for `Strings`. The `foreach` method is overridden to open the file and read lines from the file. The lines are passed into the function `f`. The method uses a `try-finally` block to ensure the file is closed after iteration. This implementation means that every time the collection is traversed, the file is opened and all of its contents are enumerated. Finally, the `toString` method is overridden so that when it's called within the REPL, the entire file's contents aren't enumerated. Let's use this class.

```
scala> val x = new FileLineTraversable(new java.io.File("test.txt"))
x: FileLineTraversable = {Lines of
/home/.../chapter8/collections-examples/test.txt}

scala> for { line <- x
|   word <- line.split("\\s+")
| } yield word
res0: Traversable[java.lang.String] =
List(Line, 1, Line, 2, Line, 3,
Line, 4, Line, 5, ")
```

The first line constructs a `FileLineTraversable` against the `test.txt` file. This sample file contains lines that look like the following Line 1. The second line iterates over all the lines in the file and splits this line into words before constructing a new list with the words. The result is another `Traversable` of `String` that has all the individual words of the file.

The return type is `Traversable` even though the runtime type of the resulting list of words is a `scala.List`. The starting type in the `for` expression was a `Traversable`, so the resulting type of the expression will also be a `Traversable` without any outside intervention.

One concern with using the `FileLineTraversable` class is that the *entire* file would have to be traversed for any operation on the collection. Although we can't create efficient random element access, the traversable can be terminated early if necessary. Let's modify the definition of `FileLineTraversable` to include logging statements.

```
override def foreach[U](f: String => U): Unit = {
  println("Opening file")                                     ← Additional logging
  val input = new BufferedReader(new FileReader(file))
  try {
    var line = input.readLine
    while(line != null) {
      f(line)
      line = input.readLine
    }
    println("Done iterating file")
  } finally {
    println("Closing file")
    input.close()
  }
}
```

The `foreach` method has been modified with logging statements in three places: The first is when the file is opened, the second is when the file has reached its termination state, and the third is when the file is closed. Let's see what happens with a previous example usage:

```
scala> val x = new FileLineTraversable(new java.io.File("test.txt"))
x: FileLineTraversable = {Lines of
  /home/.../scala-in-depth/chapter8/collections-examples/test.txt}

scala> for { line <- x
    |   word <- line.split("\\s+")
    | } yield word
Opening file
Done iterating file
Closing file
res0: Traversable[java.lang.String] =
  List(Line, 1, Line, 2, Line, 3, Line, 4, Line, 5, ")
```

The `FileLineTraversable` is constructed the same as before. But now when trying to extract all the individual words, the logging statements are printed. The file is opened, the iteration is completed, and the file is closed. Now what happens if only the top two lines of the file need to be read?

```
scala> for { line <- x.take(2)
    |   word <- line.split("\\s+")
    | } yield word
Opening file
Closing file
res1: Traversable[java.lang.String] = List(Line, 1, Line, 2)
```

This time the `take` method is called against the `FileLineTraversable`. The `take` method is used to limit a collection to the first n elements, or in this case the first two elements. Now when extracting the lines of the file, the `Opening file` and `Closing file` logging statements print, but not the `Done iterating file` statement. This is because the `Traversable` class has an efficient means of terminating `foreach` early when necessary. We do this by throwing a `scala.util.control.ControlThrowable`. This preallocated exception can be efficiently thrown and caught on the JVM.

← Limit two lines

Carefully catching exceptions

In Scala, some control flows, such as nonlocal closure returns and break statements, are encoded using subclasses of the `scala.util.control.ControlThrowable`. Due to JVM optimizations, this leads to an efficient implementation but requires Scala users to practice restraint in capturing `Exceptions`. For example, instead of catching all `throwables`, when using Scala you should make sure to `rethrow ControlThrowable exceptions`.

```
try { ... } catch {
  case ce : ControlThrowable => throw ce
  case t : Throwable => ...
}
```

It's usually considered bad practice to catch `Throwable` instead of `Exception`. In Scala, if you must catch `Throwable` make sure to `rethrow ControlThrowable`.

The downside to this approach is that the `take` method will read three lines of the file before the exception is thrown to prevent continued iteration.

`Traversable` is one of the most abstract and powerful traits in the collections hierarchy. The `foreach` method is the easiest method to implement for any collection type, but it's suboptimal for many algorithms. It doesn't support random access efficiently and it requires one extra iteration when attempting to terminate traversal early. The next collection type, `Iterable`, solves the latter point by using an external iterator.

8.1.3 Iterable

The `Iterable` trait is defined in terms of the `iterator` method. This returns an external iterator that you can use to walk through the items in the collection. This class improves slightly on `Traversable` performance by allowing methods that need to parse a portion of the collection stop sooner than `Traversable` would allow.

External iterators are objects you can use to iterate the internals of another object. The `Iterable` trait's `iterator` method returns an external iterator of type `Iterator`. The `Iterator` supports two methods, `hasNext` and `next`. The `hasNext` method returns true if there are more elements in the collection and returns false otherwise. The `next` method returns the next element in the collection or throws an exception if there are none left.

One of the downsides to having an external iterator is that collections such as the `FileLineTraversable` are hard to implement. The traversal of the collection is external to the collection itself, so the `FileLineTraversable` needs to know when all iterators are completed or no longer used before it can clean up memory/resources. In the worst case, the file may remain open for the entire life of an application. Because of this issue, subclasses of `Iterable` tend to be standard collections.

The major benefit of the `Iterable` interface is the ability to coiterate two collections efficiently. For example, let's imagine that there are two lists. The first is a list of names and the second is a list of addresses. We can use the `Iterable` interface to iterate through both lists at the same time efficiently.

```
scala> val names = Iterable("Josh", "Jim")
names: Iterable[java.lang.String] = List(Josh, Jim)

scala> val address = Iterable("123 Anyroad, Anytown St 11111",
                           "125 Anyroad, Anytown St 11111")
address: Iterable[java.lang.String] =
List(123 Anyroad, Anytown St 11111, 125 Anyroad, Anytown St 11111)

scala> val n = names.iterator
n: Iterator[java.lang.String] = non-empty iterator

scala> val a = address.iterator
a: Iterator[java.lang.String] = non-empty iterator

scala> while(n.hasNext && a.hasNext) {
|   println(n.next + " lives at " + a.next)
| }
```

```
Josh lives at 123 Anyroad, Anytown St 11111
Jim lives at 125 Anyroad, Anytown St 11111
```

The first line constructs an `Iterable` of name strings. The second line constructs an `Iterable` of address strings. The value `n` is created as an external iterator on the name strings. The value `a` is created as an external iterator on the address strings. The while loop iterates over both the `a` and `n` iterators simultaneously.

Zipping collection

Scala defines a `zip` method that will convert two collections into a single collection of pairs. The coiteration program is equivalent to this line of Scala:

```
names.iterator zip address.iterator map { case (n, a) => n + " lives at
    "+a } foreach println
```

The `zip` method is used against names and addresses. The `map` method deconstructs the pairs and constructs the statement `<name> lives at <address>`. Finally, the statements are sent to the console using the `println` method.

When joining information between two collections, requiring the `Iterable` trait can greatly improve the efficiency of the operation. But we still have an issue with using external iterators on mutable collections. The collection could change without the external iterator being aware of the change:

```
scala> val x = collection.mutable.ArrayBuffer(1,2,3)
x: scala.collection.mutable.ArrayBuffer[Int] = ArrayBuffer(1, 2, 3)

scala> val i = x.iterator
i: Iterator[Int] = non-empty iterator
```

The first line constructs a new `ArrayBuffer` collection (a mutable collection that extends from `Iterable`) with the elements 1, 2, and 3. Next, the value `i` is constructed as an iterator over the array. Now let's remove all the elements from the mutable structure and see what happens to the `i` instance:

```
scala> x.remove(0,3)

scala> i.hasNext
res3: Boolean = true
scala> i.next
java.lang.IndexOutOfBoundsException: 0
...
```

The first line is a call to `remove`. This will remove all elements in the collection. The second line calls `hasNext` on the iterator. Because the iterator is external, it isn't aware that the underlying collection has changed and returns true, implying there's a next element. The next line calls the `next` method, which throws a `java.lang.IndexOutOfBoundsException`.

We should use the `Iterable` trait when explicit external iteration is required for a collection, but random access isn't required.

8.1.4 Seq

The Seq trait is defined in terms of the `length` and `apply` method. It represents collections that have a sequential ordering. We can use the `apply` method to index into the collection by its ordering. The `length` method returns the size of the collection. The Seq trait offers no guarantees on performance of the indexing or length methods. We should use the Seq trait only to differentiate Sets and Maps from sequential collections—that is, if the order in which things are placed into a collections is important and duplicates should be allowed, then the Seq trait should be required.

A good example of when to use a Sequence is when working with sampled data, such as audio. Audio data is recorded at a sampling rate and the order in which it occurs is important in processing that data. Using the Seq trait allows the computation of sliding windows. Let's instantiate some data and compute the sum of elements in sliding windows over the data.

```
scala> val x = Seq(2,1,30,-2,20,1,2,0)
x: Seq[Int] = List(2, 1, 30, -2, 20, 1, 2, 0)

scala> x.tails map (_.take(2)) filter (_.length > 1) map (_.sum) toList
res24: List[Int] = List(3, 31, 28, 18, 21, 3, 2)
```

The first line constructs an example input audio sequence. The second line computes the sum of sliding windows. The sliding windows are created using the `tails` method. The `tails` method returns an iterator over the tail of an existing collection. This means, each successive collection in the `tails` iterator has one less element. These collections can be converted into sliding windows using the `take` method, which ensures only N elements exist (in this case two). Next, we use the `filter` method to remove windows that are less than the desired size. Finally, the `sum` method is called on these windows and the resulting collection is converted to a list.

The sliding method

Scala defines a `sliding` method on collections that can be used rather than the `tails` method. The preceding example could be rewritten as follows:

```
scala> Seq(2,1,30,-2,20,1,2,0).sliding(2).map(_.sum).toList
res0: List[Int] = List(3, 31, 28, 18, 21, 3, 2)
```

Sequence tends to be used frequently in abstract methods when the algorithms are usually targeted at one of its two subclasses: `LinearSeq` and `IndexedSeq`. We prefer these where applicable. Let's look at `LinearSeq` first.

8.1.5 LinearSeq

The `LinearSeq` trait is used to denote that a collection can be split into a head and tail component. The trait is defined in terms of three “assumed to be efficient” abstract methods: `isEmpty`, `head`, and `tail`. The `isEmpty` method returns true if the collection is empty. The `head` method returns the first element of the collection if the

collection isn't empty. The `tail` method returns the entire collection minus the head. This type of collection is ideal for tail recursive algorithms that split collections by their head.

The canonical example of a `LinearSeq` is a `Stack`. A `Stack` is a collection that operates like a stack of toys. It's easy to get the last toy placed on the stack, but it could be frustrating to continually remove toys to reach the bottom of the stack. A `LinearSeq` is similar in that it can be decomposed into the head (or top) element and the rest of the collection.

Let's look at how we can use a `LinearSeq` as a stack in a tree traversal algorithm. First, let's define a binary tree datatype.

```
sealed trait BinaryTree[+A]
case object NilTree extends BinaryTree[Nothing]
case class Branch[+A] (value: A,
                      lhs: BinaryTree[A],
                      rhs: BinaryTree[A]) extends BinaryTree[A]
case class Leaf[+A] (value: A) extends BinaryTree[A]
```

The trait `BinaryTree` is defined as covariant on its type parameter `A`. It has no methods and is sealed to prevent subclasses outside of the current compilation unit. The object `NilTree` represents a completely empty tree. It's defined with the type parameter specified to `Nothing`, which allows it to be used in any `BinaryTree`. The `Branch` class is defined such that it has a value and a left-hand tree and right-hand tree. Finally, the `Leaf` type is defined as a `BinaryTree` that contains only a value. Let's define an algorithm to traverse this `BinaryTree`.

```
def traverse[A, U](t: BinaryTree[A])(f: A => U): Unit = {
  @annotation.tailrec
  def traverseHelper(current: BinaryTree[A],
                     next: LinearSeq[BinaryTree[A]]): Unit =
    current match {
      case Branch(value, lhs, rhs) =>
        f(value)
        traverseHelper(lhs, rhs +: next)
      case Leaf(value) if !next.isEmpty =>
        f(value)
        traverseHelper(next.head, next.tail)
      case Leaf(value) => f(value)
      case NilTree if !next.isEmpty =>
        traverseHelper(next.head, next.tail)
      case NilTree => ()
    }
  traverseHelper(t, LinearSeq())
}
```

The `traverse` method is defined to take a `BinaryTree` of content elements `A` and a function that operates on the contents and returns values of type `U`. The `traverse` method uses a nested helper method to implement the core of its functionality. The `traverseHelper` method is tail recursive and is used to iterate over all the elements in the tree. The `traverseHelper` method takes the current tree it's iterating over and

a `nextLinearSeq`, which contains the elements of the binary tree that it should look at later.

The `traverseHelper` method does a match against the current tree. If the current tree is a branch, it'll send the value at the branch to the function `f` and then recursively call itself. When it does this recursive call, it passes the left-hand tree as the next node to look at and appends the right-hand tree to the *front* of the `LinearSeq` using the `:+` method. Appending the right-hand tree to the `LinearSeq` is a fast operation, usually $O(1)$, due to the requirements of the `LinearSeq` trait.

If the `traverseHelper` method encounters a `Leaf`, the value is sent to the function `f`. But if the next stack isn't empty, the stack is decomposed using the `head` and `tail` method. These methods are defined as efficient for the `LinearSeq`, usually $O(1)$. The head is passed into the `traverseHelper` method as the current tree and the tail is passed as the next stack.

Finally, if the `traverseHelper` method encounters a `NilTree` it operates similarly to when it encounters a `Leaf`. Because a `NilTree` doesn't contain data, only the recursive `traverseHelper` call is needed.

Now, let's construct a `BinaryTree` and see what traversal looks like:

```
scala> Branch(1, Leaf(2), Branch(3, Leaf(4), NilTree))
res0: Branch[Int] = Branch(1,Leaf(2),Branch(3,Leaf(4),NilTree))

scala> BinaryTree.traverse(res0)(println)
1
2
3
4
```

First, a `BinaryTree` is created with two branches and two leaves. Next the `BinaryTree.traverse` method is called against the tree with the method `println` for traversal. The resulting output is each value printed in the expected order: 1, 2, 3, 4.

This technique of manually creating a `Stack` on the `Heap` and deferring work onto it is a common practice when converting a general recursive algorithm into a tail recursive algorithm or an iterative algorithm. When using a functional style with tail recursion, the `LinearSeq` trait is the right collection to use. Let's look at a similar collection, the `IndexedSeq`.

8.1.6 IndexedSeq

The `IndexedSeq` trait is similar to the `Seq` trait except that it implies that random access of collection elements is efficient—that is, accessing elements of a collection should be constant or near constant. This collection type is ideal for most general-purpose algorithms that don't involve head-tail decomposition. Let's look at some of the random access methods and their utility.

```
scala> val x = IndexedSeq(1, 2, 3)
x: IndexedSeq[Int] = Vector(1, 2, 3)

scala> x.updated(1, 5)
```

```
res0: IndexedSeq[Int] = Vector(1, 5, 3)
```

An `IndexedSeq` can be created using the `factory` method defined on the `IndexedSeq` object. By default, this will create an immutable `Vector`, described in section 8.2.1. `IndexedSeq` collections have an `updated` method that takes an index and a new value and returns a new collection with the value at the index updated. In the preceding example, the value at index 1 is replaced with the integer 5.

Indexing into an `IndexedSeq` is done with the `apply` method. In Scala, a call to an `apply` method can be abbreviated so that indexing looks like the following:

```
scala> x(2)
res1: Int = 3
```

The expression `x(2)` is shorthand for `x.apply(2)`. The result of the expression is the value at index 2 of the collection `x`. In Scala, indexing into any type of collection, including arrays, is done with an `apply` method rather than specialized syntax.

Sometimes it's more important to check whether or not a collection contains a particular item than it is to retain ordering. The `Set` collection does this.

8.1.7 Set

The `Set` trait denotes a collection where each element is unique, at least according to the `==` method. A `Set` is the ideal collection to use when testing for the existence of an element in a collection or to ensure there are no duplicates within a collection.

Scala supports three types of immutable and mutable sets: `TreeSet`, `HashSet`, and `BitSet`.

The `TreeSet` is implemented as a red black tree of elements. A red black tree is a data structure that attempts to remain balanced, preserving $O(\log_2 n)$ random access to elements. We find elements in the tree by checking the current node. If the current node is greater than the desired value, we check the left subbranch. If the current node is less than the desired value, then we check the right subbranch. If the elements are equal, then the appropriate node was found. To create a `TreeSet`, an implicit `Ordering` type class is required so that the less than and greater than comparisons can be performed.

The `HashSet` collection is also implemented as a tree of elements. The biggest difference is that the `HashSet` uses the hash of a value to determine which node in the tree to place an element. This means that elements that have the same hash value are located at the same tree node. If the hashing algorithm has a low chance of collision, `HashSets` generally outperform `TreeSets` for lookup speed.

The `BitSet` collection is implemented as a sequence of `Long` values. The `BitSet` collection can store only integer values. A `BitSet` stores an integer value by setting the bit corresponding to that value to true in the underlying `Long` value. `BitSets` are often used to efficiently track and store in memory a large set of flags.

One of the features of `Sets` in Scala is that they extend from the type `(A) => Boolean`—that is, a `Set` can be used as a filtering function. Let's look at mechanisms to limit the values in one collection by another.

```
scala> (1 to 100) filter (2 to 4).toSet
res6: scala.collection.immutable.IndexedSeq[Int] = Vector(2, 3, 4)
```

The range of numbers from 1 to 100 is filtered by the Set of numbers from 2 to 4. Note that any collection can be converted into a set (with some cost) using the `toSet` method. Because a Set is also a filtering function, it can be passed directly to the `filter` function on the range. The result is that only the numbers 2 through 4 are found in the result of the `filter` call.

Although Scala's Set collection provides efficient existence checking on collections, the Map collection performs a similar operation on key value pairs.

8.1.8 Map

The Map trait denotes a collection of key value pairs where only one value for a given key exists. Map provides an efficient lookup for values based on their keys:

```
scala> val errorcodes = Map(1 -> "O NOES", 2 -> "KTHXBAI", 3 -> "ZOMG")
errorcodes: scala.collection.immutable.Map[Int,java.lang.String] =
Map(1 -> O NOES, 2 -> KTHXBAI, 3 -> ZOMG)

scala> errorcodes(1)
res0: java.lang.String = O NOES
```

The first statement constructs a map of error codes to error messages. The `->` method is from an implicit defined in `scala.Predef`, which converts an expression of the form `A -> B` to a tuple `(A, B)`. The second statement accesses the value at key 1 in the `errorcodes` map.

Scala's Map has implementation types for HashMaps and TreeMap. These implementations are similar to the HashSet and TreeSet implementations. The basic rule of thumb is that if the key values have an efficient hashing algorithm with low chance of collisions, then HashMap is preferred.

Scala's Map provides two interesting use cases that aren't directly apparent from the documentation. The first is that, similarly to Set, a Map can be used as a partial function from the key type to the value type. Let's take a look.

```
scala> List(1,3) map errorcodes
res1: List[java.lang.String] = List(O NOES, ZOMG)
```

A list of values 1 and 3 is transformed using the `errorcodes` map. For each element in the list, a value is searched for in the `errorcodes` map. The result is a list of error messages corresponding to the previous list of error values.

Scala's Map also provides the ability to specify a default value to return in the event a key doesn't yet exist:

```
scala> val addresses =
|   Map("josh" -> "123 someplace dr").withDefaultValue(
|     "245 TheCompany St")
addresses: collection.immutable.Map[String,String] =
Map(josh -> 123 someplace dr)

scala> addresses("josh")
```

```
res0: java.lang.String = 123 someplace dr
scala> addresses("john")
res1: java.lang.String = 245 TheCompany St
```

The addresses map is constructed as the configuration of what mailing address to use for a username. The Map is given a default value corresponding to a local company address where most users are assumed to be located. When looking up the address for user josh, a specific address is found. When looking up the address for the user john, the default is returned.

In idiomatic Scala, we usually use the generic Map type directly. This may be due to the underlying implementation being efficient, or from the convenience of three-letter collection names. Regardless of the original reason, the generic Map type is perfect for general purpose development.

Now that the basic collection types have been outlined, we'll look at a few specific immutable implementations.

8.2 Immutable collections

Immutable collections are the default in Scala and have many benefits over mutable collections in general purpose programming. In particular, immutable collections can be shared across threads without the need for locking.

Scala's immutable collections aim to provide both efficient and safe implementations. Many of these collections use advanced techniques to 'share' memory across differing versions of the collection. Let's look at the three most commonly used immutable collections: Vector, List, and Stream.

8.2.1 Vector

Vectors are the general-purpose utility collection of Scala. Vectors have a $\log_{32}(N)$ random element access, which is effectively a small constant on the JVM using 32-bit integer indexes. They're also completely immutable and have reasonable sharing characteristics. In the absence of hard performance constraints, you should make Vectors the default collection. Let's look at its internal structure to see what makes it an ideal collection.

Vectors are composed as a trie on the index position of elements. A *trie* is a tree where every child in a given path down the tree shares some kind of common key as shown in figure 8.2:

This is an example trie storing index values from 0 to 7. The root node of the trie is empty. Each node of the tree contains two values and a left and right branch. Each branch is labeled 0 or 1. The path to any index in the trie can be determined from the binary representation of the number. For example, the number 0 (000) is the 0th index element in the 0 branch from




Figure 8.2 Example index trie with a branching factor of two

root, while the number 5 (101 in binary) is down the 1 branch from root, the 0 branch of the next node and the 1 branch of the final node. This gives us a well known path down the trie for any index given the binary number.

The binary trie can also be efficient. The cost of indexing any random element in the binary index trie is $\log_2(n)$, but this can be reduced by using a higher branching factor. If the branching factor was increased to 32, the access time for any element would be $\log_{32}(n)$, which for 32-bit indices is about 7 and for 64-bit indices is about 13. For smaller collections, due to the ordering of the trie, the access time is less. The random access time of elements scales with the size of the trie.

The other property of the trie is the level of sharing that can happen. If nodes are considered immutable, then changing values at a given index can reuse portions of the trie. Let's take a look at this in figure 8.3.

Here's an updated trie where the value at index 0 is replaced with the value new. To create the new trie, two new nodes were created and the rest were reused as is. Immutable collections can benefit a great deal from sharing structure on every change. This can help reduce the overhead of changing the collections. In the worst case, the cost of changing a single element in the trie is $\log_2(n)$ for a branching factor of 2. This can be reduced by increasing the branching factor.

Scala's Vector collection is similar to an indexed trie with a branch factor of 32. The key difference is that a Vector represents the branches of a trie as an array. This turns the entire structure into an array of arrays. Figure 8.4 shows what Scala's Vector with branching factor of 2 would look like.

The binary branched Vector has three primary arrays: display0, display1, and display2. These arrays represent the depth of the original trie. Each display element is a successively deeper nested array: display0 is an array of elements, display1 is an array of an array of elements, and display2 is an array of an array of an array of elements. Finding an element in the collection involves determining the depth of the tree and indexing into the appropriate display array the same way that the binary trie was indexed. To find the number 4, the display depth is 2, so the display2 array is chosen. The number 4 is 100 in binary, so the outer array is indexed by 1, the middle array indexed by 0 and the number 4 is located at index 0 of the resulting array.




Figure 8.3 Update to trie with sharing




Figure 8.4 Vector's array structure with branching factor of 2

Because Scala's Vector is branched 32 ways, it provides several benefits. As well as scaling lookup times and modification times with the size of the collection, it also provides decent cache coherency because elements that are near each other in the collection are likely to be in the same array in memory. Essentially, like C++'s vector, Scala's Vector should be the preferred collection for general-purpose computational needs. Its efficiency combined with the thread-safety gained from immutability make it the most powerful sequence in the library.

Rule 19
When in doubt, use Vector

Vector is the most flexible, efficient collection in the Scala collections library. Being immutable, it's safe to share across threads. Its indexing performance is excellent, as are append and prepend. Vector can also become a parallel collection efficiently. When unsure of the runtime characteristics of an algorithm, it's best to use a Vector.

In some situations, Vector isn't the most suited collection. When frequently performing head/tail decomposition, it's better to use an immutable descendant of Scala's LinearSeq trait: `scala.collection.immutable.List`

8.2.2 List

Scala's immutable List collection is a singly linked list. This can have decent performance if you're always appending or removing from the front of the list, but it can suffer with more advanced usage patterns. Most users coming from Java or Haskell tend to use List as a go-to default from habit. Although List has excellent performance in its intended use case, it's less general than the Vector class and should be reserved for algorithms where it's more efficient.

Let's look at List's general structure in figure 8.5.

List is comprised of two classes: An empty list called `Nil` and a cons cell, sometimes referred to as a linked node. The cons cell holds a reference to a value and a reference to the rest of the list. Creating a list is as simple as creating cons cells for all the elements in the list. In Scala, the cons cell is called `::` and the empty list is called `Nil`. A list can be constructed by appending elements to the empty list `Nil`.

```
scala> val x = 1 :: 2 :: 3 :: Nil
x: List[Int] = List(1, 2, 3)
```

This line appends 3 to the empty list and then appends 2 to that list and finally appends 1 to that list. The final effect is a linked list of 1, then 2, then 3. This uses a feature of Scala's operator notation. If an operator ends with the `:` character, it's considered right associative. The preceding line is equivalent to the following method calls:




Figure 8.5 Internal structure of a list

```
scala> val x = Nil.::(3).::(2).:::(1)
x: List[Int] = List(1, 2, 3)
```

In this version, it's easier to see how the list is constructed. Scala's treatment of the `:` character in operator notation is a general concept designed to handle cases like this where left associativity is not as expressive as right associativity.

The `List` collection extends from `LinearSeq`, as it supports $O(1)$ head/tail decomposition and prepends.

Lists can support large amounts of sharing as long as you use prepends and head/tail decomposition. But if an item in the middle of the list needs to be modified, the front half of the list needs to be generated. This is what makes `List` less suited to general-purpose development than `Vector`.

`List` is also an eagerly evaluated collection. The head and tail components of a list are known when the list is constructed. Scala provides a different type of linked list where the values aren't computed until needed. This collection is called a `Stream`.

8.2.3 Stream

`Stream` is a lazy persistent collection. A stream can lazily evaluate its members and persist them over time. A stream could represent an infinite sequence without overflowing memory constraints. Streams remember values that were computed during their lifetime, allowing efficient access to previous elements. This has the benefit of allowing backtracking but the downside of causing potential memory issues.

Scala's `Stream` class is similar to Scala's `List` class. A `Stream` is composed of `cons` cells and empty streams. The biggest difference between `Stream` and `List` is that `Stream` will lazily evaluate itself. Rather than storing elements, a stream stores *function-objects* that can be used to compute the head element and the rest of the `Stream` (tail). This allows `Stream` to store infinite sequences: a common tactic to join information with another collection. For example, this can be used to join indexes with elements in a sequence.

```
scala> List("a", "b", "c") zip (Stream from 1)
res5: List[(java.lang.String, Int)] = List((a,1), (b,2), (c,3))
```

The list of strings is zipped with an infinite stream of incrementing numbers starting from 1. The `from` method on `Stream` creates an infinitely incrementing stream starting at the passed in number. The `zip` method does pairwise join at each index element of two sequences. The result is that the elements of the original list are joined with their original indices. Even though the stream is infinite, the code compiles successfully because the stream is generated only for the indices required by the list.

Constructing a stream can be done similarly to `list`, except the cons `(::)` cell is constructed with the `#::` method and an empty stream is referred to as `Stream.empty`. Let's define a stream and see its execution behavior.

```
scala> val s = 1 #:: {
|   println("HI")
|   2
```

```

| } #:: {
|   println("BAI")
|   3
| } #:: Stream.empty
s: scala.collection.immutable.Stream[Int] = Stream(1, ?)

```

The stream `s` is created with three members. The first member is the number 1. The second is an anonymous function that prints `HI` and returns the value 2. The third is another anonymous function that prints `BAI` and returns the number 3. These three members are all prepended to an empty stream. The result is a stream of integers where the head is known to be the value 1. Notice that the strings `HI` and `BAI` aren't printed. Let's start accessing elements on the stream.

```

scala> s(0)
res39: Int = 1

scala> s(1)
HI
res40: Int = 2

scala> s(2)
BAI
res41: Int = 3

```

When accessing the first element in the stream, the head is returned without touching the rest of the stream. Nothing is printed to the console. But when the second index is accessed, the stream needs to compute the value. When computing the value, the string `HI` is printed to the console. Only the second value was computed. Next, when indexing into the third value, it must be computed and the `BAI` string is printed. Now, the stream has computed all of its elements.

```

scala> s
res43: scala.collection.immutable.Stream[Int] = Stream(1, 2, 3)

```

Now when printing the value of the stream to the console, it displays all three elements, because they're persisted. The stream won't recompute the values for indices it has already evaluated.

LISTS IN HASKELL VERSUS SCALA One area of confusion when coming to Scala from Haskell is the `List` class. The Haskell language has lazy evaluation by default while Scala has eager evaluation by default. When looking for something from a lazily evaluated list, like Haskell's list, use Scala's `Stream`, not its `List`.

One excellent use of `Streams` is computing the next value of the stream using previous values. This is evident when calculating the Fibonacci sequence, which is a sequence where the next number is calculated using the sum of the previous two numbers.

```

scala> val fibs = {
    |   def f(a:Int,b:Int):Stream[Int] = a #:: f(b,a+b)
    |   f(0,1)
    | }
fibs: Stream[Int] = Stream(0, ?)

```

The `fibs` stream is defined using a helper function. The helper function `f` is defined to take two integers and construct the next portion of the Fibonacci sequence from them. The `#::` method is used to prepend the first input number to the stream and recursively call the helper function `f`. The recursive call puts the second number in place of the first and adds the two numbers together to send in as the second number. Effectively, the function `f` is keeping track of the next two elements in the sequence and outputs one every time it's called, delaying the rest of the calculation. The entire `fibs` sequence is created by seeding the helper function `f` with the numbers 0 and 1. Let's take a look at the `fib` sequence:

```
scala> fibs drop 3 take 5 toList
res0: List[Int] = List(2, 3, 5, 8, 13)

scala> fibs
res1: Stream[Int] = Stream(0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, ?)
```

This method call drops the first three values of the sequence and takes five values from it and converts them to a list. The resulting portion of the Fibonacci sequence is displayed to the screen. Next, the `fibs` sequence is printed to the console. Notice that now the `fibs` sequence prints out the first eight elements. This is because those eight elements of Stream were evaluated. This is the persistence aspect of the Stream working.

Streams don't work well when the eventual size of the stream won't fit into memory. In these instances, it's better to use a `TraversableView` to avoid performing work until necessary while allowing memory to be reclaimed. See section 8.1.1 for an example. If you need arbitrary high indices into a Fibonacci sequence, the collection could be defined as follows:

```
scala> val fibs2 = new Traversable[Int] {
    |   def foreach[U](f: Int => U): Unit = {
    |     def next(a: Int, b: Int): Unit = {
    |       f(a)
    |       next(b, a+b)
    |     }
    |     next(0,1)
    |   }
    | } view
fibs2: TraversableView[Int,Traversable[Int]] = TraversableView(...)
```

The `fibs2` collection is defined as a `Traversable` of integers. The `foreach` method is defined in terms of a helper method `next`. The `next` method is almost the same as the helper method for the `fibs` stream except that instead of constructing a `Stream`, it loops infinitely, passing Fibonacci sequence values to the traversal function `f`. This `Traversable` is immediately turned into a `TraversableView` with the `view` method to prevent the `foreach` method from being called immediately. A `view` is a collection that lazily evaluates operations. Views are discussed in detail in section 8.4.1. Now, let's use this version of a lazily evaluated collection.

```
scala> fibs2 drop 3 take 5 toList
res52: List[Int] = List(2, 3, 5, 8, 13)

scala> fibs2
res53: TraversableView[Int,Traversable[Int]] = TraversableView(...)
```

The same `drop 3 take 5 toList` methods are operated on the `fibs2` collection. Similarly to `Stream`, the Fibonacci sequence is calculated on the fly and values are inserted into the resulting list. But when reinspecting the `fibs2` sequence after operating on it, none of the calculated indices are remembered on the `TraversableView`. This means indexing into the `TraversableView` repeatedly could be expensive. It's best to save the `TraversableView` for scenarios where a `Stream` would not fit in memory.

`Stream` provides an elegant way to lazily evaluate elements of a collection. This can amortize the cost of calculating an expensive sequence or allow infinite streams to be used. They're simple and easy to use when needed.

Sometimes, mutating collections is necessary for performance reasons. Although mutability should and can be avoided in general development, it's necessary in situations and can be beneficial. Let's look at how to achieve mutability in Scala's collection library.

8.3 Mutable collections

Mutable collections are collections that can conceptually change during their lifetime. The perfect example is an array. The individual elements of the array could be modified at any point during the array's lifetime.

In Scala, mutability isn't the default in the collections API. Using or creating mutable collections requires importing one or more interfaces from the `scala.collections.mutable` package and knowing which methods will mutate the existing collection vs. creating a new collection. For example, the `map`, `flatMap`, and `filter` methods defined on mutable collections will create new collections rather than mutate a collection in place.

The mutable collections library provides a few collections and abstractions that need to be investigated over and above the core abstractions:

- `ArrayBuffer`
- Mixin mutation event publishing
- Mixin serialization

Let's start looking at `ArrayBuffer`.

8.3.1 ArrayBuffer

The `ArrayBuffer` collection is a mutable `Array` that may or may not be the same size as that of the collection. This allows elements to be added without requiring the entire array to be copied. Internally, an `ArrayBuffer` is an `Array` of elements, as well as the stored current size. When an element is added to an `ArrayBuffer`, this size is checked. If the underlying array isn't full, then the element is directly added to the array. If the underlying array is full, then a larger array is constructed and all the elements are

copied to the new array. The key is that the new array is constructed larger than required for the current addition.

Although the entire array is copied into the new array on some append operations, the *amortized* cost for append is a constant. Amortized cost is the cost calculated over a long time—that is, during the lifetime of an `ArrayBuffer`, the cost of appending averages out to be linear across all the operations, even though any given append operation could be $O(1)$ or $O(n)$. This property makes `ArrayBuffer` a likely candidate for most mutable sequence construction.

The `ArrayBuffer` collection is similar to Java’s `java.util.ArrayList`. The main difference between the two is that Java’s `ArrayList` attempts to amortize the cost of removing and appending to the front *and* back of the list whereas Scala’s `ArrayBuffer` is only optimized for adding and removing to the end of the sequence.

The `ArrayBuffer` collection is ideal for most situations where mutable sequences are required. In Scala, it’s the mutable equivalent of the `Vector` class. Let’s look at one of the abstractions in the mutable collections library, mixin mutation event publishing.

8.3.2 Mixin mutation event publishing

Scala’s mutable collection library provides three traits, `ObservableMap`, `ObservableBuffer`, and `ObservableSet`, that can be used to listen to mutation events on collections. Mixing one of these traits into the appropriate collection will cause all mutations to get fired as events to observers. These events are sent to observers, and the observers have a chance to prevent the mutation. Here’s an example:

```
scala> object x extends ArrayBuffer[Int] with ObservableBuffer[Int] {
|     subscribe(new Sub {
|         override def notify(pub: Pub,
|             evt: Message[Int] with Undoable) = {
|             Console.println("Event: " + evt + " from " + pub)
|         }
|     })
| }
defined module x
```

The object `x` is created as an `ArrayBuffer` that mixes in the `ObservableBuffer`. In the constructor, a subscriber is registered that prints events as they happen:

```
scala> x += 1
Event: Include(End,1) from ArrayBuffer(1)
res2: x.type = ArrayBuffer(1)

scala> x -= 1
Event: Remove(Index(0),1) from ArrayBuffer()
res3: x.type = ArrayBuffer()
```

Adding the element 1 to the collection causes the `Include` event to be fired. This event indicates that a new element is included in the underlying collection. Next the element 1 is removed from the collection. The result is a `Remove` event, indicating the index and value that was removed.

The full details of the event API for collection mutation is contained in the `scala.collection.script` package. The API is designed for advanced use cases such as data binding. Data binding is a practice where one object's state is controlled from another object's state. This is common in UI programming, where a list displayed onscreen could be tied directly to a Scala `ArrayBufferwithObservableBuffer`. That way, any changes to the underlying `ArrayBuffer` would update the display of the UI element.

Scala's mutable collection library also allows mixins to be used to synchronize operations on collections.

8.3.3 Mixin synchronization

Scala defines the traits `SynchronizedBuffer`, `SynchronizedMap`, `SynchronizedSet`, `SynchronizedStack`, and `SynchronizedPriorityQueue` for modifying the behavior of mutable collections. A `Synchronized*` trait can be used on its corresponding collection to enforce atomicity of operations on that collection.

These traits effectively wrap methods on collections with a `this.synchronized{}` call. Although this is a neat trick to aid in thread safety, these traits are little used in practice. It's better to use mutable collections in single threaded scenarios and promote immutable collections for cross thread data sharing.

Let's look at an alternative solution to parallelizing and optimizing collection usage: Views and Parallel collections.

8.4 Changing evaluation with views and parallel collections

The base collection in the collection hierarchy defaults to strict and sequential evaluation. Strict evaluation is when operations are performed immediately when they're defined. This is in contrast to lazy evaluation where operations can be deferred. Sequential evaluation is when operations are performed sequentially without parallelism against a collection. As shown in figure 8.6, this is in contrast to parallel evaluation where evaluation could happen on multiple threads across portions of the collection.




Figure 8.6 Changing evaluation semantics

The collections library provides two standard mechanisms to migrate from the default evaluation semantics into either parallel or lazy evaluation. These take the form of the `view` and `par` methods. The `view` method can take any collection and efficiently create a new collection that will have lazy evaluation. The `force` method is the inverse of the `view` method. It's used to create a new collection that has strict evaluation of its operations. Views will be covered in detail in section 8.4.1.

In a similar fashion, the `par` method is used to create a collection that uses parallel execution. The inverse of the `par` method is the `seq` method, which converts the current collection into one that supports sequential evaluation.

One important thing to note in the diagram is what happens when calling the `par` method on a `View`. As of Scala 2.9.0.1, this method call converts a sequential lazy collection into a parallel strict collection rather than a parallel lazy collection. This is due to how the encoding of types work. Let's investigate this mechanism some more.

8.4.1 Views

The collections in the collections library default to strict evaluation—that is, when using the `map` method on a collection, a new collection is immediately created before continuing with any other function call. Collections views are lazily evaluated. Method calls on a view will only be performed when they absolutely have to. Let's look at a simple example using `List`.

```
scala> List(1,2,3,4) map { i => println(i); i+1 }
1
2
3
4
res1: List[Int] = List(2, 3, 4, 5)
```

This line constructs a list and iterates over each of its elements. During this iteration, the value is printed and the value is modified by one. The result is that each element gets printed to the string and the resulting list is created. Let's do the same thing, except this time we'll change from using a `List` to a `ListView`.

```
scala> List(1,2,3,4).view map { i => println(i); i+1 }
res2: scala.collection.SeqView[Int,Seq[_]] = SeqViewM(...)
```

This expression is the same as the previous one, except the `view` method is called on `List`. The `view` method returns a view or window looking at the current collection that delays all functions as long as possible. The `map` function call against the `view` is not performed. Let's modify the result so that the values are printed.

```
scala> res2.toList
1
2
3
4
res3: List[Int] = List(2, 3, 4, 5)
```

The `toList` method is called against the view from the previous example. Because the view must construct a new collection, the `map` function that was deferred before is executed and the value of each item of the original list is printed. Finally, the value of the new list, with each element incremented by one, is returned.

Views pair well with Traversable collections. Let's reexamine the `FileLineTraversable` class from before. This class opens a file and iterates over the lines for every traversal. This can be combined with a view to create a collection that will load and *parse* a file when it's iterated.

Rule
20

Use `TraversableView` for ephemeral streams of data

Traversable views provide the best flexibility between simplicity in implementation, runtime cost, and utility for ephemeral streams of data. If the data is only streamed once, a `TraversableView` can get the job done.

Let's imagine that a system has a simple property configuration file format. Every line of a config file is a key-value pair separated by the `=` character. Any `=` character is considered a valid identifier. Also, any line that doesn't have an `=` character is assumed to be a comment. Let's define the parsing mechanism.

```
def parsedConfigFile(file: java.io.File) = {
    val P = new scala.util.matching.Regex("( [^=]+)=(.+ )")
    for {
        P(key,value) <- (new FileLineTraversable(file)).view
    } yield key -> value
}
```

The `parsedConfigFile` takes in the config file to parse. The value `P` is instantiated as a regular expression. The expression matches lines that have a single `=` sign with content on both sides. Finally, a `for` expression is used to parse the file. A `FileLineTraversable` is constructed and the `view` method is called on this traversable, delaying any real execution. The regular expression `P` is used to extract key-value pairs and return them. Let's try it out in the REPL.

```
scala> val config = parsedConfigFile(new java.io.File("config.txt"))
config: TraversableView[(String, String), Traversable[_]] =
  TraversableViewFM(...)
```

The `parsedConfigFile` method is called with a sample config file. The config contains two attribute value pairs that are numbered. Notice that the file isn't opened and traversed. The return type is a `TraversableView` of `(String, String)` values. Now, when the configuration file should be read, the `force` method can be used to force evaluation of the view.

```
scala> config force
Opening file
Done iterating file
Closing file
res13: Traversable[(String, String)] =
  List((attribute1,value1), (attribute2,value2))
```

The `force` method is called on the config `TraversableView`. This causes strict evaluation of the deferred operations. The file is opened and iterated, pulling out all the parsable lines; these values are returned into the result.

Using views with `Traversables` is a handy way to construct portions of a program and delay their execution until necessary. For example, in an old Enterprise JavaBeans application, I constructed a parsable `TraversableView` that would execute an RMI call into the `ApplicationServer` for the current list of “active” data and perform operations on this list. Although there were several operations to whittle down and transform the data after it was returned from the `ApplicationServer`, these could be abstracted behind the `TraversableView`, similarly to how the config file example exposed a `TraversableView` of key value pairs rather than a `TraversableView` of the raw file.

Let’s look at another way to change the execution behavior of collections: parallelization.

8.4.2 Parallel collections

Parallel collections are collections that attempt to run their operations in parallel.

Parallel collections are implemented in terms of `Splitable` iterators. A `Splitable` iterator is an iterator that can be efficiently split into multiple iterators where each iterator owns a portion of the original iterator.

Figure 8.7 shows a `Splitable` iterator that originally points to a collection of the numbers 1 through 8. In the example, the `Splitable` iterator is split into two iterators. The first covers the numbers 1 through 4 and the second covers the numbers 5 through 8. These split iterators can be fed to different threads for processing.

The `Parallel` collection operations are implemented as tasks on `Splitable` iterators. Each task could be run using a parallel executor, by default a `ForkJoinPool`, initialized with a number of worker threads equal to the number of processors available on the current machine. Tasks themselves can be split, and each task defines a threshold it can use to determine whether it should be split further.

Let’s look at figure 8.8 to see what might happen in parallel when calculating the sum of elements in a parallel collection.

The `sum` method on the collection of one to eight is split into seven tasks. Each task computes the sum of the numbers below it. If a task contains more numbers than the threshold, assumed to be two in this example, the collection is split and more sum tasks are thrown on the queue.




Figure 8.7 Splitting parallel collection iterators




Figure 8.8 Parallel task breakdown of sum method

These tasks are farmed out to a `ForkJoinPool` and executed in parallel. A `ForkJoinPool` is like a thread pool, but with better performance for tasks that fork (split) first and join (combine) later.

When using parallel collections, there are two things to worry about:

- The efficiency of converting from a sequential to a parallel collection
- The parallelizability of a task

The collections library does its best to reduce the cost of the first. The library defines a `ParArray` collection that can take `Arrays`, and `Array-based` collections, and convert them into their parallel variant. The library also defines a `ParVector` collection that can efficiently, in $O(1)$ time, convert from a `Vector` to a `ParVector`. In addition to these, the library has a mechanism to parallelize `Set`, `Map`, and the `Range` collections. The collections that aren't converted efficiently are those that subclass from `LinearSeq`. Let's take a look.

```
scala> List(1,2,3).par
res18: collection.parallel.immutable.ParSeq[Int] = ParVector(1, 2, 3)
```

In this example, a `List` of three numbers is converted to a parallel collection using the `par` method. The result is a `ParVector`. The runtime complexity of this operation is $O(N)$ because the library has to construct a `Vector` from the `List`. If this overhead, combined with the overhead of parallelizing, is less than the runtime of an algorithm, it can still make sense to parallelize `LinearSeq`. But in most cases, it's best to avoid `LinearSeq` and its descendants when using parallel collections.

The second thing to worry about with parallel collections is the parallelizability of a task. This is the amount of parallelism that can be expected from a given task. For example, the `map` method on collections can have a huge amount of parallelism. The `map` operation takes each element of a collection and transforms it into something else, returning a new collection. This is ideal for parallel collections.

```
scala> ParVector(1,2,3,4) map (_.toString)
res22: collection.parallel.immutable.ParVector[java.lang.String] =
  ParVector(1, 2, 3, 4)
```

The preceding constructs a parallel vector of integers and converts all the elements to their string representation.

One method that doesn't have any parallelism is the `foldLeft` method. The `foldLeft` method on collections takes an initial value and a binary operation and performs the operation over the elements in a left-associative fashion. For example, given a collection of the values 1, 2, 3, and 4 and an initial value of 0, the binary operation `+` would be applied as follows: $((((0 + 1) + 2) + 3) + 4)$. The association requires that the operations be performed in sequence. If this were used on a parallel collection to compute the sum of elements in the collection, it would not execute in parallel. Let's take a look:

```
scala> (1 to 1000).par.foldLeft(0)(_+_)
res25: Int = 500500
```

A parallel range is constructed with the values 1 through 1,000. The `foldLeft` method is called with an initial value of 0 and an operation of `+`. The result is the correct sum, but there's no indication of whether this was parallelized. Let's use a cheap trick to figure out if the `foldLeft` is parallelized.

```
scala> (1 to 1000).par.foldLeft(Set[String]()) {
    |   (set,value) =>
    |     set + Thread.currentThread.toString()
    | }
res30: scala.collection.immutable.Set[String] =
Set(Thread[Thread-26,5,main])
```

This time the `foldLeft` method is called with an empty `Set` of strings. The binary operation appends the current executing thread to the set. But if the same trick is used with the `map` operation, more than one thread will be displayed on a multicore machine:

```
scala> (1 to 1000).par map { ignore =>
    |   Thread.currentThread.toString
    | } toSet
res34: collection.parallel.immutable.ParSet[java.lang.String] = ParSet(
  Thread[ForkJoinPool-1-worker-0,5,main],
  Thread[ForkJoinPool-1-worker-1,5,main])
```

The parallel range from 1 to 1,000 is created and the `map` operation is called. The `map` operation converts each element to the current thread it's running in, and the resulting list of threads is converted to a `Set`, effectively removing duplicates. The resulting list on my dual-core machine has two threads. Notice that unlike the previous example, the threads are from the default `ForkJoinPool`.

So, using parallel collections for parallelism requires using the parallelizable operations. The API documentation does a good job marking which operations are parallelizable, so it's best to take a thorough read through the scaladoc in the `scala.collection.parallel` package.

With all these different collection types, it can be difficult to write generic methods that need to work against many collection types. We'll discuss some mechanisms to do this.

8.5 Writing methods to use with all collection types

The new collections library goes to great lengths to ensure that generic methods, like `map`, `filter`, and `flatMap` will return the most specific type possible. If you start with a `List`, you should expect to retain a `List` for the duration of computations unless you perform some sort of transformation. You can do this property through a few type system tricks. Let's look at implementing a generic sort algorithm against collections.

A naive approach would be the following:

```
object NaiveQuickSort {
  def sort[T](a: Iterable[T])(implicit n: Ordering[T]): Iterable[T] =
    if (a.size < 2) a
    else {
      import n._
```

```

    val pivot = a.head
    sort(a.filter(_ < pivot)) ++
    a.filter(_ == pivot) ++
    sort(a.filter(_ > pivot))
}
}

```

The `NaiveQuickSort` object is defined with a single method `sort`. The `sort` method implements a quick sort. It takes in an `Iterable` of elements of type `T`. The implicit parameter list accepts the type class `Ordering` for the type `T`. This is what's used to determine if one of the elements of the `Iterable` is larger, smaller, or equal to another. Finally, the function returns an `Iterable`. The implementation pulls a pivot element and splits the collection into three sets: elements less than the pivot, elements greater than the pivot, and elements equal to the pivot. These sets are then sorted and combined to create the final sorted list.

This algorithm works for most collections but has one obvious flaw:

```
scala> NaiveQuickSort.sort(List(2,1,3))
res12: Iterable[Int] = List(1, 2, 3)
```

The `NaiveQuickSort.sort` method is called with an unsorted `List`. The result is a sorted collection, but the type is `Iterable`, not `List`. The method does work, but the loss of the `List` type is undesirable. Let's see if the sort can be modified to retain the original type of the collection, if possible.

```

object QuickSortBetterTypes {
  def sort[T, Coll](a: Coll)(implicit ev0: Coll <:< SeqLike[T, Coll],
                        cbf: CanBuildFrom[Coll, T, Coll],
                        n: Ordering[T]): Coll = {
    if (a.length < 2)
      a
    else {
      import n._
      val pivot = a.head
      val (lower : Coll, tmp : Coll) = a.partition(_ < pivot)
      val (upper : Coll, same : Coll) = tmp.partition(_ > pivot)
      val b = cbf()
      b.sizeHint(a.length)
      b += sort[T, Coll](lower)
      b += same
      b += sort[T, Coll](upper)
      b.result
    }
  }
}

```

The `QuickSortBetterTypes` object is created with a single method, `sort`. The guts of the algorithm are the same as before, except a generic builder is used to construct the sorted list. The biggest change is in the signature of the `sort` method, so let's deconstruct it.

`T` is the type parameter representing elements of the collection. `T` is required to have an `Ordering` in this method (the `implicit n : Ordering[T]` parameter in the

second parameter list). The ordering members are imported on the first line of the method. This allows the < and > operations to be “pimped” onto the type T for convenience. The second type parameters is Coll. This is the concrete Collection type. Notice that *no type bounds are defined*. It’s a common habit for folks new to Scala to define generic collection parameters as follows: Col[T] <: Seq[T]. Don’t do this, as this type doesn’t quite mean what you want. Instead of allowing any subtype of a sequence, it allows only subtypes of a sequence that *also* have type parameters (which is most collections). You can run into issues if your collection has no type parameters or more than one type parameter. For example:

```
object Foo extends Seq[Int] {...}
trait DatabaseResultSetWalker[T, DbType] extends Seq[T] {...}
```

Both of these will fail type checking when trying to pass them into a method taking Col[T] <: Seq[T]. For the object Foo, this is because it has no type parameters, but the constraint Col[T] <: Seq[T] *requires* a single type parameter. The DatabaseResultSetWalker trait can’t match Col[T] <: Seq[T] because it has two type parameters, where the requirement is for only one. Although there are workarounds, that requirement can be surprising to users of the function. The workaround is to defer the type-checking algorithm using the implicit system (see section 7.2.3).

To get the compiler to infer the type parameter on the lower bound, we have to defer the type inferencer long enough for it to figure out all the types. To do that, we don’t enforce the type constraint until we do the implicit lookup using the <:< class. The first implicit parameter ev0 : Col <:< SeqLike[T, Col] is used to ensure that the type Col is a valid collection type with elements of T. This signature uses the SeqLike class. Although most consider the SeqLike classes to be an implementation detail, they’re important when implementing any sort of generic method against collections. SeqLike captures the original fully typed collection in its second type parameter. This allows the type system to carry the most specific type through a generic method so that it can be used in the return value.

DEFERRING TYPE INFERENCE OF PARENT-CLASS TYPE PARAMETERS The need to defer the type inference for a type parameter Foo <: Seq[T] is necessary for supporting the Scala 2.8.x series. As of the Scala 2.9.x, the type inference algorithm was improved such that the implicit <:< parameter is no longer necessary.

The next type parameter in the sort method is the cbf : CanBuildFrom[Coll, T, Coll]. The CanBuildFrom trait, when looked up implicitly, determines how to build new collections of a given type. The first type parameter represents the original collection type. The second type parameter represents the type of elements desired in the *built* collection—that is, the type of elements in the collection the method is going to return. The final type parameter of CanBuildFrom is the full type of the new collection. This is the same type as the input collection in the case of sort, because the sort algorithm should return the same type of collection that came in.

The `CanBuildFrom` class is used to construct the builder `b`. This builder is given a `sizeHint` for the final collection and is used to construct the final sorted collection rather than calling the `++` method directly. Let's look at the final result.

```
scala> QuickSortBetterTypes.sort(
|   Vector(56,1,1,8,9,10,4,5,6,7,8))
res0: scala.collection.immutable.Vector[Int] =
Vector(1, 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 8, 9, 10, 56)

scala> QuickSortBetterTypes.sort(
|   collection.mutable.ArrayBuffer(56,1,1,8,9,10,4,5,6,7,8))
res1: scala.collection.mutable.ArrayBuffer[Int] =
ArrayBuffer(1, 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 8, 9, 10, 56)
```

The first line calls the new `sort` method against an unordered `Vector` of `Ints`. The resulting type is also a `Vector` of `Ints`. Next, the `sort` method is called against an `ArrayBuffer` of `Ints`. The result is again an `ArrayBuffer` of `Ints`. The new collection method now preserves the most specific type possible.

LinearSeqLike and recursive type definitions

The method signature doesn't work as is against the `LinearSeqLike` trait, because the `LinearSeqLike` trait defines its type parameters as `LinearSeqLike[T, Col <: LinearSeqLike[T, Col]]`. The second type parameter is recursive. The type `Col` appears in its own type constraint. In Scala 2.9, the type inferencer can still correctly deduce subclasses of `LinearSeqLike`. Here's an example method that will do head-tail decomposition on subclasses of `LinearSeqLike`.

```
def foo[T, Coll <: LinearSeqLike[T, Coll]](t : Coll with
LinearSeqLike[T, Coll]) : Option[(T, Coll)]
```

The method `foo` has two type parameters. The parameter `T` is the type of elements in the collection. The type parameter `Coll` is the full type of the collection and is recursive, like in the definition of the `LinearSeqLike` trait. This alone won't allow Scala to infer the correct types. The parameter list accepts a single parameter `t` with type `Coll with LinearSeqLike[T, Coll]`. Although the `Coll` type parameter has the type bound that `<: LinearSeqLike[T, Coll]`, the `with` keyword must also be used to explicitly join the `Coll` type with `LinearSeqLike[T, Coll]`. Once this is completed, type inference will work correctly for `Coll`.

This implementation of `sort` is generic but may be suboptimal for different types of collections. It would be ideal if the algorithm could be adapted such that it was optimized for each collection in the hierarchy. This is easy to do as a maintainer of the collections library, because the implementations can be placed directly on the classes. But when developing new algorithms outside the collections library, type classes can come to the rescue.

8.5.1 Optimizing algorithms for each collections type

You can use the type class paradigm to encode an algorithm against collections and *refine* that algorithm when speed improvements are possible. Let's start by converting the generic sort algorithm from before into a type class paradigm. First we'll define the type class for the sort algorithm.

```
trait Sortable[A] {
    def sort(a : A) : A
}
```

The `Sortable` type class is defined against the type parameter `A`. The type parameter `A` is meant to be the full type of a collection. For example, sorting a list of integers would require a `Sortable[List[Int]]` object. The `sort` method takes a value of type `A` and returns a sorted version of type `A`. The generic sort method can now be modified to look as follows:

```
object Sorter {
    def sort[Col](col : Col)(implicit s : Sortable[Col]) = s.sort(col)
}
```

The `Sorter` object defines a single method `sort`. The generic `sort` method now takes in the `Sortable` type class and uses it to sort the input collection. Now the implicit resolution of default `Sortable` types needs to be defined.

```
trait GenericSortTrait {
    implicit def quicksort[T,Coll](
        implicit ev0: Coll <:< IterableLike[T, Coll],
        cbf: CanBuildFrom[Coll, T, Coll],
        n: Ordering[T]) =
        new Sortable[Coll] {
            def sort(a: Coll) : Coll =
                if (a.size < 2)
                    a
                else {
                    import n.-
                    val pivot = a.head
                    val (lower: Coll, tmp: Coll) = a partition (_ < pivot)
                    val (upper: Coll, same: Coll) = tmp partition (_ > pivot)
                    val b = cbf()
                    b.sizeHint(a.size)
                    b += sort(lower)
                    b += same
                    b += sort(upper)
                    b.result
                }
        }
}
```

The `GenericSortTrait` is defined to contain the implicit look up for the generic QuickSort algorithm. It has the single implicit method `quicksort`. The `quicksort` method defines the same type parameters and implicit parameters as the original `sort` method. Instead of sorting, it defines a new instance of the `Sortable` type trait.

The `Sortable.sort` method is defined the same as before. Now the `GenericSortTrait` has to be placed onto the `Sortable` companion object so that it can be looked up in the default implicit resolution.

```
object Sortable extends GenericSortTrait
```

The `Sortable` companion object is defined to extend the `GenericSortTrait`. This places the implicit `quicksort` method in the implicit lookup path when looking for the `Sortable[T]` type trait. Let's try it out.

```
scala> Sorter.sort(Vector(56,1,1,8,9,10,4,5,6,7,8))
res0: scala.collection.immutable.Vector[Int] =
  Vector(1, 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 8, 9, 10, 56)
```

The `Sorter.sort` method is called. The appropriate `Sortable` type trait is found for `Vector` and the collection is sorted using the `quicksort` algorithm. But if we try to call the `sort` method against something that doesn't extend from `IterableLike`, it won't work. Let's try the `sort` method on `Array`.

```
scala> Sorter.sort(Array(2,1,3))
<console>:18: error: could not find implicit value for
  parameter s: Sorter.Sortable[Array[Int]]
    Sorter.sort(Array(2,1,3))
```

The `Sorter.sort` method is called with an unsorted array. The compiler complain that it can't find a `Sortable` instance for `Array[Int]`. This is because `Array` does *not* extend from `Iterable`. Scala provides an implicit conversion that will wrap an `Array` and provide it with standard collection methods. Let's provide an implementation of `sort` for `Array`. For simplicity, we'll use a selection sort.

```
trait ArraySortTrait {
  implicit def arraySort[T](implicit mf: ClassManifest[T],
                            n: Ordering[T]): Sortable[Array[T]] =
    new Sortable[Array[T]] {
      def sort(a : Array[T]) : Array[T] = {
        import n._
        val b = a.clone
        var i = 0
        while (i < a.length) {
          var j = i
          while (j > 0 && b(j-1) > b(j)) {
            val tmp = b(j)
            b(j) = b(j-1)
            b(j-1) = tmp
            j -= 1
          }
          i += 1
        }
        b
      }
    }
}
```

The trait `ArraySortTrait` is defined with a single method `arraySort`. This method constructs a `Sortable` type trait using a `ClassManifest` and an `Ordering`. Using raw `Arrays` in Scala requires a `ClassManifest` so that the bytecode will use the appropriate method against the primitive arrays. The `Sortable` type trait is parameterized against `Arrays`. The algorithm loops through each index in the array and looks for the smallest element in the remainder of the array to swap into that position. The selection sort algorithm isn't the most optimal, but it's a common algorithm and easier to understand than what's used classically in Java. This `Sortable` implementation needs to be added to the appropriate companion object for implicit resolution.

```
object Sortable extends ArraySortTrait with QuickSortTrait
```

The `Sortable` companion object is expanded to extend from both the `ArraySortTrait`, containing the `Sortable` type class for `Array`, and the `QuickSort` trait, containing the `Sortable` type class for iterable collections. Let's use this implementation now.

```
scala> Sorter.sort(Array(2,1,3))
res0: Array[Int] = Array(1, 2, 3)
```

Now, when calling the `sort` method with `Arrays`, the call succeeds. You can use this technique to support any number of collections and to specialize behavior for collections using techniques shown in section 7.3.

Scala provides all the right tools to generically deal with collections. The complexity of doing so can be high, so it's a judgment call when and how much abstraction is required for a particular method against collections.

8.6 Summary

The Scala collections library is one of the most compelling reasons to use Scala. From the power and versatility of the collection to the ability to preserve specific types on generic methods, Scala collections provide a clean and elegant solution to most problems. Using the collections API is a matter of understanding what the various type signatures mean and knowing how to flow between collection semantics and evaluation styles. Although the API is geared for immutability, there's more than enough support for mutable collections and interfaces.

This chapter provides a great introduction to the key concepts behind the collections API, but knowing the methods defined on the collections and how to string them together is also important. Because the collections library is always improving, the best source for learning these methods is the scaladoc documentation for the current release.

The next chapter covers Scala actors, which are another important concept in the Scala ecosystem.

In this chapter

- General design principles using actors
- Knowing the difference between react and receive
- Using typed communication and sealed message protocols
- Limiting failures to zones using supervisors
- Limiting starvation to zones using schedulers

Actors are an abstraction on a synchronous processes. They communicate to the external world by sending and receiving messages. An actor will process received messages sequentially in the order they're received, but will handle only one message at a time. This is critical, because it means that actors can maintain state without explicit locks. Actors can also be asynchronous or synchronous. Most actors won't block a thread when waiting for messages, although this can be done if desired. The default behavior for actors is to share threads among each other when handling messages. This means a small set of threads could support a large number of actors, given the right behavior.

In fact, actors are great state machines. They accept a limited number of input messages and update their internal state. All communication is done through messages and each actor stands alone.

But actors won't solve all issues your system faces. You have to know how to use them.

9.1 Know when to use actors

Actors aren't parallelization factories; they process their messages in single-threaded fashion. They work best when work is conceptually split and each actor can handle a portion of the work. If the application needs to farm many similar tasks out for processing, this requires a large pool of actors to see any concurrency benefits.

Actors and I/O should be interleaved carefully. Asynchronous I/O and actors are a natural pairing, as the execution models for these are similar. Using an actor to perform blocking I/O is asking for trouble. That actor can starve other actors during this processing. This can be mitigated, as we'll discuss in section 9.4.

Although many problems can be successfully modeled in actors, some will benefit more. The architecture of a system designed to use actors will also change fundamentally. Rather than relying on classic Model-View-Controller and client-based parallelism, an actors system parallelizes pieces of the architecture and performs all communication asynchronously.

Let's look at a canonical example of a good system design using actors. This example uses several tools found in the old Message Passing Interface (MPI) specification used in supercomputing. MPI is worth a look, as it holds a lot of concepts that have naturally translated into actor-based systems.

9.1.1 Using actors to search

Let's design a classic search program. This program has a set of documents that live in some kind of search index. Queries are accepted from users and the index is searched. Documents are scored and the highest scored documents are returned to the users. To optimize the query time, a scatter-gather approach is used.

The scatter-gather approach involves two phases of the query: *scatter* and *gather* (see figure 9.1).

The first phase, *scatter*, is when the query is farmed out to a set of subnodes. Classically, these subnodes are divided topically and store documents about their topic. These nodes are responsible for finding relevant documents for the query and returning the results, as shown in figure 9.2.





Figure 9.1 Scatter phase

Figure 9.2 **Gather phase**

The second phase, gather, is when all the topic nodes respond to the main node with their results. These are pruned and returned for the entire query.

Let's start by creating a `SearchQuery` message that can be sent among the actors.

```
case class SearchQuery(query : String, maxResults : Int)
```

The `SearchQuery` class has two parameters. The first is the actual query, and the second is the maximum number of results that should be returned. We'll implement one of the topic nodes to handle this message.

```
trait SearchNode extends Actor {
    type ScoredDocument = (Double, String)
    val index : HashMap[String, Seq[ScoredDocument]] = ...
    override def act = Actor.loop {
        react {
            case SearchQuery(query, maxResults) =>
                reply index.get(query).getOrElse(Seq()).take(maxResults)
        }
    }
}
```

The `SearchNode` trait defines the type `Scored Document` to be a tuple of a double score and a string document. The `index` is defined as a `HashMap` of a query string to scored documents. The `index` is implemented such that it pulls in a different set of values for each `SearchNode` created. The full implementation of the `index` is included in the source code for the book.

The `act` method on `SearchNode` contains its core behavior. When it receives a `SearchQuery` message, it looks for results in its `index`. It replies to the sender of the `SearchQuery` all of these results in a truncated manner so that only `maxResults` are returned.

REACT VERSUS RECEIVE The SearchNode actor uses the `react` method for accepting messages. The actors library also supports a `receive` method. These methods differ in that `react` will defer the execution of the actor until there is a message available. The `receive` method will block the current thread until a message is available. Unless absolutely necessary, `receive` should be avoided to improve the parallelism in the system.

Now let's implement the HeadNode actor that's responsible for scattering queries and gathering results.

```
trait HeadNode extends Actor {
    val nodes : Seq[SearchNode] = ...
    override def act = Actor.loop {
        react {
            case s @ SearchQuery(_, maxResults) =>
                val futureResults = nodes map (n => n !! s)
                def combineResults(current : Seq[(Double, String)],
                                   next : Seq[(Double, String)]) =
                    (current ++ next).view sortBy (_._1) take maxResults force
                reply futureResults.foldLeft(Seq[ScoredDocument]()) {
                    (current, next) =>
                        combineResults(current,
                                      next().asInstanceOf[Seq[ScoredDocument]])
                }
        }
    }
}
```

The HeadNode actor is a bit more complicated. It defines a member containing all the SearchNodes that it can scatter to. It then defines its core behavior in the `act` method. The HeadNode waits for `SearchQuery` messages. When it receives one, it sends it to all the `SearchNode` children awaiting a future result. The `!!` method on actors will send a message and expect a reply at some future time. This reply is called a `Future`. The HeadNode can block until the reply is received by calling the `apply` method on the `Future`. This is exactly what it does in the `foldLeft` over these futures. The HeadNode is aggregating the next future result with the current query results result to produce the final result list. This final result list is sent to the original query sender using the `reply` method.

USING VIEW TO COMBINE RESULTS In the example the `view` and `force` methods are used around a set of collection methods. Although they offer no benefit for the `sortBy` method, in practice the `take` method is usually used, and the `view` and `force` methods can help improve efficiency by avoiding the creation of intermediate collections.

The system now has a scatter-gather search tree for optimal searching. But there's still a lot to be desired. The casting of the result type in the `HeadNode` actor is less than ideal in a statically typed language like Scala. Also, the `HeadNode` blocks for an entire `SearchQuery`. This means that the amount of parallelism in the system could be

expanded so that slow-running queries don't starve faster queries. Finally, the search tree has no failure handling. If a bad index or query string occurs, the whole system will crash.

Actors can improve these downsides. Let's start with fixing the type-safety issues.

9.2 Use typed, transparent references

One of the biggest dangers in the Scala standard actors library is to give actors references to each other. This can lead to accidentally calling a method defined on another actor instead of sending a message to that actor. Although that may seem innocuous to some, this behavior can break an actors system, especially if you use locking. Actors are optimized by minimizing locking to a few minor locations, such as when scheduling and working with a message buffer. Introducing more locking can easily lead to deadlocks and frustration.

Another disadvantage to passing direct references to actors is transparency, where the location of an actor is tied in to another actor. This locks them in place where they are. The actors can no longer migrate to other locations, either in memory or on the network, severely limiting the system's ability to handle failure. We'll discuss this in detail in section 9.3.

Another downside to sending actors directly in the Scala standard library is that actors are untyped. This means that all the handy type system utilities you could leverage are thrown out the window when using raw actors. Specifically, the compiler's ability to find exhausting pattern matches using sealed traits.

USING SEALED TRAITS FOR MESSAGE APIs It's a best practice in Scala to define message APIs for actors within a sealed trait hierarchy. This has the benefit of defining every message that an actor can handle and keeping them in a central location for easy lookup. With a bit of machinery, the compiler can be coerced to warn when an actor doesn't handle its complete messaging API.

The Scala standard library provides two mechanisms for enforcing type safety and decoupling references from directly using an actor: the `InputChannel` and `OutputChannel` traits.

The `OutputChannel` trait is used to send messages to actors. This is the interface that should be passed to other actors, and it looks like this:

```
trait OutputChannel[-Msg] {
    def !(msg: Msg @unique): Unit
    def send(msg: Msg @unique, replyTo: OutputChannel[Any]): Unit
    def forward(msg: Msg @unique): Unit
    def receiver: Actor
}
```

The `OutputChannel` trait is templated by the type of messages that can be sent to it. It supports sending messages via three methods: `!`, `send`, and `forward`. The `!` method sends a message to an actor and doesn't expect a reply. The `send` method sends a message to an actor and attaches an output channel that the actor can respond to. The

forward method is used to send a message to another actor such that the original reply channel is preserved.

The receiver method on OutputChannel returns the raw actor used by the OutputChannel. You should avoid this method.

Notice the methods that OutputChannel doesn't have: !! and !?. In the Scala standard library, !! and !? are used to send messages and expect a reply in the current scope. This is done through the creation of an anonymous actor that can receive the response. This anonymous actor is used as the replyTo argument for a send call. The !? method blocks the current thread until a response is received. The !! method creates a Future object, which stores the result when it occurs. Any attempt to retrieve the result blocks the current thread until the result is available. Futures do provide a map method. This attaches a function that can be run on the value in the future when it's available without blocking the current thread.

In general, using !! and !? is discouraged. The potential for deadlocking a thread is great. But when used lightly or with caution, these methods can be helpful. It's important to understand the size and scope of the project and the type of problem being solved. If the problem is too complex to ensure !! and !? behave appropriately, avoid them altogether.

Let's modify the scatter-gather example to communicate using OutputChannels.

9.2.1 Scatter-Gather with OutputChannel

The scatter-gather example requires two changes to promote lightweight typesafe references: removing the direct Actor references in HeadNode and changing the query responses to go through a collection channel. The first change is simple.

```
/** The head node for the scatter/gather algorithm. */
trait HeadNode extends Actor {
    val nodes : Seq[OutputChannel[SearchNodeMessage]]
    override def act : Unit = {
        ...
    }
}
```

The nodes member of the HeadNode actor is changed to be a Seq[OutputChannel[SearchNodeMessage]]. This change ensures that the HeadNode will only send SearchNodeMessage messages to SearchNodes. The SearchNodeMessage type is a new sealed trait that will contain all messages that can be sent to SearchNodes.

The second change is a bit more involved. Rather than directly responding to the sender of the SearchQuery, let's allow an output channel to be passed along with the SearchQuery that can receive results.

```
sealed trait SearchNodeMessage
case class SearchQuery(query : String,
                      maxDocs : Int,
                      gatherer : OutputChannel[QueryResponse])
extends SearchNodeMessage
```

The `SearchQuery` message now has three parameters: the query, the maximum number of results, and the output channel that will receive the query results. The `SearchQuery` message now extends from the `SearchNodeMessage`. The new `SearchNodeMessage` trait is sealed, ensuring that all messages that can be sent to the `SearchNode` are defined in the same file. Let's update the `SearchNodes` to handle the updated `SearchQuery` message.

```
trait SearchNode extends Actor {
    lazy val index : HashMap[String, Seq[(Double, String)]] = ...

    override def act = Actor.loop {
        react {
            case SearchQuery(q, maxDocs, requester) =>
                val result = for {
                    results <- index.get(q).toList
                    resultList <- results
                } yield resultList
                requester ! QueryResponse(result.take(maxDocs))
        }
    }
}
```

The `SearchNode` trait is the same as before except for the last line in the `react` call. Instead of calling `reply` with the `QueryResponse`, the `SearchNode` sends the response to the `requestor` parameter of the query.

This new behavior means that the head node can't just send the same `SearchQuery` message to the `SearchNodes`. Let's rework the communication of the system, as shown in figure 9.3.




Figure 9.3 Modified scatter-gather search

The new design has a Gatherer actor. This actor is responsible for receiving all results from SearchNodes and aggregating them before sending back to the front end. The Gatherer could be implemented in many ways. One advanced implementation could use prediction to stream results to the front end as they're returned, attempting to ensure high priority results get sent immediately. For now, let's implement the GathererNode such that it aggregates all results first and sends them to the front end.

```
trait GathererNode extends Actor {
    val maxDocs: Int
    val maxResponses: Int
    val client: OutputChannel[QueryResponse]
    ...
}
```

The GathererNode is defined as an Actor. It has three members. The `maxDocs` member is the maximum number of documents to return from a query. The `maxResponses` member is the maximum number of nodes that can respond before sending results for a query. The `client` member is the `OutputChannel` where results should be sent. The GathererNode should be tolerant of errors or timeouts in the search tree. To do this, it should wait a maximum of one second for each response before returning the query results. Let's implement the `act` method for the GathererNode.

```
def act = {
    def combineResults(current: Seq[(Double, String)],
                      next: Seq[(Double, String)]) =
        (current ++ next).view.sortBy(_._1).take(maxDocs).force

    def bundleResult(curCount: Int,
                    current: Seq[(Double, String)]): Unit =
        if (curCount < maxResponses) {
            receiveWithin(1000L) {
                case QueryResponse(results) =>
                    bundleResult(curCount+1, combineResults(current, results))
                case TIMEOUT =>
                    bundleResult(maxResponses, current)
            }
        } else {
            client ! QueryResponse(current)
        }
    bundleResult(0, Seq())
}
```

The `act` method defines the core behavior of this actor. The `combineResults` helper method is used to take two sets of query results and aggregate them such that the highest scored results remain. This method also limits the number of results returned to be the same as the `maxDocs` member variable.

The `bundleResult` method is the core behavior of this actor. The `curCount` parameter is the number of responses seen so far. The `current` parameter is the aggregate of all collected query results from all nodes. The `bundleResult` method first checks to see if the number of responses is less than the maximum expected results. If so, it calls

`receiveWithin` to wait for another response. The `receiveWithin` method will wait for a given time for messages before sending the special `scala.actors.TIMEOUT` message. If another query result is received, the method combines the result with the previous set of results and recursively calls itself with bumped values. If receiving the message times out, the `bundleResult` method calls itself with the number of responses set to the maximum value. If the number of responses is at or above the maximum, the current query results are sent to the client.

Finally, the `act` method is implemented by calling the `bundleResult` method with an initial count of zero and an empty `Seq` of results.

The `GathererNode` stops trying to receive messages after the query results have been sent. This effectively ends the life of the actor and allows the node to become garbage-collected. The Scala standard actors library implements its own garbage collection routine that will have to remove references to the `GathererNode` before the JVM garbage collection can recover memory.

The last piece of implementation required is to adapt the `HeadNode` to use the `GathererNode` instead of collecting all the results in futures.

```
trait HeadNode extends Actor {
    val nodes : Seq[OutputChannel[SearchNodeMessage]]
    override def act : Unit = {
        this.react {
            case SearchQuery(q, max, responder) =>
                val gatherer = new GathererNode {
                    val maxDocs = max
                    val maxResponses = nodes.size
                    val client = responder
                }
                gatherer.start
                for (node <- nodes) {
                    node ! SearchQuery(q, max, gatherer)
                }
                act
        }
    }
    override def toString = "HeadNode with {" + "\n" +
        "\t" + nodes.size + " search nodes\n" +
        nodes.mkString("\t", "\n\t", "\n")
}

```

← Must start the actor

The `HeadNode` has been changed so that when it receives a `SearchQuery`, it constructs a new `GathererNode`. The `gatherer` is instantiated using the parameters from the `SearchQuery`. The `gatherer` must also be started so that it can receive messages. The last piece is to send a new `SearchQuery` message to all the `SearchNodes` with the `OutputChannel` set to the `gatherer`.

Splitting the scatter and gather computations into different actors can help with throughput in the whole system. The `HeadNode` actor only has to deal with incoming messages and do any potential preprocessing of queries before scattering them. The `GathererNode` can focus on receiving responses from the search tree. A `Gatherer`

node could even be implemented such that it stopped SearchNodes from performing lookups if enough quality results were received. Most importantly, if there's any kind of error gathering the results of one particular query, it won't adversely affect any other query in the system.

This is a key design issue with actors. Failures should be isolated as much as possible. This can be done through the creation of failure zones.

9.3 Limit failures to zones

Architecting and rationalizing distributed architecture can be difficult. Joe Armstrong, the creator of Erlang, popularized the notion of actors and how to handle failure. The recommended strategy for working with actors is to let them fail and let another actor, called a *supervisor* handle that failure. The supervisor is responsible for bringing the system it manages back into a working state.

Looking at supervisors and actors from a topological point of view, supervisors create zones of failure for the actors they manage. The actors in a system can be partitioned by the supervisors such that if one section of the system goes down, the supervisor has a chance to prevent the failure from reaching the rest of the system. Each supervisor actor can itself have a supervisor actor, creating nested zones of failure.

The error handling of supervisors is similar to exception handling. A supervisor should handle any failure that it knows how to, and bubble up those it doesn't to outer processes. If no supervisor can handle the error, then this would bring down the entire system, so bubbling up errors should be done carefully!

Supervisors can be simpler to write than exception handling code. With exception handling, it's difficult to know if a try-catch block contained any state-changing code and whether it can be retired. With supervisors, if an actor is misbehaving, it can restart the portion of the system that's dependent on that actor. Each actor can be passed an initial good state and continue processing messages.

Notice the relationship between the supervisor of an actor and the creator of the actor. If the supervisor needs to recreate an actor upon destruction, the supervisor is also the ideal candidate to start the actor when the system initializes. This allows all the initialization logic to live in the same location. Supervisors may also need to act as proxy to the subsystem they manage. In the event of failure, the supervisor may need to buffer messages to a subsystem until after it has recovered and can begin processing again.

Supervisors are created differently in the various Scala actor libraries. In the core library, supervisors are created through the `link` method. The Akka actors library provides many default supervisor implementations and mechanisms of wiring actors and supervisors together. One thing that's common across actor libraries is that supervisors are supported and failure zones are encouraged.

9.3.1 Scatter-Gather failure zones

Let's adapt the scatter-gather example to include failure zones. The first failure zone should cover the `HeadNode` and `SearchNode` actors. Upon failure, the supervisor can reload a failing search node and wire it back into the head node. The second failure




Figure 9.4 Failure zones for scatter-gather example

zone should cover the `FrontEnd` actor and the supervisors of the first failure zone. In the event of failure in this outer zone, the supervisor can restart any failed inner zones and inform the front end of the new actors. A topological view of this failure handling is shown in figure 9.4.

Rule 21

Design for failure with topological zones

When designing with actors, it's important to prepare what zones are allowed to fail separately. The system should be designed such that any one zone does not take down the entire application.

Failure Zones 1 and 2 in the diagram show the `HeadNode` and `SearchNode` failure zones for two parallel search hierarchies. The supervisor for these zones is responsible for restarting the entire tree, or a particular `SearchNode`, on failure. Zones 1 and 2 are each encompassed in Zone 3. This zone manages the search on the front end. In the event of failure, it restarts the underlying search trees or the front end as needed.

We'll start by defining the supervisor for the search nodes:

Listing 9.1 Supervisor for search nodes

```
trait SearchNodeSupervisor extends Actor {
    val numThreadsForSearchTree = 5

    private def createSearchTree(size : Int) = {
        val searchNodes = for(i <- 1 to size) yield {
            val tmp = new SearchNode {
                override val id = i
            }
            SearchNodeSupervisor.this link tmp
            tmp.start
        }
    } ← Subtree constructor
}
```

← Supervise subnodes

```

    tmp
}
val headNode = new HeadNode {
  val nodes = searchNodes
  override val scheduler = s
}
this link headNode
headNode.start
headNode
}
def act() : Unit = {
  trapExit = true
  def run(head : Actor) : Nothing = react {
    case Exit(deadActor, reason) =>
      run(createSearchTree(10))
    case x =>
      head ! x
      run(head)
  }
  run(createSearchTree(10))
}
}

```

The SearchNodeSupervisor contains two methods: `createSearchTree` and `act`. The `createSearchTree` is responsible for instantiating nodes of the search tree and returning the top node. This method iterates over the desired size of the tree and creates the `SearchNode` class from the previous examples. Remember that each `SearchNode` uses its assigned ID to load a set of indexed documents and make them available for queries. Each search node created is linked to the supervisor. In the Scala standard library actors, linking is what creates a supervisor hierarchy. Linking two actors means that if one fails, both are killed. It also allows one of them to trap errors from the other. This is done from the call to `trapExit = true` in the `act` method.

The second method is the standard library actor's `act` method. This defines the core behavior of the

Common linking pitfalls

The `link` method has two restrictions that simplify its use.

- It must be called from inside a live actor—that is, from the `act` method or one of the continuations passed to `react`.
- It should be called on the supervisor with the other actor as the method argument.

Because `link` alters the behavior of failure handling, it needs to lock both actors it operates against. Because of this synchronization, it's possible to deadlock when waiting for locks. Ordering the locking behavior can prevent this behavior. The `link` method also requires, through runtime asserts, that it's called against the current live actor. The actor must be actively running in its scheduled thread. This means linking should be done *internal* to the supervisor actor. This is why all the topological code is pushed down into the supervisor and why it acts as a natural proxy to the actors it manages.

supervisor actor. The first line here is the `trapExit = true`, which allows this actor to catch errors from others. The next line is a helper function called `run`, which accepts one parameter, the current head actor, and calls `react`, which will block waiting for messages. The first message it handles is the special `Exit` message. An `Exit` message is passed if one of the linked actors fails. Notice the values that come with an `Exit` message: `deadActor` and `reason`. The `deadActor` link allows the supervisor to attempt to pull any partial state from the `deadActor` if needed, or remove it from any control structures as needed. Note that the `deadActor` is already gone and won't be scheduled anymore at the time of receiving this message.

For the `SearchNodeSupervisor`, when handling errors, the entire search tree is reconstructed and passed back into the `run` method. This may not be ideal in a real-life situation because reconstructing the entire tree could be expensive or the tree might be sprawled over several machines. In that case, the `SearchNodeSupervisor` could restart the failed node and notify the search tree of the replacement.

If the `SearchNodeSupervisor` encounters any other message, it's forwarded to the current `HeadNode`. This means that the supervisor can block incoming messages when restarting the system. When the main node crashes, the supervisor receives the `Exit` message and stops processing messages while it fixes the system. After restoring things, it will again pull messages from its queue and delegate them down to the search tree.

9.3.2 General failure handling practices

The supervisor for the scatter-gather search system demonstrates ways to handle the issues of failure in an actors system. When designing an actors-based system and outlining failure zones, table 9.1 helps make decisions appropriate for that module.

These three decisions are crucial in defining robust concurrent actor systems. The first point is the most important. Creating a fail-safe zone implies ensuring that if that

Table 9.1 Actor design decisions

Decision	Scatter-Gather example	Other options
Providing transparent way to restart failed components	Forward messages through the supervisor. If supervisor fails, restart outer failure zone.	Update name service with references to actors. Directly communicate new location to connected components.
Granularity of failure zones	The entire search tree fails and restarts.	Single <code>Search</code> node inner failure zone with <code>Search Tree</code> outer failure zone.
Recovery of failed actor state	Actor data is statically pulled from disk. Doesn't change during its lifetime.	Periodic snapshotting to persistent store Pulling live state from dead actor and sanitizing Persisting state after every handled message

zone crashes and restarts, it won't affect external zones. The Scala actors library makes it easy to lose transparency for actors. This can be done by passing the reference to a specific actor rather than a proxy or namespace reference.

The second decision can affect the messaging API for actors. If a subsystem needs to tolerate failure of one of its actors, the other actors need to be updated to communicate with the replacement actor. Again, transparent actor references can be a boon here. For the Scala standard library, using the supervisors as proxies to sub-components is the simplest way to provide transparency. This means that for fine-grained failure zones, many supervisors must be created, possibly one per actor.

The third decision is one not discussed in the example—that of state recovery. Most real-life actors maintain some form of state during their lifetimes. This state may or may not need to be reconstructed for the system to continue functioning. Although not directly supported in the Scala standard library, one way to ensure state sticks around is to periodically snapshot the actor by dumping its state to a persistent store. This could then be recovered later.

A second method of keeping state would be to pull the last known state from a dead actor and sanitize it for the reconstructed actor. This method is risky, as the state of a previous actor isn't in a consistent state and the sanitization process may not be able to recover. The sanitization process could also be hard to reason through and write. This mechanism isn't recommended.

Another mechanism for handling state is to persist the state after every message an actor receives. Although not directly supported by the Scala standard library, this could easily be added through a subclass of actor.

AKKA TRANSACTORS The Akka actors library provides many ways to synchronize the state of live actors, one of which is transactors. Transactors are actors whose message handling functions are executed within a transactional context.

One item not on this list is threading strategies. Because actors share threads, an actor that fails to handle its incoming messages could ruin the performance of other actors that share the same threading resources. The solution to this is to split actors into scheduling zones, similar to splitting them into failure zones.

9.4 Limit overload using scheduler zones

One type of failure that a supervisor can't handle well is thread starvation of actors. If one actor is receiving a lot of messages and spending a lot of CPU time processing them, it can starve other actors. The actor schedulers also don't have any notion of priority. Maybe a high-priority actor in the system must respond as quickly as possible, and could get bogged down by lower priority actors stealing all the resources.

Schedulers are the solution to this problem. A scheduler is the component responsible for sharing actors among threads. The scheduler selects the next actor to run and assigns it to a particular thread. In the Scala actors library, a scheduler implements the `IScheduler` interface.

Table 9.2 Schedulers

Scheduler	Purpose
ForkJoinScheduler	Parallelization optimized for tasks that are split up, parallelized, and recovered—that is, things that are forked for processing, then joined together.
ResizableThreadPoolScheduler	Starts up a persistent thread pool for actors. If load is increased, it'll automatically create new threads up to an environment-specified limit.
ExecutorScheduler	Uses a <code>java.util.concurrent.Executor</code> to schedule actors. This allows actors to use any of the standard Java thread pools and is the recommended way to assign fixed size thread pool.

A variety of scheduling mechanisms are available for the standard library actors, as shown in table 9.2.

The `ForkJoinScheduler` is the default scheduler for Scala actors. This is done through a nifty work-stealing algorithm where every thread has its own scheduler. Tasks created in a thread are added to its own scheduler. If a thread runs out of tasks, it steals work from another thread's scheduler. This provides great performance for a lot of situations. The scatter-gather example is a perfect fit for the fork join parallel executor. Queries are distributed to each `SearchNode` for executions, and results are aggregated to create the final query results. The work-stealing pulls and distributes the forked work for a query. If the system is bogged down, it could degrade to performing similarly to a single-threaded query engine. Although generally efficient, the `ForkJoinScheduler` isn't optimal in situations where task sizes are largely variable.

The `ResizableThreadPoolScheduler` constructs a pool of threads that share the processing of messages for a set of actors. Scheduling is done on a first-come, first-serve basis. If the workload starts to grow beyond what the current thread pool can handle, the scheduler will increase the available threads in the pool up until a maximum pool size. This can help a system handle a large increase in messaging throughput and back off resources during downtime.

The `ExecutorScheduler` is a scheduler that defers scheduling actors to a `java.util.Executor` service. There are many implementations of `java.util.Executor` in the Java standard library as well as common alternatives. One of these, from my own codebases, was an `Executor` that would schedule tasks on the Abstract Windows Toolkit (AWT)-rendering thread. Using this scheduler for an actor guarantees that it handles messages within a GUI context. This allowed the creation of GUIs where actors could be used to respond to backend events and update UI state.

Each of these schedulers may be appropriate to one or more components in a system. Some components scheduling may need to be completely isolated from other components. This is why scheduling zones are important.

9.4.1 Scheduling zones

Scheduling zones are groupings of actors that share the same scheduler. Just as failure zones isolate failure recovery, so do scheduling zones isolate starvation and contention of subsystems. Scheduling zones can also optimize the scheduler to the component.

Figure 9.5 shows what a scheduling zone design might be for the scatter-gather example.

Rule
22

Limit starvation using scheduling zones

Prevent low-latency services from getting clobbered by low-priority processes using scheduling zones to carve out dedicated resources.

The scatter-gather search service can be split into four scheduling zones: Search Tree 1, Search Tree 2, Front End, and Supervisor.

The first scheduling zone handles all actors in a search tree. The `ForkJoinScheduler` is optimized for the same behavior as the scatter-gather algorithm, so it makes an ideal choice of scheduler for this zone. The replicated Search tree uses its own `ForkJoinScheduler` to isolate failures and load between the two trees.

The front end scheduling zone uses a customized scheduler that ties its execution to an asynchronous HTTP server; the handling of messages is done on the same thread as input is taken, and the results are streamed back into the appropriate socket using one of the front-end threads. These actors could also use their own thread pool. This would be ideal if the HTTP server accepting incoming connections used a thread pool of the same size.

The last scheduling zone, not shown, is the scheduling of error recovery. Out of habit, I tend to place these on a separate scheduling routine so they don't interfere with any other subcomponent. This isn't strictly necessary. Error recovery, when it happens, is the highest priority task for a given subcomponent and shouldn't steal




Figure 9.5 Scatter-gather scheduling zones

more important work from other threads. But if more than one subcomponent is sharing the same scheduling zone, then I prefer to keep recovery work separate from core work.

Let's add scheduling zones to the scatter-gather search tree example. The only changes required are in the constructor function defined on the supervisor, as shown in the following listing:

Listing 9.2 `SearchTree` factory

```
private def createSearchTree(size : Int) = {
    val numProcessors =
        java.lang.Runtime.getRuntime.availableProcessors
    val s = new ForkJoinScheduler(
        initCoreSize = numProcessors,
        maxSize = numThreadsForSearchTree,
        daemon = false, fair = true)
    val searchNodes = for(i <- 1 to size) yield new SearchNode {
        override val id = i
        override val scheduler = s
    }
    searchNodes foreach this.link
    searchNodes.foreach(_.start)
    val headNode = new HeadNode {
        val nodes = searchNodes
        override val scheduler = s
    }
    this link headNode
    headNode.start
    headNode
}
```

The original code has two new additions. The first is the creation of the `ForkJoinScheduler`. This scheduler takes four arguments. The `initCoreSize` and `maxSize` arguments are the minimum and maximum number of threads it should store in its thread pool. The `daemon` argument specifies whether threads should be constructed as daemons. This scheduler can shut itself down if the actors within are no longer performing any work. The last argument is whether or not the scheduler should attempt to enforce fairness in the work-stealing algorithm.

The second additions are the overridden `scheduler` member of the `SearchNode` and `HeadNode` actors. This `override` causes the actor to use the new scheduler for all of its behavior. It can do this only at creation time, so the scheduling zones must be known a-priori.

The actors are now operating within their own fork-join pool, isolated from load in other actors.

9.5 ***Dynamic actor topology***

One of the huge benefits of using actors is that the topology of your program can change drastically at runtime to handle load or data size. For example, let's redesign the scatter-gather search tree so that it can accept new documents on the fly and add

them to the index. The tree should be able to grow in the event that a specific node gets to be too large. To accomplish this, we can treat an actor as a state machine.

Rule
23

Just use Akka

Akka is the most performant actors framework available on the JVM. It's designed with actor best practices baked into the API. Writing efficient, robust actors systems is simplest in the Akka framework.

The entire scatter-gather tree is composed of two node types: search (leaves) and head (branches). A search node holds an index, like the previous topic nodes. It's responsible for adding new documents to the index and for returning results to queries. A head node holds the number of children. It's responsible for delegating queries to all children and setting up a gatherer to aggregate the results.

USING AKKA The following examples will use the Akka actors library. Although the Scala standard library is elegant, the Akka library makes the robust usage of actors easy. Akka builds in the notion of transparent actor references, while providing a good set of useful supervisors and schedulers. Creating failure zones and scheduling zones is much easier in Akka, and the library is standalone. In general, there's little reason not to use Akka, especially when attempting to design a distributed topology, as shown in the following listing:

Listing 9.3 AdaptiveSearchNode

```
trait LeafNode { self: AdaptiveSearchNode =>
    ...
    def leafNode: PartialFunction[Any, Unit] = {
        case SearchQuery(query, maxDocs, handler) =>
            executeLocalQuery(query, maxDocs, handler)
        case SearchableDocument(content) =>
            addDocumentToLocalIndex(content)
    }
    ...
}
```

The LeafNode trait is defined with a single `PartialFunction[Any,Unit]` named `leafNode`. This function contains the message handling behavior for the adaptive search nodes when the node is a leaf. When the node receives a `SearchQuery` it executes that query against the local index. When the node receives a `SearchableDocument`, it adds that document to the local index:

Listing 9.4 LeafNode.executeLocalQuery

```
trait LeafNode { self: AdaptiveSearchNode =>
    var documents: Vector[String] = Vector()
    var index: HashMap[String, Seq[(Double, String)]] = HashMap()
    ...
    private def executeLocalQuery(query: String,
```

```

        maxDocs: Int,
        handler: ActorRef) = {
    val result = for {
      results <- index.get(query).toList
      resultList <- results
    } yield resultList
    handler ! QueryResponse(result take maxDocs)
  }
}

```

The `executeLocalQuery` function extracts all the results for a given word. These are then limited by the desired maximum number of results in the query and sent to the handler. Note that the handler is of type `ActorRef` not `Actor`. In Akka, there's no way to gain a direct reference to an actor. This prevents accessing its state directly from a thread. The only way to talk with an actor is to send a message to it using an `ActorRef`, which is a transparent reference to an actor. Messages are still sent to actors using the `!` operator. The `executeLocalQuery` function didn't change from the Scala actors version to the Akka actors version besides the use of `ActorRef`:

Listing 9.5 LeafNode.addDocumentToLocalIndex

```

trait LeafNode { self: AdaptiveSearchNode =>

  private def addDocumentToLocalIndex(content: String) = {
    documents = documents :+ content
    if (documents.size > MAX_DOCUMENTS) split()
    else for( (key,value) <- content.split("\\s+").groupBy(identity)) {
      val list = index.get(key) getOrElse Seq()
      index += ((key, ((value.length.toDouble, content)) +: list))
    }
  }
  protected def split(): Unit
}

```

After updating the index, the document is added to the list of stored documents. Finally, if the number of documents in this node has gone above the maximum desired per node, the `split` method is called. The `split` method should split this leaf node into several leaf nodes and replace itself with a branch node. Let's defer defining the `split` method until after the parent node is defined. If the index doesn't need to be split, the index is updated.

To update the index, the document string is split into words. These words are grouped together such that the key refers to a single word in a document and the value refers to a sequence of all the same words in the document. This sequence is later used to calculate the score of a given word in the document. The current index for a word is extracted into the term list. The index for the given word is then updated to include the new document and the score for that word in the document.

Let's first define the branch node functionality before defining the `split` method:

Listing 9.6 BranchNode

```

trait ParentNode { self: AdaptiveSearchNode =>
  var children = IndexedSeq[ActorRef]()
  def parentNode: PartialFunction[Any, Unit] = {
    case SearchQuery(q, max, responder) =>
      val gatherer: ActorRef = Actor.actorOf(new GathererNode {
        val maxDocs = max
        val maxResponses = children.size
        val query = q
        val client = responder
      })
      gatherer.start
      for (node <- children) {
        node ! SearchQuery(q, max, gatherer)
      }
    case s @ SearchableDocument(_) => getNextChild ! s
  }
  ...
}

```

The ParentNode is also defined with a self type of AdaptiveSearchNode. The parent node also contains a list of children. Again, the reference to child actors is the ActorRef type. The method `parentNode` defines a partial function that handles incoming messages when an actor is a parent. When the parent receives a `SearchQuery` it constructs a new gatherer and farms the query down to its children.

Notice the difference from Scala actors. In Akka, an actor is constructed using the `Actor.actorOf` method. Although the actor is constructed as a gatherer node, the term *gatherer* is of type `ActorRef` *not* `GathererNode`.

When the ParentNode receives a `SearchableDocument` it calls `getNextChild` and sends the document to that child. The `getNextChild` method, not shown, selects a child from the `children` sequence in a round-robin fashion. This is the simplest attempt to ensure a balanced search tree. In practice, there would be a lot more effort to ensure the topology of the tree was as efficient as possible (see figure 9.6).

The key behavior of the new adaptive search tree is that it should dynamically change shape. Any given node should be able to change its state from being a leaf node to a parent node that has children. Let's call the new state changing actor an `AdaptiveSearchNode`.




Figure 9.6 Topology state change

Listing 9.7 AdaptiveSearchNode

```

class AdaptiveSearchNode extends Actor with ParentNode with LeafNode {

  def receive = leafNode

  protected def split(): Unit = {

```

```

children = (for(docs <- documents grouped 5) yield {
    val child = Actor.actorOf(new AdaptiveSearchNode)
    child.start()
    docs foreach (child ! SearchableDocument(_))
    child
}) .toIndexedSeq
clearIndex()
this become parentNode
}

```

Similar to Scala actors, an Akka actor must extend the `Actor` trait. The largest difference between Akka and Scala actors is the `receive` method. In Akka, `receive` defines the message handle for all messages, not just the next message received. There's no need to explicitly loop. Also, `receive` is called via the Akka library when a message is ready, so `receive` is *not* a blocking call.

The `receive` method is defined to return the `leafNode` behavior by default. This means any `AdaptiveSearchNode` instantiated will start off as a leaf node. In Akka, to switch the behavior of an actor, there's a `become` method that accepts a different message handler.

The `split` method is defined to:

- Create new `AdaptiveSearchNode` actors for every five documents in the current index (see listing 9.8). This will begin acting as leaf nodes. These nodes are then sent the portion of documents they will be responsible for.
- The local index is cleared to allow it to be garbage-collected. In a production system, this wouldn't happen until the children acknowledged that they had received the documents and were ready to begin serving traffic.
- The behavior of the current actor is switched to the parent behavior in the expression `this become parentNode`.

Listing 9.8 Creating an adaptive scatter-gather tree

```

def makeTree = {
    val searchTree = Actor.actorOf(new AdaptiveSearchNode {
        self.dispatcher = searchnode.dispatcher
    })
    searchTree.start()
    submitInitialDocuments(searchTree)
    searchTree
}

```

Now, creating a scatter-gather search tree is much easier. Only the root `AdaptiveSearchNode` needs to be created and the documents sent into the root node. The tree will dynamically expand into the size required to handle the number of documents.

AKKA'S SCHEDULER AND SUPERVISORS Akka provides an even richer set of actor supervisors and schedulers than the Scala actors library. These aren't discussed in the book, but can be found in Akka's documentation at <http://akka.io/docs/>

This technique can be powerful when distributed and clustered. The Akka 2.0 framework is adding the ability to create actors inside a cluster and allow them to be dynamically moved around to machines as needed.

9.6 **Summary**

Actors provide a simpler parallelization model than traditional locking and threading. A well-behaved actors system can be fault-tolerant and resistant to total system slowdown. Actors provide an excellent abstraction for designing high-performance servers, where throughput and uptime are of the utmost importance. For these systems, designing failure zones and failure handling behaviors can help keep a system running even in the event of critical failures. Splitting actors into scheduling zones can ensure that input overload to any one portion of the system won't bring the rest of the system down. Finally, when designing with actors, you should use the Akka library for production systems.

The Akka library differs from the standard library in a few key areas:

- Clients of an actor can never obtain a direct reference to that actor. This drastically simplifies scaling an Akka system to multiple servers because there's no chance an actor requires the direct reference to another.
- Messages are handled in the order received. If the current message handling routine can't handle an input message, it's dropped (or handled by the unknown message handler). This prevents out-of-memory errors due to message buffers filling up.
- All core actors library code is designed to allow user code to handle failures without causing more. For example, Akka goes to great lengths to avoid causing out-of-memory exceptions within the core library. This allows user code, your code, to handle failures as needed.
- Akka provides most of the basic supervisor behaviors that can be used as building blocks for complex supervision strategies.
- Akka provides several means of persisting state "out of the box."

So, while the Scala actors library is an excellent resource for creating actors applications, the Akka library provides the features and performance needed to make a production application. Akka also supports common features out of the box.

Actors and actor-related system design is a rich subject. This chapter lightly covered a few of the key aspects to actor-related design. These should be enough to create a fault-tolerant high-performant actors system.

Next let's look into a topic of great interest: Java interoperability with Scala.

10

Integrating Scala with Java

In this chapter

- The benefits of using interfaces for Scala-Java interaction
- The dangers of automatic implicit conversions of Java types
- The complications of Java serialization in Scala
- How to effectively use annotations in Scala for Java libraries

One of the biggest advantages of the Scala language is its ability to seamlessly interact with existing Java libraries and applications. Although this interaction isn't completely seamless, Scala offers the tightest integration to Java of any JVM language.

The key to knowing how to integrate Scala and Java lies in the Java Virtual Machine specification and how each language encodes onto that specification. Scala does its best to translate simple language features directly onto JVM features. But complicated Scala features are implemented with some compiler tricks, and these tricks are usually the cause of issues when integrating with Java. For the most part, the Java language translates simply into JVM bytecode; however, it too has language features that use compiler tricks. These will also cause rough spots in Scala/Java interaction.

Another benefit of understanding how to interface Scala with Java is that it helps to integrate Scala with every other JVM language. Because Java is king on the JVM, all alternative JVM languages provide means of using existing Java code. This means that communications from Scala to another JVM language can be accomplished through Java in the worst case. Scala is working on language features to integrate directly with dynamic languages, but even with the 2.9.0 release, these features are considered experimental.

This chapter focuses on four big issues in Scala/Java interaction. The first issue is that Scala treats all types as objects, and Java supports primitives within the language. This leads to issues that can be solved by creating appropriate interfaces for communication between Java and Scala. Other mismatches can be alleviated with judicious use of implicit conversions.

The second issue is implicit conversions, which tend to be overutilized. While extremely useful, they can cause subtle bugs in Scala/Java interaction. We'll cover these in detail in section 10.2.

The third issue is Java serialization. Scala does a lot to support Java serialization seamlessly and succeeds for the most part. A few advanced Scala features can cause issues with Java serialization. We'll discuss these in section 10.3.

The fourth issue is with annotations. Scala adheres to a uniform access principle—that is, Scala makes no distinction between methods and fields; they share the same namespace. Java does distinguish between fields and methods. Some Java libraries require specific methods or fields to have annotations. Scala provides some advanced annotation features that enable this to succeed. We'll discuss these in section 10.4.

Let's look into the mismatch between Java primitives and Scala objects.

10.1 The language mismatch between Scala and Java

The Scala and Java languages offer tight integration. Scala classes can be instantiated and extended within Java. Java interfaces and classes can be extended within Java. Scala trait can be extended within Java using a bit of trickery. But this seemingly tight integration runs afoul of three rough patches: primitive boxing, visibility differences, and inexpressible language features.

Primitive boxing is the (semi-)automatic conversion of primitive values on the JVM into objects. This is done because generic parameters are implemented through type-erasure. *Type-erasure* refers to the practice where, although the generic parameters are known to the compiler at compile time, they get erased to `java.lang.Object` at runtime. This was one of the means with which Java retained backwards compatibility when it introduced generics. Scala and Java implement this differently, which we'll look into in section 10.1.1.

Visibility refers to using protected and private modifiers to change the access restrictions on classes and their members. Scala prefers to make everything visible at runtime (that is, in the bytecode) while Java prefers to enforce as much runtime

visibility restrictions as the JVM allows. These competing goals can lead to runtime visibility problems. We'll discuss these in section 10.1.2.

Inexpressible language features are features within the Scala language that can't be expressed within the Java language. Things like curried methods, implicit parameters and higher-kinded types are examples. It's best to avoid or hide these features in any code that needs to interface with Scala and Java. We'll discuss these in more detail in section 10.1.3.

The first difference between Scala and Java is the special treatment of *primitives*, things created directly on the stack and passed by value, and *objects*, things created on the heap and passed by reference. In Java, primitives are isolated from objects. Specifically, code using generic type parameters in Java can't use primitives. To get around this, Java defines a set of classes that mimic the types of primitives. When an object is required, the primitive can be placed into an object. This technique is known as *boxing* the primitive. The object makes a box in which to carry the primitive. Scala makes no distinction between primitives and objects, and performs boxing behind the scenes on behalf of the developer.

10.1.1 Differences in primitive boxing

In the Scala language, *everything* is an object and the compiler does its best to hide the fact that primitives aren't objects. In the Java language, the programmer is forced to pay attention to the difference between a primitive and an object containing the same value. This means that `java.util.List<int>` isn't a valid type in Java, but `java.util.List<Integer>` is valid.

To relieve the overhead of boxing, Java introduced *auto-(un)boxing* in version 1.5. Autoboxing is an implicit conversion from a primitive type to its boxed type. This allows you to write a `for` loop as the following:

```
List<Integer> foo = ...
for (int item : foo) {                                ← item is unboxed
    ...
}
```

In the example, the line `int item : foo` is a `for` expression that's unboxing all integers in the list `foo`. Although not seen, this is the same code as the following:

```
List<Integer> foo = ...
for (Integer item_ : foo) {
    int item = item_.intValue();                         ← Explicit unboxing
    ...
}
```

This example is similar except that the `int item` is explicitly unboxed from the `Integer` returned from the list. Although boxing happens automatically in Java, it can be an expensive operation at runtime.

In Scala, there's no distinction between primitives and objects. The language treats `scala.Int` as an object. The compiler tries to optimize the usage of `scala.Int` such

that it remains in primitive form throughout the life of a program. For example, we'll define the following Scala object:

```
object Test {
    def add(x: Int, y: Int) = x + y
}
```

This object defines one method, `add`. The `add` method takes two `scala.Int` values and returns a `scala.Int`. The bytecode emitted by the compiler is as follows:

```
public int add(int, int);
Code:
  0: iload_1
  1: iload_2
  2: iadd
  3: ireturn
}
```

The signature for the `add` method uses the primitive `int` type. The bytecode emitted uses `iload`, `iadd`, and `ireturn`. These three bytecodes operate on primitive integers. What happens if we use a generic type with `scala.Int`? The compiler will generate boxing code as needed:

```
object Test {
    def add2(items: List[Int]) = {
        var sum = 0
        val it = x.iterator
        while (it.hasNext) {
            sum += it.next
        }
        sum
    }
}
```

The object `Test` defines a new method `add2`. This method take a generic `List` class parameterized to have `scala.Int` elements. The code creates a `sum` variable, grabs an iterator to the list, and iterates over the values in the list. Each of these values is added to the `sum` variable and the `sum` is returned. Let's take a look at the bytecode in the following listing.

Listing 10.1 The `add2` method

```
public int add2(scala.collection.immutable.List);
Code:
  0: iconst_0
  1: istore_2
  2: aload_1
  3: invokeinterface #28,  1;
     //InterfaceMethod
  scala/collection/LinearSeqLike.iterator:()Lscala/collection/Iterator;
  8: astore_3
  9: aload_3
 10: invokeinterface #34,  1;
```

```

//InterfaceMethod scala/collection/Iterator.hasNext:()Z
15: ifeq 33
18: iload_2
19: aload_3
20: invokeinterface #38,  1;
     //InterfaceMethod
scala/collection/Iterator.next:()Ljava/lang/Object;
25: invokestatic #44;
     //Method
scala/runtime/BoxesRunTime.unboxToInt:(Ljava/lang/Object;)I
28: iadd
29: istore_2
30: goto 9
33: iload_2
34: ireturn
}

```

The `add2` method is compiled so that it takes the `scala.collection.immutable.List` type as a parameter and returns a primitive integer. The `List` class is generic and suffers from the same problem as Java generics. The implementation of Generic types in Java forces the use of `Object` at runtime; therefore, primitives can't be generic type parameters. Label 20 in the byte code shows that invoking `next` against the `List`'s iterator returns the type `Object`. Label 25 shows Scala's version of autoboxing: the `BoxesRunTime` class. Scala uses the `scala.runtime.BoxLayoutRuntime` class to implement all boxing/unboxing operations as efficiently as possible.

Avoiding boxing in Scala

Starting in Scala 2.8.0 the `@specialized` keyword can be used on generic classes to avoid boxing entirely. This is done through method overloading and type-specific subclasses. For example, the `Iterator` class in specialization would be written as follows:

```

trait Iterator[@specialized(Int) T] {
  def hasNext: Boolean
  def next: T
}

```

This results in the following JVM interface:

```

public interface Iterator {
  public abstract boolean hasNext();
  public abstract java.lang.Object next();
  public abstract int next$mcI$sp();
}

```

The `next` method is defined to return an `Object`, as is standard in generic implementations in Java and Scala. But there's a specialized version of `next` called `nextmcIsp` that returns a primitive `int`. When the compiler knows that the `Iterator` has a type parameter of `Int`, it will generate calls to the `nextmcIsp` rather than `next`. This can be used to remove the cost of boxing, albeit by creating larger classes.

The important point here is that both Scala and Java use boxing with generic classes. Scala hides boxing entirely behind `scala.Int` while Java promotes boxing into the language itself. This mismatch can cause issues when working with Scala from Java or Java from Scala. These issues can be solved using one simple rule: Use primitives in methods used from both Scala and Java.

Rule
24

Prefer primitives in methods when integrating Java and Scala

Scala attempts to preserve primitives throughout your code. It's best to use primitives, and arrays, for the simplest interface between Java and Scala.

This simple rule can avoid a few of the issues with Scala/Java interaction. We still have the issue of generic parameters. In Java, a list of integers has the type `java.util.List<java.lang.Integer>`. In Scala, a list of integers has the type `java.util.List[scala.Int]`. Although the runtime implementation of the two lists is the same, Scala's type system does not automatically convert from Java's boxed primitives to Scala's unified object types—the Scala compiler won't automatically convert a `java.util.List[java.lang.Integer]` into a `java.util.List[scala.Int]` even if such a conversion would be type-safe.

Two solutions to this issue exist. One is to perform a cast from `java.util.List[java.lang.Integer]` to `java.util.List[scala.Int]`. The other is to define an implicit conversion that will shim Java types into Scala types. Let's look at the casting:

```
scala> val x = new java.util.ArrayList[java.lang.Integer]
x: java.util.ArrayList[java.lang.Integer] = []
scala> x.add(java.lang.Integer.valueOf(1))
res0: Boolean = true
scala> x.add(java.lang.Integer.valueOf(2))
res1: Boolean = true
scala> val z = x.asInstanceOf[java.util.List[Int]]
z: java.util.List[Int] = [1, 2]
scala> z.get(0)
res3: Int = 1
```

The first line constructs a new `java.util.ArrayList` with a generic parameter equal to `java.lang.Integer`. The next two lines add data to the list. The third line defines a new list `z`, which is a cast from `java.util.ArrayList[java.lang.Integer]` to `java.util.List[scala.Int]`. The REPL prints the values in the list when describing the return types. Notice that the correct values are shown and there are no runtime exceptions. The next retrieves the first value from the cast list. Notice that the return type is `scala.Int` and there are no `ClassCastException`s. The `asInstanceOf` cast was legal because Scala and Java box their primitive integers to the same type: `java.lang.Integer`.

These casts may be considered dangerous. They subvert the type system in Scala and prevent it from discovering future errors. For example, if a method is changed from taking a `java.util.List[java.lang.Integer]` to a `java.util.List[MySpecialClass]`,

the cast to `java.util.List[scala.Int]` will still compile and prevent other compile-time errors.

The second solution can avoid this pitfall by operating within the type system. The second solution is to create an implicit conversion from `java.util.List [java.lang.Integer]` to `java.util.List[scala.Int]`:

```
scala> implicit def convertToScala(
|   x: java.util.List[java.lang.Integer]) =
|   x.asInstanceOf[java.util.List[Int]]
convertToScala:
(x: java.util.List[java.lang.Integer])java.util.List[Int]

scala> def foo(x: java.util.List[Int]) = x.get(0)
foo: (x: java.util.List[Int])Int

scala> foo(x)
res4: Int = 1
```

The implicit `convertToScala` is defined to take a `java.util.List[java.lang.Integer]`. It performs the same cast from the previous example. The difference here is that the dangerous cast is hidden behind the method such that it can only be used in a type-safe fashion; the method can only take lists of `java.lang.Integer` types, so if the generic type parameter of the list is changed, the implicit view won't be used at all and the compiler will issue the appropriate type error.

The scalaj-collections library provides primitive-safe implicit conversions between Scala and Java collection types. This offers the best mechanism to handle primitives in collections, but noncollection types may still require a hand-rolled implicit conversion.

The next big issue is the difference in visibility implementation.

10.1.2 Differences in visibility

Java enforces visibility both statically and dynamically. Visibility is enforced both by the Java compiler and by the JVM runtime. Java embeds visibility restrictions directly into the bytecode that the JVM uses to enforce at runtime.

Scala enforces visibility statically, and does its best to encode visibility constraints for the JVM. Scala's visibility design is far more powerful than Java's and can't be directly encoded into bytecode for runtime enforcement. Scala tends to make methods publicly visible and enforces all constraints at compile time, unless the visibility rule in Scala lines up directly with one from Java.

Let's look at a simple example. Java's `protected` modifier differs from Scala's. Specifically, in Scala, companion objects are allowed to access protected members of their companion classes. This means that Scala can't encode protected members using the JVM's `protected` bytecode because that would restrict companion classes from accessing protected members. Let's look at an example.

```
class Test {
  protected val x = 10
}
```

The Test class is defined with a single member x. The val x is protected and holds the value 10. Let's look at the generated bytecode for this class.

```
public class Test extends java.lang.Object implements scala.ScalaObject{
private final int x;

public int x();
  Code:
    0: aload_0
    1: getfield #11; //Field x:I
    4: ireturn

...
}
```

The Test class is defined with a private field x and a public accessor called x. This means that in Java an external user of the Test class could access the protected x method. Here's an example:

```
class Test2 {
  public static void main(String[] args) {
    Test obj = new Test();
    System.out.println(obj.x());
```



The Test2 class is defined in Java. The main method is defined to construct a new Scala Test instance. The next line calls the protected x method and prints its value to the console. Even though the value is protected within Scala, the call succeeds in Java. Let's run the Test2 class:

```
$ java -cp /usr/share/java/scala-library.jar:. Test2
10
```

The program outputs the value 10 with no runtime visibility exception. Java doesn't see Scala's visibility constraints. This means that Java clients of Scala classes need to be on their best behavior to prevent modifying or accessing values that they shouldn't.

Rule
25

Don't call methods with \$ in the name from Java

Scala's visibility rules are more advanced than Java and cannot be expressed. When calling into Scala from Java, avoid calling methods with \$ in the name, as these are implementation details of Scala's encoding.

Visibility issues are a subset of a bigger issue with Java/Scala integration—that of inexpressible language features.

10.1.3 Inexpressible language features

Java and Scala both have features that are inexpressible in the other language.

Java has static values on classes. These are values that are constructed when a class is loaded and aren't associated with any particular instance of the class. In Scala, everything is an object and there are no static values. We might argue that Scala's objects are static values. Scala's objects are implemented in terms of static values on

the JVM but aren't themselves static values. Consequently, Java libraries that require static values are hard to interact with from Scala.

Scala has many features unavailable in Java, such as traits, closures, named and default parameters, implicit parameters, and type declarations. When interacting with Scala, Java can't use implicit resolution to find missing parameters to methods. Java can't use Scala's default parameter definitions.

For each of these issues, there's usually a workaround somewhere, but it's best to avoid these issues entirely. You can do this with a simple mechanism: Construct interfaces in Java that define all the types that will be passed between Java and Scala.

SCALA/JAVA INTEGRATION TIP Construct interfaces in Java that define all types that will be passed between Java and Scala. Place these interfaces into a project that can be shared between the Java portions of code and the Scala portions of code. By limiting the features used in the integration points, there won't be any feature mismatch issues.

Because Java is more limited in features and compiles more directly to bytecode, it makes for a great integration language. Using Java interfaces ensures you avoid the corner case issues of integration, besides those of boxing.

One example where using Java is required is on the Android platform which has an interface called `Parcelable`. You can use this interface to allow objects to be passed between processes. Because this could involve serializing the data, the `Parcelable` interface requires a static field that the Android platform can use to instantiate a `Parcelable`.

For example, say that an application needs to pass addresses between processes on the Android platform. In Java, the `Address` class would look as shown in the following listing:

Listing 10.2 Parcelable Address for Android

```
public class Address implements Parcelable {
    public String street;
    public String city;
    public String state;
    public String zip;
    public void writeToParcel(Parcel out, int flags) {
        out.writeString(street);
        out.writeString(city);
        out.writeString(state);
        out.writeString(zip);
    }
    private Address(Parcel in) {
        street = in.readString();
        city = in.readString();
        state = in.readString();
        zip = in.readString();
    }
}
```

```

public int describeContents() {
    return 0;
}

public static final Parcelable.Creator<Address> CREATOR
    = new Parcelable.Creator<MyParcelble>() {
    public Address createFromParcel(Parcel in) {
        return new Address(in);
    }

    public Address[] newArray(int size) {
        return new Address[size];
    }
};

}

```

The Address class is composed of four members: street, city, state, and zip. It has a `writeToParcel` method that's Android's way of flattening or serializing the class to send to another process. The private constructor for Address is used to deserialize the values from the Parcel it was stored in. The `describeContents` method returns a bitmask that tells the Android platform the types of data that are contained in the parcel, in case any need special treatment. Finally, there's a public static instance called CREATOR defined on the class of type `Parcelable.Creator<Address>`. The Android system uses this type to create and parse incoming Addresses from other processes. This mechanism is also inexpressible in Scala.

The solution in this case is to create a split between the pieces that require Java and the pieces that require Scala. In the case of Address, it's such a simple class, that writing it completely in Java could be a fine solution. But if Address were more complex, this splitting would be appropriate. Let's pretend that Address uses some advanced Scala type features in some of its member functions. To get Address to still be `Parcelable` in Android *and* to keep the advanced Scala features, it must be split. The Scala features can stay in an abstract class that the Java statics can extend. The Scala class would look as follows:

```

abstract class AbstractAddress(
    val street: String,
    val city: String,
    val state: String,
    val zip: String) extends Parceable {
    override def writeToParcel(out: Parcel, flags: Int) {
        out.writeString(street)
        out.writeString(city)
        out.writeString(state)
        out.writeString(zip)
    }
    override def describeContents = 0
}

```

The `AbstractAddress` class is defined with street, city, state, and zip as constructors and as `val` members. The abstract class can also define all the methods required by the `Parcelable` interface: `writeToParcel` and `describeContents`. But the static

CREATOR instance can't be made in Scala. This can be done in Java. Let's extend the AbstractAddress class in Java to allow for usage in Android:

```
public class Address extends AbstractAddress {
    private Address(Parcel in) {
        super(in.readString(),
              in.readString(),
              in.readString(),
              in.readString());
    }
    public static final Parcelable.Creator<Address> CREATOR
        = new Parcelable.Creator<MyParcelable>() {
            public Address createFromParcel(Parcel in) {
                return new Address(in);
            }
            public Address[] newArray(int size) {
                return new Address[size];
            }
        };
}
```

The Address class is defined with a private constructor that takes in a Parcel and delegates to the constructor defined in Scala. Then the static CREATOR instance is defined similarly to the Java-only version.

Due to Scala's tight integration with Java, interfacing with constructors and extending abstract classes can be seamless. This simple Address Parcelable example highlights what to do when running into APIs developed for Java without Scala in mind.

Another area of concern when integrating with Java is the overuse of implicit conversions to adapt Java libraries into Scala idioms.

10.2 Be wary of implicit conversions

One common mechanism of supporting the Scala/Java interaction is to create implicit conversions within Scala that promote Java types into a more Scala-friendly form. This can help ease the pain of using classes not designed for Scala but comes at a cost. Implicit conversions carry a few dangers that developers need to be aware of:

- Object identity and equality
- Chaining implicits.

The most common example of using implicit conversions to ease integration between Java and Scala are found in the Scala object `scala.collection.JavaConverters`. This object contains a set of implicit conversions to convert collections from Java to their Scala equivalents and vice versa. These implicit conversions are immensely handy but also suffer from all the issues associated with this design. Let's look into how object identity and equality can become a problem when using `JavaConversions`.

10.2.1 Object identity and equality

One of the dangers of using implicits to wrap Scala or Java objects for interoperability is that it can alter object identity. This breaks equality in any code that might require equality. Let's look at a simple example of converting a Java collection into a Scala one:

```
scala> import collection.JavaConversions._
import collection.JavaConversions._

scala> val x = new java.util.ArrayList[String]
x: java.util.ArrayList[String] = []

scala> x.add("Hi"); x.add("You")

scala> val y : Iterable[String] = x
y: Iterable[String] = Buffer(Hi, You)

scala> x == y
res1: Boolean = false
```

The first line imports the JavaConversions implicit conversions. The next line creates the Java collection `ArrayList`. The values "Hi" and "You" are added to the array list. The `val y` is constructed with the type of `scala.Iterable`. This invokes an implicit conversion to adapt the Java `ArrayList` into a Scala `Iterable`. Finally, when testing equality of the two collections, the value is `false`. When wrapping a Java collection, the wrapped collection isn't equal to the original.

Rule 26

Avoid implicit views

Implicit views, when interfacing with Java, can cause silent object identity issues and other problems. It's best to be explicit.

The nuance of this issue can be subtle. For example, the implicit conversion from a Java collection to a Scala collection isn't as obvious as in the previous example. Imagine there's a Java class that looks as follows:

```
import java.util.ArrayList;

class JavaClass {
    public static ArrayList<String> CreateArray() {
        ArrayList<String> x = new ArrayList<String>();
        x.add("HI");
        return x;
    }
}
```

The class `JavaClass` has one method called `CreateArray` that returns an `ArrayList` containing the value "HI". Now imagine the following Scala class:

```
object ScalaClass {
    def areEqual(x : Iterable[String], y : AnyRef) = x == y
}
```

The object `ScalaClass` is defined with one method, `areEqual`. This method takes a `scala.Iterable` and an `AnyRef` and checks the equality. Now let's use these two classes together.

```
scala> import collection.JavaConversions._  
import collection.JavaConversions._  
  
scala> val x = JavaClass.CreateArray()  
x: java.util.ArrayList[String] = [HI]  
  
scala> ScalaClass.areEqual(x,x)  
res3: Boolean = false
```

The first line imports the implicit conversions for Collection. The next line calls the Java class and constructs the new ArrayList. Finally, the same variable is placed into both sides of the areEqual method. Because the compiler is running the implicit conversions behind the scenes, the fact that x is being wrapped is less apparent in this code. The result of areEqual is false.

Although this example is contrived, it demonstrates how the issue can become hidden behind method calls. In real-world programming, this issue can be difficult to track down when it occurs, as the method call chains are often more complex.

10.2.2 Chaining implicits

The second issue facing implicits as a means to ease Java integration is that of chaining implicits. Scala and Java both support generic types. Collections in both languages have one generic parameter. The implicits that convert from Java to Scala and back again will alter the collection type, but usually not the underlying generic parameter. This means that if the generic parameter type also needs to be converted for smooth Java/Scala integration, then it's possible the implicit won't be triggered.

Let's look at a common example: boxed types and Java collections.

```
scala> val x = new java.util.ArrayList[java.lang.Integer]  
x: java.util.ArrayList[java.lang.Integer] = []  
  
scala> val y : Iterable[Int] = x  
<console>:17: error: type mismatch;  
      found   : java.util.ArrayList[java.lang.Integer]  
      required: Iterable[Int]  
           val y : Iterable[Int] = x
```

The first line constructs a new Java ArrayList collection with generic parameter set to java.lang.Integer. In Scala, because the compiler doesn't differentiate between primitives and objects, the type scala.Int can be safely used for generic parameters. But Java's boxed integer, java.lang.Integer, isn't the same type as scala.Int, but the two can be converted seamlessly. Scala provides an implicit conversion from java.lang.Integer to scala.Int:

```
scala> val x : Int = new java.lang.Integer(1)  
x: Int = 1
```

This line constructs a java.lang.Integer with the value 1 and assigns it to the value x with the type scala.Int. The implicit in scala.Predef kicks in here and automatically converts from the java.lang.Integer type into scala.Int. This implicit doesn't kick in when looking for implicit conversions from Java to Scala.

Let's naively try to construct an implicit that can convert from a collection type and modify its nested element all in one go.

```
implicit def naiveWrap[A,B] (
  col: java.util.Collection[A])(implicit conv: A => B) =
  new Iterable[B] { ... }
```

The `naiveWrap` method is defined with two type parameters: one for the original type in the Java collection, `A`, and another for the Scala version of that type, `B`. The `naiveWrap` method takes another implicit conversion from the Java type `A` to the Scala type `B`. The hope is that an implicit view will bind the type parameter `A` to `java.lang.Integer` and `B` to `scala.Int` and the conversion from `java.util.ArrayList[java.lang.Integer]` to `scala.Iterable[Int]` will succeed.

Let's try this out in the REPL:

```
scala> val x = new java.util.ArrayList[java.lang.Integer]
x: java.util.ArrayList[java.lang.Integer] = []
scala> val y : Iterable[Int] = x
<console>:17: error: type mismatch;
 found   : java.util.ArrayList[java.lang.Integer]
 required: Iterable[Int]
           val y : Iterable[Int] = x
```

This is the same error as before. The Java list `x` isn't able to be converted to an `Iterable[Int]` directly. This is the same problem we saw before where the type inferencer doesn't like inferring the `A` and `B` types from the `naiveWrap` method.

The solution to this problem is one used from 7.2.3: We can defer the type inference of the parameters. Let's try to implement the wrap method again.

```
trait CollectionConverter[A] {
  val col: java.util.Collection[A]
  def asScala[B](implicit fun: A => B) =
    new Iterable[B] { ... }
}
object Test {
  implicit def wrap[A](i: ju.Collection[A]) =
    new CollectionConverter[A] {
      override val col = i
    }
}
```

The `CollectionConverter` type is implemented to capture the original `A` type from the `naiveWrap` method. The `Converter` trait holds the Java collection that needs to be converted. The `asScala` method is defined to capture the `B` type from the `naiveWrap` method. This method takes an implicit argument that captures the conversion from `A` to `B`. The `asScala` method is what constructs the Scala `Iterable`. The `Test` object is defined with a new implicit `wrap` method. This method captures the original `A` type and constructs a new `CollectionConverter`.

The new implicit conversions requires the `asScala` method to be called directly. Let's take a look:

```
scala> import Test.wrap
import Test.wrap

scala> val x = new java.util.ArrayList[java.lang.Integer]
x: java.util.ArrayList[java.lang.Integer] = []

scala> x.add(1); x.add(2);

scala> val y: Iterable[Int] = x.asScala
y : Iterable[Int] = CollectionConverter(1, 2)
```

First, the new implicit wrap method is imported. Next a Java `ArrayList[java.lang.Integer]` is constructed and values are added to it. Finally, the conversion is attempted using the `asScala` method, and this time it succeeds.

The downside to this approach is the requirement of the additional method call to ensure the types are inferred correctly. But as a general solution, this is more ideal. The explicit `asScala` method call denotes a transformation to a new object. This makes it easy to know when a collection is being converted between the Scala and Java libraries.

SCALAJ-COLLECTIONS The scalaj-collections library from Jorge Ortiz provides collection conversions to and from Scala and Java collections. The library uses the same technique of having an `asScala` and `asJava` method implicitly added to collections of the respected types. The scalaj library offers a more robust solution than what's available in the standard library.

Although using implicits to wrap Java libraries into Scala libraries can be dangerous, it's still a helpful technique and is used throughout the standard library. It's important to know when only simple implicit conversions won't be enough and how to solve these issues. Chaining implicit conversions can solve a lot of the remaining issues.

The important point here is that implicits aren't magic and can't automatically convert between Scala and Java types for all situations. Implicits can and should be used to *reduce* the overhead of these interaction points.

The next potential issue with Java integration is that of serialization.

10.3 Be wary of Java serialization

For most applications, Java serialization works well within Scala. Scala's closures are automatically made serializable and most of the classes are serialization friendly.

SCALA 2.7.X AND SERIALIZATION The Scala 2.7.x series had a lot of issues with Java serialization that have been fixed in 2.8.x and beyond. When using Scala with Java serialization, it's recommended you use one of the newer releases.

A corner case is where Scala's generation of anonymous classes can cause issues with serialization. Let's look at an example.

We'll define a set of objects to model characters within a game. This game will be composed of different people. Each person could be in one of two states: alive or dead. Let's define the person class.

```
object PlayerState {
    sealed trait PlayerStatus extends Serializable
```

```

    val ALIVE = new PlayerStatus { override def toString = "ALIVE" }
    val DEAD = new PlayerStatus { override def toString = "DEAD" }
}
case class Player(s : PlayerState.PlayerStatus)

```

The object `PlayerState` is used to encapsulate the status enumeration. The sealed trait `PlayerStatus` represents the status enumeration. Two status values are defined: `ALIVE` and `DEAD`. Finally, the `Player` class is constructed with a single member `s` that holds the player status.

Now, imagine a few of these players are created and stored in some semipermanent fashion using Java serialization. The game server is running smoothly and everyone's happy, even those who have dead players. To simulate this, let's serialize a single dead player to disk.

```

scala> val x = new Player(PlayerState.DEAD)
x: test.Player = Player(DEAD)

scala> val out = new ObjectOutputStream(
    | new FileOutputStream("player.out"))
out: java.io.ObjectOutputStream = java.io.ObjectOutputStream@5acac877

scala> out.writeObject(x); out.flush()

```

The value `x` is created with a player in the `DEAD` status. The value `out` is constructed as a Java `ObjectOutputStream` for the file `player.out`. The output stream is used to serialize the dead player to disk.

Around this time, there's a new feature request to allow players to sleep during the game. The `PlayerStatus` enumeration is updated to have a new state: `sleeping`.

```

object PlayerState {
  sealed trait PlayerStatus extends Serializable
  val ALIVE = new PlayerStatus { override def toString = "ALIVE" }
  val SLEEPING = new PlayerStatus { override def toString = "SLEEPING" }
  val DEAD = new PlayerStatus { override def toString = "DEAD" }
}

```

The `SLEEPING` value is added between the `ALIVE` and `DEAD` status. Other than the new value, nothing in the original code has changed. But when trying to load dead players from disk, there's an issue:

```

scala> val input =
    | new ObjectInputStream(new FileInputStream("player.out"))
input: java.io.ObjectInputStream = java.io.ObjectInputStream@7e98f9c2

scala> val x = input.readObject
java.io.InvalidClassException: PlayerState$$anon$2;
  local class incompatible: stream classdesc
    serialVersionUID = -1825168539657690740,
  local class serialVersionUID = 6026448029321119659

```

A new `ObjectInputStream` is constructed to deserialize the object using Java's serialization. The next line attempts to read the serialized player object and throws an `InvalidClassException`. What's happened is the class that used to represent the `DEAD` value has moved. The `ALIVE`, `SLEEPING`, and `DEAD` classes are constructed anonymously: they aren't given named classes.

Scala generates anonymous class names using a simple formula: location in source code + current count of anonymously generated classes for this location. This means that the original ALIVE class is generated with the name `PlayerState$$anon$1` and the original DEAD class is generated with the name `PlayerState$$anon$2`. But when adding the new SLEEPING status, the anonymous class names are changed. ALIVE stays the same, but SLEEPING is named `PlayerState$$anon$2` and DEAD is moved to `PlayerState$$anon$3`.

The mistake here was using anonymous classes rather than named classes. This issue could prevent refactoring in the code. Let's dig deeper into anonymous classes and their interaction with Java serialization.

10.3.1 Serializing anonymous classes


Scala will generate anonymous classes to express core language features. Here are the situations where anonymous classes are created:

- Anonymous type refinements
`new X { def refinement = ... }`
- Anonymous mixin inheritance
`new X with Y with Z`
- Closures and lambda functions.
`List(1,2,3).map(_.toString)`

Each of these scenarios has the potential to create a serializable class that can become a refactoring burden. Let's see what happens when compiling these three lines. First, let's create a Scala file:

```
trait X extends java.io.Serializable
class Y

object Foo {
    def test1 = new X { def foo = "HI" }
    def test2 = new Y with X
    def test3 = List(1,2,3).map(_.toString)
}
```



The X and Y traits are defined to illustrate the class generation. The Foo object contains all three scenarios. The `test1` method creates an anonymous class for the type refinement. The `test2` method creates an anonymous class from the mixin inheritance. The `test3` method creates an anonymous class for the closure `_.toString`. Let's look at the class files that are generated:

```
> ls
anon.scala      Foo$$anonfun$test3$1.class  X.class
Foo$$anon$1.class  Foo.class                  Y.class
Foo$$anon$2.class  Foo$.class
```

The `test1` method generated the `Foo$$anon$1.class` file. The `test2` method generated the `Foo$$anon$2.class` file and the `test3` method created the `Foo$$anonfun$test3$1.class` file. Notice that anonymous classes are numbered on a per file basis and anonymous functions are numbered based on their class/method scope. This

means that anonymous classes make it easier to break long-term serializability of data, because any anonymous class defined in the file can change the numbering.

For anonymous classes, the simple solution is to ensure that any long-term persisted objects define named objects or classes. Doing this, the preceding example becomes:

```
class One extends X { def foo = "HI" }
class Two extends Y with X

object Foo {
    def test1 = new One
    def test2 = new Two
    def test3 = List(1,2,3).map(_.toString)
}
```

The classes `One` and `Two` are created to correspond to the anonymous classes from the earlier `test1` and `test2` methods. The `test1` and `test2` methods are changed to use the new named classes. The benefit to this approach is that the generated classfiles are file-order independent. Let's look at the generated classfile directory.

```
> ls
anon.scala  Foo$$anonfun$test3$1.class  Foo.class
Foo$.class   One.class                  Two.class
X.class      Y.class
```

The result is that the only remaining anonymous class is the closure defined in the `test3` method. The class `One` and `Two` are now explicitly named and can be moved around within the file or into other files. The only remaining issue is the long-term serializability of the anonymous function.

AVOID LONG-TERM SERIALIZATION OF CLOSURES Scala's closure syntax is highly convenient and used frequently in development. But because of the volatile nature of randomly generated class names, it's best to avoid persisting closures for any long-running applications. When no other option is available, you should ensure that closure deserialization issues are properly handled.

When it comes to anonymous functions, it's best to avoid long-term serialization. This grants the most amount of flexibility in syntax and usage. Sometimes this isn't an option. For example, imagine the following scheduling service:

```
trait SchedulingService {
    def schedule( cron_schedule: String, work: () => Unit ) : Unit
}
```

The trait `SchedulingService` defines the interface for a long-term scheduler. The single method `schedule` is used to schedule tasks to be performed at a later time. The `schedule` method takes two parameters, a configuration for when to run the task and an anonymous closure to run. The `SchedulingService` could leverage the fact that closures are serializable and store the task on the filesystem. This would let the `SchedulingService` allow persistent schedules in the face of restarts.

In the face of closure class name instability, this is a bad long-term strategy. The simple solution to fix the problem is to force users away from using closures, as best

as possible. For example, the `SchedulingService` could use a `Job` trait instead of a closure.

```
trait Job extends java.io.Serializable {
    def doWork(): Unit
}
trait SchedulingService {
    def schedule(cron_schedule: String, work: Job): Unit
}
```

The `Job` trait is defined as `Serializable` and has one abstract method, `doWork`. The `doWork` method will contain the same implementation that used to be in the anonymous closure. The `SchedulingService` is updated to take `Jobs` instead of `Function0[Unit]`. Although this doesn't prevent users from creating anonymous subclasses of `Job`, it does make it easier for them to explicitly name their `Job` classes and avoid volatile classnames.

The upside to serialization issues in Scala is that Java serialization is often not used for long-term serialization. Java's serialization frequently gets related to remote method invocations and live machine-to-machine messaging or temporary data storage. Long-term persistence tends to take the form of SQL databases, NoSQL databases (using something like Protocol Buffers), XML, or JSON (JavaScript Serialized Object Notation). This means that in the general case, no special care needs to be taken around anonymous classes. But in those few situations that are troublesome, there are solutions you can use to avoid refactoring hell.

The next potential wart in Java integration is that of annotations.

10.4 Annotate your annotations

Many libraries use annotations for runtime code generation and inspection. Annotations are pieces of metadata that can be attached to expressions or types. Annotations can be used to accomplish many different goals, including the following:

- Ensuring or altering compiler warnings and errors (`@tailrec`, `@switch`, `@implicitNotFound`).
- Alter the bytecode output from compilation (`@serializable`, `@scala.annotations.BeanProperty`).
- Configure external services (the Java Persistence API uses annotations like `@Column` and `@ManyToOne`, to denote how to serialize classes into a relational database system [RDBMS]).
- Create and enforce additional type system constraints (the continuations plugin defines `@cpsParam` on types to create additional type-system checks for delimited continuations).

In the JVM ecosystem, many libraries rely on annotations to work properly. Scala prefers annotations instead of keywords for features like Java serialization. Understanding annotations in Scala and where they wind up within the bytecode of a class is important for interoperability with Java frameworks.

One of the largest issues facing Scala and Java interoperability is the mismatch of how Scala compiles class members and annotations compared to how Java compiles class members and annotations. In Java, there's a separate namespace for class fields and class methods. Both of these can be created, named, and annotated separately. In Scala, there's one namespace for all members of a type. The compiler takes responsibility for creating fields on a class as needed. Annotations on a member of a Scala class could compile to multiple methods and fields in the bytecode. Let's look at an example:

```
class Simple {  
    @Id  
    var value = 5  
}
```

The `Simple` class defines a single member `value`. The `value` member is of type `Int` and is variable. It is also annotated with the `@Id` annotation. In Scala 2.9.0, this class is compiled approximately into the following Java class:

```
class Simple {  
    @Id private int value = 5;  
    public int value() { return value; }  
    public void value_$eq(int value) { this.value = value; }  
}
```

The `Simple` class has three members: A `value` field, a `value` method, and a `value_$eq` method. The methods are defined `public` and the field is defined `private`. The annotation is only placed on the field representing the `value`. Even though the single member `var value` compiles into three separate locations in a classfile, the annotation is being placed on only one of them.

JavaBean style getters and setters

Some frameworks in Java rely on a Java naming convention for access properties on objects. This is a convention of the JavaBean specification, where property accessors and setters usually take the names `getFoo` and `setFoo`. Although the JavaBean specification doesn't require that methods have the string `get` and `set` in them, some Java libraries aren't implemented against the specification, but rather against the naming convention. To support these frameworks, Scala provides the `@BeanProperty` annotation. The simple class mentioned earlier can be modified to support these libraries, as follows:

```
class Simple {  
    @reflect.BeanProperty  
    var value = 5  
}
```

This leads to the creation of the following methods: `value`, `value_$eq`, `getValue`, and `setValue`.

For libraries and frameworks that support the full JavaBean specification, the only annotation required is `@reflect.BeanInfo`. This can be applied to the class itself and the compiler will generate an appropriate `BeanInfo` class for all `vars` and `vals` on the class.

In the best case, this mismatch where one definition can compile to several locations in a classfile can confuse annotation libraries designed to work with Java. In the worst case, the libraries are completely unusable. The solution to this is to use annotations targets.

10.4.1 Annotation targets

Annotation targets are used to assign where in the resulting class files annotations should be placed. Scala provides the annotation targets shown in table 10.1:

Table 10.1 Annotation target types

Annotation	Bytecode location
@annotation.target.field	The field associated with a var or val.
@annotation.target.getter	The method used to obtain the value of a var or val. The method has the same name as the val or var.
@annotation.target.setter	The method used to set the value of a var. The method has the name of the var with _\$eq appended for its name.
@annotation.target.beanGetter	The JavaBean style get method. This only works if the @reflect.BeanProperty annotation is specified on the Scala member.
@annotation.target.beanSetter	The JavaBean style set method. This only works if the @reflect.BeanProperty annotation is specified on the Scala member.

The different annotations each target a separate area of generated bytecode. These allow complete customization of where annotations are applied. To use one of these annotations, you must apply them against *another* annotation—that is, the target annotations annotate other annotations with the desired bytecode location. Here's an example:

```
import javax.persistence.Id

class Data {
  @(Id @annotation.target.getter)
  var dataId = 1
}
```

The class `Data` is defined with a single member `dataId`. The annotation `Id` is applied against the `dataId` member. The annotation `Id` also has the annotation annotation `.target.getter` applied to it. Scala allows annotations to be placed on expressions, types, members, and classes. The annotation target classes need to be placed against the annotation type that they wish to change. The expression `@(Id @annotation.target.getter)` is an annotation of the type `Id @annotation.target.getter`, which is the annotated type `Id`. This can be simplified by creating a type alias for the annotated type.

```

object AnnotationHelpers {
    type Id = javax.persistence.Id @annotation.target.getter
}

import AnnotationHelpers._

class Data {
    @Id
    var dataId = 1
}

```

The `AnnotationHelpers` object defines a type alias `Id`. The type alias is the annotated type `javax.persistence.Id @annotation.target.getter`. The next line imports the type alias. The `Data` class is now modified to use the type alias for its annotation. This results in the same bytecode as the previous example.

When using a library or framework designed to annotate JavaBeans, it's helpful to create a wrapper for Scala. This wrapper should consist of an object, similar to `AnnotationHelpers`, that has the Java framework's annotations assigned to the appropriate generated code locations. This can ease usage within Scala. This technique is helpful for defining Scala classes that work with the Java Persistence API (JPA).

A second issue needs to be dealt with: some libraries require annotations in locations that Scala doesn't generate.

10.4.2 Scala and static fields

As discussed in section 10.1, Scala doesn't have a way to express static fields on classes. Although the JVM allows fields associated with class instances at runtime, the Scala language doesn't support this notion. You might argue that you can annotate Scala's objects because they are compiled to static values. But this doesn't work in practice.

Let's look at a quick example:

```
object Foo {}
```

This defines a simple object `Foo` in the raw namespace. Scala compiles to bytecode an equivalent to this Java class:

```

class Foo$ {
    public static Foo$ MODULE$ = null;

    private Foo$() {}

    static {
        MODULE$ = new Foo$()
    }
}

```

The `Foo$` class is defined with a single static member: `MODULE$`. The static block is run when the class is loaded into the JVM. This instantiates the `Foo` object and assigns it to the `MODULE$` static field. Scala converts all objects to JVM classes with the same name as the object but with a `$` appended to the name. This prevents trait/class/object name clashes.

In this example, note that there's only one static field. You also have no way to provide an annotation on the static field. If a Java library requires static fields or annotations on static fields to work, this library is unusable against Scala classes.

But the Java library isn't completely unusable. The solution here is the same as before: Use Java for the portion of code that needs to interact with Java.

This is the unfortunate reality of interacting with Java libraries. A few were designed in such a way as to not be usable from Scala.

10.5 **Summary**

Using Java from Scala is usually a painless process. This chapter covered the areas of concern and offered solutions to each.

First, is the mismatch between Java's primitive with boxing and Scala's unified `AnyVal` types. You can simplify this mismatch by preferring primitive types on the Java side. Because Scala always prefers using the primitive value at runtime, this reduces the total amount of boxing/unboxing overhead within a program.

The second area of concern is when there exists a solution to a problem in both Scala and Java. The canonical example is the differing collections libraries. The Scala collections API isn't friendly to use from Java, and the Java collections API lacks many of the functional features found in the Scala version. To ease integration between Java portions of code and Scala portions, providing implicit conversions on the Scala side can be beneficial. It's important to be careful here to ensure you don't make assumptions about equality. Using explicit conversion functions can help highlight where object identities are changing. They can also be used to perform more than one implicit coercion.

The next area of concern is Java serialization. This works well in Scala. The downside is when Java serialization is used for long-term persistence. Scala allows the easy creation of anonymous classes, classes that could be serialized. If an object is intended for long-term serialization, the class should be formalized and named. Otherwise the source code structure may become locked for the lifetime of the serialized object, or worse. The persistent storage may need to be flushed and migrated.

Finally, when faced with a Java library that won't work from Scala, it's best to avoid such a library. If this isn't possible, then constructing the portion of code required to interact with the library in Java and exposing a Scala-friendly interface is the only solution.

The next chapter covers functional programming, which is a way of writing programs that may be foreign to those of us coming from an object-oriented or imperative background. Let's look into a world where no effects are side effects and operations are deferred as long as possible.

11

Patterns in functional programming

In this chapter

- Functors, monads, and applicative functors
- Configuring applications using applicative style
- Composing workflows using monads and for expressions

Functional programming is the practice of composing programs using functions. It's an area of software design and architecture that has been neglected in mainstream books and classes since the emergence of object-oriented programming. Functional programming offers a lot to the object-oriented developer and can nicely complement standard object-oriented practices.

Functional programming is a relatively large topic to try to compress into a single chapter. Instead, this chapter introduces a few key abstractions used in functional programming and demonstrates their usage in two different situations. The goal is to show one of the many styles of functional programming, rather than turn you into an expert functional programmer.

First, a discussion on some fundamental concepts behind the patterns in functional programming.

11.1 Category theory for computer science

Category theory is the mathematical study of collections of concepts and arrows. For the purposes of computer science, a concept is a type, like `String`, `Int`, and so on. An arrow is a morphism between concepts—something that converts from one concept to another. Usually in computer science, a morphism is a function defined against two types. A category is a grouping of concepts and arrows. For example, the category of cats includes all the various types of cats in the world as well as the captions needed to convert from a serious cat into a lol cat. Category theory is the study of categories like these and relationships between them. The most used category in programming is the categories of types: the classes, traits, aliases and object self types defined in your program.

Category theory shows up in many corners of programming but may not always be recognized. This section will introduce a library to configure software and introduce the concepts from category theory that are used in the library.

A good way to think of category theory, applied to functional programming, is design patterns. Category theory defines a few low-level abstract concepts. These concepts can be directly expressed in a functional language like Scala and have library support. When designing software, if a particular entity fits one of these concepts, a whole slew of operations immediately becomes available as well as the means to reason through usage. Let's look at this concept in the context of designing a configuration library.

In section 2.4 we explored the usage of Scala's `Option` class as a replacement for nullable values. In particular, this section showed how we can use `Options` to create *walled gardens*—that is, functions can be written as if all types aren't null. These functions can be lifted into functions that will propagate empty values. Let's look at the `lift3` function from chapter 2:

```
scala> def lift3[A,B,C,D](f: Function3[A,B,C,D]) = {
|   (oa: Option[A], ob: Option[B], oc: Option[C]) =>
|     for(a <- oa; b <- ob; c <- oc) yield f(a,b,c)
|   }
lift3: [A,B,C,D](f: (A, B, C) => D)(
  Option[A], Option[B], Option[C]) => Option[D]
```

The `lift3` function takes a function defined against raw types and converts it to a function that works with `Option` types. This lets us wrap Java's `DriverManager.getConnection` method directly and make it option-safe.

The `lift3` function uses Scala's `for` expression syntax. Scala's `for` expressions are syntactic sugar for the `map`, `flatMap`, `foreach`, and `withFilter` operations defined on a class. The `for` expression

```
for(a <- oa; b <- ob; c <- oc) yield f(a,b,c)
```

is desugared into the following expression:

```
oa.flatMap(a => ob.flatMap(b => oc.map(c => f(a,b,c))))
```

Each `<-` of the `for` expression is converted into a `map` or `flatMap` call. These methods are each associated with a concept in category theory. The `map` method is associated with functors, and the `flatMap` method is associated with monads. `For` expressions make an excellent way to define workflows, which we define in section 11.4.

A monad is something that can be flattened. `Option` is a monad because it has both a `flatten` and `flatMap` operation that abide by the monadic laws. We'll cover the details of monads in section 11.2.2. For now, let's first generalize the advanced `Option` techniques from section 2.4.1.

Imagine that we're designing a configuration library. The goal is to use this library, in combination with a variant of the `lift3` method, to construct database connections based on the current configuration parameters. This library could read configuration parameters from different locations. If any of these locations are updated, the program should automatically alter its behavior the next time a database connection is requested. Let's define a new trait, `Config`, that will wrap this logic for us. Because the filesystem is volatile and configuration isn't guaranteed to exist, the `Config` library will also make use of the `Option` trait to represent configuration values that weren't found. Let's define a minimal `Config` trait.

```
trait Config[+A] {
    def map[B](f : A => B) : Config[B]
    def flatMap[B](f : A => Config[B]) : Config[B]
    def get : A
}
```

The `Config` trait consists of three methods. The first, `map`, takes a function that operates on the data stored in the `Config` object and returns a new `Config` object. This is used to transform the underlying configuration data. For example, when reading environment variables of strings, the `map` method could be used to convert an environment variable into an `Integer`.

The next method is `flatMap`. This method takes a function against the current `Config` object and returns a second `Config` object. You can use this to construct new `Config` objects based on values stored in an initial `Config` object. For example, imagine we have a `Config[java.io.File]` that holds the location of a secondary configuration file. We can use the `flatMap` operation to read this location and then extract more configuration values from that location.

The final method is called `get`. This method is unsafe, in that it will attempt to read the current configuration environment, wherever configuration is defined to be, and return the resulting configuration values. As with `Option`, you shouldn't use this method until the code calling it knows what to do in the event of failure. Also, because the `get` method will read the environment, it can be expensive if performed within a tight loop of the software.

Let's define a construction operation for `Config`. Creating a new `Config` object is the case of defining the `get` method, because `map` and `flatMap` can be implemented in terms of `get`. For now, let's assume that `map` and `flatMap` are implemented appropriately (see the source code for implementations).

```
object Config {
    def apply[A](data : => A) = new Config[A] {
        def get = data
    }
}
```

The `Config` object defines a single method called `apply`, which is the constructor for `Config` objects. The `apply` method takes one parameter, a *by-name parameter*. By-name parameters in Scala are similar to no-argument functions in that they'll evaluate their associated expressions every time they're referenced. This means that defining the `get` method to reference the `data` argument will cause the `data` parameter to be reevaluated each time it's referenced. Here's an example:

```
scala> var x = 1
x: Int = 1

scala> Config({ x += 1; x})
res2: java.lang.Object with config.Config[Int] = ...

scala> res2.get
res3: Int = 2

scala> res2.get
res4: Int = 3
```

First, the variable `x` is defined as equal to 1. Next, a `Config` object is constructed. The argument is the expression `{ x +=1; x}`. This expression should be evaluated every time the `Config`'s `get` method is called. The next line calls the `get` method, and the returned value is 2. The next line calls the `get` method again and the return value is now 3. Let's create a few convenience methods to read configuration locations.

```
def environment(name : String) : Config[Option[String]] =
    Config(if (System.getenv.containsKey(name))
        Some(System.getenv.get(name))
    else None)
```

The `environment` method will read configuration values from the process environment. The method takes a string of the environment variable to read. The `Config` object is constructed using an `if` expression. If the environment variable is available, the value is returned inside an `Option`. If the variable isn't available, a `None` is returned. The full type returned is a `Config[Option[String]]`. Let's try this out on the command line:

```
> export test_prop="test_prop"
> scala -cp .
...
scala> val test = environment("test_prop")
test: Config[String] = Config$$anon$1@659c2931

scala> test.get
res0: String = test_prop
```

First, the environment variable `test_prop` is exported. Next, the Scala REPL is started and a `Config` object pointing to the `test_prop` property value is created. When calling `get` on this `test` property, the correct value is displayed.

Now let's look into constructing database connections based on environment variables. Here's the original code from section 2.4:

```
scala> def lift3[A,B,C,D](f : Function3[A,B,C,D]) = {
|   (oa : Option[A], ob : Option[B], oc : Option[C]) =>
|     for(a <- oa; b <- ob; c <- oc) yield f(a,b,c)
|   }
lift3: [A,B,C,D](f: (A, B, C) => D)(Option[A], Option[B], Option[C]) => Option[D]
scala> lift3.DriverManager.getConnection
```

The `lift3` method takes a three-argument function and converts it into a three-argument function that works against `Option` arguments. This is used on the `DriverManager.getConnection` method to construct a new method that operates on `Options`.

Using `DriverManager` with the new `Config` library requires lifting the `getConnection` function to take `Config[Option[String]]` rather than just `Option[String]`. Let's take the simple approach of defining a new `lift` function to convert three-argument methods into methods that operate on `Config` objects.

```
def lift3Config[A,B,C,D](f : Function3[A,B,C,D]) = {
  (ca : Config[A], cb : Config[B], cc : Config[C]) =>
    for(a <- ca; b <- cb; c <- cc) yield f(a,b,c)
}
```

The `lift3Config` method takes a three-argument function as its own argument. It returns a new function that takes `Config` traits of the original parameters. The implementation uses `for` expressions to call the underlying `flatMap` and `map` operations on the `Config` object. The final result is a `Config` object wrapping the underlying data. Let's use this to define a `DatabaseConnection` that uses environment variables.

```
scala> val databaseConnection =
|   lift3Config.DriverManager.getConnection(
|     Config.environment("jdbc_url"),
|     Config.environment("jdbc_user"),
|     Config.environment("jdbc_password"))
databaseConnection: Config[java.sql.Connection]
```

The `lift3Config` method is called against the `lift3` method called on `DriveManager.getConnection`. This creates a three-argument function that works on `Config[Option[String]]` types. Finally, this new function is passed three arguments, one for each environment variable. The resulting `Config` object will construct a new database connection if the environment variables `jdbc_url`, `jdbc_user`, and `jdbc_password` are all available.

This implementation of `lift3Config` should look familiar. It's almost identical to the `lift3` method because both the `Config` trait and the `Option` trait are instances of the same abstract concept from category theory. Let's try to reverse engineer the raw concepts behind the `lift` method to see if we can rescue it for both `Option` and `Config`.

11.2 Functors and monads, and how they relate to categories

Functors are transformations from one category to another that can also transform and preserve morphisms. A *morphism* is the changing of one value in a category to another in the same category. In the example of the category of cats, a morphism would be akin to a box that takes a dim cat and converts it into a neon glowing cat. In the category of types, the most commonly used in computer science, a morphism is a function that converts from one type to another. The functor would be something that converts cats into dogs. The functor would be able to convert dim cats into dim dogs and glowing cats into glowing dogs. The functor could *also* convert the box so that it can convert dim dogs into glowing dogs.

Figure 11.1 illustrates functors.

The circle on the bottom represents the category of all possible types. Inside are the standard `String`, `Double`, `Int`, and any other type that can be defined in Scala. The functor `F` is a type constructor in Scala. For any type `T` that's in the category on the bottom, you can place that type in the type constructor `F[_]` and get a new type `F[T]` shown on the top category. For example, for any type `T`, a `Config[T]` can be made. The `Config` class is a functor.

LAWS OF FUNCTORS AND OTHER PROPERTIES Functors, and the other concepts described in this chapter, have mathematical laws that govern their behavior. These laws provide a default set of unit tests as well as standard transformations that can be performed on code. This book doesn't cover the laws in detail, but we give sufficient grounding in Category theory for you to investigate these laws as needed.

For the transformation to be a functor transformation, it means that all morphisms must be preserved in the transformation. If we have a function that manipulates types




Figure 11.1 Functor transforming types and functions

in the first category, we should have a transformed function that operates on the transformed types. For example, if I have a function that takes a `String` and converts it to an `Int`, I should be able to also take a `Config[String]` instance and convert it to a `Config[Int]` instance. This is what the `map` method on `Option` and `Config` grant. Let's convert this into an interface:

Listing 11.1 Functor typeclass

```
trait Functor[T[_]] {
    def apply[A](x: A): T[A]
    def map[A,B](x : T[A])(f: A=>B) : T[B]
}
```

The `apply` method grants the first property of functors. For any type `A`, a `Functor` can construct a type `T[A]` in the new category. The `map` method grants the second property of functors. Given a transformed type `T[A]` and a morphism in the original category `A=>B`, a value `T[B]` can be created. We have a new function that takes `T[A]` and returns `T[B]`.

Let's implement the `Functor` interface for `Config`.

```
object ConfigAsFunctor extends Functor[Config] {
    def apply[A](x : A): Config[A] = Config(x)
    def map[A,B](x : Config[A])(f: A=>B) = x.map(f)
}
```

The `Functor` implementation for `Config` is defined such that the `apply` method calls the `Config` companion object's `apply` method. The `map` method can delegate to the underlying `map` method on the `Config` class.

Finally, let's create a bit of syntactic sugar so that the `map` method on the `Functor` typeclass appears to be on the raw type.

```
implicit def functorOps[F[_] : Functor, A](ma: F[A]) = new {
    val functor = implicitly[Functor[F]]
    final def map[B](f: A => B): F[B] = functor.map(ma)(f)
}
```

The implicit method `functorOps` creates a new anonymous class that has a local `map` method that accepts only a function `A => B`. This simplifies the remaining code samples using `Functor`.

Now, we'll create the `lift` method so that it's generic against the `Functor` abstraction.

```
def lift[F[_] : Functor] = new {
    val functor = implicitly[Functor[F]]
    def apply3[A,B,C,D](f: (A,B,C) => D): (
        F[A],F[B],F[C]) => F[F[F[[D]]]] = {
        (fa, fb, fc) =>
        fa map { a =>
        fb map { b =>
        fc map { c =>
        f(a,b,c)
    }
}}
```

```
        }
    }
}
}
```

The new `lift` method uses a `Functor` to promote elements of the function. The `apply3` method accepts a three-argument function and calls `map` against each of these methods to chain the method calls. The resulting function is one that accepts all the original arguments inside the `FunctorF[_]` and returns a nested result type `F[F[F[D]]]`.

The issue with this method is that the resulting type is `F[F[F[D]]]`, not `F[D]`. This means for the config library, creating a database connection will result in a `Config[Config[Config[Connection]]]` instead of a `Config[Connection]`. To resolve this, let's create a new type trait the extends `Functor` and adds a `flatten` method. This method will be responsible for collapsing the pattern `F[F[D]]` to `F[D]`, which should allow the above function to work as desired. This new trait is called a `Monad`.

11.2.1 Monads

Monads are a means of combining a functor application, if that functor is an endofunctor. An endofunctor is a functor that converts concepts and morphisms in its category back into the same category. Using the `cat` example, an endofunctor would be a way of converting cats and genetic cat manipulations into different types of cats and cat genetic manipulations. Transforming a cat more than once by the same functor could be reduced into single functor application. Similarly, altering cat genetic manipulations more than once can be reduced into a single alteration.

In computer science, monads are often used to represent computations. A monad can be used to abstract out the execution behavior of a program. Some monads can be used to handle concurrency, exceptions, or even side effects. Using monads in workflows or pipelines is discussed in section 11.4.

Let's look at the programming definition of a monad in the following listing:

Listing 11.2 Monad typeclass

```
trait Monad[T[_]] {
    def flatten[A](m : T[T[A]]) : T[A]
    def flatMap[A,B](x : T[A])(f : A => T[B])
        )(implicit func: Functor[T]): T[B] =
        flatten(func.map(x, f))
}
```

The `Monad` trait defines the `flatten` and `flatMap` methods. The `flatten` method is used to take a double wrapped type and turn it into a wrapped type. If a `Functor` `T[_]` is applied twice, the monad knows how to combine this to one application. For example, the `List` monad can convert a list of lists into a single list with all the underlying elements of the nested lists. The `Monad` trait also provides a convenience function `flatMap`, which chains the `flatten` and `map` calls for convenience.

Monad and functor differences

In reality, a monad is the `flatten` operation for a functor. If you were to encode the category theory directly into the type system, the `flatMap` method would require an implicit `Functor`. For category theory applied to computer science, in this instance at least, everything is in the category of types. The type constructor `F[_]` applied to a type `T` results in the type `F[T]`, which is in the same category of types. A monad is a means of taking two such applications and reducing them to a single—that is, `F[F[T]]` becomes `F[T]`.

If you think of monads as functions, then it's equivalent to taking the function `def addOne(x: Int) = x + 1` and the expression `addOne(addOne(5))` and converting it to the function `def addTwo(x: Int) = x + 2` and the resulting expression `addTwo(5)`. Now imagine such a translation done against types.

Monads are means of combining functor applications on types, hence `F[F[T]]` being shortened to `F[T]` through use of a monad.

Monads are, among other things, a means of preventing bloat in types and accessors. We can take a nested list of lists and treat it as a single list, which has a more convenient syntax.

Again, let's create a convenience implicit to reduce the syntactic noise of using the `Monad` type trait.

```
implicit def monadOps[M[_] : Functor : Monad, A](ma: M[A]) = new {
  val monad = implicitly[Monad[M]]
  def flatten[B](implicit $ev0: M[A] <:< M[M[B]]): M[B] =
    monad.flatten(ma)
  def flatMap[B](f: A => M[B]): M[B] =
    monad.flatMap(ma)(f)
}
```

The implicit method `monadOps` creates a single anonymous class. The `flatten` method uses the implicit type constraint trick from section 7.2.3 to ensure that the value inside the monad `M[_]` is another `M[_]` value. The `flatMap` method delegates to the `Monad` trait's `flatMap` method.

Now, let's modify the `lift` function to make use of the `Monad` trait.

```
def lift[F[_] : Monad : Functor] = new {
  val m = implicitly[Monad[F]]
  val func = implicitly[Functor[F]]
  def apply3[A,B,C,D](f: (A,B,C) => D): (F[A], F[B], F[C]) => F[D] = {
    (fa, fb, fc) =>
      m.flatMap(fa) { a =>
        m.flatMap(fb) { b =>
          func.map(fc) { c =>
            f(a,b,c)
          }
        }
      }
  }
}
```

The new lift method uses a Monad type class instead of a Functor. This lift method looks similar to the original lift method for Option, except that it can generically lift functions to operate against monads. Let's try it out.

```
scala> lift[Option] apply3 java.sql.DriverManager.getConnection
res4: (Option[String], Option[String],
       Option[String]) => Option[java.sql.Connection] =
<function3>
```

The lift method is called using Option as the type parameter. The apply3 method is called directly against java.sql.DriverManager.getConnection(...). The result is a new function that accepts three Option[String] values and returns an Option[Connection].

Monads and functors form the basic building blocks of lots of fundamental concepts in programming. We'll explore these more in depth in section 11.4. An abstraction lives between monads and functors. This abstraction can be used as an alternative mechanism of writing the lift function. Instead of relying on a flatMap operation, a function can be curried and values fed into it in an applicative style.

11.3 Currying and applicative style

Currying is the conversion of a function of multiple parameters into a chain of functions that accept a single parameter. A curried function accepts one of its arguments and returns a function that accepts the next argument. This chain continues until the last function returns a result. In Scala, any function of multiple parameters can be curried.

Applicative style refers to using curried functions to drive parameters in applicative functors through them. Applicative functors are functors that also support a method to convert mapped morphisms into morphisms against mapped types. In English, this means that if we have a list of functions, an applicative functor can create a single function that accepts a list of argument values and returns a new list of results.

11.3.1 Currying

Currying is taking a function of several arguments and turning it into a function that takes a single argument and returns a function that takes the next argument that returns a function that takes the next argument and so on, until finally one of the functions returns a value. In Scala, all functions have a curried method that can be used to convert them from multiargument functions into curried functions. Let's try it out:

```
scala> val x = (x:Int, y:Double, z: String) => z+y+x
x: (Int, Double, String) => java.lang.String = <function3>
scala> x.curried
res0: (Int) => (Double) => (String) => java.lang.String = <function1>
```

The first line constructs a function that takes three arguments: an integer, a double, and a string. The second calls curried against it, which returns a function of the type

`Int => Double => String => String`. This function takes an `Int` and returns another function `Double => String => String`. This function takes a `Double` and returns a function that takes a `String` and returns a `String`. A single function of multiple arguments is converted into a chain of functions, each returning another function until all arguments have been satisfied and a return value is made. Currying is pretty easy to do by hand; let's try it out.

```
scala> val y = (a: Int) => (b: Double) => (c: String) => x(a,b,c)
y: (Int) => (Double) => (String) => java.lang.String = <function1>
```

This line constructs an anonymous function `y` that takes an `Int`, called `a`, and returns the function defined by the rest of the expression. This same trick defines a nested anonymous function, until eventually the function `x` defined earlier is called. Note that this function has the same signature as `x.curried`. The trick is that each call to a function captures a portion of the argument list of the original function and returns a new function for the remaining values.

This trick can be used when attempting to promote a function of multiple simple parameters to work with values inside a `Functor`. Let's redefine the `lift` method to use only a `Functor`.

```
def lift[F[_]: Functor] = new {
  def apply3[A,B,C,D](f: (A,B,C) => D): (F[A], F[B], F[C]) => F[D] = {
    (fa, fb, fc) =>
      val tmp: F[B => C => D] = fa.map(f.curried)
      ...?...
  }
}
```

The new implementation for the `apply3` method in `lift` uses the `map` operation on `Functor` against the curried function. The result is a function `B => C => D` wrapped inside the `F[_]` functor.

Let's break this down to see what's happening in the types. First a curried function is created.

```
scala> f.curried
res0: A => (B => C => D) = <function1>
```

The parentheses in the resulting expression have been adjusted to show the true type. The result is a single function that takes an `A` and produces a value. Because the `fa` parameter is a value of `F[A]`, we can combine the curried function with the `fa` value using the `map` method.

```
scala> fa.map[B => C => D](f.curried)
res0: F[B => (C => D)] = Config(<function1>)
```

The `map` method on `fa` is called against the curried function. The result is a `F[_]` containing the rest of the function. Remember the `Functor` defines its `map` method as `def map[A, B](m: F[A])(f: A=> B): F[B]`. In this case the second type parameter is a function `B=>C=>D`.

Now there's a problem. The code can't continue to use the `map` method defined on `Functor` because the remaining function is wrapped inside the functor `F[_]`. To solve this, let's define a new abstraction, `Applicative`, as shown in the following listing:

Listing 11.3 Applicative typeclass

```
trait Applicative[F[_]] {
    def lift2[A,B](f: F[A=>B])(ma: F[A]): F[B]
}
```

The `Applicative` trait is defined for the type `F[_]`. It consists of one method, `lift2`, that takes a function inside an `F[_]` and a value inside an `F[_]` and returns the result inside an `F[_]`. Notice that this is different from a monad, which can flatten `F[F[_]]`. The `lift` method can now be completed using applicative functors.

```
def lift[F[_]: Functor: Applicative] = new {
    val func = implicitly[Functor[F]]
    val app = implicitly[Applicative[F]]
    def apply3[A,B,C,D](f: (A,B,C) => D): (F[A], F[B], F[C]) => F[D] = {
        (fa, fb, fc) =>
        val tmp: F[B => C => D] = func.map(fa)(f.curried)
        val tmp2: F[C => D] = app.lift2(tmp)(fb)
        app.lift2(tmp2)(fc)
    }
}
```

The `lift` function now requires both a `Functor` and an `Applicative` context bound. As before, the function is curried and applied against the first argument using the functor's `map` method. But the applicative functor's `lift2` method can be used to apply the second argument of the function. Finally, the `lift2` method is used again to apply the third argument of the original function. The final result is the value of type `D` wrapped inside the functor `F[_]`.

Now, let's try the method against the previous example of using the `DriverManager.getConnection` method.

```
scala> lift[Config] apply3 java.sql.DriverManager.getConnection
res0: (Config[String], Config[String],
       Config[String]) => Config[java.sql.Connection] =
<function3>
```

The result is the same as it was for using functor and monad. The two reasons to choose this style instead is that there are more things that can implement the `lift2` method for applicative functors than can implement the `flatten` method for monads and that applicative functors can compute in parallel while monadic workflows are sequential.

11.3.2 Applicative style

An alternative syntax to lifting functions into applicative functors is known as *applicative style*. This can be used in Scala to simplify the construction of complex function

dependencies, keeping the values inside an applicative functor. For example, using the `Config` library defined earlier, you can construct an entire program from functions and applicative applications. Let's take a look.

**Rule
27**

Use applicative style to join parallel processes

Applicative functors provide a way to take two computations and join them together using a function. The `Traversable` example highlights how two collections can be parallelized into pairs. Applicative functors and parallel processing go together like bread and butter.

Assuming there's a software system that's composed of two subsystems: the `DataStore` and the `WorkerPool`. The class hierarchy for this system looks as follows:

```
trait DataStore { ... }
trait WorkerPool { ... }
class Application(ds: DataStore, pool: WorkerPool) { ... }
```

The `DataStore` class and `WorkerPool` class are defined with all the methods required for their subcomponent. The `Application` class is defined as taking a `DataStore` instance and a `WorkerPool` instance. Now, when constructing the application, the following can be done with applicative style:

```
def dataStore: Config[DataStore]
def workerPool: Config[WorkerPool]
def system: Config[Application] =
  (Applicative build dataStore).and(
    workerPool) apply (new Application(_,_))
```

The `dataStore` and `workerPool` methods are defined as abstraction constructors of `DataStore` inside a `Config` object. The entire system is composed by creating an `Applicative` instance on the `dataStore`, combining the `workerPool` and applying that to an anonymous function (`new Application(_,_)`). The result is an `Application` embedded in a `Config` object. The `Applicative` call creates a builder that will use the `Config[_]` instances to construct something that can accept a function of raw types and return a resulting `Config` object.

HASKELL VERSUS SCALA Applicative style came to Scala from the Haskell language, where functions are curried by default. The syntax presented here is a Scala idiom and doesn't mimic the Haskell directly. In Haskell, applicative style uses the `<*>` operator, called *apply*, against a curried function on Applicative functors—that is, Haskell has a `<*>` method that performs the same function as the `lift2` method in the `Applicative` trait.

This applicative style, combined with the `Config` class, can be used to do a form of dependency injection in Scala. Software can be composed of simple classes that take their dependencies in the constructor and a separate configuration can be used to wire all the pieces together using functions. This is an ideal blend of object orientation and functional programming in Scala. For example, if the `DataStore` trait had an implementation that used a single JDBC connection like the following:

```
class ConnectionDataStore(conn: java.sql.Connection) extends DataStore
```

Then the entire application can be configured as shown in the following listing:

Listing 11.4 Configuring an application using the Config class and applicative builder

```
def jdbcUrl: Config[String] = environment("jdbc.url")
def jdbcUser: Config[String] = environment("jdbc.user")
def jdbcPw: Config[String] = environment("jdbc.pw")
def connection: Config[Connection] =
  (Applicative build jdbcUrl).and(jdbcUser).and(jdbcPw).apply(
    DriverManager.getConnection)

def dataStore: Config[DataStore] =
  connection map (c => new ConnectionDataStore(f))
def workerPool: Config[WorkerPool] = ...

def system: Config[Application] =
  Applicative build dataStore and workerPool apply (
    new Application(_,_))
```

The environment function is defined in 11.7. This function pulls the value of an environment variable if it exists and is used to pull values for the JDBC connection's URL, user, and password. The applicative builder is then used to construct a Config[Connection] using these config values and the DriverManager.getConnection method directly. This connection is then used to construct the dataStore configuration using the map method on Config to take the configured JDBC connection and use it to instantiate the ConnectionDataStore. Finally, the applicative builder is used to construct the application from the dataStore and workerPool configuration.

Although this is pure Scala code, the concept should look familiar to users of Java inversion-of-control containers. This bit of code represents the configuration of software separate from the definition of its components. There's no need to resort to XML or configuration files in Scala.

Let's look at how the Applicative object build method works.

```
object Applicative {
  def build[F[_]: Functor, A](m: F[A]) =
    new ApplicativeBuilder[F, A](m)
}
```

The build method on Applicative takes two types, F[_] and A. The F[_] type is required to have an applicative and functor instance available implicitly. The build method accepts a parameter of type F[A] and returns a new ApplicativeBuilder class. Let's look at the ApplicativeBuilder class in the following listing:

Listing 11.5 ApplicativeBuilder class

```
class ApplicativeBuilder[F[_], A](ma: F[A])(
  implicit functor: Functor[F], ap: Applicative[F]) {
  import Implicits._

  def apply[B](f: A => B): F[B] = ma.map(f)

  def and[B](mb: F[B]) = new ApplicativeBuilder2(mb)
```

```

class ApplicativeBuilder2[B](mb: F[B]) {
  def apply[C](f: (A, B) => C): F[C] =
    ap.lift2((ma.map(f.curried)))(mb)
  def and[C](mc: F[C]) = new ApplicativeBuilder3[C](mc)
  class ApplicativeBuilder3[C](mc: F[C]) {
    def apply[D](f: (A, B, C) => D): F[D] =
      ap.lift2(ap.lift2((ma.map(f.curried)))(mb))(mc)
    ...
  }
}

```

The `ApplicativeBuilder` class takes in its constructor the same arguments as the `Applicative.build` method. The class consists of two methods, `apply` and `and`, as well as a nested class `ApplicativeBuilder2`. The `apply` method takes a function against raw types `A` and `B` and applies the captured member `ma` against it, creating an `F[B]`. The `and` method takes another applicative functor instance of type `F[B]` and constructs an `ApplicativeBuilder2`. The `ApplicativeBuilder2` class also has two methods: `apply` and `and`. The `apply` method is a bit more odd. Like the `lift` example earlier, this method curries the raw function `f` and uses the `map` and `lift2` methods to feed arguments to the lifted function inside the functor. The `and` method constructs an `ApplicativeBuilder3` that looks a lot like `ApplicativeBuilder2` but with one more parameter. This chain of nested builder classes goes all the way to Scala's limit on anonymous function arguments of 23.

Applicative style is a general concept that can be applied in many situations. For example, let's use it to compute all the possible pairings of elements from two collections.

```

scala> (Applicative build Traversable(1,2) and
        Traversable(3,4) apply (_ -> _))
res1: Traversable[(Int, Int)] =
  List((1,3), (1,4), (2,3), (2,4))

```

The Applicative builder is used to combine two `Traversable` lists. The `apply` method is given a function that takes two arguments and creates a pairing of the two. The resulting list is each element of the first list paired with each element of the second list.

Functors and monads help express programs through functions and function transformations. This applicative style, blended with solid object-oriented techniques, leads to powerful results. As seen from the config library, applicative style can be used to blend pure functions and those that wall off dangers into things like `Option` or `Config`. Applicative style is usually used at the interface between raw types like `String` and wrapped types like `Option[String]`.

Another common use case in functional programming is creating reusable workflows.

11.4 Monads as workflows

A monadic workflow is a pipeline of computation that remains embedded inside the monad. The monad can control the execution and behavior of the computation that's nested inside it. Monadic workflows are used to control things like side effects, control flow, and concurrency. A great example is using monadic workflows for automated resource management.

Rule
28

Use monadic workflows for sequential computations

Monadic workflows can be used to encapsulate a complicated sequential process. Monadic workflows are often used with collections to search through a domain model for relevant data. In the managed resource example, monadic workflows are used to ensure that when a sequential process is complete, resources are cleaned up. If you need parallelism, use applicative style, if you need sequencing, use monadic workflows.

Automated resource management is a technique where a resource, such as a file handle, closes automatically for the programmer when that resource is no longer needed. Though there are many techniques to perform this function, one of the simplest is to use the *loaner* pattern. The loaner pattern is where one block of code owns the resource and delegates its usage to a closure. Here's an example:

```
def readFile[T](f: File)(handler: FileInputStream => T): T = {
    val resource = new java.io.FileInputStream(f)
    try {
        handler(resource)
    } finally {
        resource.close()
    }
}
```

The `readFile` function accepts a `File` and a `handler` function. The file is used to open a `FileInputStream`. This stream is loaned to the `handler` function, ensuring that the stream is closed in the event of an exception. This method can then be used as follows:

```
readFile(new java.io.File("test.txt")) { input =>
    println(input.readByte)
}
```

The example shows how to use the `readFile` method to read the first byte of the `test.txt` file. Notice how the code doesn't open or close the resource; it's merely *loaned* the resource for usage. This technique is powerful, but it can be built up even further.

It's possible that a file may need to be read in stages, where each stage performs a portion of the action. It's also possible that the file may need to be read repeatedly. All of this can be handled by creating an automated resource management *monad*. Let's take a cut at defining the class, as shown in the following listing:

Listing 11.6 Automated resource management interface

```
trait ManagedResource[T] {
    def loan[U](f: T => U): U
}
```

The `ManagedResource` trait has a type parameter representing the resource it manages. It contains a single method, `loan`, which external users can utilize to modify the resource. This captures the loaner pattern. Now let's create one of these in the `readFile` method.

```
def readFile(file: File) = new ManagedResource[InputStream] {
    def loan[U](f: InputStream => U): U = {
        val stream = new FileInputStream(file)
        try {
            f(stream)
        } finally {
            stream.close()
        }
    }
}
```

Now the `readFile` method constructs a `ManagedResource` with type parameter `InputStream`. The `loan` method on the `ManagedResource` first constructs the input stream, and then loans it to the function `f`. Finally, the stream is closed regardless of thrown errors.

The `ManagedResource` trait is both a functor *and* a monad. Like the `Config` class, `ManagedResource` can define the `map` and `flatten` operations. Let's look at the implementations.

Listing 11.7 `ManagedResource` functor and monad instances

```
object ManagedResource {
    implicit object MrFunctor extends Functor[ManagedResource] {
        override final def apply[A](a: A) = new ManagedResource[A] {
            override def loan[U](f: A => U) = f(a)
            override def toString = "ManagedResource(" + a + ")"
        }
        override final def map[A, B](ma: ManagedResource[A]
                                    )(mapping: A => B) =
            new ManagedResource[B] {
                override def loan[U](f: B => U) = ma.loan(mapping andThen f)
                override def toString =
                    "ManagedResource.map(" + ma + ") (" + mapping + ")"
            }
    }
    implicit object MrMonad extends Monad[ManagedResource] {
        type MR[A] = ManagedResource[A]
        override final def flatten[A](mma: MR[MR[A]]): MR[A] =
            new ManagedResource[A] {
                override def loan[U](f: A => U): U = mma.loan(ma => ma.loan(f))
                override def toString = "ManagedResource.flatten(" + mma + ")"
            }
    }
}
```

The `ManagedResource` companion object contains the `Functor` and `Monad` implementation so that Scala will find them by default on the implicit context. The `Functor` `.apply` method is implemented by loaning the captured value when the `loan` method

is called. The `Functor.map` method is implemented by calling the `loan` value of the `ma` resource and first wrapping this value with the `mapping` function before calling the `passed` in function. Finally, the `Monad.flatten` operation is performed by calling `loan` on the outer resource and then calling `loan` on the inner resource that was returned from the outer resource.

Now that the `ManagedResource` trait has been made monadic, we can use it to define a workflow against a resource. A *workflow* is a euphemism for a collection of functions that perform a large task in an incremental way. Let's create a workflow that will read in a file, do some calculations, and write out the calculations.

The first task in reading the file is iterating over all the textual lines in the file. We can do this by taking the existing `readFile` method and converting the underlying `InputStream` into a collection of lines. First, let's construct a method to convert an input stream into a `Traversable[String]` of lines.

```
def makeLineTraversable(input: BufferedReader) =
  new Traversable[String] {
    def foreach[U](f: String => U): Unit = {
      var line = input.readLine()
      while (line != null) {
        f(line)
        line = input.readLine()
      }
    }
  } view
```

The `makeLineTraversable` method takes a `BufferedReader` as input and constructs a `Traversable[String]` instance. The `foreach` method is defined by calling `readLine` on the `BufferedReader` until it's out of input. For each line read, as long as it's not `null`, the line is fed to the anonymous function `f`. Finally, the `view` method is called on the `Traversable` to return a lazily evaluated collection of lines.

```
type LazyTraversable[T] = collection.TraversableView[T, Traversable[T]]
```

The `LazyTraversable` type alias is constructed to simplify referring to a `Traversable` view of type `T` where the original collection was also a `Traversable`. From now on, we'll use this alias to simplify the code samples. Now let's define the portion of workflow that will read the lines of a file.

```
def getLines(file: File): ManagedResource[LazyTraversable[String]] =
  for {
    input <- ManagedResource.readFile(file)
    val reader = new InputStreamReader(input)
    val buffered = new BufferedReader(reader)
  } yield makeLineTraversable(buffered)
```

The `getLines` method takes a file and returns a `ManagedResource` containing a collection of strings. The method is implemented by a single `for` expression, workflow. The workflow first reads the file and pulls the `InputStream`. This `InputStream` is converted into an `InputStreamReader`, which is then converted into a `BufferedReader`. Finally, the `BufferedReader` is passed to the `makeLineTraversable` method to construct a

`LazyTraversable[String]`, which is yielded or returned. The result is a `ManagedResource` that loans a collection of line strings, rather than a raw resource.

Scala's `for` expressions allow the creation of workflows. If a class is a monad or functor, then we can use a `for` expression to manipulate the types *inside* the functor without extracting them. This can be a handy tactic. For example, the `getLines` method could be called early in an application's lifecycle. The input file won't be read until the `loan` method is called on the resulting `ManagedResource[LazyTraversable[String]]`. This allows the composition of *behavior* to be part of the composition of the application.

Let's finish off the example. We should read the input file by line and calculate the lengths of each line. The resulting calculations will be written to a new file. Let's define a new workflow to make this happen.

```
def lineLengthCount(inFile: File, outFile: File) =
  for {
    lines <- getLines(inFile)
    val counts = lines.map(_.length).toSeq.zipWithIndex
    output <- ManagedResource.writeFile(outFile)
    val writer = new OutputStreamWriter(output)
    val buffered = new BufferedWriter(writer)
  } yield buffered.write(counts.mkString("\n"))
```

The `lineLengthCount` method takes in two `File` parameters. The `for` expression defines a workflow to first obtain a `TraversableView` of all the lines in a file using the `getLines` method. Next, the line length counts are calculated by calling the `length` method on each line and combining that with the line number. Next, the output is grabbed using the `ManagedResource.writeFile` method. This method is similar to the `readFile` method, except that it returns an `OutputStream` rather than an `InputStream`. The next two lines in the workflow adapt the `OutputStream` into a `BufferedWriter`. Finally, the `BufferedWriter` is issued to write the `counts` calculations into the output file.

MONADIC I/O The Haskell language has a monadic I/O library in which every side effecting input or output operation is wrapped inside a monad called I/O. Any kind of file or network manipulation is wrapped into workflows called do-notation, akin to Scala's do-notation.

This method doesn't perform any calculations. Instead it returns a `ManagedResource[Unit]` that will read, calculate, and write the results when its `loan` method is called. Again, this workflow has just composed the *behavior* of calculating counts but didn't execute it. This gives the flexibility of defining portions of program behavior as first-class objects and passing them around or injecting them with a dependency injection framework.

A contingent of functional programmers believes that all side-effecting functions should be hidden inside a monad to give the programmer more control over when things like database access and filesystem access occur. This is similar to placing a

workflow inside the `ManagedResource` monad and calling `loan` when that workflow should be executed. Though this mind-set can be helpful, it's also viral in that it contaminates an entire code base with monadic workflows. Scala comes from the ML family of languages, which don't mandate the use of a monad for side effects. Therefore, some code may make heavy use of monads and workflows while others won't.

Monadic workflows can be powerful and helpful when used in the right situations. Monads work well when defining a pipeline of tasks that need to be executed but without defining the execution behavior. A monad can control and enforce this behavior.

MONADIC LAWS AND WADLER'S WORK Monads follow a strict set of mathematical laws that we don't cover in this book. These laws—left identity, right identity and association—are covered in most monad-specific material. In addition, Philip Wadler, the man who enlightened the functional world on monads, has a series of papers that describe common monads and common patterns that are well worth the read.

Monads can also be used to annotate different operations in a pipeline. In the `Config` monad, there were several ways to construct a `Config` instance. In the case where a `Config` instance was constructed from a file, the `Config` monad could use change-detection to avoid reading the file multiple times. The monad could also construct a dependency graph for calculations and attempt to optimize them at runtime. Though not many libraries exist that optimize staged monadic behavior in Scala, this remains a valid reason to encode sequences of operations into monadic workflows.

11.5 Summary

Functional programming has a lot to offer the object-oriented developer. Functional programming offers powerful ways to interact with functions. This can be done through applicative style, such as configuring an application, or through monadic workflows. Both of these rely heavily on concepts from category theory.

One important thing to notice in this chapter is the prevalence of typeclass pattern with functional style. The typeclass pattern offers a flexible form of object orientation to the functional world. When combined with Scala's traits and inheritance mechanisms, it can be a powerful foundation for building software. The type classes we presented in this chapter aren't available in the standard library but are available in the Scalaz extension library (<http://mng.bz/WgSG>). The Scalaz library uses more advanced abstractions than those we presented here, but it's well worth a look.

Scala provides the tools needed to blend the object-oriented and functional programming worlds. Scala is at its best when these two evenly share a codebase. The biggest danger to misusing Scala is to ignore its object orientation or its functional programming. But combining the two is the sweet spot that the language was designed to fulfill.

index

Symbols

- method 25
:paste command 20
!! method 215, 217
!?: method 217
?: syntax 22
@BeanProperty
annotation 253
@Column annotation 252
@cpsParam annotation 252
@ManyToOne annotation 252
@reflect.BeanInfo
annotation 253
@reflect.BeanProperty 254
@serializable annotation 252
@specialized annotation 238
@switch annotation 63, 252
@tailrec annotation 63–64,
66, 252
* method 116–117
method 28, 39
#: method 195, 197
+ method 116–118
+: method 180, 189
++ method 141–142, 144,
180, 208
<< 156
== method 28, 39, 131, 190

A

abstract class 243
abstract interfaces 82–86
between software
modules 84–85
reasons for 85–86

AbstractAddress class 243–244
AbstractMethodError 84
AccessControlContext 103
accessor 152, 163
act method 214–215, 219–220,
223
Actor class 217, 219, 230, 232
Actor.actorOf method 231
ActorDispatcher class 87
ActorRef type 230–231
actors
dynamic topology of
228–233
failure zones for 221–225
references for 216–221
scheduling zones for
225–228
supervisor 221
when to use 212
AdaptiveSearchNode 231–232
add method 237
addHandle method 148
Address class 243–244
ALIVE class 249–250
annotation.target.getter 254
AnnotationHelpers 255
annotations 252–256
and static fields 255–256
for variance 141–144
targets 254–255
anonfun class 50, 52
anonymous classes, serialization of
250–252
anonymous functions 7, 10
AnyRef 5, 28, 135, 138, 143,
245
AnyVal types 256

App trait 69–70
Application class 269
applicative functors 266
applicative style 268, 271
Applicative.build method 271
ApplicativeBuilder class
270–271
apply method 6, 187, 190,
215, 260, 263, 271
areEqual method 245–246
ArrayBuffer 186, 198–200, 208
ArrayList 199, 245–246
ArraySortTrait 211
avg method 51

B

B[A] parameter 151–152
Bar class 92, 100, 102
bar method 102
bar.Foo.type 124
Bar.type 100
bar(x) method 102
BeanInfo class 253
benefits, of type classes
166–167
binary compatibility 83
BinaryFormat type 99
BinaryTree 188–189
bindings 91, 122
BitSet 190
blocks, of code 45–47
boilerplate 26
BoxesRunTime class 238
boxing 235–236
Branch class 188
BufferedReader 274

BufferedWriter 275
 build method 270
 bundleResult method 219–220
 by-name parameters 260
 Byte type 11

C

C parameter 157
 C.super 123
 C++ variables 8
 Callback type 136–137
 CanBuildFrom class 207–208
 canEqual method 41
 case statements 23, 62, 65
 Cat type 137, 139
 Category Theory
 and functional
 programming 258–261
 functors 259, 262
 monads 259, 264–272
 morphism 258
 cc target 21
 chaining implicits 246, 248
 changePassword method
 56–57
 Child class 55
 children method 159–160, 163
 class arguments 26
 ClassCastException 239
 ClassManifest 154–155, 211
 closeResource method 126
 code blocks 45, 47
 coding conventions
 and other languages 44–47
 code blocks 45–47
 Coll type 207–208
 CollectionConverter type 247
 collections 180–211
 and methods 205–211
 ArrayBuffer 198
 CanBuildFrom 207
 hierarchy of 180–181
 immutable collections
 192–198
 list 194–195
 stream 195–198
 vector 192–194
 IndexedSeq 189–190
 Iterable 185–186
 iterator 185
 LinearSeq 187–189
 List 194
 Map 191–192

mutable collections 198–200
 ArrayBuffer 198–199
 observable 199–200
 synchronization of 200
 Observable collections 199
 parallel collections 203, 205
 Seq 187
 Set 190–191
 Splitable iterator 203
 Stream 195
 Traversable 182–185
 TraversableOnce 180
 vector 192
 views 201–203
 colRank 107
 combineResults 219
 companion class 19
 companion object 19
 complexmath 117, 119
 ComplexNumber class 115–
 119
 composition
 inheritance 76–82
 member-composition 78–80
 using constructor
 arguments 80–82
 CompressedIntSet 159
 computeValue 108
 concurrency, and
 immutability 31–34
 conditional execution, using
 type system 167–178
 heterogeneous typed
 list 169–171
 IndexedView type 172–178
 Config class 259, 261–263,
 269–271, 276
 config file 202–203
 ConnectionDataStore 270
 constraints
 for type parameters 134–135
 for types 131–134
 constructor arguments, compo-
 sition using 80–82
 content method 160
 context bounds 151, 153
 convertToScala 240
 count method 133
 covariance 137
 CreateArray 245
 createConnection method 38
 createDispatcher method 87
 createErrorMessage
 method 23
 createHandle 129

createPreparedStatement
 method 5
 createSearchTree 223
 CREATOR class 243–244
 curCount parameter 219
 currentIndex method 32
 curried method 266
 currying 266, 271

D

dangling operators 48–49
 Data class 254–255
 DataAccess class 77–80
 DatabaseConnection 261
 DatabaseResultSetWalker 207
 dataId 254
 DataStore class 269
 DEAD class 249–250
 deadActor 224
 default concepts 31
 default parameters 106
 DefaultHandles 129–130
 defaults
 implicit parameters
 with 106–112
 returning 35–36
 delayed construction, of
 objects 69–70
 delayedInit method 69–70
 DelayedInit trait 69–70
 Dependencies object 148
 describeContents method 243
 Dog class 58–59
 domain-specific languages.
 See DSLs
 doPrivileged method 103
 Double type 115–118
 doubleToReal 118–119
 doWork 252
 DriverManager.getConnection
 method 258, 261, 268, 270
 DSLs (domain-specific
 languages) 18
 dynamic deoptimization 15
 dynamic topology, of
 actors 228–233

E

eager parsing, in REPL 19–20
 early member definitions 71
 EJB (Enterprise JavaBeans) 4
 else statements 47

empty implementations 72, 76
EmptyList class 142
 endofunctor 264
Enterprise JavaBeans. *See EJB*
 Entity beans 4
 environment function 260, 270
 equals method 28, 42
 escape analysis 15
 Event object 40
executeLocalQuery
 function 230
ExecutorScheduler 226
 existential types 144–149
 Expand type 175–176
 experiment-driven development, and REPL 18–19
 Explicit object 95–96
 explicit return statement 26
 explicit return types 86, 88
 expression-oriented
 programming 21–26
 mutability of 24–26
 no return statements 22–24
 expression-oriented syntax 24
 expressions, with
 parentheses 48–49
 externalbindings.scala 94

F

F[_] type 262, 264–265,
 267–268, 270
 fa parameter 267
 factory method 86, 190
 failure zones, for actors
 221–225
 File parameters 272, 275
FileInputStream 272
FileLike, as type classes
 163–165
FileLike.children method 162
FileLineTraversable class
 183–185, 202
FileObject 160
FileWrapper class 104
 filter method 6, 36, 187, 191,
 198, 205
 find method 6
 findAnInt method 90, 97
 first-class functions 7
 flatMap method 258–259, 261,
 264–266
 flatten method 259, 264–265,
 273

foldLeft method 51, 204–205,
 215
Foo class 91, 93, 101
 foo method 48, 55, 101,
 136–137, 151–152, 156
Foo object 19, 127, 141, 250,
 255
Foo type 97
Foo.baz 128
foo.Foo object 101
Foo.type 129
foo.type#Bar 124
Foo#Bar 124
FooHolder 46
fooToBar 103
 for expression 35
 force method 201, 203, 215
 foreach method 36, 182–185,
 197, 258, 274
ForkJoinPool 203–205
ForkJoinScheduler 226–228
 forSome method 146–147
 forward method 216–217
FrontEnd 222
Function interface 6
Function object 139–141, 156
 function traits 13
Function1 class 7
 functional programming
 and category theory 258–261
 applicative style 268–271
 concepts of
 in existing frameworks
 4–6
 in Google Collections 6–8
 currying 266–271
 functors 262–266
 monads 264–266, 272–276
 vs. object-oriented
 programming 2–8
 functions, in Java 13–14
functionToPrivilegedAction
 103
Functor interface 263–268, 273
Functor.apply method 273
Functor.map method 274
functorOps 263
 functors 262, 266
Future object 215, 217

G

GathererNode 219–220, 231
 Generic types 238

GenericSortTrait 209–210
GenIterator 181
GenSeq 181
GenTraversableOnce 181
 get method 144–145, 172,
 253–254, 259–260
getConnection function 261
getFoo 253
getLines method 274–275
getNextChild method 231
getOrElse method 34
 getstatic operation 66
getTemporaryDirectory
 method 36
getValue 253
Google Collections, concepts of
 functional programming
 in 6–8

H

Handle type 129, 131, 147–148
handleMessage method 72–73
hashCode method 28, 30
HashMaps 191, 214
HashSet 190–191
HasLogger 79
hasNext method 185–186
HCons class 169–172, 175
head method 187, 189, 195
HeadNode 215, 217, 220–222,
 224, 228
 heterogeneous typed list 169,
 171
 hierarchy, of collections
 180–181
 higher-kinded types 135–136
HList class 170, 174–178
HListViewN class 173–174, 176
HNil class 169–172, 175
 holder object 20
HotSpot runtime
 optimizer 14–15
HttpSession 36

I

i object 115–117, 119
 identifiers 91–92
 if block 22
 if clause 22
 if statements 25, 47, 61
 If type 168
 if_icmpne 63

imaginary method 115, 118
 immutability 23–34
 and concurrency 31–34
 and object equality 27–31
 immutable collections 192–198
 list 194–195
 stream 195–198
 vector 192–194
 immutable references 26
 ImmutableHashMap 32
 ImmutableService 33
 Imperative coding 24
 implicit constraints 151–153, 207
 implicit conversions 244–248
 and object identity 245–246
 chaining implicits 246–248
 implicit method 90, 96–97, 101
 implicit parameters, with
 defaults 106–112
 implicit views 101, 106
 implicitly function 99–100
 implicits
 capturing types with 153–159
 Manifests 153–155
 specialized methods 158–159
 type constraints 156–158
 context bounds 151
 conversions 10
 implicit scope 98–102
 scope of 112–119
 and bindings 92–96
 creating implicits for
 import 113–115
 via nesting 99–101
 via type parameters 98–99
 without requiring
 import 115–119
 view bounds 151
 import statement 92, 113
 index service 31
 IndexedSeq class 187, 189–190
 IndexedView type 172–178
 indexN function 177
 inexpressible language features, in REPL 20–21
 inheritance, composition
 including 76, 82
 initCoreSize 228
 inlining 15
 inner type 124
 InputChannel 216
 InputStream 160, 274–275

InputStreamReader 274
 insert method 31
 InstantaneousTime class 38
 int type 237–238
 interfaces, abstract 82–86
 between software
 modules 84–85
 reasons for 85–86
 IntHolder class 130
 IntStore class 130–131
 intToString 101
 InvalidClassException 249
 IScheduler interface 225
 isDirectory 159–160
 isEmpty method 187
 isLoggedIn method 56
 ItemType 141–142
 Iterable interface 181–182, 185–186, 206, 210
 IterableLike 210
 Iterables object 7
 Iterator method 181, 185

J

Java and Scala 12–15
 annotations 252–256
 and static fields 255–256
 annotation targets 254–255
 benefits of JVM 14–15
 implicit conversions 244–248
 and object identity 245–246
 chaining implicits 246–248
 language differences 235–244
 in primitive boxing 236–240
 in visibility 240–241
 unique features 241–244
 Scala objects in 13
 serialization 248–252

Java class 12
 Java interfaces 12
 java.awt.Component 74
 java.io.File 104–105, 163–164
 java.lang.Class 154
 java.lang.IndexOutOfBoundsException 186
 java.lang.Integer type 239–240, 246–247
 java.lang.Object 135, 144–145, 235

java.lang.String type 113
 java.net.URL 163
 java.security class 103
 java.sql.DriverManager.getConnection(...)
 method 266
 java.util.ArrayList 199, 239
 java.util.Collections class 152
 java.util.concurrent.Executor 226
 java.util.concurrent.Executors 110
 java.util.Date class 38
 java.util.Executor 226
 java.util.List 92, 145
 JavaClass 245
 JavaConversions 244–245
 javap 82, 87
 javax.swing.JComponent 74
 jdbc_password 261
 JdbcTemplate class 4
 JdbcTemplate method 5
 jdbc_url 261
 jdbc_user 261
 JRebel 21
 JVM bytecode 14
 JVM, benefits of 14–15

K

KittyDoggy class 58

L

lambdas 10
 language differences, Java and Scala 235–244
 in primitive boxing 236–240
 in visibility 240–241
 unique features 241–244
 LazyTraversable type 274–275
 Leaf type 188–189
 LeafNode 229, 232
 limiting scope, of
 implicits 112–119
 creating implicits for
 import 113–115
 without requiring
 import 115–119
 LinearSeq 187–189, 194–195, 204
 lineLengthCount method 275
 link method 221, 223
 List class 134–135, 145–146, 195–196, 237–238

list collections 194–195
 List(start) method 64
 ListView 201
 loan method 273–276
 loaner pattern 5
 LoggedDataAccess class 78
 Logger class 77–79
 login method 56
 logout method 56
 longWrapper 114
 lookUp method 31
 loop method 65

M

makeLineTraversable
 method 274
 makeList method 145
 ManagedResource 273–276
 ManagedResource.writeFile
 method 275
 Manifest class 154
 MatchError 63
 MatrixService.multiply
 111–112
 maxDocs 219
 maxResponses 219
 maxResults 214
 maxSize 228
 member-composition 78, 80
 menu button click 25
 MessageDispatcher 86–88
 method inlining 15
 method parameters 26
 methods
 and collections 205–211
 overridden, marking
 55–60
 MixableParent 75
 mkdirs method 160
 MODULE\$ 13, 255
 Monad type 264–266, 273
 monadOps 265
 Monads 264–266, 272–276
 move method 27
 multiple inheritance, of
 objects 70–72
 mutability, of expression-oriented programming
 24–26
 mutable collections 198–200
 ArrayBuffer 198–199
 observable 199–200
 synchronization of 200

Mutable objects 25
 MutableService 32
 mutation statements 26

N

NaiveQuickSort object 206
 naiveWrap method 247
 named and default
 parameters 49
 named parameters 53, 55
 naming, variables 49–55
 Nat type 175–177
 nesting, scope of implicits
 via 99–101
 NetworkEntity 73, 75
 newMethod method 83
 next method 185–186, 189,
 197, 238
 NextIdxView 174
 NilTree 188–189
 Node class 64–66
 NoManifest class 154
 None 34–38
 creating new object or
 returning default 35–36
 executing block of code if
 variable is initialized
 36–37
 using potential variables to
 construct another 37–38
 NonZero type 176
 null object 160
 NullDispatcher 87
 Numeric type 158–159
 NumericRange 114

O

object equality, and
 immutability 27–31
 object identity, and implicit
 conversions 245–246
 ObjectInputStream 249
 object-oriented programming
 composition 76
 traits 69
 vs. functional
 programming 2–8
 ObjectOutputStream 249
 objects 69–72
 delayed construction 69–70
 in Java 13
 multiple inheritance 70–72

observable collections 199–200
 ObservableBuffer 199–200
 ObservableMap 199
 ObservableSet 199
 observe method 129
 On Stack Replacement 15
 operator notation 10
 operator overloading 25
 operators, dangling 48–49
 optimization
 tablesswitch optimization
 61–64
 tail recursion
 optimization 64–66
 Option class 34, 258–261, 263,
 266, 271
 OptManifest 154
 or method 7
 Ordering type 190, 206, 211
 OriginalType 101
 OtherItemType 142
 Outer class 124
 OutputChannel 216–217,
 219–220
 OutputStream 275
 overridden methods 55
 override keyword 55–57, 59–60

P

package.scala 100
 par method 201
 parallel collections 203, 205
 parameters 53, 55
 parameterspaths, and
 types 122
 ParArray 204
 Parent class 55
 parentheses, expressions
 with 48–49
 ParentNode 231
 parsedConfigFile method 202
 parsing, in REPL 19–20
 ParVector 204
 paths, and types 122, 124
 pattern matching 23, 25, 61
 Player class 249
 PlayerStatus 249
 plus method 159
 Point2 class 28
 Point2D class 27
 polymorphic equality 38–42
 implementing 40–42
 timeline library example 38,
 40

postfix operator 10
 Predef class 113–114
 Predicate interface 6–7
 Predicates class 6
 PreparedStatementCreator interface 5
 Prev type 177
 primitive boxing, in Java and Scala 236–240
 primitive widenings 11
 primitives 236
 println method 131, 148, 186, 189
 private keyword 20
 private variables 19
 PrivilegedAction 103
 PrivilegedExceptionAction 103
 Property trait 71
 PureAbstract 85

Q

qsort 10
 QueryResponse 218
 QuickSort 209, 211
 Quicksort method 9
 QuickSortBetterTypes object 206

R

randomElement method 134
 Range object 114
 react method 215, 224
 Read Eval Print Loop. *See* REPL
 readFile method 272–275
 readLine method 274
 real method 115, 118
 realToComplex 117–118
 receive method 215, 232
 receiver method 217
 Receiver type 153
 receiveWithin method 220
 Ref type 147–148
 references, for actors 216–221
 regular object 19
 reification 156
 remove method 148
 removeDependencies method 148
 REPL (Read Eval Print Loop) 16–21
 and experiment-driven development 18–19

eager parsing in 19–20
 inexpressible language features 20–21
 reply method 215
 replyTo 217
 repr member 40
 ResizableThreadPoolScheduler 226
 Resource type 126
 result variable 23
 ResultSet 5
 return method 65
 return statements, lack of 22–24
 return types, explicit 86–88
 Router class 75
 RowMapper interface 5
 rowRank 107
 run method 224
 runtime type 152

S

SameThreadStrategy 109, 111
 Scala file 21
 Scala functions 13
 Scala objects 12–13
 Scala type 8
 Scala variables 8
 Scala, in Java 13–14
 scala.actors.TIMEOUT 220
 scala.collection.immutable.List class 180
 scala.collection.immutable.List type 238
 scala.collection.immutable.Vector 180
 scala.collection.JavaConversions 103
 scala.collection.JavaConverters 244
 scala.collection.parallel 205
 scala.collection.script 200
 scala.collections.mutable 198
 scala.immutable.List 169
 scala.Int 236–237, 239, 246–247
 scala.Iterable 245, 247
 scala.List 92, 154, 183
 scala.Option 34, 122
 scala.Predef 10, 112–113, 157, 191, 246
 scala.Predef.longWrapper 114
 scala.runtime.BoxesRunTime class 238
 scala.String 124
 scala.type#String 124
 scala.util.control.ControlThrowable 184
 scala> prompt 17
 ScalaClass 245
 ScalaMain 84
 ScalaObject 84
 ScalaSecurityImplicits 103
 scatter-gather example 217, 221, 225
 schedule method 251
 scheduling zones 225–228
 SchedulingService 251–252
 scope, of implicits and bindings 92–96
 limiting 112–119
 via nesting 99–101
 via type parameters 98–99
 sealed trait 216
 search method 64
 search, using actors to 213–216
 SearchableDocument 229, 231
 SearchNode 214–215, 218, 221–223, 226, 228
 SearchNodeMessage type 217–218
 SearchNodes 215, 217–221
 SearchNodeSupervisor 223–224
 SearchQuery class 214–215, 217–218, 220, 229, 231
 self-type 73
 send method 153
 sendMsgToEach 153
 seq method 201
 SeqLike class 207
 Serializable class 153, 158, 165–166
 serialization 248–252
 service classes 2
 Session beans 4
 Set class 132, 159, 178
 set method 253–254
 setFoo 253
 setValue 253
 Simple Build Tool 21
 SimulationEntity 72, 74
 sizeHint 208
 SLEEPING class 249–250
 sort method 206, 208–209, 211
 Sortable type 209–211
 sortBy method 215
 Sorter class 167–168, 209
 Sorter.sort method 210

specialization 238
 specialized methods 158–159
 split method 230
 Splitable 203
 Static class 12
 static fields, and
 annotations 255–256
 static methods 13
 static typing 8–12
 dropping verbose syntax
 9–10
 implicits 10–12
 type annotations for
 variables 8–9
 type inference 9
 statics 241
 Stream class 192, 195–196, 198
 stream collections 195, 198
 Stream.empty 195
 strictEquals method 29
 String class 121, 124, 135, 138,
 140, 143, 146
 String object 101–102
 structural types 125, 131
 style guide 47
 Succ trait 175–176
 sum method 159, 187
 super.handleMessage 73
 synchronization, of mutable
 collections 200
 synchronize function 161, 163,
 178
 synchronized block 32
 SynchronizedBuffer 200
 synchronizedCollection
 (Collection) 152
 synchronizeDirectory 161
 SynchronizedMap 200
 SynchronizedPriorityQueue
 200
 SynchronizedSet 200
 SynchronizedStack 200
 synchronizedList(List) 152

T

T#X type 127
 T#Y type 127
 tableswitch bytecode 61
 tableswitch optimization 61, 64
 tail method 187–189
 tail recursion optimization 64,
 66
 take method 184–185, 187, 215
 TBool 168

TDD (test-driven
 development) 18
 Test object 69, 74, 94, 102, 114,
 247
 test.Foo class 91–92
 test.txt file 183, 272
 test-driven development.
 See TDD
 testExplicitImport method 95
 testInlineDefinition method 96
 test_prop property 260
 testSamePackage 94
 testWildcardImport method 95
 TFalse type 168
 ThreadPoolStrategy 110–112
 ThreadStrategy 109–112
 Time object 113–114
 timeline library example, poly-
 morphic equality 38, 40
 TimeRange class 113–114
 tmp method 93
 toList method 202
 toSet method 191
 toString method 17, 71, 94,
 101, 107, 130, 183
 trait linearization 71
 Traversable class 180–185, 197,
 202
 TraversableOnce 180
 TraversableOnce.scala 158
 TraversableView 197–198,
 202–203, 275
 traverse method 188
 traverseHelper method
 188–189
 TreeMaps 191
 TreeSet 190–191
 TTrue type 168–169
 TupleN 169
 two-dimensional geometric
 point class 27
 two-dimensional plane 27
 type annotations, for
 variables 8–9
 type classes 159–167
 benefits of 166–167
 FileLike as 163–165
 type constraints 131, 156, 158
 type erasure 167, 235
 type inference 9
 type keyword 124–125
 type lambda 137
 type parameters
 constraints for 134–135
 scope of implicits via 98–99

type system, conditional execu-
 tion using 167–178
 heterogeneous typed
 list 169–171
 IndexedView type 172–178
 type traits 99
 types
 abstract types 124
 and paths 122–124
 capturing with implicits
 153–159
 Manifests 153–155
 specialized methods
 158–159
 type constraints 156–158
 concrete types 124
 constraints 131–134
 existential types 136, 144–149
 higher-kinded types 135–136
 path-dependent type 123
 structural types 125–131
 type keyword 124–125
 type parameters,
 constraints 134–135
 type projection 123
 volatile type 122

U

useFile method 105
 UserService class 57
 UserServiceImpl class 57
 UserSession object 56
 using statement 92

V

value method 253
 value_eq method 253
 var syntax 26
 variables
 executing block of code if
 initialized 36–37
 naming of 49–55
 type annotations for 8–9
 VariableStore 148–149
 variance 137–144
 annotations for 141–144
 contravariance 139
 covariance 137
 invariance 137
 vector collections 192, 194
 view bounds 151, 153
 view method 197, 201–202, 215

ViewAt method 175–177
views 201, 203
ViewType 101
visibility, in Java and Scala 240–241

W

Wildcard object 95–96
withFilter 258
workerPool method 269
wrap method 105, 247

WrappedArray 152
writeClient method 165
writeContent method 160
writeToParcel method 243

X

x method 91, 114, 127–128, 241
x object 94
x parameter 90
X type 127–128, 130, 168–169
x-axis 32

Y

y method 91, 111, 124, 128, 131, 146
y-axis 32

Z

zip method 186, 243

Scala IN DEPTH

Joshua D. Suereth

Scala is a powerful JVM language that blends the functional and OO programming models. You'll have no trouble getting introductions to Scala in books or online, but it's hard to find great examples and insights from experienced practitioners. You'll find them in **Scala in Depth**.


What's Inside

- Concise, expressive, and readable **code style**
- **Integrate** Scala into your existing Java projects
- Scala's 2.8.0 **collections** API
- How to use **actors** for concurrent programming
- Mastering the Scala **type system**
- Scala's **OO** features—type member inheritance, multiple inheritance, and composition
- **Functional** concepts and patterns—immutability, applicative functors, and monads

There's little heavy-handed theory here—just dozens of crisp, practical techniques for coding in Scala. Written for readers who know Java, Scala, or another OO language.

Josh Suereth is a software developer with Typesafe. He is a Scala committer and the maintainer of scala-tools.org.

To download their free eBook in PDF and mobile formats, owners of this book should visit manning.com/ScalainDepth



“Authoritative and understandable.”

—From the Foreword by Martin Odersky, Creator of Scala

“Takes you deep into the inner workings of Scala.”

—John C Tyler, PROS Pricing

“By far the best examples I've seen in any technical book.”

—Eric Weinberg, Wagger Designs

“An eye opener!

Now I know why Scala does what it does.”

—John Griffin, Coauthor of *Hibernate Search in Action*



MANNING

\$49.99 / Can \$52.99 [INCLUDING eBOOK]

ISBN 13: 978-1-935182-70-2
ISBN 10: 1-935182-70-6



9 7 8 1 9 3 5 1 8 2 7 0 2