

Hiding and Statics

Hiding

- From Stroustrup: "*In C++, there is no overloading across scopes - derived class scopes are not an exception to this general rule.*"
 - Therefore, C++ says that if a derived class overrides a function *foo*, the functions named *foo* in the base class are *hidden*.
 - Functions with the same *name* (parameters *do not* have to match) in the base class will not be visible.
- Let's look at an example to make this clear.

class Derived extends class Base (See Example1 code)

```
Base::Base( ) { }
Base::~~Base( ) { }
Virtual void Base::f(double x) {
    std::cout << "Base: " << x << std::endl;
}

Derived::Derived( ) { }
Derived::~~Derived( ) { }
virtual void Derived::f(char x) {
    std::cout << "Derived: " << x << std::endl;
}
```

```
int main() {
    Derived* d = new Derived();
    Base* b = d;
    b->f(65.3); // okay: passes 65.3 to f(double x)
    d->f(65.3); // converts 65.3 to a char ('A' if ASCII)
                // and passes it to f(char c); It does
                // NOT call f(double x)!!
}
```

Base: 65.3

Derived: A

If you are overriding base class functions

- then override all forms of the function if you need all forms
- You have extended the interface, and should provide valid implementations for the new interface.
- In the previous example, Derived should define both
 1. `virtual void f(char c);` // already defined
 2. `virtual void f(double x);` // not defined in Derived class,
// but should be, or *using f* should
// be used

Or, you can still call the base class

(See Example2 code for *using* example)

- Invoke `Base::f(65.3)` // gives 65.3
- Use a *using* declaration

```
class Derived : public Base {  
public:
```

```
    using Base::f; // this un-hides Base::f(double x).  
                  // Now f(65.3) on Derived object  
                  // will call the Base class f(double x);
```

```
    void f(char c);  
};
```

Why does C++ have hiding?

- When determining what functions named foo are visible, you only have to go up the inheritance chain until you find a foo declared
- You do not have to go all the way up to the least derived (i.e., most base) function
- In Java, any protected or public function in a base class is visible in a derived class, so you would have to go all the way up the chain.
- Hiding arguably improves encapsulation
- Hiding weakens the ISA relationship, because D ISA B doesn't imply that D has all of B's functionality.

Static fields and methods

- A *static field* is shared among all of the objects
- It is associated with a class, and not with an object of that class
- They become like global variables and are easy to share across all of the objects of a class (or objects of different classes)
- A static function is associated with a class
 - Static functions are not passed a *this* pointer since they are not associated with an object
 - Of course, like any code, they can allocate objects and call functions on that allocated object, and access public fields of that object.

See Example3 code

```
class Item {  
public:  
    Item(int, float);  
    Item( );  
    virtual ~Item( );  
    virtual void print( );  
    static int getNumberOfItems( );  
private: // "private" to make explicit  
    static int numberOfItems;  
    int itemNum;  
    float price;  
};
```

9/4/2022

**int Item::numberOfItems = 0; // initialize statics like this
// in the .cpp file**

```
int Item::getNumberOfItems( ) {  
    return numberOfItems;  
}  
  
Item::Item(int i, float p) : itemNum(i), price(p) {  
    numberOfItems++;  
}  
  
Item::Item( ) { }  
  
Item::~~Item( ) { }  
  
void Item::print( ) {  
    std::cout << "number of items: " << numberOfItems;  
    std::cout << ", item number: " << itemNum;  
    std::cout << ", price: " << price << std::endl;  
}
```



```

class Item {
public:
    Item(int, float);
    Item( );
    virtual ~Item( );
    virtual void print( );
    static int getNumberOfItems( );
private:
    static int numberOfItems;
    int itemNum;
    float price;
};

```

9/4/2022

```
int Item::numberOfItems = 0; // initialize statics like this
```

```
int Item::getNumberOfItems( ) {
    return numberOfItems;
}
```

```
Item::Item(int i, float p) : itemNum(i), price(p) {
    numberOfItems++;
}
```

```
Item::Item( ) {numberOfItems++;}
```

```
Item::~Item( ) { }
```

```
void Item::print( ) {
    std::cout << "number of items: " << numberOfItems;
    std::cout << ", item number: " << itemNum;
    std::cout << ", price: " << price << std::endl;
}
```

```

class Item {
public:
    Item(int, float);
    Item( );
    virtual ~Item( );
    virtual void print( );
    static int getNumberOfItems( );
private: // "private" to make explicit
    static int numberOfItems;
    int itemNum;
    float price;
};

```

9/4/2022

```
int Item::numberOfItems = 0;
```

```
int Item::getNumberOfItems( ) {
    return numberOfItems;
}

```

```
Item::Item(int i, float p) : itemNum(i), price(p) {
    numberOfItems++;
}

```

```
Item::Item( ) { }
```

```
Item::~Item( ) { }
```

```
void Item::print( ) {
    std::cout << "number of items: " << numberOfItems;
    std::cout << ", item number: " << itemNum;
    std::cout << ", price: " << price << std::endl;
}

```

Why is numberOfItems initialized in the .cpp file?

1. C++ requires variables (including statics) be initialized exactly once
 - We cannot initialize it in the .h file
 - This would imply that every time the .h file is included by a class, initialization code would be created.
 - The compiler would then have to track which .h's have executed initialization code.
 - What if the initialization is a function of a macro that is expanded at compile time and changes each time it is expanded?
 - What should the value be initialized to? The first time a .h is included? The last time?
 - Confusing behavior would result.
 - static const variables of integral types can be initialized in the .h file
 - Microsoft compilers have a way around this, but don't use it – why work to make your code non-portable?

Static functions are not called polymorphically, i.e., virtually (See Example4 code)

- That is, they are not called through the VFT
- Given a call `r.f()`, where `f()` is static, the class `r` is examined for an `f()` function
 - If it is found, it is called directly
 - It is NOT called through the VFT of the object referenced by `r`
 - This would also be true of `p->f()`

Calling static functions

```
class Item {
public:
    Item(int, float);
    Item( );
    virtual void print( );
    // ILLEGAL virtual static int getNumberOfItems( );
    static int getNumberOfItems( );
private: // "private" to make explicit
    static int numberOfItems;
    int itemNum;
    float price;
};
// item.cpp
Item::getNumberOfItems( ) {
    std::cout << "base getNumberOfItems\n";
    return numberOfItems;
}
Item::Item(int i, float p) : itemNum(i), price(p) {
    numberOfItems++;
}
Item::Item( ) {numberOfItems++;}
```

*They are called directly,
not through the VFT*

```
void Item::print( ) {
    std::cout << "number of items: " << numberOfItems;
    ...
}
int Item::numberOfItems = 0; // initialize statics like this
// itemD.h
ItemD : public Item {
public:
    ItemD( );
    static int getNumberOfItems( );
};
ItemD::ItemD( ) { }
int ItemD::getNumberOfItems( ) {
    std::cout << "derived getNumberOfItems" << std::endl;
    return 0;
}
int main (int argc, char *argv[]) {
    Item *iP = new ItemD( );
    iP = new ItemD( );
    iP->getNumberOfItems( );
    iP->print( );
}
Output: std::cout << "base getNumberOfItems";
number of items: 2
```

Static functions

```
class Item {
public:
    Item(int, float);
    Item( );
    virtual void print( );
    // ILLEGAL virtual static int getNumberOfItems( );
    static int getNumberOfItems( );
private: // "private" to make explicit
    static int numberOfItems;
    int itemNum;
    float price;
};
// item.cpp
Item::getNumberOfItems( ) {
    std::cout << "base getNumberOfItems\n";
    // ILLEGAL std::cout << itemNum << std::endl;
    return numberOfItems;
}
Item::Item(int i, float p) : itemNum(i), price(p) {
    numberOfItems++;
}
Item::Item( ) {numberOfItems++;}
```

*They are called directly,
not through the VFT*

```
void Item::print( ) {
    std::cout << "number of items: " << numberOfItems;
    ...
}
int Item::numberOfItems = 0; // initialize statics like this
// itemD.h
ItemD : public Item {
public:
    ItemD( );
    static int getNumberOfItems( );
};
ItemD::ItemD( ) { }
int ItemD::getNumberOfItems( ) {
    std::cout << "derived getNumberOfItems" << std::endl;
    return 0;
}
int main (int argc, char *argv[]) {
    Item *iP = new ItemD( );
    iP = new ItemD( );
    iP->getNumberOfItems( );
    iP->print( );
}
Output: std::cout << "base getNumberOfItems";
number of items: 2
```

Static functions

```
class Item {
public:
    Item(int, float);
    Item( );
    virtual void print( );
    static int getNumberOfItems( );
private: // "private" to make explicit
    static int numberOfItems;
    int itemNum;
    float price;
};
// item.cpp
Item::getNumberOfItems( ) {
    std::cout << "base getNumberOfItems\n";
    return numberOfItems;
}
Item::Item(int i, float p) : itemNum(i), price(p) {
    numberOfItems++;
}
Item::Item( ) {numberOfItems++;}
```

*They are called directly,
not through the VFT*

```
void Item::print( ) {
    std::cout << "number of items: " << numberOfItems;
    ...
}
int Item::numberOfItems = 0; // initialize statics like this
// itemD.h
ItemD : public Item {
public:
    ItemD( );
    static int getNumberOfItems( );
};
ItemD::ItemD( ) { }
int ItemD::getNumberOfItems( ) {
    std::cout << "derived getNumberOfItems" << std::endl;
    return 0;
}
int main (int argc, char *argv[]) {
    Item *iP = new ItemD( );
    iP = new ItemD( );
    Item::getNumberOfItems( ); // another way to call
    iP->print( );
}
Output: std::cout << "base getNumberOfItems";
number of items: 2
```