STAT 511: HW #7 Multiple Linear Regression With Dummy Variables

Rumil Legaspi

4/26/2021

Contents

Μι	Multiple Regression & Brand Preference Dataset			
1a.	. Regress degree of brand liking on sweetness only. Write down the estimated regression model.	2		
	1b. Compute the estimated mean degree of brand liking at each level of sweetness, i.e., what is $$.	4		
1c.	Interpret the intercept coefficient.	4		
1d	. Interpret the slope coefficient.	4		
	$1e. \ \ Is the slope coefficient significant? \ State the null, alternative, decision \ rule \ and \ conclusion. \ \ .$	4		
2.	Refer to the "Brand Preference" dataset. Code sweetness (X_2) as a dummy variable.	Ę		
	2a. Fit a multiple regression model with moisture content, sweetness, and their interaction	Ę		
	2b. Write down the estimated regression equation at each sweetness level $\dots \dots \dots \dots$	ļ		
	2c. Interpret the slope coefficient in each estimated regression equation in Part (b)	Ę		
	2d. Is the interaction coefficient significant at $= 5\%$? State the null, alternative, decision rule, conclusion	(
	2e. your answer is NO in Part (d), drop the interaction term and rerun the model. Write down the new estimated regression equation at each sweetness level	(
3.	Refer to the "Assessed Valuations" dataset (Value.txt)	6		
	3a. Regress selling price on lot location only. Write down the estimated regression equation	7		
	3b. Based on your regression result in Part (a), what is the estimated mean selling price for corner lots? For non-corner lots?	7		
	3c. Based on your regression result in Part (a), what is the estimated difference in selling price between corner and non-corner lots? Is this difference statistically significant?	8		
	3d. Regress selling price on assessed valuation, lot location, and their interaction. Write down the estimated regression equation for corner lots, and for non-corner lots respectively	8		
	3e. Plot the estimated regression lines for the two groups and describe their differences	ę		

Multiple Regression & Brand Preference Dataset

Setting up workspace

```
library(tidyverse)
setwd("C:/Users/RUMIL/Desktop/APU/STAT 511 - Millie Mao (Applied Regression Analysis)/Week 10/Week 10/"
brand_data = read.table(file = "Brand.txt", header = FALSE, sep = "")

View(brand_data)
# #Adding headers
names(brand_data) <- c("Rating", "Moisture", "Sweetness")
# names(bank_data) <- c("", "")

#Defining dependent and independent vars
Rating = brand_data$Rating #Y
Moisture = brand_data$Moisture #X1
Sweetness = brand_data$Sweetness #X2</pre>
```

1a. Regress degree of brand liking on sweetness only. Write down the estimated regression model.

```
#Coding Sweetness as a dummy variable
cat_sweetness <- as.factor(Sweetness)</pre>
cat sweetness
## [1] 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4
## Levels: 2 4
#Regressing Rating on new Sweetness dummy variable
Sweetness_only <- lm(Rating ~ cat_sweetness, data = brand_data)
summary(Sweetness_only)
##
## lm(formula = Rating ~ cat_sweetness, data = brand_data)
## Residuals:
      Min 10 Median 30
                                     Max
## -16.375 -7.312 -0.125 8.688 16.625
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                 77.375 3.851 20.094 1.01e-11 ***
## (Intercept)
```

```
## cat_sweetness4
                     8.750
                                 5.446 1.607
                                                    0.13
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 10.89 on 14 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.1557, Adjusted R-squared: 0.09539
## F-statistic: 2.582 on 1 and 14 DF, p-value: 0.1304
Estimated Regression model:
\hat{Y} = 77.375 + 8.750X
#Checking how many levels there are in sweetness as a dummy
levels(cat_sweetness)
## [1] "2" "4"
typeof(cat_sweetness)
## [1] "integer"
attributes(cat_sweetness)
## $levels
## [1] "2" "4"
##
## $class
## [1] "factor"
So in this case since sweetness is a category with only 2 levels (sweetness level 2 & sweetness level 4) We will
use sweetness level 2 as our reference group
#the "2" indicates the level marked as "2" given from our level() function and now setting sweetness le
cat_sweetness_new <- relevel(cat_sweetness, ref = "2")</pre>
#Regressing
Sweetness_only2 <- lm(Rating ~ cat_sweetness_new, data = brand_data)</pre>
summary(Sweetness_only2)
##
## lm(formula = Rating ~ cat_sweetness_new, data = brand_data)
## Residuals:
                1Q Median
                                 3Q
       Min
                                        Max
## -16.375 -7.312 -0.125
                             8.688 16.625
## Coefficients:
                      Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                     3.851 20.094 1.01e-11 ***
                        77.375
## (Intercept)
```

```
## cat_sweetness_new4 8.750 5.446 1.607 0.13
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 10.89 on 14 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.1557, Adjusted R-squared: 0.09539
## F-statistic: 2.582 on 1 and 14 DF, p-value: 0.1304
```

1b. Compute the estimated mean degree of brand liking at each level of sweetness, i.e., what is

the estimated mean degree of brand liking at sweetness level 2? At sweetness level 4?

After reading the summary we can now say the estimated means when Sweetness level is 2 and 4 to be.

Table 1: Mean Rating at Level 2 or Level 4 Sweetness

$\hat{Y} = B_0 + B_1 X_1$	Sweetness = Level 2	Sweetness = Level 4
$\hat{Y} = 77.375 + 8.750X$	$\hat{Y} = 77.375 + 8.750(0)$ = 77.375 77.375	$\hat{Y} = 77.375 + 8.750(1)$ = 77.375 + 8.750 86.125

1c. Interpret the intercept coefficient.

$$B_0 = 77.375$$

The estimated mean Y-value when X=0 (our reference/baseline group) is 77.375. When put in context, this represents the mean Rating when the brand's sweetness level is 2.

1d. Interpret the slope coefficient.

The change in mean Rating for Sweetness level 4 relative to sweetness level 2 is 86.125 (77.375 + 8.750(1)).

1e. Is the slope coefficient significant? State the null, alternative, decision rule and conclusion.

Null Hypothesis: H_0 : $\beta_j = 0$ (slopes are showing no change), X_j is not linearly associated with Y, therefore the partial slope is not significant.

Alternative Hypothesis: H_1 : $\beta_j \neq 0$ (slopes are showing change), X_j is linearly associated with Y, therefore the partial slope is significant.

Testing the significance of Sweetness Level 4 ($\hat{\beta_1} = 8.750$) p-value:

Because the p-value for sweetness level 4 is [1] 0.13 and is greater than our alpha (accepted error/significance level) of 0.05 we **fail to reject** our NULL hypothesis and conclude that our partial slope, **Sweetness Level** 4 in reference to Sweetness Level of 2, does not show significance in our model.

2. Refer to the "Brand Preference" dataset. Code sweetness (X_2) as a dummy variable.

2a. Fit a multiple regression model with moisture content, sweetness, and their interaction.

```
#Regressing Rating on Moisture and with Sweetness still as a dummy variable
full_lm <- lm(Rating ~ cat_sweetness + Moisture + cat_sweetness*Moisture, data = brand_data)
summary(full_lm)
##
## lm(formula = Rating ~ cat_sweetness + Moisture + cat_sweetness *
##
      Moisture, data = brand_data)
##
## Residuals:
##
     Min
             1Q Median
                           3Q
## -4.150 -1.488 0.125 1.700 3.700
##
## Coefficients:
                          Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                           42.9000
                                       2.8912 14.838 4.40e-09 ***
## (Intercept)
## cat_sweetness4
                           15.7500
                                       4.0887
                                              3.852
                                                        0.0023 **
## Moisture
                            4.9250
                                       0.3934 12.518 3.02e-08 ***
## cat sweetness4:Moisture -1.0000
                                       0.5564 -1.797
                                                        0.0975 .
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 2.488 on 12 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9622, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9528
## F-statistic: 101.9 on 3 and 12 DF, p-value: 8.379e-09
```

2b. Write down the estimated regression equation at each sweetness level

```
S = Sweetness \begin{split} \mathbf{M} &= \text{Moisture} \\ \hat{Y} &= 42.90 + 15.75S + 4.925M - 1.0(S*M) \\ \text{Sweetness level 2: } \hat{Y} &= 42.90 + 4.925M \\ \text{Sweetness level 4: } \hat{Y} &= 58.68 + 3.925M \end{split}
```

2c. Interpret the slope coefficient in each estimated regression equation in Part (b).

M=4.925: The mean Rating when sweetness is level 2 for a 1 unit change in Moisture content is an increases by 4.925.

M=3.925: The increase in mean Rating when sweetness is 4 for a 1 unit change in Moisture content is an increase by 3.925.

2d. Is the interaction coefficient significant at = 5%? State the null, alternative, decision rule, conclusion.

Because the p-value for sweetness level 4 is [1] 0.0975 and is greater than our alpha (accepted error/significance level) of 0.05 we **fail to reject** our NULL hypothesis and conclude that our partial slope, **Sweetness Level 4** in reference to Sweetness Level of 2, does not show significance in our model.

2e. your answer is NO in Part (d), drop the interaction term and rerun the model. Write down the new estimated regression equation at each sweetness level.

```
no_inter_lm <- lm(Rating ~ cat_sweetness + Moisture, data = brand_data)
summary(no_inter_lm)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Rating ~ cat_sweetness + Moisture, data = brand_data)
##
## Residuals:
##
     Min
              1Q Median
                            3Q
                                  Max
## -4.400 -1.762 0.025 1.587 4.200
##
## Coefficients:
                  Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                               2.3129 20.061 3.66e-11 ***
## (Intercept)
               46.4000
## cat_sweetness4 8.7500
                               1.3466
                                       6.498 2.01e-05 ***
## Moisture
                   4.4250
                               0.3011 14.695 1.78e-09 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 2.693 on 13 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9521, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9447
## F-statistic: 129.1 on 2 and 13 DF, p-value: 2.658e-09
Full estimated regression equation: y = 46.4 + 8.75S + 4.425M
Variables Assignment:
S = Sweetness Level
M = Moisture Content
Sweetness level 2: y = 46.4 + 4.425M
Sweetness level 4: y = 55.15 + 4.425M
```

3. Refer to the "Assessed Valuations" dataset (Value.txt)

```
value_data = read.table(file = "C:/Users/RUMIL/Desktop/APU/STAT 511 - Millie Mao (Applied Regression An
View(value_data)

# #Adding headers
names(value_data) <- c("Price", "Valuation", "Corner_lot")

# names(bank_data) <- c("", "")

#Defining dependent and independent vars
Price = value_data$Price #Y
Valuation = value_data$Valuation #X1
Corner_lot = value_data$Corner_lot #X2</pre>
```

3a. Regress selling price on lot location only. Write down the estimated regression equation.

```
#Coding Corner Location as a dummy variable
cat_corner_lot <- as.factor(Corner_lot)</pre>
#For good measure I set 0 as base reference group
cat_corner_lot <- relevel(cat_corner_lot, ref = "0")</pre>
location_onlylm <- lm(Price ~ Corner_lot, data = value_data)</pre>
summary(location onlylm)
##
## lm(formula = Price ~ Corner_lot, data = value_data)
## Residuals:
##
      Min
                               3Q
               1Q Median
                                      Max
## -17.854 -5.637
                   1.157 6.196 16.446
## Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 81.154 1.198 67.715 < 2e-16 ***
                -8.523
                            2.397 -3.556 0.000728 ***
## Corner_lot
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 8.303 on 62 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.1694, Adjusted R-squared: 0.156
## F-statistic: 12.64 on 1 and 62 DF, p-value: 0.0007283
y = 81.154 - 8.5C
```

3b. Based on your regression result in Part (a), what is the estimated mean selling price for corner lots? For non-corner lots?

Estimated mean selling price for non-corner lots:

The estimated mean selling price for non-corner lots is roughly \$81K

For corner lots:

The estimated mean selling price for corner lots is roughly \$72.5k, which is taken by 81k - 8.5k.

3c. Based on your regression result in Part (a), what is the estimated difference in selling price between corner and non-corner lots? Is this difference statistically significant?

The estimated mean selling price between corner and non-corner lots is \$8.5k. Looking at the p-value of 0.000728 we can see that the difference is statistically significant.

3d. Regress selling price on assessed valuation, lot location, and their interaction. Write down the estimated regression equation for corner lots, and for non-corner lots respectively

```
#regressing on valuation, lot location, plus their interaction
val_lot_interlm <- lm(Price ~ Corner_lot + Valuation + Corner_lot*Valuation, data = value_data)</pre>
summary(val_lot_interlm)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Price ~ Corner_lot + Valuation + Corner_lot * Valuation,
##
      data = value_data)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                  1Q
                     Median
                                    3Q
                                            Max
## -10.8470 -2.1639 0.0913 1.9348
                                         9.9836
##
## Coefficients:
##
                        Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                       -126.9052 14.7225 -8.620 4.33e-12 ***
## Corner_lot
                         76.0215
                                     30.1314
                                              2.523 0.01430 *
## Valuation
                          2.7759
                                      0.1963 14.142 < 2e-16 ***
## Corner_lot:Valuation -1.1075
                                      0.4055 -2.731 0.00828 **
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 3.893 on 60 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.8233, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8145
## F-statistic: 93.21 on 3 and 60 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
C - Corner or non corner lots
V - Valuation
Estimated regression equation for non corner lots:
\hat{Y} = -126.9052 + 2.7759V
and for corner lots respectively:
\hat{Y} = -50.8837 + 1.67V
```

3e. Plot the estimated regression lines for the two groups and describe their differences

Plotting Fitted Regression Lines

```
#plot fitted regression lines
#Save estimated coefficients
#Coef = val_lot_interlm$coefficients
#Coef
#Non corner lots: When Corner lots takes value 0
#price1 = Coef[1] + Coef[3] * Valuation
#corner lots: When Corner lots takes value 1
#price2 = Coef[1] + Coef[3] * Valuation + Coef[2] +Coef[4] * Valuation
#plottinf plot()
#plot(Valuation, price1, type = 'l', col = "blue",
     #xlab = "Valuation" , ylab = "Price")
#lines(Valuation, price2, type = 'l', col = "red")
#legend
#legend("topleft", legend = c("Line 1", "Line 2"),
       \#col = c("blue", "red"), lty = 1, cex = 0.5)
#Plotting ggplot way with similar results
plot_coef <- value_data %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = Valuation, y = Price, color = as.factor(Corner_lot))) +
  geom_smooth(method = "lm", se = FALSE) +
  labs(x = "Lot Valuation", y = "Lot Sales Price", color = "Corner Lot",
        title = "Fitted Regression Lines Plotted",
       subtitle = "Sales Prices of Lots Placed on Corners By Square Feet")+
  scale_y_continuous(labels = scales::dollar)
plot_coef
```

Fitted Regression Lines Plotted
Sales Prices of Lots Placed on Corners By Square Feet

