



CPE656TL-66 Created by Corey 12 Oct 2015 22:37

## Update SRS Based on Feedback from Dr. Kulick

The main feedback from the review of system requirements in our SRS was that we should mark 'N/A' for any sections that are not applicable to our project.

Additionally, he wanted to see system requirements describing the train Geometry and RFID tag behavior.

Also, he wanted clarification on the following:

'Persist' Definition

'Train Position History'

Moving the train regardless of direction. (i.e. forwards and backwards along the track)

'Report' Definition in system requirements.

Add PASS/FAIL conditions to the post conditions for use cases.

He said that the system requirements were too high level. He had a hard time understanding what the system is supposed to do.

It was proposed that on the next delivery of the requirements we have atleast one section fully detailed so that we can get feedback on him on his expectations for granularity.

Priority: **Normal**

Type: **Task**

State: **Fixed**

Assignee: **Unassigned**

Subsystem: **ProjectProgress**

Fix versions: **Unscheduled**

Affected versions: **Unknown**

Fixed in build: **Next Build**

Estimation: **?**

Updated by Corey 19 Oct 2015 14:45

Comments (0) [History](#)