



CPE656TL-14 Created by Corey 23 Sep 2015 14:15



PeerReview

Review the Team Process Described in the Operation Plan Document

We need a Software Process Description (SPD) document as part of the required material of this project. The document should describe the software process that the team has adopted as well as the practices to enact this plan. Since we have created process documents before as part of our course work. I figured we could use one or more of our existing ones as a template and choose from what sounds best to us as a team.

Priority: **Normal**Type: **Task**State: **Fixed**Assignee: **Rashad**Subsystem: **ProjectProgress**Fix versions: **Unscheduled**Affected versions: **Unknown**Fixed in build: **Next Build**Estimation: **?**

Updated by Corey 12 Oct 2015 15:27

**Comments (13)**[History](#)**Rashad** — 28 Sep 2015, 05:06

This is part of the team operation plan document I created. This can be closed out once everyone reviews and accepts it.

Corey — 28 Sep 2015, 17:23

I'm going to rename this to 'Review the Team Process Described in the Operation Plan Document'. I've mainly been reviewing that the Operation Document has an adequate description of our configuration management plan. I haven't been focusing on the processes yet. However, we can start the conversation expand that to the review if desired to have a single review item for the document. I would then recommend that we rename that one to 'Review the Team Operation Document' and link configuration management and process document items both to that review / artifact.

Corey — 01 Oct 2015, 01:56

The Operation plan needs more details about our team process and practices.

DEFECT: Describe the type of process that we have chosen for our team.

DEFECT: Describe the workflow that the team will use to develop our product.

DEFECT: Describe the formal review process. Both in general and step-by-step.

Corey — 02 Oct 2015, 03:58

WorkFlow should describe the order that our team will conduct activities to create the artifacts needed to create our final product. From the ROM to our final product. Because of the constraints placed on us in class, this should be pretty much aligned with the Waterfall Process, which should also be the process more or less done by our team.

Corey — 02 Oct 2015, 03:59

I think in a previous email I may have mentioned suggested steps for our formal review process. Feel free to use as much of that as you want.

Corey — 05 Oct 2015, 23:04

DEFECT: According to Dr.Kulick, he requested that we include the version information for the tools that we have selected to confirm to the plan.

DEFECT: We should also include any special settings or configurations that we used with our tools.

Rashad — 07 Oct 2015, 04:15

I updated document to address defects. Please review and verify the correct team roles as well.

Rashad — 07 Oct 2015, 04:16

Also uploaded to Google Drive and deleted to the old version

<https://drive.google.com/drive/u/0/folders/0B3mNHCx-tSCxb3RXd2NOY2Z6NW8>

Rashad — 09 Oct 2015, 18:56

Still waiting on feedback feel free to make any changes you think are necessary (grammatical, rewording, additions etc)

Stephen — 10 Oct 2015, 19:24

Reviewed, the document looks good to me.

Corey — 10 Oct 2015, 19:40

Looks good. Attached are some corrections / feedback that I think are needed. It mainly deals with the tools.

Corey — 12 Oct 2015, 15:23

The Process section looks great. Thanks again for drafting it!

Corey — 12 Oct 2015, 15:23

10/12/2015

CPE656TL-14: Review the Team Process Described in the Operation Plan Document

Attached is the latest version of the operation document slated to be the initial release to the customer.