



CPE656TL-73

Created by Rashad 22 Oct 2015 07:30



PeerReview

Review Use Case descriptions

What I have so far, very rough draft need to correct some of the formatting, lines, colors etc. One thing I was unsure about was, with the format I used to enter the use cases, what was the best way to enter the exception and alternate information. I'm looking at what I have for 650 and we just simply entered exceptions, I don't see an alternate flow, I know it's not always necessary but any suggestions. I will look for other examples, but for right now I've simply entered a description of the exception and alternate flow below the normal use case in the table i created for each one.

Priority: **Normal**Type: **Task**State: **Fixed**Assignee: **Rashad**Subsystem: **No Subsystem**Fix versions: **Unscheduled**Affected versions: **Unknown**Fixed in build: **Next Build**Estimation: **?**

Updated by Corey 03 Nov 2015 14:20



Comments (7)

[History](#)**Corey** — 23 Oct 2015, 15:15

The uses cases also look good here.

DEFECT: Try to create descriptions for the exceptions that you reported. We basically need to make sure that we have cover the 'sunny day' / 'rainy day' scenarios that he has asked about for the other team.

Rashad — 26 Oct 2015, 00:41

That's what I was unsure about what format is it best to put the exceptions in, a separate table by itself starting with the exception sequence?

Corey — 26 Oct 2015, 01:38

I would just put it in a separate sequence, so that we can reuse it for other failures, since our failure handling will likely overlap a lot.

Rashad — 26 Oct 2015, 02:21

Updated version to address defect

Corey — 26 Oct 2015, 02:57

Looks good.

Rashad — 30 Oct 2015, 02:45

Stephen?

Stephen — 01 Nov 2015, 22:32

Looks good to me