

Moiré Superlattice Theory Notes
Theory backup for the MSL Rust framework

Rene

2025-11-13

1. Overview

These notes collect the theoretical background for the *moiré superlattice (MSL)* framework implemented in Rust.

- Geometry and lattice construction
- Continuum models (e.g. Bistritzer–MacDonald)
- Envelope approximations and effective models
- Numerical aspects and scaling limits

For quick jumps, see Section 3 and Section 4.

2. Motivation and context

The MSL framework targets problems where a twisted bilayer (or multilayer) structure leads to emergent long-wavelength degrees of freedom. The effective description often lives on a moiré superlattice in real or reciprocal space.

A prototypical example is the continuum model for twisted bilayer graphene (cite relevant papers from exampleBib.bib as needed).

We often work in a Hilbert space of layer, sublattice, and valley degrees of freedom and use operators like

$$H$$

acting on k -dependent spinors.

Example usage of the shared math helpers:

- Real-space coordinate

$$r \in \mathbf{R}^2$$

- Bloch wavevector

$$k \in \mathbf{R}^2$$

- State vector

$$|\psi\rangle$$

- Overlap

$$\langle\varphi | \psi\rangle$$

The actual BM model details are in Section 3.

3. Bistritzer–MacDonald model

The Bistritzer–MacDonald (BM) model describes twisted bilayer graphene in the continuum limit. The Hamiltonian acts on a four-component spinor representing layer (top/bottom) and sublattice (A/B) degrees of freedom.

3.1. Model structure

The model consists of:

- Intralayer Dirac cones for each layer
- Interlayer tunneling terms with moiré modulation
- Valley degree of freedom (K, K')

3.2. Key parameters

Using our shared macros:

Moiré parameters:

$$\theta = \theta, \ a = a, \ t = t_{\perp}$$

.

Where:

- θ

: twist angle (typically

$$\approx 1^\circ$$

for magic angle)

- a
: graphene lattice constant (

$$\approx 2.46 \text{ \AA}$$

- t_\perp
: interlayer hopping amplitude

3.3. Hamiltonian structure

The continuum Hamiltonian in momentum space:

$$H(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} H_0(\mathbf{k}) & T(\mathbf{k}) \\ T^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) & H_0(R_\theta \mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$H_0$$

is the single-layer Dirac Hamiltonian and

$$T$$

encodes the moiré-modulated interlayer coupling.

3.4. Envelope approximation connection

This model connects to the envelope approximation framework discussed in Section 4, where we project onto low-energy subspaces.

4. Envelope approximation

The envelope approximation (EA) is a systematic method to derive effective low-energy models for moiré systems by projecting the full Hamiltonian onto slowly-varying envelope functions.

4.1. Physical picture

In a moiré system with length scale

$$L_m$$

, the physics separates into:

- **Fast** oscillations at the monolayer lattice scale

$$a$$

- **Slow** modulations at the moiré scale

$$L_m \approx \frac{a}{\theta}$$

The EA systematically captures the slow physics while averaging over fast oscillations.

4.2. Mathematical framework

Starting from the full tight-binding Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{TB}}$$

, we:

1. Expand wavefunctions as Bloch-modulated envelopes:

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_n \varphi_{n(\mathbf{r})} u_{\mathbf{k}_n}(\mathbf{r})$$

2. Project onto a low-energy subspace (e.g., states near Dirac points)
3. Derive an effective Hamiltonian

$$\widehat{H_{\text{eff}}}$$

for the envelopes

4.3. Implementation in MSL

The MSL framework implements EA through several stages:

- **Lattice setup:** Define monolayer and moiré lattices
- **Local Bloch functions:** Compute monolayer eigenstates at each moiré site
- **EA operator construction:** Build effective coupling matrices
- **Envelope solver:** Solve the effective eigenvalue problem

For details on the numerical pipeline, see the `research/envelope_approximation/` directory in the MSL repository.

4.4. Validity regime

The EA is valid when:

- θ

is small (

$$\theta \ll 10^\circ$$

)

- Interlayer coupling

$$t_\perp$$

is moderate

- Energy scales separate:

$$\varepsilon_{\text{moiré}} \ll \varepsilon_{\text{monolayer}}$$

These conditions ensure clean separation between fast and slow degrees of freedom.

5. References

Bibliography