Revisiting Composable Decorators

First, I have to notice that I'm a huge fan of "Elegant objects", here is the proof: https://www.elegantobjects.org/
That's why, I unconditionally embrace the content argued in the "Composable Decorators vs. Imperative Utility

Methods" blog post. The idea is that we need to keep objects simple and cohesive. An object embodies a dedicated feature. This way, we can "compose" them to provide a full-featured behavior. Using design by contract (i.e., declare an interface for every class that exposes behavior), the decorator pattern allows developers to "wrap" an existing class (conforming a contract), inside a new one (conforming the same contract) to "decorate" it with a totally new behavior. The File-based examples Yegor provides explain the idea.

Recently, coding a lightweight REST API to book rooms, I faced some typical use cases. First, I need to store data in database (for rapid prototyping concerns, I use Nitrite as a in-memory document store). Moreover, I need to deal with JSON data for POST and GET verbs (I use javalin as web framework and standard javax.json). So, to separate concerns, I clearly need 2 classes, one-to-deal with JSON content, back and forth. To do it right, I define a contract:

```
public interface Room {
   String name();
   int capacity();

   Map<String, Object> map();
}
```

The deal is simple, a room need a name, a capacity and I expose a map (can be saved in Nitrite's **Document**, can be returned to javalin's **Context** as a JSON response). But it's clear I'm going to repeat myself. So I made my choice: I need to use the Decorator pattern. I introduce a "simple" (dummy? maybe yes) room class as follows:

```
public final class SimpleRoom implements Room {
    private final String name;
    private final int capacity;
    public SimpleRoom(final String name, final int capacity) {
        this.name = name;
        this.capacity = capacity;
    }
    @Override
    public String name() {
        return name;
    }
    @Override
    public int capacity() {
        return capacity;
    @Override
    public Map<String, Object> map() {
        final Map<String, Object> map = new LinkedHashMap<>();
```

```
map.put("name", name);
map.put("capacity", capacity);
return map;
}
```

Now, I become easy to wrap it with the previous 2 implementations I need:

```
public final class NitriteRoom implements Room {
   private static final String DOCUMENT_KEY_NAME = "room_name";
   private static final String DOCUMENT_KEY_CAPACITY = "room_capacity";
   private final Room delegate;
   public NitriteRoom(final Room delegate) {
        this.delegate = delegate;
   public NitriteRoom(final Document document) {
       this(
                new SimpleRoom(
                        document.get(DOCUMENT_KEY_NAME, String.class),
                        document.get(DOCUMENT_KEY_CAPACITY, Integer.class)
                )
       );
   @Override
   public String name() {
        return delegate.name();
   @Override
   public int capacity() {
        return delegate.capacity();
    }
   @Override
   public Map<String, Object> map() {
        final Map<String, Object> map = new LinkedHashMap<>();
        map.put(DOCUMENT_KEY_NAME, name());
       map.put(DOCUMENT_KEY_CAPACITY, capacity());
       return map;
   }
}
public final class JsonRoom implements Room {
   private static final String JSON_KEY_NAME = "name";
   private static final String JSON_KEY_CAPACITY = "capacity";
   private final Room delegate;
   public JsonRoom(final Room delegate) {
        this.delegate = delegate;
```

```
public JsonRoom(final String body) {
        this(
                Json.createReader(
                        new StringReader(body)
                ).readObject()
        );
    }
    public JsonRoom(final JsonObject jsonObject) {
        this(
                new SimpleRoom(
                        jsonObject.getString(JSON_KEY_NAME),
                        jsonObject.getInt(JSON_KEY_CAPACITY)
                )
        );
    }
    // name() and capacity() call delegate's ones, such as in NitriteRoom
    @Override
    public Map<String, Object> map() {
        final Map<String, Object> map = new LinkedHashMap<>();
        map.put(JSON_KEY_NAME, name());
        map.put(JSON_KEY_CAPACITY, capacity());
        return map;
    }
}
```

Now I could easily write things like (with some Java Stream to the rescue):

```
// create a room from a javalin's content (POST /rooms)
nitriteCollection.insert(
    new Document(
        new NitriteRoom(
            new JsonRoom(context.body())
        ).map()
    )
);
// list all the rooms to populate a javalin's content (GET /rooms)
context.json(
    collection.find()
        .toList()
        .stream()
        .map(NitriteRoom::new)
        .map(JsonRoom::new)
        .map(JsonRoom::map)
        .collect(Collectors.toList())
```

Nevertheless, you'll totally agree the initial setup is cumbersome. Before changing things, <u>I need unit tests to secure my code base</u>. I use the <u>"Reusable assertion"</u> approach (more or less):

```
public final class RoomTest {
    @Test
    public void testOk() {
        new MatchersAssertion<>(
                new SimpleRoom("test", 12),
                new HasNameMatcher("test"),
                new HasCapacityMatcher(12),
                new HasMapMatcher(
                        entry("name", "test"),
                        entry("capacity", 12)
                )
        ).check();
    }
    @Test
    public void testJsonRoom() {
        new MatchersAssertion<>(
                new JsonRoom(
                        new SimpleRoom("test", 12)
                ),
                new HasNameMatcher("test"),
                new HasCapacityMatcher(12),
                new HasMapMatcher(
                        Pair.of("name", "test"),
                        Pair.of("capacity", 12)
        ).check();
    }
    @Test
    public void testNitriteRoom() {
        new MatchersAssertion<>(
                new NitriteRoom(
                        new SimpleRoom("test", 12)
                ),
                new HasNameMatcher("test"),
                new HasCapacityMatcher(12),
                new HasMapMatcher(
                        entry("room_name", "test"),
                        entry("room_capacity", 12)
        ).check();
    }
```

(not interesting to dig into these specific test classes implementations)

Now I have my test harness, I can change things to something better. And it's called Kotlin! I'm going to take advantages of the "Implementation by Delegation" concept. It will decrease significantly the code base. Here is the proof:

```
// interface is still the same
class SimpleRoom(private val name: String, private val capacity: Int) : Room {
   override fun name(): String {
       return name
   override fun capacity(): Int {
        return capacity
   override fun map(): Map<String, Any> {
        val map = LinkedHashMap<String, Any>()
        map["name"] = name
       map["capacity"] = capacity
       return map
   }
}
class NitriteRoom(private val delegate: Room) : Room by delegate {
   constructor(document: Document) : this(
            SimpleRoom(
                    document.get<String>(DOCUMENT_KEY_NAME, String::class.java),
                    document.get<Integer>(DOCUMENT_KEY_CAPACITY, Integer::class.java).toInt()
            )
   )
   override fun map(): Map<String, Any> {
        val map = LinkedHashMap<String, Any>()
        map[DOCUMENT_KEY_NAME] = name()
        map[DOCUMENT_KEY_CAPACITY] = capacity()
        return map
   }
   companion object {
       private const val DOCUMENT_KEY_NAME = "room_name"
       private const val DOCUMENT_KEY_CAPACITY = "room_capacity"
   }
class JsonRoom(private val delegate: Room) : Room by delegate {
   constructor(body: String) : this(
            Json.createReader(
                    StringReader(body)
            ).readObject()
    )
   constructor(jsonObject: JsonObject) : this(
            SimpleRoom(
```

The boilerplate code (repeating overridden methods to just call the delegate's one) disappear. Moreover, there is a clear distinction between <u>the primary constructor and the secondaries</u>. It's an indirect design improvement from this Kotlin migration, I think. And all my test harness stay green.

As a conclusion: no conclusion, the code express itself. Concise, efficient, relevant... cool! I'm loving it!

All the code is available here: https://github.com/RoRoche/RoomBookingsBackEnd/tree/improvement/kotlin_migration

References

- "Composable Decorators vs. Imperative Utility Methods", by Yegor Bugayenko: https://www.yegor256.com/2015/0
 2/26/composable-decorators.html
- "Design by contract", Wikipedia: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Design_by_contract
- "ORM Is an Offensive Anti-Pattern", by Yegor Bugayenko: https://www.yegor256.com/2014/12/01/orm-offensive-a
 nti-pattern.html
- "Don't Parse, Use Parsing Objects", by Yegor Bugayenko: https://www.yegor256.com/2018/02/27/parsing-objects.
- "Fools Don't Write Unit Tests", by Yegor Bugayenko: https://www.yegor256.com/2015/07/16/fools-dont-write-unit-tests.html
- "Reusable assertions", by Sergey Kapralov: https://pragmaticobjects.com/chapters/003 reusable assertions.ht
 ml
- "Implementation by Delegation", Kotlin Official Documentation: https://kotlinlang.org/docs/reference/delegatio
 n.html#implementation-by-delegation
- "There Can Be Only One Primary Constructor", by Yegor Bugayenko: https://www.yegor256.com/2015/05/28/one-primary-constructor.html