CPSC 349 Project Submissior REVIEWED BY:	n 1, due 27/30	Oct 2020 (-01/-02)
Team name: CPSC A-Team		
Team leader: Andrew Lam		
Team members:		
Katherine Correa, Steven Pham, Paul P	aguio, Andrew La	m
Repository (print): https://github.com/lamcode/ProjectSubmission		
Scoring		T-
Factor	Score	Comments
Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 (5)	I enjoyed seeing the code on screen
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 5	Good explanations
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4(5)	The project looks great! Seems to be functioning properly and working as it should.
Code quality	1 2 3 4 (5)	Organized code on Github
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 5	Nice and centered Bootstrap design
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 5	Nice division of teamwork
Total	30	
General comments:		

REVIEWED BY:		
Team name: Team 4		
Team leader: Aedan Valle		
Team members: Brent Pfefferle, Matth	ew Busch, Adrian	Lawson
d.		
-		
Repository (print): https://github.com/		
aedanvalle/Group-Project-1349		
Scoring	I-	T ₂
Factor	Score	Comments
Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4(5)	Great presentation, really showed what the project could do.
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 (5)	Good documentation throughout the code.
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 (5)	Interesting card creating tool, I liked all the customization features
Code quality	1 2 3 4 5	Nice and organized
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 (5)	Use Firebase to host the database. Nice layout and good use of colors.
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 5	Good communication between group members and each member presented.
Total	30	
General comments:		1

CPSC 349 Project Submissior REVIEWED BY:	1, due 27/30	Oct 2020 (-01/-02)
Team name: Notorious PHP		
Team leader: Jason Otter		
Team members: Jazmin Esqueda, Jasor	o Otter, Omar Ra	mirez
Repository (print): https://github.com/	/	
Scoring		
Factor	Score	Comments
Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4(5)	Clear explanations of code and functionality of website
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 5	Nice documentation throughout the codes, easy read.
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 5	bootstrap, animation, got a user sign-in/sign-out.
Code quality	1 2 3 4 5	nice and organized, good comments and explanations
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 (5)	Nice layout and lots of colors.
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 (5)	Each member contributed
Total	30	
General comments:		
Fill out and print this page, and sul	amit it an tha d	ov this project is deep

Team name: BandBlog		
Team leader: Brandon Papin		
Team members: Kyle Ear, Karl Nguyen		
Team members, repre zur, raum nguyen	•	
4		
Repository (print): https://github.com/		
bpapin19/BandBlog Scoring		
Factor	Score	Comments
Quality of Presentation (must include	1 2 2 4 (5)	Nice explanation of the code and functionality
equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 5	of the website.
Quality of documentation for installing,	1 2 3 4 5	Well documented and easy to follow.
configuring, and using the application		,
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 (5)	
Troject scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 5	Cool edit and delete functionality.
Code quality	1 2 3 4 (5)	Excellent coding practices with uses of
		different templates.
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 5	Excellent division of the webpage by adding
		main content and side bar
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 (5)	Everyone pitched in and completed the project
 Total		together.
Total	30	
General comments:		
00		

Team name: Gamble		
Team leader: Zakee Khattak		
Team members: Chukwudi Ikem, Rene	Ortiz	
Repository (print): https://github.com/ 349-team-5/349-project-1		
Scoring		
Factor	Score	Comments
Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 5	Good overall presentation, easy to follow along. Fun presentation, it seemed like this group really enjoyed making their project!
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 6	Clearly documented steps for how to get started with the project and run it.
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 6	Nice and creative concept, being able to swipe left and right is cool!
Code quality	1 2 3 4 5	Organized, and good review and explanation.
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 (5)	I like that it's video games based.
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 ⑤	Team seemed to really enjoy working together for this project!
Total	30	
General comments:		
Fill out and print this page, and out		

CPSC 349 Project Submission REVIEWED BY:	1, due 27/30	Oct 2020 (-01/-02)
Team name: Definitely Not Malware		
Team leader: Tyler Goodman		
Team members: Waleed Ali		
a.		
и		
Repository (print): https://github.com/ technicallyty/Self.Card		
Scoring		
Factor	Score	Comments
Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 (5)	Thorough explanation of code
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4(5)	Clear and organized documentation shown on Github page
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 5	Nice use of Flask and Python to create cards
Code quality	1 2 3 4 (5)	Clear and organized code
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 (5)	Clean web design, I like the dark design of the webpage
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 (5)	Both team members spoke for this presentation on their parts and explained what they did
Total	30	
General comments:		,

Team name: Quickboard		
Team leader: Antonio Lopez		
Team members: Javier Melendrez, Bria	nna Sharpe, Jose	Alvarado
4		
Repository (print): https://github.com/		
antonio-lopez/cpsc-349-project-one		
Scoring Factor	Score	Comments
Quality of Presentation (must include		
equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 5	Clear audio, thorough explanations
Quality of documentation for installing,	1 2 3 4(5)	Clear instructions on the Github page, members
configuring, and using the application		all listed and steps are clearly outlined
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 5	Website has nice login capabilities
Code quality	1 2 3 4 5	Organized and well-explained; interesting use of wtforms
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 5	Design is organized and easy to read
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 5	Each member presented
Total	30	
General comments:		

Team name: Food Battle		
Team leader: Jessica Moreira		
Team members: Yi Wei Lee, Eric Chen,	Wonvik Cho	
Repository (print): https://github.com/ 16ericchen/349-Project1		
Scoring		
Factor	Score	Comments
Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4(5)	Nice to see each group member during the presentation, equal speaking times
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 5	Clear instructions on Github
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4(5)	Used Flask for this project, nice explanations of how you used it
Code quality	1 2 3 4(5)	Good explanation of code
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 5	Layout of webpage is easy to read and follow Design of page could be improved
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 5	Each member contributed to the presentation
Total	29	
General comments:		

REVIEWED BY: Team name: WhozDat		
Team leader: Stephen Lee		
	0 • 1	
Team members: Armando Lopez, Jose	Sanrindo	
d d		
Repository (print): https://github.com/		
stephenjonglee/MakeACharQuiz		
Scoring	I-	
Factor	Score	Comments
Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4(5)	Nice flow of presentation, organized and everyone got to speak - turning the presentation outline into a powerpoint would be better
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 (5)	Steps clearly documented on Github, I like the file directory part included as well
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 5	Nice website, and how we can create our own quiz and then take quizzes too
Code quality	1 2 3 4 5	Organized and easy to read and understand
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 (5)	Clear and easy to navigate web design
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 5	Each member presented
Total	30	
General comments:		

CPSC 349 Project Submission REVIEWED BY:	n 1, due 27/30	Oct 2020 (-01/-02)	
Team name:			
Team leader:			
Team members:			
d d			
Repository (print): https://github.com/	/		
Scoring			
Factor	Score	Comments	
Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 5		
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 5		
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 5		_
Code quality	1 2 3 4 5		
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 5		
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 5		
Total			
General comments:		,	

CPSC 349 Project Submission REVIEWED BY:	n 1, due 27/30	Oct 2020 (-01/-02)	
Team name:			
Team leader:			
Team members:			
d d			
Repository (print): https://github.com/	/		
Scoring			
Factor	Score	Comments	
Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 5		
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 5		
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 5		_
Code quality	1 2 3 4 5		
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 5		
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 5		
Total			
General comments:		,	