## Economics Ideas

Rob Hayward\* July 3, 2014

## Piketty:Capital

Piketty: Capital in the Twenty-First Century. The focus is rising inequality. The rise in inequality is about the top 0.1%. The essential point of Piketty is that inherited wealth is making a comeback. Martin Woolf summarises Piketty's book as:

- No tendency towards economic equality
- Fall in inequality after 1945 was due to deliberate policy and the destruction of established established wealth between 1914 and 1945
- nonhuman capital appears to be indespensible today as ever disabusing the idea that human capital will become more important.
- Wealth to income in Europe has risen above US levels in Europe (particularly France and UK)
- The rise of the *supermanager* in the US and the return of *patrimonial* capitalism in the US.
- Increase in US top earnings is explained by managers not sports or entertainment stars
- The case against increased managerial earnings being the result of marginal product is made by the lack of evidence in any underlying economic improvement since the 1960s.
- It appears to be more about lower tax and changes in social norms.

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ University of Brighton Business School, Lewes Road, Brighton, BN2 4AT; Telephone 01273 642586. rh49@brighton.ac.uk

At the heart is a view of capital accumulation.

- The ratio of capital to income will rise without limit so long as the rate of return is higher than economic growth
- This is usually the case (outside of destruction or appropriation of wealth or the burst of economic growth in post-war Europe or today's emerging economies)
- Two reasons:
  - The rate of retun is only modestly related to the ratio of capitalto-income. The *elasticity of substitutio* between capital and labour is greater than one.
  - In normal times, capitalists save a sufficient proprtion of their income to ensure that capital grows faster than the economy. The most wealthy enjoy the highest returns.

Tyler Cowen puts the emphasis on r. What is the return on capital or wealth? This can range from the treasury bill through to the equity. In the former case there is a real loss, in the latter there can be real gains. However, Piketty does not talk about risk. There is also a question about whether returns to capital will continue to grow. Regular theory argues that returns will diminish. Richardo argued that rent would not face diminishing returns and would come to dominate, but it did not.

Cowen also argues that economic elites tend not to diversify. He offers Bill Gates as an example, this, he argues, means that the accumulation of wealth is likely to be more risky than the simple model would imply.

An interesting issue that has been raised by Suresh Naidu is the nature of capital. Suresh argues that capital can be a substitute or a compliment for labour. The continuous improvement of capitalism in Marx's view seems to take place in two phases: the implementation of new machines that replace labour; the expansion of the new production facilities that require labour market compliments.

## Mark Thoma and James K. Galbraith.

The fundamental law of capitalism is  $\alpha = r * \beta$ . Where  $\alpha$  is the share of profit in income and  $\beta$  is the capital/output ratio. Therefore, the first fundamental law is

$$r = \alpha/\beta \tag{1}$$

with K for capital, P for profit and Y for income and output,

$$\alpha = \frac{P}{K} \tag{2}$$

and

$$\beta = \frac{K}{Y} \tag{3}$$

Therefore,

$$r = \frac{P/Y}{K/Y}$$
$$= \frac{P}{Y}$$

How is r measured? This is contentious. One way would be the discounted stream of future profits. This means that the discount rate and expectations of future profits will have major effects on r. if the key point is whether r>g then it is important to know whether r is stable not one. Piketty makes the case for r being stable and g falling due to demographics. However, a fall in g may also reduce r.

## 1 Markov Credit Model

This comes from the *Financial Modeling* text.

Start with the rating agency table. This is page 580.

The model is

One year bond expected return = 
$$\frac{\pi(1+Q)F + (1-\pi)\lambda F}{P}$$
 (4)

Where

- F is the face value of the bond
- P is the price of the bond
- Q is the coupon on the bond
- $\bullet$   $\pi$  is the probability that the bond will not defult at the end of the year
- $\lambda$  the fraction of the bond that will be returned on fafault

```
Return <- function(F, P, Q, pi, lambda){
    Y <- ((pi * (1+Q) * F + (1 - pi) * lambda * F)/(P))-1
}
F <- 100
P <- 90
pi <- 0.8
lambda <- 0.4
Q <- 0.08
GRY <- Return(F, P, Q, pi, lambda)
GRY
## [1] 0.04889</pre>
```

There is a matrix of default probbilities that depends on the credit rating.

These are the default probabilities for one year. The probabilities for future years are a product of this Pi matrix.

```
Risk <- function(Pi, n) {
  for(i in 1:(n - 1))
  Pi[[1 + i]] <- Pi[[i]] %*% Pi[[1]]
  return(Pi[[n]])
}
Risk(Pi, n = 3)

##        [,1]        [,2]        [,3]        [,4]        [,5]
## [1,] 0.91572 0.04772 0.02991 0.004444 0.0022
## [2,] 0.12183 0.52166 0.27225 0.051260 0.0330
## [3,] 0.02487 0.03664 0.42906 0.124432 0.3850
## [4,] 0.00000 0.00000 0.000000 1.0000
## [5,] 0.00000 0.00000 0.000000 1.0000</pre>
```

Now we need to create a bond payoff vector and initial position.

Payoff
$$(t, t < N)$$
  $\begin{pmatrix} Q \\ Q \\ Q \\ \lambda \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  Payoff $(t, t = N)$   $\begin{pmatrix} 1 + Q \\ 1 + Q \\ 1 + Q \\ \lambda \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$