# Capital Flow and the Real Exchange Rate

Rob Hayward

April 4, 2014

### Outline

- Measuring Capital Flows
- Findings

■ There are prolonged and significant deviations from **PPP** 

- There are prolonged and significant deviations from **PPP**
- How far are deviations from PPP a function of **International Capital Flows?**

- There are prolonged and significant deviations from **PPP**
- How far are deviations from PPP a function of International Capital Flows?
- Are some **capital flows** more powerful than others?

- There are prolonged and significant deviations from **PPP**
- How far are deviations from PPP a function of International Capital Flows?
- Are some **capital flows** more powerful than others?
- Are some **exchange rates** more vulnerable than others?

Order Flow at the macro level

■ Evans and Lyons (2002) add order flow to conventional exchange rate model

#### Order Flow at the macro level

■ Evans and Lyons (2002) add order flow to conventional exchange rate model

$$\Delta s_t = f(i, m, z,) + g(X, I, Z) + \epsilon_t \tag{1}$$

#### Order Flow at the macro level

■ Evans and Lyons (2002) add order flow to conventional exchange rate model

$$\Delta s_t = f(i, m, z,) + g(X, I, Z) + \epsilon_t \tag{1}$$

Construct model of international capital flows

#### Order Flow at the macro level

■ Evans and Lyons (2002) add order flow to conventional exchange rate model

$$\Delta s_t = f(i, m, z,) + g(X, I, Z) + \epsilon_t \tag{1}$$

- Construct model of international capital flows
- **Signed** order flow is deliberate and is offset by a **passive** balancing flow

■ Capital flow is hard to measure

- Capital flow is hard to measure
- Portfolio balance models (Kouri and Porter, 1974) use bonds as a proxy

- Capital flow is hard to measure
- Portfolio balance models (Kouri and Porter, 1974) use bonds as a proxy
- However, international agencies (BIS, IMF) as well as national statistical agencies and private economists have sought to improve the measurement of capital flows

- Capital flow is hard to measure
- Portfolio balance models (Kouri and Porter, 1974) use bonds as a proxy
- However, international agencies (BIS, IMF) as well as national statistical agencies and private economists have sought to improve the measurement of capital flows

■ RTWI - Real Trade Weighted Index

- RTWI Real Trade Weighted Index
- CNB Cumulative net bonds per GDP

- RTWI Real Trade Weighted Index
- CNB Cumulative net bonds per GDP
- CNE Cumulative net equity per GDP

- RTWI Real Trade Weighted Index
- CNB Cumulative net bonds per GDP
- CNE Cumulative net equity per GDP
- CNFDI Cumulative net equity per GDP

- RTWI Real Trade Weighted Index
- CNB Cumulative net bonds per GDP
- CNE Cumulative net equity per GDP
- CNFDI Cumulative net equity per GDP
- COT Cumulative Official Treasuries per GDP


- RTWI Real Trade Weighted Index
- CNB Cumulative net bonds per GDP
- CNE Cumulative net equity per GDP
- CNFDI Cumulative net equity per GDP
- COT Cumulative Official Treasuries per GDP
- Spread Three month interest rate spread


- RTWI Real Trade Weighted Index
- CNB Cumulative net bonds per GDP
- CNE Cumulative net equity per GDP
- CNFDI Cumulative net equity per GDP
- COT Cumulative Official Treasuries per GDP
- Spread Three month interest rate spread
- S1 CFTC FX Derivative Positions (non-commercial per open interest)

Descriptive Statistics


Series	Units	Mean	Median	Max	Min
RTWI	index	91.24	89.26	115.96	78.44
CNB	% GDP	6.00	5.07	15.97	-0.84
CNE	% GDP	0.23	-0.00	3.28	-1.81
CNFDI	% GDP	-0.67	-1.29	8.91	-11.48
COT	% GDP	0.88	8.32	4.47	-1.27
SPREAD	рр	-0.20	-0.07	3.38	-4.99
S1	NC/OI	47.0	3.00	70.0	-69.0

#### Cumulative Capital Flow, Current Account and Exchange R






#### **US Net Bond Position**



#### **US Net FDI Position**



Structural Equation 
$$Bx_t = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1 x_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

Structural Equation 
$$Bx_t = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1 x_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

Where:  $x_t$  is a vector of endogenous variables; matrix B contains the coefficients for the contemporaneous relationships between the endogenous variables;  $\Gamma_0$  contains exogenous variables such as a constant, trend or seasonal;  $\epsilon$  is a vector of errors that are assumed to IID; and  $\Gamma_1$  are the parameters to be estimated.

Structural Equation 
$$Bx_t = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1 x_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

Where:  $x_t$  is a vector of endogenous variables; matrix B contains the coefficients for the contemporaneous relationships between the endogenous variables;  $\Gamma_0$  contains exogenous variables such as a constant, trend or seasonal;  $\epsilon$  is a vector of errors that are assumed to IID; and  $\Gamma_1$  are the parameters to be estimated.

Standard Form of VAR 
$$x_t = A_0 + A_1 x_{t-1} + e_t$$

Standard Form of VAR  $x_t = A_0 + A_1 x_{t-1} + e_t$ 

Multiplying  $Bx_t = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1 x_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$  through by  $B^{-1}$  will give  $x_t = A_0 + A_1 x_{t-1} + e_t$  With  $A_0 = B^{-1}\Gamma_0$ ,  $A_1 = B^{-1}\Gamma_1$  and  $e_t = B^{-1}\epsilon_t$ .

Standard Form of VAR 
$$x_t = A_0 + A_1 x_{t-1} + e_t$$

Multiplying 
$$Bx_t = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1 x_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$
 through by  $B^{-1}$  will give  $x_t = A_0 + A_1 x_{t-1} + e_t$  With  $A_0 = B^{-1}\Gamma_0$ ,  $A_1 = B^{-1}\Gamma_1$  and  $e_t = B^{-1}\epsilon_t$ .

Allows OLS to be used

### Identification

Restrictions  $K^{\frac{(K-1)}{2}}$  restrictions are needed

### dentification

Restrictions  $K^{\frac{(K-1)}{2}}$  restrictions are needed

Restrictions on the B matrix can be imposed in an arbitrary fashion (Make B a *lower triangle*) or by using economic theory and other prior information.

### dentification

Restrictions  $K^{\frac{(K-1)}{2}}$  restrictions are needed

Restrictions on the B matrix can be imposed in an arbitrary fashion (Make B a *lower triangle*) or by using economic theory and other prior information.

Each method is used here.

Results are compared

### **SVAR** Restrictions

NA is estimated

	CNB	CNE	CNFDI	COT	RTWI	SP	S1
CNB	1	NA	0	0	0	NA	0
CNE	NA	1	NA	0	NA	0	NA
CNFDI	0	NA	1	0	NA	0	0
COT	NA	0	0	1	NA	0	NA
RTWI	0	NA	NA	NA	1	NA	NA
SPR'D	NA	0	0	0	NA	1	0
S1	0	NA	0	NA	NA	0	1


### Impulse Response Functions

What is the effect of an innovation or shock?


$$x_t = \mu + \sum_{i=0}^{i=n} \frac{A_t^i}{1 - b_{12}b_{21}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -b_{12} \\ -b_{21} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2)

For n periods


### **Spread shock System 3**




### Cot shock: System 3




### **CNB shock: System 3**



### Speculative shock: System 3



### **CNE shock: System 3**



# Findings

■ **Speculative flow** seems to have a significant and persistent effect on the real exchange rate

# **Findings**

- **Speculative flow** seems to have a significant and persistent effect on the real exchange rate
- There is a positive relationship between **interest** rate differentials and the US dollar

# **Findings**

- **Speculative flow** seems to have a significant and persistent effect on the real exchange rate
- There is a positive relationship between **interest** rate differentials and the US dollar
- FDI, Bond flow and Equity flows seem to have minimal influence on the real exchange rate

### References

Lyons and Evans (2002), 'Order Flow and Exchange Rate Dynamics', *Journal of Political Economy*, 110 (1) Kouri and Porter (1974), 'International Capital Flows and Portfolio Equilibrium', *Journal of Political Economy*, 82

**Sims** (1980), 'Macroeconomics and Reality', *Econometrica* 48(1)