

# Fun with Lambda Calculus

---

Robert Perrone

robert.perrone1@marist.edu

April 29, 2021

## 1. BETA-REDUCE THE FOLLOWING EXPRESSIONS TO THEIR NORMAL FORM:

A.  $(\lambda a \lambda y . y a) (z z)$

$$\begin{aligned} & [(z z)/a] \text{ in } \lambda y . y a \\ & \xrightarrow[\beta]{} \lambda y . y (z z) \end{aligned}$$

B.  $(\lambda x \lambda y . (x y)) (\lambda z.y)$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda x \lambda y . (x y)) (\lambda z y) \\ & \stackrel{\alpha}{=} (\lambda x \lambda a . (x a)) (\lambda z y) \\ & [( \lambda z y)/x] \text{ in } \lambda a . (x a) \\ & \xrightarrow[\beta]{} \lambda a . ((\lambda z y) a) \\ & [a/z] \text{ in } y \\ & \xrightarrow[\beta]{} \lambda a . y \end{aligned}$$

C.  $(\lambda x . (x x)) (\lambda y . (y y))$

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\lambda y . (y y))/x] \text{ in } (x x) \\ & \xrightarrow[\beta]{} (\lambda y . (y y)) (\lambda y . (y y)) \\ & \stackrel{\alpha}{=} (\lambda y . (y y)) (\lambda a . (a a)) \\ & [(\lambda a . (a a))/y] \text{ in } (y y) \\ & \xrightarrow[\beta]{} (\lambda a . (a a)) (\lambda a . (a a)) \quad // \text{Never ending!} \end{aligned}$$

D.  $K \ x \ y$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\lambda xy . x) \ x \ y \\
& \quad \stackrel{\alpha}{=} (\lambda az . a) \\
& [x/a] \text{ in } (\lambda z . a) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\beta} (\lambda z . x) \ y \\
& [y/z] \text{ in } x \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\beta} x
\end{aligned}$$

E.  $S \ K$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\lambda epz . ez(pz)) \ K \\
& [K/e] \text{ in } \lambda pz . ez(pz) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda pz . Kz(pz) \\
& \lambda pz . (\lambda xy . x) \ z \ (pz) \\
& [z/x] \text{ in } \lambda pz . (\lambda y . x) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda pz . (\lambda y . z) \ (pz) \\
& [(pz)/y] \text{ in } \lambda pz . z \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda pz . z
\end{aligned}$$

F.  $(S \ K) \ y \ y \ z$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\lambda pz . z) \ y \ y \ z \\
& \quad \stackrel{\alpha}{=} (\lambda px . x) \ y \ y \ z \\
& [y/p] \text{ in } (\lambda x . x) \ yz \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\beta} (\lambda x . x) \ yz \\
& [y/x] \text{ in } (x) \ z \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\beta} (y) \ z
\end{aligned}$$

G.  $K' \ y \ y \ z$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\lambda xy . y) \ y \ y \ z \\
& \quad \stackrel{\alpha}{=} (\lambda xa . a) \ y \ y \ z \\
& [y/x] \text{ in } (\lambda a . a) \ yz \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\beta} (\lambda a . a) \ y \ z \\
& [y/a] \text{ in } (a) \ z \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\beta} (y) \ z
\end{aligned}$$

## 2. WHAT IS THE NORMAL FORM OF $S (K S) (K I)$ ?

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\lambda e p z . e z (p z)) (K S) (K I) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} [(K S)/e] \text{ in } (\lambda p z . e z (p z)) (K I) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} (\lambda p z . (K S) z (p z)) (K I) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} [(K I)/p] \text{ in } \lambda z . (K S) z (p z) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda z . (K S) z ((K I) z) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda z . ((\lambda x y . x) S) z ((K I) z) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} [S/x] \text{ in } \lambda z . (\lambda y . x) z ((K I) z) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda z . (\lambda y . S) z ((K I) z) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} [z/y] \text{ in } \lambda z . (S) ((K I) z) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda z . (S) ((K I) z) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda z . (\lambda e p z . e z (p z)) ((K I) z) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} [((K I) z)/e] \text{ in } \lambda z . (\lambda p z . e z (p z)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda z . (\lambda p z . ((K I) z) z (p z)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} [z/p] \text{ in } \lambda z . (\lambda z . ((K I) z) z (p z)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda z . (\lambda z . ((K I) z) (p z)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} [(p z)/z] \text{ in } \lambda z . ((K I) z) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda z . ((K I) (p z)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda z . (((\lambda x y . x) I) (p z)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} [I/x] \text{ in } \lambda z . ((\lambda y . x) (p z)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda z . ((\lambda y . I) (p z)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} [(p z)/y] \text{ in } \lambda z . (I) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda z . I \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda z . (\lambda x . x)
\end{aligned}$$

3. PROVE THE FOLLOWING EQUIVALENCIES BY REDUCING EACH SIDE TO ITS NORMAL FORM.

A.  $I = S K K$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda x . x &= (\lambda e p z . e z(pz)) K K \\
 \lambda x . x &= [K/e] \text{ in } (\lambda p z . e z(pz)) K \\
 \lambda x . x &= \xrightarrow[\beta]{} (\lambda p z . K z(pz)) K \\
 \lambda x . x &= [K/p] \text{ in } (\lambda z . K z(pz)) \\
 \lambda x . x &= \xrightarrow[\beta]{} \lambda z . K z(Kz) \\
 \lambda x . x &= \lambda z . (\lambda x y . x) z(Kz) \\
 \lambda x . x &= [z/x] \text{ in } \lambda z . (\lambda y . x)(Kz) \\
 \lambda x . x &= \xrightarrow[\beta]{} \lambda z . (\lambda y . z)(Kz) \\
 \lambda x . x &= [(Kz)/y] \text{ in } \lambda z . (z) \\
 \lambda x . x &= \xrightarrow[\beta]{} \lambda z . z \stackrel{\alpha}{=} \lambda x . x \\
 \lambda x . x &= \lambda x . x
 \end{aligned}$$

B.  $S K K = K I I$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda x . x &= (\lambda x y . x) I I \\
 \lambda x . x &= [I/x] (\lambda y . x) I \\
 \lambda x . x &= \xrightarrow[\beta]{} (\lambda y . I) I \\
 \lambda x . x &= [I/y] I \\
 \lambda x . x &= \xrightarrow[\beta]{} I \\
 \lambda x . x &= \lambda x . x
 \end{aligned}$$

4. GIVEN THE DEFINITION OF CHURCH NUMERALS BELOW, WHAT DOES  $(m\ n)$  DO WHEN  $m$  AND  $n$  ARE CHURCH NUMERALS? FOR EXAMPLE  $(\bar{2}\ \bar{3})$ . IT MAY BE EASIER TO WORK OUT AS  $\lambda m\ \lambda n . (m\ n)$ . SHOW YOUR WORK (OR AT LEAST AN EXAMPLE).

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda m\ n . (m\ n) \\
& \lambda m\ n . (\bar{2}\ \bar{3}) \\
& \lambda m\ n . (\lambda f\ x . (f(fx))\ \bar{3}) \\
& \lambda m\ n . (\lambda f\ x . (f(fx))\ \bar{3}) \\
& [\bar{3}/f] \text{ in } \lambda m\ n . (\lambda f\ x . (f(fx))) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda m\ n . (\lambda x . (\bar{3}(\bar{3}x))) \\
& \lambda m\ n . (\lambda x . (\lambda fy . (f(f(fy)))\ (\bar{3}x))) \\
& [\bar{3}x/f] \text{ in } \lambda m\ n . (\lambda x . (\lambda y . (f(f(fy))))) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta} \lambda m\ n . (\lambda x . (\lambda y . (\bar{3}x(\bar{3}x((\bar{3}x)y))))
\end{aligned}$$

Church numerals have not been my strongest area in this assignment. I still do not fully understand it, but I hope I was able to get somewhere with the reductions. I did not reduce farther because I saw the pattern arising that it was going to go on for a while and I could not for-see the end of the reductions. It looked like it would just keep growing the more I went along with the beta reductions.