



UNIVERSITATEA BABEȘ-BOLYAI  
BABEȘ-BOLYAI TUDOMÁNYEGYETEM  
BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITÁT  
BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY  
TRADITIO ET EXCELLENTIA

# Mathematical Analysis

1st Year Computer Science

Mihai Nechita \*

Last updated: October 5, 2023

## Contents

[Real numbers](#)

2

---

\*[math.ubbcluj.ro/~mihai.nechita](mailto:math.ubbcluj.ro/~mihai.nechita). If you find any typos, please let me know on Teams or by email.

## ❖ Real numbers

Let us start with some standard notation:  $\emptyset$  is the empty set;  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  the set of natural numbers;  $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots\} = \{m - n \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  the set of integers;  $\mathbb{Q} = \{\frac{m}{n} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\}$  the set of rational numbers;  $\mathbb{R}$  the set of real numbers.

You are very much used with the real numbers. However, it is not trivial to define them in a rigorous way, see for example [1] or [2] for different methods of constructing  $\mathbb{R}$  from  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We will straightforwardly start working with the real numbers – for this reason some of their properties, e.g. [definition 1.5](#), will simply be given as definitions.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , denoted as  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . We define  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  to be

a *lower bound* for  $A$  if  $x \leq a, \forall a \in A$ ; an *upper bound* for  $A$  if  $x \geq a, \forall a \in A$ .

We define

$lb(A) := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \leq a, \forall a \in A\}$  the set of lower bounds of  $A$ ,

$ub(A) := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \geq a, \forall a \in A\}$  the set of upper bounds of  $A$ .

We define  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  to be

the *minimum* of  $A$  if  $x \in lb(A) \cap A$ ; the *maximum* of  $A$  if  $x \in ub(A) \cap A$ ,

denoted by  $\min(A)$ , respectively  $\max(A)$ . In other words, we have that

$\min(A) \in A$  and  $\min(A) \leq a, \forall a \in A$ ;  $\max(A) \in A$  and  $\max(A) \geq a, \forall a \in A$ .

Note that there are sets which do not have minimum or maximum, e.g.  $(0, 1)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is defined to be

- bounded (from) below if  $lb(A) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- bounded (from) above if  $ub(A) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- bounded if it is both bounded below and above;
- unbounded if it is not bounded.

**Definition 1.3.** We say that  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the *supremum* of  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x := \sup(A)$ , if and only if:

1.  $x \geq a, \forall a \in A$ , that is  $x \in ub(A)$ .
2. if  $u$  is an upper bound for  $A$ , then  $x \leq u$ .

The supremum is *the least upper bound*, i.e.  $\sup(A) := \min(ub(A))$ .

**Definition 1.4.** We say that  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the *infimum* of  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x := \inf(A)$ , if and only if:

1.  $x \leq a, \forall a \in A$ , that is  $x \in lb(A)$ .
2. if  $u$  is a lower bound for  $A$ , then  $x \geq u$ .

The infimum is *the greatest lower bound*, i.e.  $\inf(A) := \max(lb(A))$ .

**Definition 1.5** (Completeness Axiom). Every set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  that is bounded above has a supremum. Similarly, every set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  that is bounded below has an infimum.

Note that if  $A$  has a maximum, then  $\sup(A) = \max(A)$ . Similarly, if  $A$  has a minimum, then  $\inf(A) = \min(A)$ . Also, if  $\sup(A) \in A$ , then  $\max(A) = \sup(A)$ .

**Example 1.6.** (a)  $A = \{\frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $\sup(A) = 1 = \max(A)$ ,  $\inf(A) = 0$ ,  $\nexists \min(A)$ .

(b)  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid x^2 \leq 2\}$ ,  $\sup(A) = \sqrt{2}$ ,  $\nexists \max(A)$ ,  $\inf(A) = -\sqrt{2}$ ,  $\nexists \min(A)$ .

**Theorem 1.7.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded set. For  $\sup(A)$  and  $\inf(A)$  the following are true:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists x \in A \text{ such that } \sup(A) - \varepsilon < x,$$

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists x \in A \text{ such that } x < \inf(A) + \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* By definition, for any  $y < \sup(A)$ , say  $y = \sup(A) - \varepsilon$  with  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have that  $y \notin ub(A)$ . Hence there exists  $x \in A$  such that  $y < x$ . Similar proof for  $\inf(A)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.8.** Let  $A \subseteq B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be (nonempty) bounded sets. Then

$$\inf(B) \leq \inf(A) \leq \sup(A) \leq \sup(B)$$

and

$$\sup(A \cup B) = \max\{\sup(A), \sup(B)\},$$

$$\inf(A \cup B) = \min\{\inf(A), \inf(B)\}.$$

*Proof.* It follows directly from the definitions.  $\square$

**Definition 1.9.** Define the *extended real line*  $\overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ , where  $\infty$  and  $-\infty$  are such that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, -\infty < x < \infty.$$

If a set  $A$  is not bounded above, we define  $\sup(A) := \infty$ .

If a set  $A$  is not bounded below, we define  $\inf(A) := -\infty$ .

[Seminar] The empty set  $\emptyset$  is bounded by any number. In  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $\sup(\emptyset) = -\infty$ ,  $\inf(\emptyset) = \infty$ .

**Definition 1.10.** A set  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a *neighborhood* (vecinity) of  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  if

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0 \text{ such that } (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subseteq V.$$

A set  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a *neighborhood* of  $\infty$  if  $\exists a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(a, \infty) \subseteq V$ .

A set  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a *neighborhood* of  $-\infty$  if  $\exists a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(-\infty, a) \subseteq V$ .

We denote all the neighborhoods of  $x$  by  $\mathcal{V}(x) := \{V \subseteq \mathbb{R} \mid V \text{ is a neighborhood of } x\}$ .

**Definition 1.11.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . The following set is called the *interior* of  $A$

$$\text{int}(A) := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists V \in \mathcal{V}(x) \text{ such that } V \subseteq A\},$$

and the following set is called the *closure* of  $A$

$$\text{cl}(A) := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall V \in \mathcal{V}(x), V \cap A \neq \emptyset\}.$$

**Proposition 1.12.** For any  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , it holds that  $\text{int}(A) \subseteq A \subseteq \text{cl}(A)$ .

*Proof.* To prove that  $\text{int}(A) \subseteq A$  we prove that if  $x \in \text{int}(A)$ , then  $x \in A$ . Let  $x \in \text{int}(A)$ , then  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subseteq A$ . Since  $x \in (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$ , we have that  $x \in A$ .

To prove that  $A \subseteq \text{cl}(A)$  we show that if  $x \in A$ , then  $x \in \text{cl}(A)$ . Let  $x \in A$ . Then for any  $V \in \mathcal{V}(x)$  it holds that  $x \in V$ , giving that  $x \in V \cap A$ . Hence  $x \in \text{cl}(A)$  since  $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.13.** If  $A = \text{int}(A)$ , then  $A$  is called *open*. If  $A = \text{cl}(A)$ , then  $A$  is called *closed*.

**Remark 1.14.** To prove that a set  $A$  is open, it is sufficient to prove that  $A \subseteq \text{int}(A)$ .

To prove that a set  $A$  is closed, it is sufficient to prove that  $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq A$ .

**Proposition 1.15.** The following statements are true:

- The complement of an open set is closed.
- The complement of a closed set is open.

*Proof.* Let us prove the first statement, the other one being similar. Consider  $A$  an open set, i.e.  $A = \text{int}(A)$ , and denote by  $A^c = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \notin A\}$  its complement. To prove that  $A^c$  is closed, we prove that  $\text{cl}(A^c) \subseteq A^c$ . Consider  $x \in \text{cl}(A^c)$  and let's assume that  $x \notin A^c$ , i.e.  $x \in A$ , aiming to obtain a contradiction. Since  $A$  is open, there exists  $V \in \mathcal{V}(x)$  such that  $V \subseteq A$ , giving that  $V \cap A^c = \emptyset$ : contradiction with  $x \in \text{cl}(A^c)$ . Hence the assumption  $x \notin A^c$  is false, and we have that if  $x \in \text{cl}(A^c)$ , then  $x \in A^c$ . In other words,  $\text{cl}(A^c) \subseteq A^c$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.16.** Any union of open sets is open. Any finite intersection of closed sets is closed.

*Proof.* (Optional) Left as extra homework.  $\square$

## References

- [1] W. Rudin, *Principles of Mathematical Analysis 3rd ed*, McGraw-Hill, 1976.
- [2] T. Tao, *Analysis I*, Springer, 2016.
- [3] J.E. Marsden, A. Tromba, *Vector Calculus 6th ed*, W.H. Freeman and Company, 2012
- [4] M. Oberguggenberger, A. Ostermann, *Analysis for Computer Scientists*, Springer, 2018
- [5] G. Strang, *Linear Algebra and Learning from Data*, Wellesley Cambridge Press, 2019