

Mathematical Analysis

1st Year Computer Science

Mihai Nechita *

Last updated: October 12, 2023

Contents

Real numbers	2
Sequences	Į.

^{*}math.ubbcluj.ro/~mihai.nechita. If you find any typos, please let me know on Teams or by email.

* Real numbers

Let us start with some standard notation: \emptyset is the empty set; $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}$ the set of natural numbers; $\mathbb{Z} = \{..., -1, 0, 1, ...\} = \{m - n \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ the set of integers; $\mathbb{Q} = \{\frac{m}{n} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\}$ the set of rational numbers; \mathbb{R} the set of real numbers.

You are very much used with the real numbers. However, it is not trivial to define them in a rigorous way, see for example [1] or [2] for different methods of constructing \mathbb{R} from \mathbb{Q} . We will straightforwardly start working with the real numbers – for this reason some of their properties, e.g. definition 1.5, will simply be given as definitions.

Definition 1.1. Let *A* be a subset of \mathbb{R} , denoted as $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. We define $x \in \mathbb{R}$ to be

a lower bound for A if $x \le a$, $\forall a \in A$; an upper bound for A if $x \ge a$, $\forall a \in A$.

We define

$$lb(A) := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \le a, \forall a \in A\}$$
 the set of lower bounds of A , $ub(A) := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \ge a, \forall a \in A\}$ the set of upper bounds of A .

We define $x \in \mathbb{R}$ to be

the minimum of A if $x \in lb(A) \cap A$; the maximum of A if $x \in ub(A) \cap A$, denoted by $\min(A)$, respectively $\max(A)$. In other words, we have that $\min(A) \in A$ and $\min(A) \le a$, $\forall a \in A$; $\max(A) \in A$ and $\max(A) \ge a$, $\forall a \in A$.

Note that there are sets which do no have minimum or maximum, e.g. (0,1).

Definition 1.2. A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is defined to be

- bounded (from) below if $lb(A) \neq \emptyset$;
- bounded (from) above if $ub(A) \neq \emptyset$;
- bounded if it is both bounded below and above;
- unbounded if it is not bounded.

Definition 1.3. We say that $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is the *supremum* of $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $x := \sup(A)$, if and only if:

- 1. $x \ge a$, $\forall a \in A$, that is $x \in ub(A)$.
- 2. if *u* is an upper bound for *A*, then $x \le u$.

The supremum is the least upper bound, i.e. sup(A) := min(ub(A)).

Definition 1.4. We say that $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is the *infimum* of $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $x := \inf(A)$, if and only if:

- 1. $x \le a$, $\forall a \in A$, that is $x \in lb(A)$.
- 2. if *u* is a lower bound for *A*, then $x \ge u$.

The infimum is the greatest lower bound, i.e. $\inf(A) := \max(lb(A))$.

Definition 1.5 (Completeness Axiom). Every set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ that is bounded above has a supremum. Similarly, every set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ that is bounded below has an infimum.

Note that if A has a maximum, then $\sup(A) = \max(A)$. Similarly, if A has a minimum, then $\inf(A) = \min(A)$. Also, if $\sup(A) \in A$, then $\max(A) = \sup(A)$.

Example 1.6. (a)
$$A = \{\frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \sup(A) = 1 = \max(A), \inf(A) = 0, \nexists \min(A).$$

(b)
$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid x^2 \le 2\}, \sup(A) = \sqrt{2}, \nexists \max(A), \inf(A) = -\sqrt{2}, \nexists \min(A).$$

Theorem 1.7. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded set. For $\sup(A)$ and $\inf(A)$ the following are true:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists x \in A \text{ such that } \sup(A) - \varepsilon < x,$$

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists x \in A \text{ such that } x < \inf(A) + \varepsilon.$$

Proof. By definition, for any $y < \sup(A)$, say $y = \sup(A) - \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon > 0$, we have that $y \notin ub(A)$. Hence there exists $x \in A$ such that y < x. Similar proof for $\inf(A)$.

Proposition 1.8. Let $A \subseteq B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be (nonempty) bounded sets. Then

$$\inf(B) \le \inf(A) \le \sup(A) \le \sup(B)$$

and

$$\sup(A \cup B) = \max\{\sup(A), \sup(B)\},\$$

$$\inf(A \cup B) = \min\{\inf(A), \inf(B)\}.$$

Proof. It follows directly from the definitions.

Definition 1.9. Define the *extended real line* $\overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$, where ∞ and $-\infty$ are such that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, -\infty < x < \infty.$$

If a set *A* is not bounded above, we define $\sup(A) := \infty$. If a set *A* is not bounded below, we define $\inf(A) := -\infty$.

[Seminar] The empty set \emptyset is bounded by any number. In $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $\sup(\emptyset) = -\infty$, $\inf(\emptyset) = \infty$.

Definition 1.10. A set $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a *neighborhood (vecinity)* of $x \in \mathbb{R}$ if

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0 \text{ such that } (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subseteq V.$$

A set $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a *neighborhood* of ∞ if $\exists a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(a, \infty) \subseteq V$.

A set $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a *neighborhood* of $-\infty$ if $\exists a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(-\infty, a) \subseteq V$.

We denote all the neighborhoods of x by $\mathcal{V}(x) := \{V \subseteq \mathbb{R} \mid V \text{ is a neighborhood of } x\}.$

Definition 1.11. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. The following set is called the *interior* of A

$$\operatorname{int}(A) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists V \in \mathcal{V}(x) \text{ such that } V \subseteq A \},$$

and the following set is called the *closure* of *A*

$$cl(A) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall V \in \mathcal{V}(x), \ V \cap A \neq \emptyset \}.$$

Proposition 1.12. For any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, it holds that $int(A) \subseteq A \subseteq cl(A)$.

Proof. To prove that $\operatorname{int}(A) \subseteq A$ we prove that if $x \in \operatorname{int}(A)$, then $x \in A$. Let $x \in \operatorname{int}(A)$, then $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ such that $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subseteq A$. Since $x \in (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$, we have that $x \in A$. To prove that $A \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(A)$ we show that if $x \in A$, then $x \in \operatorname{cl}(A)$. Let $x \in A$. Then for any $V \in \mathcal{V}(x)$ it holds that $x \in V$, giving that $x \in V \cap A$. Hence $x \in \operatorname{cl}(A)$ since $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$. \square

Definition 1.13. If A = int(A), then A is called *open*. If A = cl(A), then A is called *closed*.

Remark 1.14. To prove that a set A is open, it is sufficient to prove that $A \subseteq \text{int}(A)$. To prove that a set A is closed, it is sufficient to prove that $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq A$.

Proposition 1.15. The following statements are true:

- The complement of an open set is closed.
- The complement of a closed set is open.

Proof. Let us prove the first statement, the other one being similar. Consider A an open set, i.e. A = int(A), and denote by $A^c = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \notin A\}$ its complement. To prove that A^c is closed, we prove that $\operatorname{cl}(A^c) \subseteq A^c$. Consider $x \in \operatorname{cl}(A^c)$ and let's assume that $x \notin A^c$, i.e. $x \in A$, aiming to obtain a contradiction. Since A is open, there exists $V \in V(x)$ such that $V \subseteq A$, giving that $V \cap A^c = \emptyset$: contradiction with $x \in \operatorname{cl}(A^c)$. Hence the assumption $x \notin A^c$ is false, and we have that if $x \in \operatorname{cl}(A^c)$, then $x \in A^c$. In other words, $\operatorname{cl}(A^c) \subseteq A^c$. \square

Proposition 1.16. Any union of open sets is open. Any intersection of closed sets is closed. Any finite intersection of open sets is open. Any finite union of closed sets is closed.

Proof. (Optional) Left as extra homework.

Sequences

A set $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is called a sequence and is denoted by $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ or simply (x_n) . A sequence (x_n) is bounded above (or below) if the set $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded above (or below). A sequence (x_n) is increasing if $x_{n+1} \geq x_n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, and decreasing if $x_{n+1} \leq x_n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. A sequence is monotone if it is either increasing or decreasing.

Definition 2.1. A sequence (x_n) has a limit $\ell \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, and we write $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \ell$ or $x_n \to \ell$, if

$$\forall V \in \mathcal{V}(\ell), \exists N_V \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } x_n \in V, \forall n \geq N_V.$$

If $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that (x_n) converges to ℓ : $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_n - \ell| < \varepsilon$, $\forall n \ge N_{\varepsilon}$. $x_n \to \infty$ if $\forall a > 0$, $\exists N_a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n > a$, $\forall n \ge N_a$. $x_n \to -\infty$ if $\forall a < 0$, $\exists N_a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n < a$, $\forall n \ge N_a$.

Proposition 2.2. A sequence (x_n) converges to $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $\lim_{n \to \infty} |x_n - \ell| = 0$.

Proposition 2.3. Any convergent sequence is bounded.

Proof. TBA (left to the reader).

Theorem 2.4 (Weierstrass). Any monotone and bounded sequence is convergent.

Proof. Assume that the sequence is increasing, for example. Let $S = \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and consider $\sup(S) \in \mathbb{R}$ (we know that S is bounded). From theorem 1.7 we have that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \sup(S) - \varepsilon < x_{N_{\varepsilon}}.$$

As (x_n) is increasing, $\sup(S) - \varepsilon < x_{N_{\varepsilon}} \le x_n \, \forall n \ge N_{\varepsilon}$. Hence $\sup(S) - x_n < \varepsilon$, $\forall n \ge N_{\varepsilon}$. The sequence converges to $\sup(S)$ by definition 2.1. Similarly, a decreasing and bounded sequence converges to its infimum.

Proposition 2.5. Any monotone sequence has a limit in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proof. If the sequence is bounded and monotone, then it is convergent by the Weierstrass theorem. If the sequence is unbounded and monotone, then its limit will be infinite. \Box

Theorem 2.6 (Squeeze/Sandwich theorem). Let (x_n) , (y_n) , (z_n) be sequences for which there is an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$x_n \leq y_n \leq z_n, \, \forall n \geq n_0,$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}z_n.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}y_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}z_n.$$

Proof. Let $\ell := \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} z_n$ and assume first that $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$\exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |x_n - \ell| < \varepsilon, \forall n \ge N_1$$

and

$$\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |z_n - \ell| < \varepsilon, \forall n \ge N_2.$$

Taking $N_{\varepsilon} := \max\{N_1, N_2\}$, we have that

$$|y_n - \ell| \le \max\{|x_n - \ell|, |z_n - \ell|\} < \varepsilon, \forall n \ge N_{\varepsilon},$$

hence the conclusion. When ℓ is infinite the proof is similar.

Theorem 2.7 (Cantor's nested intervals). Let (a_n) be increasing and (b_n) decreasing such that $a_n \le a_{n+1} \le b_{n+1} \le b_n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the closed intervals $I_n := [a_n, b_n]$, with $I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n$. If $\lim_{n\to\infty} (b_n - a_n) = 0$, then there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = \{x\}.$$

Proof. Consider the bounded sets $A := \{a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $B := \{b_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$a_k \le \sup(A) \le b_k$$

and

$$b_k \ge \inf(B) \ge a_k$$
.

Hence by the squeeze theorem we have that $\sup(A) = \inf(B)$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = {\sup(A)}.$

Theorem 2.8 (Bolzano-Weierstrass). Any bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence.

Proof. Consider the bounded set $A := \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $a_1 := \inf(A)$ and $b_1 := \sup(A)$, and define $I_1 := [a_1, b_1]$. Bisect I_1 and notice that at least one of the two halves must contain infinitely many terms from the sequence. Take $I_2 := [a_2, b_2]$ to be the half that does. Continuing this procedure we obtain for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ an interval $I_k := [a_k, b_k]$ containing (at least) a term $x_{n_k} \in A$, such that $I_{k+1} \subseteq I_k$ and $b_k - a_k \to 0$.

From Cantor's nested intervals theorem 2.7 we have that there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = \{x\}$, and hence the subsequence (x_{n_k}) converges to x.

Definition 2.9. For a sequence (x_n) we define the set of its *limit points* by

$$LIM(x_n) := \{x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} \mid \text{there exists a subsequence } (x_{n_k}) \text{ s.t. } x_{n_k} \to x\},$$

and

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} x_n := \inf \left(\text{LIM}(x_n) \right),$$

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} x_n := \sup \left(\text{LIM}(x_n) \right).$$

Example 2.10. For
$$x_n = \frac{(-1)^n n}{n+1}$$
, LIM $(x_n) = \{-1, 1\}$, $\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = -1$, $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = 1$.

Proposition 2.11. $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \ell \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ if and only if $\liminf_{n\to\infty} x_n = \limsup_{n\to\infty} x_n = \ell$.

Definition 2.12 (Cauchy sequence). A sequence (x_n) is called *Cauchy (or fundamental)* if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |x_m - x_n| < \varepsilon, \forall m, n \ge N_{\varepsilon}.$$

Proposition 2.13. Any Cauchy sequence is bounded.

Proof. For $\varepsilon = 1$, there exists $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_m - x_n| < 1$, $\forall m, n \ge N_1$. In particular, $|x_n - x_{N_1}| < 1$, $\forall n \ge N_1$, hence the terms after index N_1 are bounded. The terms before index N_1 are also bounded since there is a finite number of them. We thus conclude that the entire sequence is bounded.

Theorem 2.14. A sequence is convergent if and only if it is Cauchy.

Proof. Let's consider first a convergent sequence (x_n) with $x_n \to \ell$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_n - \ell| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, for any $n \ge N_{\varepsilon}$. Then $|x_m - x_n| \le |x_m - \ell| + |x_n - \ell| < \varepsilon$, for any $n \ge N_{\varepsilon}$. Hence the sequence (x_n) is Cauchy.

Assume now that (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence. From the previous proposition we have that (x_n) must be bounded, and thus it has a convergent subsequence $(x_{n_k}), x_{n_k} \to x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists thus $K_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_{n_k} - x| < \varepsilon$, $\forall k \ge K_{\varepsilon}$. Also, there exists $N_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_m - x_n| < \varepsilon$, $\forall m, n \ge N_{\varepsilon}$. In particular, $|x_{n_k} - x_n| < \varepsilon$, $\forall k, n \ge N_{\varepsilon}$. Hence $|x_n - x| \le |x_n - x_{n_k}| + |x_{n_k} - x| < 2\varepsilon$, $\forall n \ge \max\{K_{\varepsilon}, N_{\varepsilon}\}$, meaning that $x_n \to x$. \square

Example 2.15. The sequence defined by $x_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \ldots + \frac{1}{n}$ is not convergent. Indeed, one can see, for example, that

$$x_{2n}-x_n=\frac{1}{n+1}+\ldots+\frac{1}{2n}>\frac{n}{2n},$$

hence $x_{2n} - x_n > \frac{1}{2}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus (x_n) is not convergent since it is not Cauchy.

References

- [1] W. Rudin, Principles of Mathematical Analysis 3rd ed, McGraw-Hill, 1976.
- [2] T. Tao, Analysis I, Springer, 2016.
- [3] J.E. Marsden, A. Tromba, Vector Calculus 6th ed, W.H. Freeman and Company, 2012
- [4] M. Oberguggenberger, A. Ostermann, Analysis for Computer Scientists, Springer, 2018
- [5] G. Strang, Linear Algebra and Learning from Data, Wellesley Cambridge Press, 2019