MA283: Linear Algebra

 $\begin{array}{c} 70\% \ {\rm Exam} \\ 30\% \ {\rm Continuous \ Assessment \ (Homework)} \\ 10\% \ {\rm Optional \ Project \ (Bonus)} \end{array}$

Robert Davidson

${\bf Contents}$

| 1 | Rev | riew of Matrix Algebra | 3 |
|----------|------|---|----|
| 2 | Syst | stems of linear equations | |
| | 2.1 | Linear equations and Solution Sets | 6 |
| | | 2.1.1 Interpreting Linear Systems as Matrix Equations | 6 |
| | 2.2 | Elementary Row Operations | 6 |
| | | 2.2.1 REF and Strategy | 6 |
| | | 2.2.2 Row Reduced Echelon Form | 7 |
| | 2.3 | Leading variables and free variables | 7 |
| | 2.4 | Consistent and Inconsistent Systems | 7 |
| | 2.5 | Possible Outcomes when solving a system of equations | 7 |
| | 2.6 | Elementary Row Operations as Matrix Transformations | 8 |
| | | 2.6.1 Multiplying a Row by a Non-Zero Scalar | 8 |
| | | 2.6.2 Switching Two Rows | 8 |
| | | 2.6.3 Adding a Multiple of One Row to Another | 8 |
| | 2.7 | EROs and Inverses | 10 |
| 3 | Vec | tor Spaces and Subspace Structure | 11 |
| | 3.1 | The Image and Kernel of a Linear Transformation | 11 |
| | 3.2 | Subspaces | 11 |
| | 3.3 | The span: how to make subspaces | 11 |
| | 3.4 | Spanning sets | 12 |
| | 3.5 | Linear Dependence and Linear Independence | 13 |
| | | 3.5.1 Test for linear independence | 13 |
| | 3.6 | Finite Dimensional Spaces | 13 |
| | 3.7 | Basis | 14 |
| | 3.8 | Steinitz Replacement Lemma | 14 |
| | 3.9 | Recap of span, linear independence and basis | 14 |
| | | Consequences of the replacement theorem | 15 |

1 Review of Matrix Algebra

Matrix Addition

If a matrix has m rows and n columns, we say it is $m \times n$. Two matrices can only be added if they have the same size. In this case, we just add the entries in each position.

The $m \times n$ zero matrix is a matrix with all entries equal to 0. It is the **Identity element** for matrix addition (adding it to any matrix does not change the matrix)

Matrix Multiplication by a Scalar

This simply means multiplying each entry of the matrix by the scalar. For example:

$$\alpha \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 2\alpha \\ 3\alpha & 4\alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

Remark: Now that we have addition and scalar multiplication, we can subtract matrices (A - B = A + (-1)B), provided they are the same size.

Vector Space

With these operations of addition and scalar multiplication, the set of $m \times n$ matrices is a vector space. A **vector** space algebraic structure whose elements can be added, subtracted and multiplied by scalars.

Linear Combinations

Definition 1.1: Linear Combinations

Suppose v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k are elements that can be added together and multiplied by scalars.

A Linear Combination of v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k is an expression of the form:

$$\alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \ldots + \alpha_k v_k$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ are scalars, called **coefficients**.

Matrix-Vector Multiplication

Definition 1.2

Let A be a $m \times n$ matrix, and **v** be a column vector with n entries $(n \times 1 \text{ matrix})$.

Then the matrix vector product Av is the column vector, with m entries, obtained by taking the linear combination of the columns of A with the entries of \mathbf{v} as coefficients.

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 6 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} = 7 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 6 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 9 \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 41 \\ 33 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Remark: Av, if defined, has the same number of rows as A and the same number of columns as v.

Matrix-Matrix Multiplication

Definition 1.3

Let A and B be matrices of size $m \times p$ and $p \times n$, respectively. Write $v_1, \ldots v_n$ for the columns of B. Then the product AB is the $m \times n$ matrices whose columns are Av_1, \ldots, Av_n .

The entry at row i and column j of the matrix A is given by A_{ij} . The entry in the i, j position of the product AB is the ith entry of the vector Av_j , where the vector v_j is the jth column of B. In other words, the entry in the i, j position of the product AB is given by:

$$(AB)_{ij} = A_{i1}B_{1j} + A_{i2}B_{2j} + \ldots + A_{ip}B_{pj} = \sum_{k=1}^{p} A_{ik}B_{kj}$$

Definition 1.4

If A is $m \times p$ with rows u_1, \ldots, u_m and B is $p \times n$ with columns v_1, \ldots, v_n , then the product AB is:

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \cdot v_1 & u_1 \cdot v_2 & \dots & u_1 \cdot v_n \\ u_2 \cdot v_1 & u_2 \cdot v_2 & \dots & u_2 \cdot v_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_m \cdot v_1 & u_m \cdot v_2 & \dots & u_m \cdot v_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 \\ 11 & 12 \end{bmatrix} \quad AB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \cdot 7 + 2 \cdot 9 + 3 \cdot 11 & 1 \cdot 8 + 2 \cdot 10 + 3 \cdot 12 \\ 4 \cdot 7 + 5 \cdot 9 + 6 \cdot 11 & 4 \cdot 8 + 5 \cdot 10 + 6 \cdot 12 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 58 & 64 \\ 139 & 154 \end{bmatrix}$$

For matrices A and B, the products AB and BA are generally not equal, even if they are both defined and even if both have the same size.

Linear Transformations

Definition 1.5

Let m and n be positive integers.

A linear transformation T from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m is a function $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ that satisfies:

- $T(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = T(\mathbf{u}) + T(\mathbf{v})$ for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- $T(\lambda \mathbf{u}) = \lambda T(\mathbf{u})$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

Matrix of a Linear Transformation

Suppose $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is the linear transformation:

$$T\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\\0\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}2\\3\end{bmatrix} \quad T\begin{bmatrix}0\\1\\0\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}1\\4\end{bmatrix} \quad T\begin{bmatrix}0\\0\\1\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}-6\\7\end{bmatrix}$$

Then for the vector in \mathbb{R}^3 with entries a, b, c:

$$T \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = aT \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + bT \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + cT \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -6 \\ -3 & 4 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix}$$

Where the 2×3 matrix M_T is called the **standard matrix** of A. A linear transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ can be completely represented by an $m \times n$ matrix M_T .

Understanding the Matrix Representation

- The columns of matrix M_T are the images of the standard basis vectors e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n under T.
- For any vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we calculate T(v) by multiplying: $M_T \cdot v$.
- $\bullet\,$ Therefore, matrix-vector multiplication is simply evaluating a linear transformation.

Correspondence: Any $m \times n$ matrix A defines a linear transformation $T_A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ by: $T_A(v) = Av$. Linear transformations include rotations, reflections and scaling

Efficiency of Representation: A remarkable property of linear transformations is their information efficiency:

- To completely define $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, we need only mn values.
- These values are the coordinates of the n transformed basis vectors in \mathbb{R}^m .
- This differs fundamentally from general continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, which cannot be fully determined by their values at finitely many points.

Matrix multiplication is composition

Suppose that $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ and $S: \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}^m$ are linear transformations. Then the composition $S \circ T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is also a linear transformation from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m defined for $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ by:

$$S \circ T(\mathbf{v}) = S(T(\mathbf{v}))$$

To see how that the $m \times n$ matrix $M_{S \circ T}$ depends on the matrix $M_S(m \times p)$ and $M_T(p \times n)$ we look at the definition of $M_{S \circ T}$:

- The first column has coordinates $S \circ T(e_1) = S(T(e_1))$
- $T(e_1)$ is first column of M_T
- Then $S(T(e_1))$ is the matrix-vector product $M_S \cdot M_T(e_1)$
- Same for all other columns $\Longrightarrow M_{S \circ T} = M_S \cdot M_T$

Thus, we conclude matrix multiplication is composition of linear transformations.

2 Systems of linear equations

2.1 Linear equations and Solution Sets

A linear equation in the variables x and y is an equation of the form

$$2x + y = 3$$

If we replace x and y with some numbers, the statement becomes true or false.

Definition 2.1: Solution to a linear equation

A pair, $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}$, is a solution to an linear equation if setting $x = x_0$ and $y = y_0$ makes the equation true.

Definition 2.2: Solution set

The **solution set** is the set of all solutions to a linear equation.

$$a_1X_1 + a_2X_2 + \ldots + a_nX_n = b$$
 where $a_i, b \in \mathbb{R}$

is an **affine hyperplane** in \mathbb{R}^n ; geometrically resembles a copy of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} inside \mathbb{R}^n .

2.1.1 Interpreting Linear Systems as Matrix Equations

2.2 Elementary Row Operations

To solve a system of linear equations we associate an **augmented matrix** to the system of equations. For example:

To solve, we can perform the following Elementary Row Operations (EROs):

- 1. Multiply a row by a non-zero constant.
- 2. Add a multiple of one row to another row.
- 3. Swap two rows.

The goal of these operations is to transform the augmented matrix into **row echelon form** (REF) or **reduced row echelon form** (RREF).

2.2.1 REF and Strategy

We say a matrix is in **row echelon form** (REF) if:

- The first non zero entry in each row is a 1 (called the **leading 1**).
- If a column has a leading 1, then all entries below it are 0.
- $\bullet\,$ The leading 1 in each row is to the right of the leading 1 in the previous row.
- All rows of 0s are at the bottom of the matrix.

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & | & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & | & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

Example of REF

We have produced a new system of equations. This is easily solved by back substitution.

Concept 2.1: Stategy for Obtaining REF

- Get a 1 as the top left entry
- \bullet Use this 1 to clear the entries below it
- Move to the next column and repeat
- Continue until all leading 1s are in place
- Use back substitution to solve the system

2.2.2 Row Reduced Echelon Form

A matrix is in reduced row echelon form (RREF) if:

- It is in REF
- The leading 1 in each row is the only non-zero entry in its column.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example of RREF

2.3 Leading variables and free variables

We'll start by an example:

Solving this system of equations, we get:

RREF:
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & | & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{c} x_1 & + & 2x_4 & = & 4 \\ x_2 & - & x_4 & = & 2 \\ x_3 & + & x_4 & = & 2 \end{array} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{c} x_1 & = & 4 - 2x_4 \\ x_2 & = & 2 + x_4 \\ x_3 & = & 2 - x_4 \end{array}$$

This RREF tells us how the **leading variables** (x_1, x_2, x_3) depend on the **free variable** (x_4) . The free variable can take any value in \mathbb{R} . We write the solution set as:

$$x_1 = 4 - 2t$$
, $x_2 = 2 + t$, $x_3 = 2 - t$, $x_4 = t$ where $t \in \mathbb{R}$
$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (4 - 2t, 2 + t, 2 - t, t); \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Definition 2.3: Leading and Free Variables

- Leading variable : A variable whose columns in the RREF contain a leading 1
- ullet Free variable : A variable whose columns in the RREF do not contain a leading 1

2.4 Consistent and Inconsistent Systems

Consider the following system of equations:

We can see the last row of the REF is:

$$0x + 0y + 0z = 1$$

This equation clearly has no solution, and hence the system has no solutions. We say the system is **inconsistent**. Alternatively, we say the system is **consistent** if it has at least one solution.

2.5 Possible Outcomes when solving a system of equations

• The system may be **inconsistent** (no solutions) - i.e:

$$[0\ 0\ \dots\ 0\ |\ a] \quad a \neq 0$$

- The system may be **consistent** which occurs if:
 - Unique Solutions each column (aside from the rightmost) contains a single leading 1. i.e:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Infinitely many solutions at least one variable does not appear as a leading 1 in any row, making it a
free variable - i.e:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & | & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

2.6 Elementary Row Operations as Matrix Transformations

Elementary row operations may be interpreted as **matrix multiplication**. To see this, first we introduce the **Identity matrix:**[1, 0, 0]

 $I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

The I_m Identity matrix is an $m \times m$ matrix with 1s on the diagonal and 0s elsewhere. We also introduce the $E_{i,j}$ matrix which has 1 in the (i,j) position and 0s elsewhere. For example:

$$E_{1,2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then:

$$I_3 + 4E_{1,2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Performing a row operation on A is the same as multiplying A by an appropriate matrix E on the left. These matrices are called **elementary matrices**. They are **always invertible**, and **their inverses are also elementary matrices**. The statement:

"every matrix can be reduced to RREF through EROs"

is equivalent to saying that

"for every matrix A with m rows, there exists a $m \times m$ matrix B which is a product of elementary matrices such that BA is in RREF."

2.6.1 Multiplying a Row by a Non-Zero Scalar

When multiplying row i of matrix A by a scalar $\alpha \neq 0$, we can use the matrix:

$$I_m + (\alpha - 1)E_{i,i}$$

This works because it modifies only the (i, i) entry of the identity matrix to be α while keeping all other entries unchanged. When multiplied with A, it scales row i by α and leaves all other rows intact.

Example: If $\alpha = 5$ and i = 2, then:

$$I_3 + 4E_{2,2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (I_3 + 4E_{2,2})A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 20 & 25 & 30 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

2.6.2 Switching Two Rows

To swap rows i and k, we use:

$$S = I_m + E_{ik} + E_{ki} - E_{ii} - E_{kk}$$

This works by:

- Removing the 1's at positions (i, i) and (k, k) from the identity matrix
- Adding 1's at positions (i, k) and (k, i)

Example: Swapping rows 1 and 3:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \qquad SA = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

2.6.3 Adding a Multiple of One Row to Another

To replace row k with row $k + \alpha \times$ row i, use:

$$I_m + \alpha E_{k,i}$$

This adds α times row i to row k while leaving all other rows unchanged because:

- For any row $j \neq k$, the corresponding row in this matrix is just the standard basis row
- Row k becomes the sum of the standard basis row k plus α times the standard basis row i

Example: Adding 3 times row 1 to row 2:

$$I_3 + 3E_{2,1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (I_3 + 3E_{2,1})A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 7 & 11 & 15 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 2.1

Write the inverse of an elementary matrix and show it is an elementary matrix.

Multiplying a row by a nonzero scalar:

- Operation: Multiply row i by $\alpha \neq 0$.
- Elementary Matrix: $E = I_m + (\alpha 1)E_{i,i}$
- **Inverse:** To reverse the operation, multiply row i by $1 \setminus \alpha$. Hence, the inverse is

$$E^{-1} = I_m + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right) E_{i,i} = I_m + \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} E_{i,i}.$$

Swapping two rows:

- Operation: Swap rows i and k.
- Elementary Matrix: $S = I_m E_{i,i} E_{k,k} + E_{i,k} + E_{k,i}$
- Inverse: Since swapping the same two rows twice returns them to their original positions,

$$S^{-1} = S$$
.

Adding a multiple of one row to another:

- Operation: Add α times row i to row k.
- Elementary Matrix: $E = I_m + \alpha E_{k,i}$
- Inverse: To undo the operation, subtract α times row i from row k. Therefore,

$$E^{-1} = I_m - \alpha E_{k,i}.$$

Example 2.2

Prove that every invertible matrix in $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ is a product of elementary matrices.

Let A be an invertible matrix in $M_n(\mathbb{R})$. Since A is invertible, we can use Gaussian elimination to transform A into the identity matrix I_n .

Let E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_k be the elementary matrices corresponding to the row operations used in the elimination process. Then, we have:

Multiplying a row by a scalar: $I_n + (\alpha - 1)E_{i,i}$

Swapping two rows: $I_n + E_{i,k} + E_{k,i} - E_{i,i} - E_{k,k}$

Adding a multiple of one row to another: $I_n + \alpha E_{k,i}$

Applying these in sequence to A gives:

$$E_k \cdots E_2 E_1 A = I_n$$

Since $E_k \cdots E_2 E_1 = I_n$, we can multiply both sides by $(E_k \cdots E_2 E_1)^{-1}$ on the left to obtain:

$$A = (E_k \cdots E_2 E_1)^{-1} I_n = (E_k \cdots E_2 E_1)^{-1}$$

Using the property:

$$(E_k \cdots E_2 E_1)^{-1} = E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1} \cdots E_k^{-1},$$

we can express A as a product of elementary matrices:

$$A = E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1} \cdots E_k^{-1}$$

Since each E_i is an elementary matrix, its inverse is also an elementary matrix. Therefore, A can be expressed as a product of elementary matrices.

2.7 **EROs** and Inverses

Elementary Row Operations can be used to find the inverse of a square matrix. Consider a square matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ (that is, an $n \times n$ matrix over a field \mathbb{F}). If A is invertible, let

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & & | \\ \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{v}_n \\ | & | & & | \end{bmatrix}$$

be its inverse, where each \mathbf{v}_i is the ith column of A^{-1} . By definition of the matrix inverse, we have

$$AA^{-1} = A\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{v}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A\mathbf{v}_1 & A\mathbf{v}_2 & \cdots & A\mathbf{v}_n \end{bmatrix} = I_n,$$

the $n \times n$ identity matrix. This implies that

$$A\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{e}_i$$
, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

where \mathbf{e}_i is the *i*th column of I_n (which has a 1 in the *i*th row and 0 everywhere else). In other words, each column \mathbf{v}_i of A^{-1} is the unique solution to the linear system

$$A\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{e}_i$$
.

To find A^{-1} effectively, we form the augmented matrix $[A \mid I_n]$ and apply EROs to transform A into I_n . When this is achieved, the augmented portion becomes A^{-1} . Thus, we have

$$RREF([A \mid I_n]) = [I_n \mid A^{-1}].$$

Example 2.3

Find
$$A^{-1}$$
 if $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$.

We form a 3×6 matrix $A' = [A \mid I_3]$:

$$A' = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 & -1 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 & | & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 & -4 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We apply the following EROs to A':

- $R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2$
- $R_2 \to R_2 3R_1$
- $R_3 \to R_3 2R_1$
- $R_3 \to R_3 + R 2$
- $R_3 \leftrightarrow R_2$

- $R_3 \to R_3 4R_2$ $R_3 \times (-\frac{1}{10})$ $R_1 \to R_1 3R_3$

To obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{11}{10} & -\frac{6}{5} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{7}{10} & \frac{2}{5} \end{bmatrix}$$

That is:

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{11}{10} & -\frac{6}{5} \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{7}{10} & \frac{2}{5} \end{bmatrix}$$

It is easily checked that $AA^{-1} = I_3$.

3 Vector Spaces and Subspace Structure

3.1 The Image and Kernel of a Linear Transformation

 $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is the linear transformation defined with:

$$M_T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The **image** of T is the subset of \mathbb{R}^3 consisting of all elements $T(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$. This is the set of all vectors of the form:

$$a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

In matrix terms, this is the **column space** of M_T .

The **kernel** of T is the set of all vectors $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$. This is the set of all column vectors, whose entries, a, b, c satisfies:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The kernel is a line and the image is a plane

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & -5 & 5 & | & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The kernel (or nullspace) is $(2,1,1)t, t \in \mathbb{R}$, which is a line in \mathbb{R}^3 . The fact that (-2,1,1) is in the kernel of T, means that column 3 of M_T is a linear combination of columns 1 and 2.

$$-2\begin{bmatrix}1\\2\\1\end{bmatrix}+1\begin{bmatrix}2\\-1\\1\end{bmatrix}+1\begin{bmatrix}0\\5\\1\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}0\\0\\0\end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix}0\\5\\1\end{bmatrix}=2\begin{bmatrix}1\\2\\1\end{bmatrix}-\begin{bmatrix}2\\-1\\1\end{bmatrix}$$

It follows that every linear combination of all three columns of M_T is just a linear combination of columns 1 and 2.

The column space of M_T is:

$$\left\{ a \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 2\\-1\\1 \end{bmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

3.2 Subspaces

Definition 3.1

A non empty subset **V** of \mathbb{R}^n is a **subspace** if:

- Closed under addition: $u + v \in \mathbb{V}$, $u, v \in \mathbf{V}$
- Closed under scalar multiplication: $\alpha u \in \mathbf{V},\, u \in \mathbf{V},\, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

Examples of subspaces

- $\{(x,y,z)\in\mathbb{R}^3: x+y+z=1\}$ is not a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 . the [1,0,0] and (0,1,0) vectors are in the set, but their sum (1,1,0) is not in the set.
- $\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (x, y, z) \cdot (1, 2, 3) = 0\}$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 .
- $\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (x, y, z) \cdot (1, 2, 3) \neq 0\}$ is not a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 .
- The kernel of any linear transformation is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .
- The image of any linear transformation is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

3.3 The span: how to make subspaces

Definition 3.2

Let $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ be any finite subset of \mathbb{R}^n

The subset of \mathbb{R}^n consisting of all linear combinations of the elements of S is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n and is called the **span** of S and is denoted by $\langle (S) \rangle$.

Proof that $\langle S \rangle$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n

• Closed under addition:

Let $u, v \in \langle S \rangle$. Then $u = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \cdots + a_kv_k$ and $v = b_1v_1 + b_2v_2 + \cdots + b_kv_k$ for some $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$. We see that:

$$u + v = (a_1 + b_1)v_1 + (a_2 + b_2)v_2 + \dots + (a_k + b_k)v_k$$

So S is closed under addition.

• Closed under scalar multiplication:

Let $u \in \langle S \rangle$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We need to show that cu is a linear combination of v_1, \ldots, v_k . We have $u = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \cdots + a_kv_k$ for some $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Then:

$$cu = c(a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_kv_k) = (ca_1)v_1 + (ca_2)v_2 + \dots + (ca_k)v_k$$

so $cu \in \langle S \rangle$.

3.4 Spanning sets

Definition 3.3

Let V be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

A subset S of V is a spanning set for V if $\langle S \rangle = V$.

This means that every element of V can be expressed as a linear combination of the elements of S.

\mathbf{E} xample

The set $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is a spanning set of \mathbb{R}^3 . We know that:

$$e_1 = [1, 0, 0], \quad e_2 = [0, 1, 0], \quad e_3 = [0, 0, 1]$$

We can represent every element of \mathbb{R}^3 as a linear combination of e_1, e_2, e_3 :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = 2e_1 - 3_2 + 4e_3$$

Remark A set S of three column vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 is a spanning set of \mathbb{R}^3 if and only if the three vectors are linearly independent. This occurs only if the 3×3 matrix whose columns are the three vectors has S as an inverse.

Questions about spanning sets

- Does \mathbb{R}^3 have a spanning set fewer than three vectors?
 - No. A spanning set for \mathbb{R}^3 must contain at least three linearly independent vectors, since the dimension of \mathbb{R}^3 is 3. Fewer than three vectors cannot span all of \mathbb{R}^3 .
- Does every spanning set of \mathbb{R}^3 have three vectors?
 - No. A spanning set can have more than three vectors, but not necessarily exactly three. Redundant vectors (linearly dependent ones) can be included, so a spanning set might have more than three vectors.
- Does every spanning set of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{H}}$ contain one with exactly three elements?
 - **Yes.** Every spanning set of \mathbb{R}^3 contains a basis, and since the dimension is 3, there exists a subset of exactly three linearly independent vectors that still span \mathbb{R}^3 .
- If V is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 does V have a spanning set with at most three elements?
 - Yes. Any subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 has a basis, and since \mathbb{R}^3 has dimension 3, the basis of any of its subspaces can have at most 3 elements. Hence, every subspace can be spanned by at most three vectors.
- If V is a proper subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 , does V have a spanning set with fewer than three elements?
 - Yes. A proper subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 has dimension less than 3, so it can be spanned by fewer than three vectors.

3.5 Linear Dependence and Linear Independence

Definition 3.4

A set of at least two vectors in \mathbb{R}^n is **linearly dependent** if one of its elements is a linear combination of the others.

A set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^n is **linearly independent** if it is not linearly dependent.

For a subset $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ of \mathbb{R}^n , suppose that v_k is a linear combination of $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}\}$. Then every linear combination of $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ is already a linear combination of v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1} :

$$\langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle = \langle v_1, \dots, v_{k-1} \rangle$$

If we are interested in the span of $\{v_1,\ldots,v_k\}$, we can throw away v_k and this wouldn't change the span.

Linear independence means that throwing away any element of the set shrinks the span

Example 3.1

The three equations of the system form a linearly dependent set. One row was eliminated by adding a linear combination of the other two rows. Thus, all the information in the system was contained in the first two equations.

The non-zero rows of the RREF are linearly independent, they span the rowspace of the matrix. The rowspace is the subspace of \mathbb{R}^5 spanned by the rows of the matrix.

3.5.1 Test for linear independence

A set is linearly independent if none of its elements is a linear combination of the others. While this makes sense, to use it as a test would mean checking every element. We have an alternative formulation, which is easier to check:

"A set of vectors is linearly independent if the only way to write the zero vector as a linear combination of the vectors in the set is to use all zero coefficients."

To decide if the set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ is linearly independent, try to write the zero vector as a linear combination of the vectors in the set:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i v_i = \alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_k v_k = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

If $\forall i \to a_i = 0$, then the set is linearly independent. If not, the set is linearly dependent.

Example 3.2

Decide whether the set $\{[1,0,1],[1,0,-1],[1,1,1]\}$ is linearly independent or dependent.

To solve, we use ERO and find:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad a = b = c = 0$$

The set is linearly independent

3.6 Finite Dimensional Spaces

Definition 3.5

A vector space V is finite dimensional if it contain a finite spanning set.

This means a set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ of elements, with the property that every element of V is a linear combination of v_1, \ldots, v_k .

Examples

- \mathbb{R}^n is finite dimensional with $\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}$ as a spanning set. The dimension of \mathbb{R}^n is n.
- $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ is finite dimensional, with $\{E_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$ as a spanning set with mn elements.
- An example of an infinite dimensional space is the set, $\mathbb{R}[x]$, of all polynomials with real coefficients. This set is infinite dimensional because it contains an infinite number of linearly independent vectors, such as $\{1, x, x^2, \ldots\}$.

3.7 Basis

Definition 3.6

A basis for a vector space is a linearly independent spanning set.

- A basis is a minimal spanning set, one in which every element is needed and does not contain a smaller spanning set.
- Example: $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{R}^n .
- $\{(1,3),(1,4)\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{R}^2 .
- If S is a finite spanning set of a vector space V, then S contains a basis of V. If S is not linearly independent, then some $v \in S$ is a linear combination of the other elements of S. Throwing away v leaves a smaller set that still spans V. This process can be repeated until a basis is obtained.

3.8 Steinitz Replacement Lemma

Lemma 3.1

Let V be a vector space that has a basis with n elements.

Then every linearly independent set with n elements in V is a basis for V.

Proof (for n=3)

Suppose $B = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ is a basis of V and let $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ be a linearly independent subset of V.

Step 1.

 $y_1 = a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + a_3b_3$ for scalars a_1, a_2, a_3 , not all zero. We can assume (after maybe relabelling the b_i), that $a_1 \neq 0$. Then

$$b_1 = a_1^{-1} y_1 - a_1^{-1} a_2 b_2 - a_1^{-1} a_3 b_3.$$

So $b_1 \in \langle y_1, b_2, b_3 \rangle$ and $\{y_1, b_2, b_3\}$ spans V. (Note that we have to use the fact that we can divide by non-zero scalars to write b_1 as a linear combination of y_1, b_2, b_3 .)

Step 2.

Now $y_2 \in \langle y_1, b_2, b_3 \rangle$ and y_2 is not a scalar multiple of y_1 (because $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ is linearly independent). So b_2 (or b_3) has non-zero coefficient in any description of y_2 as a linear combination of y_1, b_2, b_3 . Replace again: $\{y_1, y_2, b_2\}$ spans V.

Step 3. -

Same reasoning: we can replace b_2 with y_3 to conclude $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ spans V.

Conclusion: $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ is a basis of V.

3.9 Recap of span, linear independence and basis

Let V be a vector space, e.g. $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ and S be a finite subset of V. Let V be a vector space (e.g. $V = \mathbb{R}^n$). Let S be a (finite) subset of V.

- 1. S is a spanning set of V (or S spans V) if every element of V is a linear combination of the elements of S.
- 2. The span of S, denoted $\langle S \rangle$, is the set of all linear combinations of elements of S, a subspace of V.
- 3. S is linearly independent if no element of S is a linear combination of the other elements of S. Equivalently, if no proper subset of S spans $\langle S \rangle$.
- 4. S is a basis of V if S is linearly independent **AND** S spans V.

A basis is a minimal spanning set.

A basis is a maximal linearly independent set.

- 5. Every finite spanning set of V contains a basis of V.
- 6. Every linearly independent subset of V can be extended to a basis of V (we have not proved this yet!).

3.10 Consequences of the replacement theorem

Theorem 3.1

Let V be a vector space that has a basis with n elements.

Then ever linearly independent set with n elements in V is a basis for V.

If V has a spanning set with n elements, a linearly independent set in V cannot have more than n elements.

If V has a linearly independent set with n elements, a spanning set in V must have at least n elements. More concisely:

Concept 3.1

The number of elements of a linearly independent set cannot exceed the number in a spanning set. Every spanning set has at least as many elements as the biggest independent set.