

Laboratory Measures, Data Cleaning, and CKD

08 May 2017



A decorative graphic on the left side of the slide. It features a light beige background with a white silhouette of two hands cupping a heart. The hands are positioned on either side of the heart, with fingers slightly curled as if holding it gently. The heart is in the center, and the entire graphic is set against a larger, faint beige shape that resembles a shield or a stylized letter 'V'.

LABORATORY MEASURES

Problems with lab data

- What are laboratory measures?
- How do they change over time?
- When are they measured?



What are laboratory measures?

- A doctor orders a test and gets a value back.
- Generally speaking, this is the data available.
- For example, a clinician requests to know serum creatinine and receives the result of 1.0 mg/dL
- What's missing here?

Labs: Method

- Does the EHR report what method was used?
- In the serum creatinine example, there are two common methods:
 - Jaffe
 - Enzymatic
- These approaches can have different biases in general and in certain circumstances.
- Can yield differences in downstream analyses

“Measurement of Serum Creatinine – Current Status and Future Goals”

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1784008/>

Labs: Reference Range

- What is an appropriate value for this individual?
- There are generic ranges that are expected
 - For example, 0.7-1.1 mg/dL serum creatinine would be acceptable
- Often, this can vary on factors like age and sex
 - 0.7-1.3 mg/dL for men
 - 0.6-1.1 mg/dL for women
- Was it calculated at first?
- Was that calculation correct?
- Are there other factors in play?

Labs: Limit of detection

- There are frequently limits to how small or large a value can be detected by a method or reported by a laboratory system
- Was there really 0 creatinine?
- How was reaching that limit represented?
 - Simply listed at 0?
 - <LOD?

Labs: Error bounds

- The accuracy of a value may vary on a number of factors
- The actual method of the test:
 - Who performed the test?
 - Where was it performed?
- The variation in the individual:
 - Rhythms of lab values through the day
 - Were they really fasting?
 - Unmeasured covariates

Labs: Units

- The same lab result can be returned with a variety of format changes.
- Units can change over time:
 - “Silent” changes: g/L to mg/mL
- The result type can change as well:
 - Positive and negative for some antibody tests as compared to titer values.

Changes over time

- There are many reasons any of these lab factors can change
 - Can be broad changes over time
 - Can change within the day
- Dealing with these changes can be complicated
 - Normalization
 - Adjustment

Normalization

	Normalization	Adjustment
Method	Yes	Yes
Limit of detection	Lossy	Value + Limit
Error bounds	-	Weighting
Units	Yes	-
Result type	Yes	-



CLEANING DATA FOR REUSE

Overview

- All data types share some factors
- Electronic health records are designed for treating patients, not research
 - Standardization is not always the most important
 - Humans can understand some natural variation better than computers
- Patients visit when they want or need to
 - May be healthy for long stretches
 - May be in the hospital for long stretches

Billing code data

- Billing systems are used to collect money
 - Rates can be negotiated
 - Revise previous reported values
- Can track diseases over time
- Typically fairly clean, though there are revisions over time
- One notable error is trailing and leading zeroes

Laboratory measures

- Laboratory measures were just covered in detail
- Combining across names is a big concern
- Outliers in results can be problems
 - Sometimes they are real
 - Often they are typos or other errors
- Lab systems can report “junk” values
 - “Canceled”
 - “Ordered in Error”
 - “Specimen Insufficient”

Medications

- Medication data has two primary cleaning issues:
 - Missing information
 - Likelihood of treatment
- To completely identify a medication, one needs to consider:
 - Dose
 - Route
 - Strength
 - Frequency
 - Duration

Medication sources

- Prescription orders
 - Free text
 - Electronic ordering systems
- Administration records
- Clinical note text
 - Asking patients
 - Doctors mention potential medications
- Pharmacy fill data

Likelihood of treatment

- How likely is the patient to have truly taken a medication?
- Was it mentioned as option or prescribed?
- Did the individual actually acquire the drug?
- Did they take it as directed?
- Did they take it at all?
- What if it was PRN?

Problem Lists

- How reliable is what's found here?
- Lag in updates
- Missing information



Clinical Notes

- Having the raw note text can be straight forward
- Cleaning may include:
 - HTML tags used in formatting the note
 - Splitting sections
- Normalizing note types
 - How hard is it to identify all CT scans?
 - How hard is it to identify all scans of the abdomen?

Other systems

- Are there other “silos” of data?
- Local registries
- National registries
- Clinical research repositories



A stylized graphic in the bottom-left corner shows two hands, one light yellow and one white, cupping a white heart. The background of the slide is white with a gold gradient at the top.

STUDY ON CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE

CKDGen

- We were looking to participate in a consortium studying the genetics of Chronic Kidney Disease
- The study focused primarily on laboratory measures of kidney function:
 - Serum creatinine
 - Urine creatinine
 - Urine albumin
 - BUN
 - Uric Acid
- Several covariates
 - Hypertension
 - Gout
 - Diabetes

Clean lab values

- First off, I normalized laboratory measures
- Find and map lab tests to the study measures needed
- Clean out spurious results, eg “error values”
- Normalize the units for each test

Lab inclusion: outpatient only

- Due to the complications in inpatient data, we wanted to only select outpatient data
- Any lab value within an inpatient admission was removed
- Additionally, we removed those within 7 days of an inpatient stay
 - Could be leading to admission
 - The inpatient encounter may not be recorded completely

Lab inclusion: Altered status

- We also need to remove lab measures when an individual has had a kidney transplant or is on dialysis
- Remove values from two years prior or later from the first evidence of either
- Kidney Transplant Criteria
 - CPT of ('50360','50365')
 - ICD VCode 'V42.0'
- Dialysis Criteria
 - ICD VCode V56 or V45.1 or any of their child codes
 - ICD10 code Z99.2
 - CPT of ('90935','90937','90945','90947','90993')

Identifying confounding conditions

- For hypertension, selected the first date for either a code or medication.
- Individuals needed a hypertension ICD code (401.* and I10.*) AND any anti-hypertensive medication.
- For gout, the first date for one of the following codes: ICD9: ('274.0', '274.1', '274.8', '274.9') and ICD10: ('M10.0', 'M10.3', 'M10.4', 'M10.9')
- For diabetes, it was the first date of any 2 of the following:
 - Billing codes for any diabetes
 - Any medication for treating diabetes
 - Hemoglobin A1c meeting criteria

Inclusion

- Any individual with:
 - At least one non-excluded lab
 - Genotype data
- The first measure was selected as the baseline
- If there was a measure at least 2 years after baseline, that was used as a followup
- Previously had used median values

Using the measures

- eGFR was calculated using an adjusted method
- Some measures were analyzed using a residual approach
 - A regression model was fit predicting the measure using age, sex, and race
 - The difference between the predicted value and observed value (residual) was used
 - This value is essentially “How high or low is this person based on what we would expect given their age, sex, and race”
- Stratified based on diabetes status (and a merged test)