4 Module Theory

4.1 Definitions and Examples

This section of notes roughly follows Section 10.1 in Dummit and Foote.

Let's start with the definition of a module.

Definition 4.1. Let *R* be a ring (not necessarily commutative nor with 1). A **left** *R***-module** (or **left module over** *R*) is a set *M* together with

- (1) a binary operation + on M under which M is an abelian group, and
- (2) an action of R on M (that is, $R \times M \to M$) denoted by rm, for all $r \in R$ and for all $m \in M$ that satisfies.
 - (a) (r+s)m = rm + sm for all $r, s \in R$ and $m \in M$,
 - (b) (rs)m = r(sm) for all $r, s \in R$ and $m \in M$, and
 - (c) r(m+n) = rm + rn all $r \in R$ and $m, n \in M$.
 - (d) If R has a 1, then we also require: 1m = m for all $m \in M$.

We analogously define **right** R-**modules**. If R is commutative and M is a left R-module, then we can make it a right R-module by defining mr = rm for all $r \in R$ and $m \in M$. Notice that we cannot do this in general if R is not commutative since Axiom (2b) may fail. Unless we explicitly say otherwise, all modules will be left modules. Modules satisfying Axiom (2d) are call **unital modules**. We will assume that all our modules are unital.

The axioms for a module should look familiar. If *R* is a field, the axioms are precisely those for a vector space over *R*.

We emphasize that an abelian group M may have many different R-module structures for a fixed ring R (in the same way a group G could act in many ways as a permutation group of some fixed set S).

Definition 4.2. Let R be a ring and let M be an R-module. An R-submodule of M is a subgroup N of M that is closed under the action of ring elements, i.e., $rn \in N$ for all $r \in R$ and $n \in N$.

As expected, submodules of M are just subsets of M that are themselves modules under the same action. In particular, if R is a field, submodules are just vector subspaces. Every R-module has at least two submodules: M and $\{0\}$. The latter is often written as just 0 and called the **trivial submodule**.

Example 4.3. Let's see some examples.

- (1) Let R be any ring. Then M = R is a left R-module, where the action of a ring element on a module element is just usual ring multiplication. In this case, the submodules of M = R are the left ideals of R.
- (2) A special case of the first example is what *R* is a field. Then *R* is 1-dimensional vector space over itself.

(3) More generally, if R = F is a field, every vector space over F is an F-module and vice versa. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and let

$$F^n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_i \in F \text{ for all } i\}.$$

We can make F^n into an n-dimensional vector space by defining addition and scalar multiplication in the standard way.

(4) Let *R* be a ring with 1 and let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. As above, define

$$R^n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_i \in R \text{ for all } i\}.$$

We can make R^n an R-module by defining addition and multiplication by elements of R in the same manner as when R was a field. The module R^n is called the **free module of rank** n **over** R.

- (5) The same abelian group M may have the structure of a module for several different rings R. In particular, if M is an R-module and S is a subring of R with $1_R = 1_S$, then M is automatically an S-module. For example, the field \mathbb{R} is an \mathbb{R} -module, a \mathbb{Q} -module, and a \mathbb{Z} -module.
- (6) If M is an R-module and for some 2-sided ideal I of R, am = 0 for all $a \in I$ and $m \in M$, we say M is **annihilated by** I. In this case, we can make M into an (R/I)-module by defining an action of the quotient ring R/I on M. For each $m \in M$ and coset $r + I \in R/I$, define

$$(r+I)m=rm$$
.

Since am = 0 for all $a \in I$ and $m \in M$, this is well-defined. In the special case that I is a maximal ideal in a commutative ring R and IM = 0, M is a vector space over the field R/I.

- (7) \mathbb{Z} -modules...
- (8) F[x]-modules...

Theorem 4.4 (Submodule Criterion). Let R be a ring and let M be an R-module. A subset N of M is a submodule of M iff

- (1) $N \neq \emptyset$, and
- (2) $x + ry \in N$ for all $r \in R$ and $x, y \in N$.