

# 2 Field Theory

This chapter loosely follows Chapter 13 of Dummit and Foote.

## 2.1 Field Extensions

We begin with a definition that you encountered on a previous homework problem.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring with  $1 \neq 0$ . We define the **characteristic** of  $R$ , denoted  $\text{Char}(R)$ , to be the smallest positive integer  $n$  such that  $n \cdot 1_R = 0$  if such an  $n$  exists and to be 0 otherwise.

Note that  $n \cdot 1_R$  is an shorthand for

$$\underbrace{1_R + \cdots + 1_R}_{n \text{ terms}}$$

The integer  $n$  may not even be in  $R$ .

**Example 2.2.** Here are a few quick examples.

- (1) The characteristic of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is  $n$ . In particular, if  $p$  is prime, then the field  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  has characteristic  $p$ . The polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[x]$  also has characteristic  $n$ .
- (2) The ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  has characteristic 0.
- (3) The fields  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\mathbb{C}$  all have characteristic 0.
- (4) If  $F$  is a field with characteristic 0, then  $F[x]$  has characteristic 0.

The next theorem tells us what the possible characteristics are for integral domains.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain. Then  $\text{Char}(R)$  is either 0 or a prime  $p$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** If  $R$  is an integral domain such that  $\text{Char}(R) = p$  ( $p$  prime), then

$$p \cdot \alpha = \underbrace{\alpha + \cdots + \alpha}_{p \text{ terms}} = 0.$$

**Theorem 2.5.** The characteristic of an integral domain is the same as its field of fractions.

It turns out that if  $F$  is a field,  $F$  either contains a subfield isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  depending on whether  $\text{Char}(F)$  is 0 or  $p$  (for  $p$  prime). To see why this is true, define  $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow F$  via  $\phi(n) = n \cdot 1_F$ , where we interpret  $(-n) \cdot 1_F = -(n \cdot 1_F)$  for positive  $n$  and  $0 \cdot 1_F = 0$ . Then  $\ker(\phi) = \text{Char}(F)\mathbb{Z}$ . The First Isomorphism Theorem for Rings tells us that there is an injection of either  $\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  into  $F$ . This implies that  $F$  either contains a subfield isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , depending on the characteristic of  $F$ . In either case, this subfield is the smallest subfield containing  $1_F$ , which we call the **subfield generated by  $1_F$** .

The next definition makes sense in light of the discussion above.

**Definition 2.6.** The **prime subfield** of a field  $F$  is the subfield generated by  $1_F$  (i.e., the smallest subfield of  $F$  containing  $1_F$ ).

Note that the prime subfield of  $F$  is isomorphic to either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example 2.7.** Here are a couple quick examples.

- (1) The prime subfield of both  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- (2) The prime subfield of the field of rational functions with coefficients from the field  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  (denoted  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}(x)$ ) is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 2.8.** If  $K$  is a field containing the subfield  $F$ , then  $K$  is said to be an **extension field** (or simply an **extension**) of  $F$ , denoted  $K/F$  and read “ $K$  over  $F$ ” (not be confused with quotients!). The field  $F$  is called the **base field** of the extension.

Note that every field is an extension of its prime subfield.

**Note 2.9.** If  $K/F$  is a field extension, then we can interpret  $K$  as a vector space over  $F$ . In this case,  $K$  is the set of vectors and the scalars are coming from  $F$ .

**Definition 2.10.** The **degree** (or **index**) of a field extension  $K/F$ , denoted  $[K : F]$ , is the dimension of  $K$  as a vector space over  $F$  (i.e.,  $[K : F] = \dim_F(K)$ ).

**Example 2.11.** For example,  $[\mathbb{C} : \mathbb{R}] = 2$ .

If we are given a polynomial  $p(x)$  in  $F[x]$ , it is possible that  $p(x)$  does not have any roots in  $F$ . It is natural to wonder if there is an extension  $K$  of  $F$  such that  $p(x)$  has roots in  $K$ .

For example, consider the polynomial  $x^2 + 1$  in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ . We know that this polynomial does not have a root in  $\mathbb{R}$ . However, this polynomial has roots in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Note that given any polynomial  $p(x)$  in  $F[x]$ , any root of a factor of  $p(x)$  is also a root of  $p(x)$ . It is enough to consider the case where  $p(x)$  is irreducible.

**Theorem 2.12.** Let  $F$  be a field and let  $p(x) \in F[x]$  be an irreducible polynomial. Then there exists a field  $K$  containing an isomorphic copy of  $F$  in which  $p(x)$  has a root. Identifying  $F$  with this isomorphic copy shows that there exists an extension of  $F$  in which  $p(x)$  has a root.

In the proof of the above theorem, we took  $K = F[x]/(p(x))$  (where  $p(x)$  is irreducible). Since  $F$  is a subfield of  $K$ , there is a basis of  $K$  as a vector space over  $F$ . The next theorem makes this explicit.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let  $F$  be a field and let  $p(x) \in F[x]$  be an irreducible polynomial of degree  $n$  over  $F$  and let  $K = F[x]/(p(x))$ . Define  $\theta = x \bmod (p(x)) \in K$ . Then the elements  $1, \theta, \theta^2, \dots, \theta^{n-1}$  are a basis for  $K$  as a vector space over  $F$ . In particular,  $[K : F] = n$  and

$$K = \{a_0 + a_1\theta + \dots + a_{n-1}\theta^{n-1} \mid a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in F\},$$

which is the set of all polynomials of degree less than  $n$  in  $\theta$ .

The previous theorem provides a nice description of the elements in  $K = F[x]/(p(x))$  ( $p(x)$  irreducible). Adding these elements is as simple as adding like terms. However, in order to be a ring, we also need to be able to multiply. The next corollary gives us some assistance in doing this.

**Corollary 2.14.** Let  $K$  be as in the previous theorem and let  $a(\theta), b(\theta) \in K$  be two polynomials in  $\theta$  of degree less than  $n$ . Then  $a(\theta)b(\theta) = r(\theta)$ , where  $r(x)$  is the remainder of degree less than  $n$  obtained after dividing the polynomial  $a(x)b(x)$  by  $p(x)$  in  $F[x]$ .

**Example 2.15.** Here are a few examples.

- (1) Let  $p(x) = x^2 + 1$ . Since  $p(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{R}$  and of degree 2,  $\mathbb{R}[x]/(p(x))$  is a field extension of  $\mathbb{R}$  of degree 2 by Theorem 2.13. In a recent homework assignment, you proved that  $\mathbb{R}[x]/(p(x))$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$  (which has a basis of rank 2 over  $\mathbb{R}$ ). As expected,  $p(x)$  has a root in  $\mathbb{C}$ . The elements of  $\mathbb{R}[x]/(p(x))$  are of the form  $a + b\theta$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Addition is defined by

$$(a + b\theta) + (c + d\theta) = (a + c) + (b + d)\theta.$$

To multiply, we use the fact that  $\theta^2 + 1 = 0$ , or equivalently  $\theta^2 = -1$ . Note that  $-1$  is the remainder when  $x^2$  is divided by  $x^2 + 1$  in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}(a + b\theta)(c + d\theta) &= ac + (ad + bc)\theta + bd\theta^2 \\ &= ac + (ad + bc)\theta - bd \\ &= (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)\theta\end{aligned}$$

This shouldn't come as a surprise as this is exactly how we add and multiply in  $\mathbb{C}$  where we swap out  $\theta$  for  $i$ . In other words, the map from  $\mathbb{R}[x]/(p(x))$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  defined by  $a + b\theta \mapsto a + bi$  is an isomorphism. In fact, we could have defined  $\mathbb{C}$  exactly as  $\mathbb{R}[x]/(p(x))$  (which shows that imaginary numbers aren't so imaginary).

- (2) In the example above, we could replace  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\mathbb{Q}$  to obtain the field extension  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  degree 2 containing a root  $i$  of  $x^2 + 1$ .
- (3) Let  $p(x) = x^2 - 2$ . Then  $p(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by Eisenstein's Criterion (with prime 2). We obtain a field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degree 2 containing a square root  $\theta$  of 2, denoted  $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ . If we denote  $\theta$  by  $\sqrt{2}$ , the elements of this field are of the form  $a + b\sqrt{2}$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ . In this case, addition and multiplication are defined as expected.
- (4) More coming soon...