

Recall: Linear Functions and Bases

Proposition 1 Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field and let  $V_1, V_2$  be vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Suppose  $B \subseteq V_1$  is a basis of  $V_1$ , and consider a function

$$\varphi: B \rightarrow V_2.$$

Then there is a unique linear map  $\ell: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  that extends  $\varphi$  (in other words, satisfying  
for every  $\bar{v} \in B$   $\ell(\bar{v}) = \varphi(\bar{v})$ ).

Question What can the function  $\varphi$  tell us about whether the linear map  $\ell$  has particularly nice properties, or not?

Proposition 2 (given as a HW4 Problem) Let  $\mathbb{F}, V_1, V_2$  and  $B \subseteq V_1$  be as before, and consider functions

$$\varphi, \psi: B \rightarrow V_2.$$

Write  $\ell$  and  $\ell'$  for the unique linear extensions from  $V_1$  to  $V_2$  of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  respectively.

(I)  $\ell$  is injective if and only if

$\varphi$  is injective and the set  $\{\varphi(\bar{v}): \bar{v} \in B\}$  is a linearly independent subset of  $V_2$

(or in other words if and only if any two images of  $\varphi$  (corresponding to different inputs) are different and the set of images of  $\varphi$  is a linearly independent subset of  $V_2$ ).

(II)  $\ell'$  is surjective if and only if

the set  $\{\psi(\bar{v}): \bar{v} \in B\}$  is a spanning set of  $V_2$ .

Corollary 1 Let  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$  and  $B \subseteq V_1$  be as before and consider  $\varphi: B \rightarrow V_2$  and its unique linear extension  $\ell: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ .

We have that

$\ell$  is a linear isomorphism (that is, it is a bijection) if and only if the set  $E = \{\varphi(\bar{v}) : \bar{v} \in B\}$  is a basis of  $V_2$  and  $\varphi: B \rightarrow E$  is a bijection.

Corollary 2 Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field, and let  $V_1, V_2$  be vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then  $V_1, V_2$  are isomorphic if and only if their dimensions over  $\mathbb{F}$  are equal.

Proof Assume first that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V_1 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V_2.$$

We need to define an isomorphism  $\ell: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ , or in other words a linear map  $\ell: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  that is also bijective.

Consider a basis  $B$  of  $V_1$  and a basis  $E$  of  $V_2$ . Since  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V_1 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V_2$ , the sets  $B$  and  $E$  have the same size (the same cardinality), and thus there exists a bijection  $\varphi: B \rightarrow E$ .

Clearly, we can also view  $\varphi$  as a function from  $B$  to  $V_2$ . But then, by Proposition 1, there is a unique linear map  $\ell: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  extending  $\varphi$ .

Also, by Corollary 1 to Proposition 2,  $\ell$  is bijective, and thus a linear isomorphism.

We conclude that  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are isomorphic in this case.

Assume now that we know  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are isomorphic. Then by definition there exists a bijective linear map  $\ell: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ .

Also we can find a basis  $B$  of  $V_1$ .

From  $f$  we can obtain a function  $\varphi: B \rightarrow V_2$  by setting  $\varphi(\bar{v}):=f(\bar{v})$  for every  $\bar{v} \in B$ .

But then  $f$  is a linear map from  $V_1$  to  $V_2$  that extends  $\varphi$ , thus  $f$  is the unique linear extension of  $\varphi$ . We then obtain by Corollary 1 that,

since  $f$  is a linear isomorphism,

the set  $\{\varphi(\bar{v}): \bar{v} \in B\}$  must be a basis of  $V_2$

and  $\varphi: B \rightarrow E$  must be a bijection.

This shows that  $B$  and  $E$  have the same size, or in other words that  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  have bases of the same size.

We conclude that  $\dim_F V_1 = \dim_F V_2$ .

Corollary 3 (Special case of Corollary 2) Let  $F$  be a field. Every finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  over  $F$  is isomorphic to some space  $F^k$  for some  $k \geq 1$ , or  $V$  has dimension 0.

Proof Either  $\dim_F V = 0$  or it is equal to some positive integer  $k$  (since  $V$  is assumed to be finite-dimensional). In the latter case,  $V$  and  $F^k$  have the same dimension over  $F$ , thus by Corollary 2 we can find a linear isomorphism  $f: V \rightarrow F^k$ .

Recall from last Times

Proposition 1 let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field and let  $V_1, V_2$  be vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Suppose  $B \subseteq V_1$  is a basis of  $V_1$ , and consider a function  $\varphi: B \rightarrow V_2$ .

Then there is a unique linear map  $\ell: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  that extends  $\varphi$  (in other words, satisfying  $\ell(\bar{v}) = \varphi(\bar{v})$  for every  $\bar{v} \in B$ ).

Based on this Proposition and Proposition 2 we obtained:

Corollary Let  $V_1, V_2$  be two vector spaces over the same field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are isomorphic if and only if  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V_1 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V_2$ .

In particular, if  $V_1$  is finite-dimensional, then either  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V_1 = 0$  or  $V_1$  is isomorphic to some  $\mathbb{F}^k$  for some  $k \geq 1$ .

The corollary allows us to give a full proof of Main Thm E in the case of finite-dimensional spaces.

Main Theorem E Let  $V_1, V_2$  be vector spaces over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Consider a linear map  $g: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ . Then  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Range}(g) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Ker}(g) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V_1$ .

Proof of Main Thm E in the case that  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are both finite-dimensional:

Assume  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V_1 < \infty$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V_2 < \infty$ .

We first deal with the very simple cases where one of  $V_1, V_2$  has dimension 0.

If  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V_1 = 0$ , then  $V_1 = \{\bar{0}_{V_1}\}$ , and hence  $\text{Range}(g) = \{\bar{0}_{V_2}\}$  and  $\text{Ker}(g) = V_1$ . Thus

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Range}(g) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Ker}(g) = 0 + 0 = 0 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V_1$$

in this case.

If  $\dim_F V_2 = 0$ , then  $V_2 = \{0\}$  and hence  $\text{Range}(g) = V_2 = \{0\}$  and  $\text{Ker}(g) = V_1$ . Thus

$$\dim_F \text{Range}(g) + \dim_F \text{Ker}(g) = 0 + \dim_F V_1 = \dim_F V_1.$$

Finally, assume that  $\dim_F V_1 = n$  for some  $n \geq 1$  and  $\dim_F V_2 = m$  for some  $m \geq 1$ . Then  $V_1 \cong F^n$  and  $V_2 \cong F^m$ , and thus we can find bijective linear maps  $h_1: V_1 \rightarrow F^n$  and  $h_2: V_2 \rightarrow F^m$ .

Consider now the function  $l = h_2 \circ g \circ h_1^{-1}: F^n \rightarrow F^m$ . Then we have seen that this  $l$  is linear.

Recall also that we have already proved Main Thm E for linear maps from  $F^n$  to  $F^m$ , and thus we have

$$\dim_F \text{Range}(l) + \dim_F \text{Ker}(l) = \dim_F F^n = \dim_F V_1.$$

Therefore, we can get the desired conclusion if we now show that  $\dim_F \text{Range}(l) = \dim_F \text{Range}(g)$ ,  
 $\dim_F \text{Ker}(l) = \dim_F \text{Ker}(g)$ .

For what  $\bar{z} \in F^m$  do we have  $\bar{z} \in \text{Range}(l)$ ?

There must exist  $\bar{x} \in F^n$  such that

$$\bar{z} = l(\bar{x}) = (h_2 \circ g \circ h_1^{-1})(\bar{x}) = (h_2 \circ g)(h_1^{-1}(\bar{x})) = h_2(g(h_1^{-1}(\bar{x})))$$

$\Rightarrow$  there must exist some  $\bar{y} \in V_1$ ,  $\bar{y} = h_1^{-1}(\bar{x})$ , such that  
 $\bar{z} = h_2(g(\bar{y}))$

$\Rightarrow$  there must exist some  $\bar{w} \in \text{Range}(g)$ ,  $\bar{w} = g(\bar{y})$ , such that  
 $\bar{z} = h_2(\bar{w})$

Thus  $\bar{z} \in \{h_2(\bar{w}) : \bar{w} \in \text{Range}(g)\}$  and  $\text{Range}(l) \subseteq \{h_2(\bar{w}) : \bar{w} \in \text{Range}(g)\}$

Conversely, let  $\bar{u} \in \text{Range}(g)$ . Then there exists  $\bar{v} \in V_1$  such that  $\bar{u} = g(\bar{v})$ , and thus we can write

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(\bar{u}) &= h_2(g(\bar{v})) = (h_2 \circ g)(\bar{v}) = h_2 \circ g(h_1^{-1}(h_1(\bar{v}))) \\ &= (h_2 \circ g \circ h_1^{-1})(h_1(\bar{v})) \in \underset{\substack{\text{element of } F^n \\ \text{Range}(l)}}{\text{Range}(h_2 \circ g \circ h_1^{-1})} \\ &\quad \underset{\substack{\text{Range}(l)}}{=} \text{Range}(l). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\{h_2(\bar{u}) : \bar{u} \in \text{Range}(g)\} \subseteq \text{Range}(l)$ , and we can conclude that  $\{h_2(\bar{u}) : \bar{u} \in \text{Range}(g)\} = \text{Range}(l)$ .

But then  $h_2|_{\text{Range}(g)} : \text{Range}(g) \rightarrow \text{Range}(l)$   
 $\qquad \qquad \qquad \text{Subspace of } V_2$

is a well-defined linear map, and it is surjective.  
 It is also injective as the restriction to a subspace of  $V_2$  of the injective function  $h_2 : V_2 \rightarrow F^m$ .

Thus  $h_2|_{\text{Range}(g)} : \text{Range}(g) \rightarrow \text{Range}(l)$  is a linear isomorphism, and thus by the corollary we know that  $\dim_F \text{Range}(g) = \dim_F \text{Range}(l)$ .

Similarly, we try to find a linear isomorphism from  $\text{Ker}(l)$  to  $\text{Ker}(g)$ .

For what  $\bar{q} \in F^n$  do we have  $\bar{q} \in \text{Ker}(l)$ ?

We must have  $(h_2 \circ g \circ h_1^{-1})(\bar{q}) = l(\bar{q}) = \bar{0}_{F^m}$ .

But then  $(h_2 \circ g)(h_1^{-1}(\bar{q})) = \bar{0}_{F^m} \Rightarrow h_2(g(h_1^{-1}(\bar{q}))) = \bar{0}_{F^m} \Rightarrow g(h_1^{-1}(\bar{q})) = h_1^{-1}(\bar{0}_{F^m}) = \bar{0}_{V_2} \Rightarrow h_1^{-1}(\bar{q}) \in \text{Ker}(g)$ .

Thus  $\{h_1^{-1}(\bar{y}) : \bar{y} \in \ker(l)\} \subseteq \ker(g)$ .

Conversely, if  $\bar{u} \in \ker(g)$ , then  $g(\bar{u}) = \bar{0}_{V_2} \Rightarrow (h_2 \circ g)(\bar{u}) = h_2(g(\bar{u})) = h_2(\bar{0}_{V_2}) = \bar{0}_{F^m} \Rightarrow (h_2 \circ g)(h_1^{-1}(h_1(\bar{u}))) = \bar{0}_{F^m} \Rightarrow (h_2 \circ g \circ h_1^{-1})(h_1(\bar{u})) = \bar{0}_{F^m}$

$\Rightarrow h_1(\bar{u}) \in \ker(h_2 \circ g \circ h_1^{-1}) = \ker(l)$  and hence  
 $\bar{u} = h_1^{-1}(h_1(\bar{u})) \in \{h_1^{-1}(\bar{y}) : \bar{y} \in \ker(l)\}$ .

Thus,  $\ker(g) \subseteq \{h_1^{-1}(\bar{y}) : \bar{y} \in \ker(l)\}$  as well, and we can conclude that

$$\ker(g) = \{h_1^{-1}(\bar{y}) : \bar{y} \in \ker(l)\}.$$

But then  $h_1^{-1}|_{\ker(l)} : \ker(l) \rightarrow \ker(g)$   
↑  
Subspace  
of  $F^n$

is a well-defined linear map, and it is also surjective. Moreover, it is injective as restriction of the injective function  $h_1^{-1} : F^n \rightarrow V_1$ . Thus  $h_1^{-1}|_{\ker(l)} : \ker(l) \rightarrow \ker(g)$  is a linear isomorphism, which

allows us to conclude that  $\dim_F \ker(l) = \dim_F \ker(g)$ .

Combining all the above now, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_F V_1 &= \dim_F F^n = \dim_F \text{Range}(l) + \dim_F \ker(l) \\ &= \dim_F \text{Range}(g) + \dim_F \ker(g). \end{aligned}$$