

MATH 227

April 8 (1)

Last time we discussed the notion of a change of basis matrix.  
What is its main use?

It allows us to consider other matrix representations of a linear map  $g: \mathbb{F}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^3$  that may be "nicer" and easier to work with than the standard matrix representation.

What does "nicer" mean here?

Suppose the standard matrix representation of a linear map  $g: \mathbb{F}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^3$  is the matrix

$$A_g = \begin{pmatrix} g(\bar{e}_1) & g(\bar{e}_2) & g(\bar{e}_3) \end{pmatrix}$$

which has, say, 3 eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{F}$ , and suppose  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  are eigenvectors of  $A_g$  corresponding to  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  respectively, and that  $\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \bar{v}_3$  are linearly independent.

Then the set  $\bar{e} = \{\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \bar{v}_3\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{F}^3$ .  
Write also SB for the standard basis  $\{\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2, \bar{e}_3\}$  of  $\mathbb{F}^3$ .

Question 1 What is the change of basis matrix  $P_{e \rightarrow SB}$ ?

We should have  $\bar{u}_1 \bar{e} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\bar{u}_1} \bar{J}_{SB}$ ,  
 and similarly  $\bar{u}_2 \bar{e} \xrightarrow{\bar{u}_2} \bar{J}_{SB}$ ,  $\bar{u}_3 \bar{e} \xrightarrow{\bar{u}_3} \bar{J}_{SB}$ , thus  
 $\bar{P}_{e \rightarrow SB} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u}_1 \bar{J}_{SB} & \bar{u}_2 \bar{J}_{SB} & \bar{u}_3 \bar{J}_{SB} \end{pmatrix}$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u}_1 & \bar{u}_2 & \bar{u}_3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(note that, for every  $\bar{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^3$ ,  $\bar{x} \bar{J}_{SB} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \bar{x}$ )

Question 2 What are the entries of the matrix

$$\bar{B}_8 = (\bar{P}_{e \rightarrow SB})^{-1} A_8 \bar{P}_{e \rightarrow SB} = \bar{P}_{SB} \bar{e} A_8 \bar{P}_{e \rightarrow SB}?$$

Let us try to see how the matrix  $\bar{B}_8$  acts on the standard basis vectors  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{B}_8 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} &= (\bar{P}_{SB} \bar{e} A_8 \bar{P}_{e \rightarrow SB}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = (\bar{P}_{SB} \bar{e} A_8) \bar{u}_1 \\ &= (\bar{P}_{SB} \bar{e} A_8) (\bar{P}_{e \rightarrow SB} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}) = (\bar{P}_{SB} \bar{e} A_8) \bar{u}_1 \\ &= \bar{P}_{SB} \bar{e} (A_8 \bar{u}_1) \stackrel{\text{↑}}{=} \bar{P}_{SB} \bar{e} \bar{u}_1 \quad \text{↑ } \bar{u}_1 \text{ eigenvector of } A_8 \\ &\stackrel{\text{↑}}{=} \bar{P}_{SB} \bar{e} \bar{u}_1 \bar{J}_{SB} = A_8 \bar{u}_1 \bar{J}_{SB} = A_8 \bar{u}_1 / 0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Col}_1(\bar{B}_8) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly we can see that

MATH 227

April 8 ②

$$B_g \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = A_2 \left( P_{SB} \rightarrow e \text{lin}_2 \right)_{SB} = A_2 \bar{x} \bar{e} = A_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ A_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \text{Col}_2(B_g) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ A_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } B_g \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ A_3 \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \text{Col}_3(B_g) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ A_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Putting everything together, we see that

$$B_g = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is a diagonal matrix}$$

and its diagonal entries are the eigenvalues of  $A_g$ .

Question 3 How is the matrix  $B_g$  related to the matrix  $A_g$ ?

We see that there exists an invertible matrix  $E$  such that  $B_g = E^{-1} A_g E$ . Indeed,  $E = P_E$  here.

Recall that we then say  $A_g$  and  $B_g$  are similar.

Question 4 How is the matrix  $B_g$  related to the linear map  $g : \mathbb{F}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^3$ ?

For every  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{F}^3$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x} \bar{e} &\mapsto B_g (\bar{x} \bar{e}) = (P_{SB} \rightarrow e \text{lin}_2)_{SB} (\bar{x} \bar{e}) \\ &= (P_{SB} \rightarrow e \text{lin}_2 (A_g \bar{x})) = P_{SB} \rightarrow e (g(\bar{x})) = g(\bar{x}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $B_g$  is another matrix representation of the linear

map  $\varphi$  as long as we now identify vectors in  $\mathbb{F}^3$  with their coefficient column vectors with respect to the basis  $E = \{\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \bar{v}_3\}$ .

Terminology Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field, and let  $A$  be

a matrix in  $\mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ .

- We say that  $A$  is diagonalisable if there exists a diagonal matrix  $D$  in  $\mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  such that  $A$  and  $D$  are similar (that is, so that  $A = \Gamma^{-1}D\Gamma$  for some invertible matrix  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ ).

- We say that  $A$  is (upper) triangularisable if there exists an upper triangular matrix  $U$  in  $\mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  such that  $A$  and  $U$  are similar. (That is, so that  $A = E^{-1}UE$  for some invertible matrix  $E$  in  $\mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ ).

Natural Question Now under what conditions is a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  diagonalisable or upper triangularisable?

By adapting in the most logical way the above discussion we had for  $\mathbb{F}^3$  to the general case of  $\mathbb{F}^n$ , we see that  $A$  would be diagonalisable if we could find a basis  $e$  of  $\mathbb{F}^n$  consisting only of eigenvectors of  $A$ .

In fact the converse is also true:

MATH 227

April 8 (3)

Theorem 1 A matrix  $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  is diagonalisable if and only if there exists a basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{F}^n$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $A$ .

In fact, if the latter is true, we can also check that the matrix

$$D := (\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}})^{-1} A \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}}$$

is diagonal.

Second Natural Question: When can we find a basis of  $\mathbb{F}^n$  consisting only of eigenvectors of a given matrix  $A$ ?

Recall the following Homework Problem from HW3:  
Prob Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  be distinct eigenvalues of  $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  and let  $\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \dots, \bar{v}_k$  be eigenvectors corresponding to them. Then the set  
$$\{\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \dots, \bar{v}_k\}$$
 is linearly independent.

Remark following from this problem:

If  $A$  has  $m$  distinct eigenvalues  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$ , then any eigenvectors  $\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \dots, \bar{v}_m$  corresponding to them will form a linearly independent subset of  $\mathbb{F}^n$  of size  $m$ , thus a basis of  $\mathbb{F}^n$ .

→ Corollary 1 If a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  has  $n$  distinct eigenvalues, then  $A$  is diagonalisable.

Another very important condition in the case of inner product spaces:

Definitions I) A matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is called symmetric if  $A^T = A$ .

II) A matrix  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is called Hermitian if  $B^* = B$ .  
Alternative Terminology A symmetric or Hermitian matrix is sometimes also called self-adjoint.

III) A matrix  $O \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  whose columns form an orthonormal set (wrt the standard inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) is called orthogonal.

In that case, we can prove that we have that  $O$  is invertible and  $O^{-1} = O^T$ .

IV) A matrix  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  whose columns form an orthonormal set (wrt the standard inner product on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ) is called unitary.

In that case, we can prove that we have that  $U$  is invertible and  $U^{-1} = U^*$ .

We can now state the following theorem.

Spectral Theorem I) (Real case) Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a symmetric matrix. Then there exists an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consisting only of eigenvectors of  $A$ .

Thus  $A$  is diagonalisable, and moreover the change of basis matrix  $T_{\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}}$  that we need so that

MATH 227

April 8 (4)

$$D = (P \xrightarrow{\text{E}} S) \xrightarrow{\text{-A} \cdot T} Q \xrightarrow{\text{S}}$$

will be diagonal if orthogonal (since its columns  
are the elements of the set  $e$ ).  
Thus we have  $D = P A T$  is diagonal.

III) (Complex case) Let  $B \in \mathbb{C}^n$  be a Hermitian matrix. Then there exists an orthonormal basis  $e$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  consisting only of eigenvectors of  $B$ . Thus  $B$  is diagonalisable, and moreover the change of basis matrix  $Q \xrightarrow{\text{E}} S$  that we need so that  $D = (Q \xrightarrow{\text{E}} S) \xrightarrow{\text{-B} \cdot Q} Q \xrightarrow{\text{S}}$

will be diagonal if unitary.  
Thus we have  $D = Q^* B Q$  is diagonal.