

# Homework 02: Binary Heaps

Roberto Corti

July 9, 2020

## Exercise 1

**Implement the array-based representation of binary heap together with the functions `HEAPMIN`, `REMOVE MIN`, `HEAPIFY`, `BUILD HEAP`, `DECREASE KEY` and `INSERT VALUE`.**

The array-based representation of a binary heap is implemented in the file `src/binheap.c`.

First of all I defined `total_order_type` in order to make an object able to express an ordering criterion inside the heap. Then, I create a struct `binheap_type` in which it is stored:

- `A` : a void pointer that points array used to store heaps nodes.
- `num_of_elem`: a variable used to store the number of nodes.
- `max_size`: a variable used to store the maximum number of nodes.
- `key_size`: a variable used to store the size of the data type of the node.
- `leq`: a `total_order_type` for the ordering criterion of the heap.
- `max_order_value`: a variable used to store the maximum value for a node.

Once defined this `struct`, the above requested functions are all implemented in `src/binheap.c`.

## Exercise 2

**Implement an iterative version of `HEAPIFY`.**

An iterative version of `HEAPIFY` has been implemented in `binheap.c`. The algorithm follows this pseudo-code:

---

**Algorithm 1** HEAPIFY(*node*)

---

```
destination_node  $\leftarrow$  node
do
  node  $\leftarrow$  destination_node
  child  $\leftarrow$  RIGHT CHILD(node)
  if ADDR(node)  $\preceq$  ADDR(child) then
    destination_node  $\leftarrow$  child
  end if
  child  $\leftarrow$  LEFT CHILD(node)
  if ADDR(node)  $\preceq$  ADDR(child) then
    destination_node  $\leftarrow$  child
  end if
  if destination_node  $\neq$  node then
    SWAP(destination_node, node)
  end if
while destination_node  $\neq$  node
```

---

### Exercise 3

Test the implementation on a set of instances of the problem and evaluate the execution time.

In order to test the implementation I create two test codes: `src/test_insert.c`, `src/heap_test.c`. The first code performs a benchmark on the `insert_key` function by increasing the size inserting nodes progressively. The asymptotic behaviour of this function is represented by the following plot.




Figure 1: Benchmark of the `insert_key` function.

On the other hand, `heap_test.c` does a check of all the implemented functions just performing all the functions written down `src/binheap.c`

## Exercise 4

**Show that, with the array representation, the leaves of a binary heap containing  $n$  nodes are indexed by  $\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1, \lceil n/2 \rceil + 2, \dots, n$ .**

If we consider the node at the index  $\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1$  and we know that its left child will be at the index

$$\text{LEFT}(\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1) = 2(\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1) > 2(n/2 - 1) = n.$$

The left child is in a position greater than `HEAP_SIZE`, so this node has no children and since a heap is a complete tree up to the leaf level, we conclude that the node  $\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1$  is a leaf.

## Exercise 5

**Show that the worst-case running time of `MAX_HEAPIFY` on a heap of size  $n$  is  $\Omega(\log_2 n)$ . (Hint: For a heap with  $n$  nodes, give node values that cause `MAX_HEAPIFY` to be called recursively at every node on a simple path from the root down to a leaf).**

Consider the following max-heap in which we would like to represent the worst-case scenario:

$$A[1] = 1, \text{ and } A[i] = 0 \quad \forall i \in 2, \dots, n.$$

Then, in order to conserve the heap property we have to apply `MAX_HEAPIFY` for every level of the tree for pushing the element of value 0 to the leftmost leaf. `MAX_HEAPIFY` will be then called  $\log_2 n$  times, so its running time will be  $\Theta(\log_2 n)$ . Thus, the worst case running time of `MAX_HEAPIFY` is  $\Omega(\log_2 n)$ .

## Exercise 6

**Show that there are at most  $\lceil n/2^{h+1} \rceil$  nodes of height  $h$  in any  $n$ -element binary heap.**

We know that for any  $n > 0$ , the number of leaves of nearly complete binary tree is  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ . With this result we can prove the thesis by induction.

- Consider the case  $h = 0$ ; the thesis is satisfied since the unique level of the tree will contain  $\lceil n/2 \rceil = \lceil n/2^{0+1} \rceil$ .
- Consider the thesis true for  $h - 1$ .

- Let  $N_h$  the number of nodes at leaf level of the tree  $T_h$  that has height  $h$ . If we now consider the tree  $T_{h-1}$  which is composed by  $T_h$  up to the leaves of  $T_h$ . This tree will have  $n' = n - \lceil n/2 \rceil$  nodes. Thus, if we consider  $N'_{h-1}$  as the number of nodes at level  $h-1$ , this will be equal to  $N_h$ . By induction we have

$$N'_{h-1} = N_h = \lceil n'/2^h \rceil = \lceil \lceil n/2 \rceil / 2^h \rceil \leq \lceil n/2^{h+1} \rceil.$$