Critique of Project 32

## Instructions

Use the program below (beginning line 13) to figure out who you will be critiquing. You will critique two of your colleagues’ work and provide feedback for them to produce their best work. Remember this is to help them out so do not be mean, but also do not hold back. Be sure to highlight areas of success as equally as areas of failure. Use the template below the code to provide them this feedback. You can find the [project links here](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1ZmjrUl3k0LIIJps8jqobH6rOiYHnJQzXTxdWzqs9cro/edit#gid=0)

**Be sure to change the name of the project in the title above and in the document title.** This is the project number you are critiquing and should be included in the output file so that the document name reads ‘Critique\_of\_Project##.docx’. Once you are finished, e-mail me both documents (one document per critique) and I will upload them to the ‘Peer\_Reviewed\_Work’ folder so that your peers can view them. Do not e-mail me the .Rmd file. These reviews are due by **Friday, March 25th at 5:30pm CST**. For ease you can use this markdown file to fill out your responses and knit which will produce a word document for you.

## Feedback Below

**What is their topic on?**

Yes, the title is consistent with the topic.

**Are the objectives of the project clearly identifiable?**

Yes, the objectives are of the project clearly identifiable. The presentor analyzed meta score and userscore of 100 most popular movies in 2020 from Metacritic website and answered his research questions.

**What data are used?**

He scraped from IMDB metacritic (<https://www.metacritic.com/browse/movies/score/setascore/year/>…). He didn’t bring other data from outside sources.

**What is your overall impression of the project?**

This project is very good, the content is very complete, the objective is clearly identifiable, there are many beautiful charts, and a summary is also given. The presenter showed his code in a PDF file, which I think is better than mine shown by Rstudio.

**Other encouragements/critiques you would like to provide to your colleague in order for them to have a more refined project.**

When answering research questions, adding some graphs will make it more clear. I have forgotten the result of the graphs shown earlier when the presenter gave research answers.