Feedback for Project Number 10

## Instructions

Use the program below (beginning line 13) to figure out who you will be critiquing. You will critique two of your colleagues’ work and provide feedback for them to produce their best work. Remember this is to help them out so do not be mean, but also do not hold back. Be sure to highlight areas of success as equally as areas of failure. Use the template below the code to provide them this feedback. You can find the [project links here](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1ZmjrUl3k0LIIJps8jqobH6rOiYHnJQzXTxdWzqs9cro/edit#gid=0)

**Be sure to change the name of the project in the title above and in the document title.** This is the project number you are critiquing and should be included in the output file so that the document name reads ‘Critique\_of\_Project##.docx’. Once you are finished, e-mail me both documents (one document per critique) and I will upload them to the ‘Peer\_Reviewed\_Work’ folder so that your peers can view them. Do not e-mail me the .Rmd file. These reviews are due by **Friday, March 25th at 5:30pm CST**. For ease you can use this markdown file to fill out your responses and knit which will produce a word document for you.

## Feedback Below

**What is their topic on?**

* *Is the title consistent with the topic?*
* *In other words does the title make sense for the project?*

*Title matched well for the project; all questions were addressed.*

**Are the objectives of the project clearly identifiable?**

* *What are they wanting to study?*
* *What is the motivation of this project?*
* *What does the presenter hope to accomplish with this project?*

*The motivation for this project was purely for practice.*

*They are wanting to study whether movie ratings differ between the two websites*

*They want to know whether movies from two different time periods are significantly different from each other; and the last question is an extension—instead of two different time periods, it becomes four time periods.*

**What data are used?**

* *What website(s) are scraped?*
* *Are other data brought in from outside sources?*
* *If so, what are they and how do they add to the project?*

*They scraped IMDb and Metacritic. No other data were brought in other than the information from the websites.*

**What is your overall impression of the project?**

Overall great job on the project. Great explanation on your process, research questions were well addressed, and I feel like appropriate tests were used and you did a great job explaining the interpretation of your result.

**Other encouragements/critiques you would like to provide to your colleague in order for them to have a more refined project.**

For improvement:

1. In your video, you addressed motivation, question, and then you started explaining your web scrape process, then proceeded talking about the results. I feel like this disrupted the flow of you addressing your research question.

I would suggest rearranging your presentation so that you state what the problem, the motivation, the research question, and the conclusion is first. In my opinion future clients are less interested in your methodology than your results and conclusions. I think that addressing what they are wanting to know would keep them more engaged.

1. More charts, graphs, or other visualizations would have been nice.
2. Otherwise, great job!