Feedback for Project Number 30

## Instructions

Use the program below (beginning line 13) to figure out who you will be critiquing. You will critique two of your colleagues’ work and provide feedback for them to produce their best work. Remember this is to help them out so do not be mean, but also do not hold back. Be sure to highlight areas of success as equally as areas of failure. Use the template below the code to provide them this feedback. You can find the [project links here](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1ZmjrUl3k0LIIJps8jqobH6rOiYHnJQzXTxdWzqs9cro/edit#gid=0)

**Be sure to change the name of the project in the title above and in the document title.** This is the project number you are critiquing and should be included in the output file so that the document name reads ‘Critique\_of\_Project##.docx’. Once you are finished, e-mail me both documents (one document per critique) and I will upload them to the ‘Peer\_Reviewed\_Work’ folder so that your peers can view them. Do not e-mail me the .Rmd file. These reviews are due by **Friday, March 25th at 5:30pm CST**. For ease you can use this markdown file to fill out your responses and knit which will produce a word document for you.

## Feedback Below

**What is their topic on?**

* *Is the title consistent with the topic?*
  + *Yes.*
* *In other words does the title make sense for the project?*
  + *Yes.*

**Are the objectives of the project clearly identifiable?**

* *What are they wanting to study?*
  + *How do the explanatory variables in the QBR work together.*
  + *Look at the relationship between the variables*
* *What is the motivation of this project?*
  + *Quarterbacks are crucial to a football team’s performance and because of this QBR was created to better understand a QB’s performance. The researcher wants to better understand this QBR.*
* *What does the presenter hope to accomplish with this project?*
  + *Test to see if the model is significant.*
  + *Test the relationship between variables within the model.*

**What data are used?**

* *What website(s) are scraped?*
  + *Pro-football\_reference.com*
* *Are other data brought in from outside sources?*
  + *No.*
* *If so, what are they and how do they add to the project?*
  + *N/A*

**What is your overall impression of the project?**

This data collected was used well to perform a more technical analysis of the data. The researcher was very robust in their approach of analyzing the data.

**Other encouragements/critiques you would like to provide to your colleague in order for them to have a more refined project.**

Not much time was spent on discussing the data itself or how it was collected. The overall presentation was also on the shorter side. The time spent discussing the statistical test was very clear in the interpretation of the tests though more time could have been spent relating it to the topic of football. Well done analyzing the data, I just wish there was more details on the big picture.